ORGANOMETALLICS

Alkenylborane-Derived Frustrated Lewis Pairs: Metal-Free Catalytic Hydrogenation Reactions of Electron-Deficient Alkenes

J. Sreedhar Reddy,[†] Bao-Hua Xu,[†] Tayseer Mahdi,[‡] Roland Fröhlich,[†] Gerald Kehr,[†] Douglas W. Stephan,^{*,‡} and Gerhard Erker^{*,†}

[†]Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Münster, Corrensstrasse 40, 48149 Münster, Germany

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, MSS3H6, Canada

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A series of alkenylboranes were prepared by 1,1-carboboration routes and used as Lewis acid components for the generation of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs). The reactions of 1-alkynes with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ gave the RCH== $C(C_6F_5)B(C_6F_5)_2$ systems **4a** $(R = n-C_3H_7)$, **4b** $(R = n-C_4H_9)$, **4c** (R = Ph), and **4d** $(R = t-C_4H_9)$, respectively. The alkenylborane/tBu₃P FLPs derived from compounds **4a**–**d** reacted rapidly with dihydrogen (2.5 bar) at ambient temperature. The bulky system **4d** left the C==C double bond of the alkenylborane unsaturated and gave the dihydrogen cleavage product $[tBu_3PH][tBuCH==C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$ (**10d**). In contrast, the less bulky systems **4a**/ tBu_3P and **4b**/tBu₃P split dihydrogen under these conditions and had their C==C double bonds cleanly reduced to yield the corresponding 1-pentafluorophenylalkyl hydridoborate salts $[tBu_3PH][RCH_2CH(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$ **9a** $(R = n-C_3H_7)$ and **9b** $(R = n-C_4H_9)$, respectively. The **4c**/tBu₃P FLP gave a mixture of both product types (**9c**/**10c**). 1,1-Carboboration of symmetrical internal alkynes gave the alkenylboranes R₂C=



 $C(C_6F_5)B(C_6F_5)_2$ 4e (R = C_2H_5), 4f (R = n- C_3H_7), 4g (R = Ph), and 4h (R = p-MeC₆H₄), respectively. The 4e-h/ tBu₃P FLPs cleaved dihydrogen under mild conditions but retained their C=C double bonds to give the respective [tBu₃PH][R₂C=C(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂] products (10e-h). Selected examples of these alkenylboranes undergo FLP reactions acting as catalysts for the hydrogenation of imines. Perhaps most remarkably, some of these alkenylboranes retain the C=C double bonds under FLP/H₂ reaction conditions and heterolytically split dihydrogen in the presence of the Lewis base DABCO and catalyze the hydrogenation of the electron-poor C=C double bonds of diaryl-substituted enones.

INTRODUCTION

Frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) chemistry has seen numerous advances in recent years, and the interest in and impact of the field continue to grow.¹ The inter- or intramolecular combination of sterically congested strong Lewis acids² and Lewis bases has allowed for the observation of a variety of unusual synergistic reactions with a number of small molecules. To date, most, but not all,³ Lewis acids employed have been boron-based. On the other hand, a broader selection of phosphorus-,^{2a,4} nitrogen-,^{4o,5} or even carbon-based⁶ Lewis bases have been employed. The inter- and intramolecular FLPs typified by systems I and II (Chart 1)⁷ were shown to react with alkenes⁸ or alkynes,^{8c,9} conjugated dienes, diynes, and enynes,^{9c,10} and a great variety of carbonyl compounds,^{3f,11} including carbon dioxide.¹² Moreover, system I was found to bind N₂O,¹³ while the intramolecular system II captures NO.¹⁴

Most remarkable is the ability of many FLPs to react with dihydrogen^{7a,15} to effect heterolytic cleavage, affording the respective phosphonium hydridoborate salt (V from I) or the zwitterion (VI from II, Chart 1). Even more surprisingly, a number of such systems may serve as metal-free catalysts for the hydrogenation of some organic substrates.^{1a,f,4f,o,5l,16} To date, a wide variety of systems able to heterolytically cleave dihydrogen have been utilized to catalytically hydrogenate imines, dimines,^{1a}

Chart 1



and N-heterocycles.^{1a,4e,n,o,5l-n,17} Moreover, reduction of electron-rich alkenes such as enamines, conjugated dienamines and even silyl enol ethers has been reported.¹⁸ Most recently Stephan and co-workers have reported the stoichiometric reduction of

 Received:
 July 1, 2012

 Published:
 July 17, 2012

aniline derivatives to the corresponding cyclohexylamines.¹⁹ For all of these electron-rich substrates, the reductions are thought to proceed *via* initial proton transfer to generate an activated substrate (i.e., iminium ions or analogues) followed by hydride delivery from the borohydride.

Although the field of the FLPs is quite young, metal-free catalyzed hydrogenation of these electron-rich substrates is already becoming established. In contrast, both the stoichiometric and catalytic reduction chemistry of synthetically important electron-poor conjugated enones or ynones and related organic substances by FLP/H₂ systems is still in its infancy. Indeed, only a few examples of such reductions have been described. For example, Soós et al.^{5g} have described the catalytic hydrogenation of the $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated terpenoid ketone carvone by the mesityl-B(C₆F₅)₂/DABCO frustrated Lewis pair. Erker and co-workers recently reported²⁰ that both the H₂-activated forms (Chart 1, V and VI) of the FLPs I and II, respectively, were able to stoichiometrically hydrogenate the ynones **1a** (R = Ph) and **1b** (R = *t*Bu) to the corresponding *cis*-enones (*cis*-**2a**, **2b**) (Chart 2).





Since it is likely that the FLP/H₂ enone and ynone reactions proceed by hydride addition followed by proton transfer, a slightly less Lewis acidic borane that yields a more hydridic borate should prove to be advantageous. Indeed we have communicated that an alkenylborane (4d), derived from a 1,1carboboration reaction,²¹ is a Lewis acid²⁰ that in combination with the Lewis base tBu_3P and dihydrogen cleanly effects the stoichiometric *cis*-reduction of ynones. The use of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as the Lewis base required slightly more forcing conditions to hydrogenate the ynone 1b, although this was achieved catalytically (Chart 2).

In this paper, we report the use of such bulky alkenylboranes for the hydrogenation reactions of α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. In addition, as these alkenylboranes are themselves electron-poor olefins, the potential for "self" C=C double-bond hydrogenation under our typical reaction conditions was investigated. Herein, we report that selected examples of such bulky alkenylborane systems are active catalysts for the hydrogenation of imines and a few conjugated enone model substrates. These results provide a broader scope of substrates where FLP hydrogenations are useful. Moreover, these data provide insight into the structure–activity relationship of boranes in such metal-free hydrogenations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Alkenylboranes. It has been previously communicated that alkenylboranes are accessible from the reaction of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ with alkynes.^{8c,9a-f,22} The profound ability of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to undergo a rapid 1,1-carboboration reaction with many terminal alkynes affords a series of alkenylboranes of formulas RCH= $C(C_6F_5)B(C_6F_5)_2$. Mechanistically, such reactions are thought to proceed by borane interaction with the alkyne, prompting a 1,2-hydrogen migration along the alkyne carbon framework and concomitant 1,2-C₆F₅ shift from boron to the former terminal alkynyl carbon atom (C1), yielding the alkenylborane. Initially, the 1,1-carboboration sequence is not stereoselective, giving a mixture of Z- and E-alkenylborane isomers. Subsequent photolysis (HPK 125, Pyrex) for many systems results in efficient E- to Z-alkenylborane isomerization, allowing Z-alkenylboranes to be obtained in high yields. A typical example is the preparation of Z-CH₃(CH₂)₂CH=C(C₆F₅)B- $(C_6F_5)_2$ (4a). This species is obtained as a white solid in 90% yield from a one-pot/two-step process of 1,1-carboboration and photolysis (Scheme 1). In an analogous manner, the Z-alkenylboranes 4b

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Alkenylboranes

$$R \xrightarrow{+} H \xrightarrow{1. \text{ pentane, r.t}} R \xrightarrow{C_6F_5} B(C_6F_5)_2$$

$$R' = H, R = n - C_3H_7 \text{ 4a, } n - C_4H_9 \text{ 4b,}$$

$$t - C_4H_9 \text{ 4d; } R' = Ph, R = H \text{ 4c}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{-} R \xrightarrow{110 \circ C} R \xrightarrow{R} C_6F_5$$

$$B(C_6F_5)_2$$

$$R = n - C_2H_5 \text{ 4e, } n - C_3H_7 \text{ 4f,}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{-} R \xrightarrow{-} R$$

(R = *n*-butyl), 4c (R = Ph; E/Z = 30:1 mixture), and 4d (R = *t*Bu) were prepared.

A second series of alkenylboranes (4e–h) were derived from the analogous 1,1-carboboration reactions employing internal alkynes. In these cases elevated temperatures were required to yield the respective tetra-substituted alkenes bearing a geminal pair of R groups at one end and a $C_6F_5/B(C_6F_5)_2$ pair of substituents at the other. Treatment of the respective symmetrically substituted alkynes with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ at 110 °C in toluene consequently gave the alkenylboranes **4e–h** in good yield (Scheme 1). These 1,1-carboborations are further supported by the crystallographic characterization of **4e** (Figure 1).

Reactions of Alkenylboranes with Phosphanes. Efforts to generate FLPs from alkenylboranes required combination with sterically hindered phosphanes. In some cases these mixtures were not inert. For example, a 1:1 mixture of the



Figure 1. POV-ray depiction of the alkenylborane 4e. C: black, B: yellow-green, F: pink. Hydrogen atoms are not shown.

phenyl-substituted alkenylboranes 4c and 4g and $t{\rm Bu}_3{\rm P}$ react upon heating for 24 h at 80 °C in toluene to form the salt 5g (Scheme 2). An X-ray diffraction study of 5g (Figure 2)



Figure 2. POV-ray depiction of the anion of 5g. C: black, B: yellowgreen, F: pink, Hydrogen atoms are not shown.

identified it as the product of an internal electrophilic aromatic substitution. In this case the borane is bound to the *cis*-oriented vicinal phenyl substituent, while the phosphane is protonated, affording the boracycle **5g**. The product **5c** was similarly obtained from the *E* isomer of **4c** alkenylborane and tBu_3P at elevated temperatures. The compound **5c** was also characterized by X-ray diffraction (see Supporting Information).

In contrast, the analogous reactions of the alkenylboranes 4c, 4e, and 4g with iPr_3P , tBu_2PH , or Cy_2PH , resulted in *para*-attack on a C₆F₅ group on boron, resulting in a nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction.²³ The fluoride is trapped by the boron electrophile, affording the zwitterionic salts R₂C==C(C₆F₅)BF-(C₆F₅)(C₆F₄PiPr₃) (R = Et 6e, Ph 6g), R₂C==C(C₆F₅)BF-(C₆F₅)(C₆F₄PtBu₂H) (R₂ = Ph(H) 7c; R = Et 7e, Ph 7g), and

Ph₂C==C(C₆F₅)BF(C₆F₅)(C₆F₄PCy₂H) (**8g**) (Scheme 3). In some cases these reactions were accelerated at elevated temperatures of 50–70 °C. These reactions are typified by the appearance of the diagnostic broad ¹⁹F NMR signals at ca. –180 ppm, reflecting the presence of a BF fragment in addition to the resonances attributable to the C₆F₄ group. Three of the products (**6e**, **6g**, and **7g**) were characterized by X-ray diffraction (**6g**: Figure 3; **6e**, **7g**: see Supporting Information).

Figure 3. POV-ray depiction of the zwitterion 6g. C: black, B: yellowgreen, F: pink, P: orange. Hydrogen atoms are not shown.

Reactions of Alkenylborane-Derived FLPs with H₂. Despite the thermally driven formation of the boracycles 5 and zwitterions 6-8, the alkenylboranes 4 form FLPs with bulky phosphanes that are amenable to heterolytic H-H bond cleavage. For example, the combination of dihydrogen (2.5 bar), the *n*-propylsubstituted alkenylborane 4a, and tBu₃P in toluene overnight at ambient temperature results in the formation of the new species 9a, which has taken up two equivalents of dihydrogen (Scheme 4). In the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compound **9a**, signals at 3.23 (¹H) and 30.3 (br, ¹³C) ppm, respectively, are consistent with the presence of a newly formed saturated C_5 chain at boron (BCH). The [B]H unit results in a ¹¹B NMR doublet at δ –19.3 (¹ $J_{BH} \approx$ 90 Hz), and the ³¹P NMR [P]H doublet resonance is seen at δ 59.2 (¹ $J_{PH} \approx$ 433 Hz). Compound 9a was characterized by X-ray diffraction (Figure 4), revealing the hydrogenation of the olefinic fragment of 4a with the formation of the phosphonium borate $[tBu_3PH]$ $[CH_3(CH_2)_2 CH_2CH(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2$, 9a. The formerly olefinic bond has

Scheme 4. Formation of 9a, 9b, and 9a-D

Figure 4. POV-ray depiction of the zwitterion **9a**. C: black, B: yellowgreen, F: pink, H: gray, P: orange. Hydrogen atoms except for BH and PH are not shown.

been reduced, as evidenced by the C–C bond length of 1.512(8) Å, while the corresponding B–C bond distance is 1.633(8) Å. The boron center is tetra-coordinated with the C–B1–C angles summing to 334.4° , while the geometry of the [*t*Bu₃PH] cation is unexceptional.

The analogous experiment was carried out with dideuterium, 4a, and tBu_3P at 25 °C to afford 9a-D. The ²H NMR spectrum displayed a pair of [sp³-C]-D resonances resulting from the saturated hydrocarbon chain at δ 3.19 ([B]CD) and δ 1.85/1.62 (-CDH) as well as a broad [B]D deuteride resonance at δ 2.98 and a [P]D doublet at δ 5.23 (¹J_{PD} \approx 66 Hz).²⁴ The corresponding reaction of the alkenylborane 4b with tBu_3P and H₂ yielded the related saturated product 9b in ca. 80% yield as a white solid (Scheme 4).

The FLP derived from the phenyl-substituted alkenylborane **4c** (*E*:*Z* 30:1) and *t*Bu₃P was less reactive. At 25 °C in pentane, clean heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen was achieved to yield ca. 85% of **10c** after 3 days. In the absence of light, the hydrogenated product was exclusively the *E* isomer, while an uncovered reaction vessel yielded a ca. 1:1 isomeric *E*:*Z* mixture. Under more forcing conditions, i.e., heating under H₂ at 80 °C for 15 h in toluene, a 9:2:4 mixture of salts **9c:10c:5c** resulted (Scheme 5). Nonetheless compound **9c** has been successfully isolated and fully characterized, including an X-ray structure confirming the nature of the salt (Figure 5).

These data are consistent with a trend of decreased reactivity with increasing steric bulk of the substituents at the end of the alkenylborane C=C double bond. This is also seen for the *tert*-

Figure 5. POV-ray depiction of the salt **9c**. C: black, B: yellow-green, F: pink, H: gray, P: orange. Only the PH, BH, CH, and CH_2 hydrogen atoms are shown.

butyl-substituted alkenylborane **4d**, as treatment with tBu_3P and dihydrogen affords the phosphonium hydridoborate salt **10d**, in which the olefinic moiety is not hydrogenated (Scheme 6). In

Scheme 6. Formation of 10d-h

solution, compound **10d** shows the typical ¹H NMR features of the intact alkenyl substituent at boron (¹H: δ 5.40), the broad 1:1:1:1 intensity quartet at δ 3.00 (¹J_{BH} \approx 90 Hz), and a doublet at δ 5.17 (¹J_{PH} \approx 431 Hz) attributable to [B]H and [P]H fragments. The corresponding alkenyl-¹³C, ¹¹B, and ³¹P NMR signals were observed at δ 143.1, -18.8, and 59.6, respectively. This phosphonium-alkenylhydridoborate salt **10d** was also characterized by X-ray diffraction (Figure 6). It features the intact C1–C2 (1.329(5) Å) and C=C double bond at boron (C1–B1 1.633(5) Å, B1–C1–C2 124.1(3)°). The trisubstituted alkene also has the *tert*-butyl substituent at C2 (C1–C2–C3 135.0(3)°)

Figure 6. POV-ray depiction of the salt **10d**. C: black, B: yellow-green, F: pink, H: gray, P: orange. Only the PH and BH hydrogen atoms are shown.

and the $-C_6F_5$ group in a Z-orientation. The plane of the pentafluorophenyl group at C1 is rotated markedly from the olefinic substituent plane (θ C2-C1-C51-C52 96.2(4)°). The boron and phosphorus atoms are typically pseudotetrahedral, with the C-B-C and C-P-C bonding angles summing to 336.8° and 342.8°, respectively.

Following the same trend, the alkenylboranes 4e-h, containing tetra-substituted C=C double bonds, react similarly with dihydrogen in the presence of tBu_3P . In all these cases the tetra-substituted C=C double bonds of the boron Lewis acid compounds remained intact, but the P/B pairs effected rapid heterolytic dihydrogen splitting to afford the phosphonium-hydridoborate salts 10e (R = C₂H₅), 10f (R = n-C₃H₇), 10g (R = Ph), and 10h (R = p-MeC₆H₄) in good yields (Scheme 6). Compounds 10e-g were characterized by X-ray diffraction (10e: Figure 7; 10f, 10g: see the Supporting Information). The

Figure 7. POV-ray depiction of the salt **10e**. C: black, B: yellow-green, F: pink, H: gray, P: orange. Only the PH and BH hydrogen atoms are shown.

aryl-substituted alkenylborane (4g and 4h)/ tBu_3P FLPs were found to be less reactive toward dihydrogen compared to alkylsubstituted alkenylboranes/ tBu_3P FLPs toward dihydrogen splitting under similar reaction conditions. Thus, more forcing conditions (60 bar H₂ and 80 °C) were used to generate the arylsubstituted phosphonium alkenylhydridoborate salts, 10g and 10h, respectively (Scheme 6). Analogous reactions employing nitrogen-based Lewis bases and dihydrogen were also briefly investigated. To this end, compound 4d and either 1-azabicylo[2.2.2]octane (quinuclidine) or DABCO were combined under 60 bar of dihydrogen at room temperature. This led to the rapid formation of the respective ammonium hydridoborate salts 11d and 12d (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of 11d, 12d, and 12f

Compound 11d was characterized by X-ray diffraction (see the Supporting Information). In a similar fashion, the FLP derived from the tetra-substituted alkenylborane 4f and the DABCO Lewis base with dihydrogen under identical conditions gave the corresponding ammonium hydridoborate salt 12f (Scheme 7).

Catalytic Hydrogenation of Imines. The ability of electron-deficient boranes to effect catalytic hydrogenations of imines has been previously demonstrated. This results in part from the ability of the Lewis acid and substrate imine to effect heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen. As the alkenylboranes described herein have been shown to be sufficiently electrophilic to participate in such activation of dihydrogen, several of these alkenylboranes were evaluated in catalytic hydrogenation of imines. Compounds 4c, 4e, and 4g were employed in the catalytic hydrogenations of several imine substrates (Table 1) using a catalyst loading of 5 mol % at 120 °C. Reactions were performed at dihydrogen pressures of 5 and 110 bar. In the case of the prototypical sterically encumbered imine, Ph(H)C=NtBu, 4c and 4e proved to be effective catalysts, each resulting in quantitative hydrogenations after 12 h. In contrast, species 4g was ineffective, resulting in only 11% yield of the hydrogenated amine after 24 h at 110 bar of dihydrogen at 120 °C. Using the sterically bulky substrate $Ph(H)C = NCHPh_2$, of the three catalysts only 4e was effective and only under high pressure conditions, while for the substrate $Ph(H)C=NSO_2Ph$, the alkenylboranes were ineffective at low pressure and displayed marginal activity even at higher dihydrogen pressure. Collectively, these data infer that increased steric congestion in either these hydridoborate anions or substrates is problematic for hydride delivery to transient iminium cations. Moreover, reduced Lewis basicity at the nitrogen centers does not result in efficient hydrogen activation.

Catalytic Hydrogenation of Conjugated Enones. It had also been shown that a conjugated ynone can be slowly Table 1. Imine Hydrogenations^a

Ph H R R = <i>t</i> Bu 13a , CHPh ₂ 13b , SO ₂ Ph 13c		Cat. (5 mol%) H_2 , 120°C, toluene		Ph HNH H R R = tBu 14a, CHPh ₂ 14b, SO ₂ Ph 14c
imine substrate	cat.	<i>T</i> (h)	yield (%) (5 bar)	yield (%) (110 bar)
13a	4c	12	>99	>99
13a	4e	12	>99	>99
13a	4g	24	6	11
13b	4c	12	19	35
13b	4e	12	20	>99
13b	4g	24	0	trace
13c	4c	24	0	2
13c	4e	24	trace	11
13c	4g	24	0	18
^a Performed employing 5 mol % catalyst at 120 °C.				

hydrogenated to the saturated ketone by a catalytic amount of the FLP derived from the alkenylborane **4d** and the amine Lewis base DABCO.²⁰ Herein, the hydrogenation of a small series of enones with sufficiently bulky substituents at both the ketone and alkene ends was studied (Table 2). Initially 5 mol % of the

respective FLP was employed, and the reactions were carried out at 10 bar dihydrogen pressure for 48 h at 80 °C in benzene- d_6 . The catalyst 4d/DABCO was the most effective catalyst of the series. Under our standard conditions, monitoring by NMR spectroscopy revealed 81% conversion of the enone 15a to the saturated ketone 16a. On the other hand, the tetra-substituted alkenylborane 4f gave a slightly inferior catalyst under the same conditions; however, it led to a quantitative conversion of 15a to 16a under slightly more forcing conditions (40 bar H_2). Both the more bulky diaryl-substituted alkenylborane 4h and the much less sterically congested system 4a made very poor catalysts. In the latter case, the observed reactivity of 4a with dihydrogen might be responsible for this unfavorable behavior (see above). The introduction of a strongly electron-withdrawing substituent at the distal aryl ring of the enone 15b accelerated the FLP hydrogenation of the electron-poor C = C double bond with the

4d/DABCO catalyst. In contrast, the respective p-methoxy derivative 15c was not reduced under these conditions. These results indicate that hydride transfer from the in situ formed alkenylhydridoborate anion derived from the alkenylborane under FLP conditions might be the decisive factor in achieving the requisite reactivity for selective hydrogenation of electrondeficient olefins. It seems that the alkenylboranes used here display the appropriate balance between sufficient Lewis acidity and steric bulk to allow for dihydrogen activation by the respective FLPs. At the same time they feature sufficiently reduced electrophilicity to make the resulting hydridoborate nucleophilic enough to attack at the Michael position of the enone. Whether this process is Lewis or Brønsted acid catalyzed remains an open question; also the specific role of the DABCO Lewis base or its conjugate DABCO-H⁺ Brønsted acid remains unsolved.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that 1,1-carboboration reactions of alkynes with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ result in the formation of a series of useful alkenylborane systems. These form reactive FLPs upon addition of a suitable bulky Lewis base. Exposure of these FLPs to dihydrogen in all cases studied here leads to rapid heterolytic cleavage of dihydrogen. In the cases of sufficient steric bulk of the substituents at the alkenyl C=C double bond this results in the formation of the respective alkenylhydridoborate/phosphonium salts. Remarkably, the less sterically crowded examples undergo a concomitant efficient hydrogenation of the C=C double bond, giving the corresponding saturated 1-pentafluorophenylalkylhydridoborate/phosphonium salts in high yield. In contrast, some FLPs derived from the alkenylboranes proved resistant to hydrogenation of the C=C double bond under typical reaction conditions. In addition, the combination of the alkenylborane 4d and DABCO was shown to give an active catalyst for the hydrogenation of enone substrates. This work illustrates that judicious control of the nature of a FLP hydrogenation catalysts can permit the reduction of a broadening scope of substrates.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. All reactions involving air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were carried out under an inert gas atmosphere (Münster: argon purchased from Westfalen AG; Toronto: nitrogen) using Schlenk-type glassware and a glovebox (Münster: glovebox 150 B-G II from MBraun; Toronto: gloveboxes from Innovative Technology, Vacuum Atmospheres, and MBraun). All other manipulations were performed on a double-manifold N_2 (H₂)/ vacuum line with Schlenk-type glassware or in an N2-filled inert atmosphere glovebox. The $N_{\rm 2}$ and $H_{\rm 2}$ gases were dried by passage through a Dririte column. Solvents (Aldrich) were dried using an Innovative Technologies solvent system (toluene, hexanes, pentane, CH₂Cl₂). NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker ARX 300 (¹H: 300 MHz, ¹³C: 75 MHz), Bruker Avance 400 MHz, or Varian Inova 500 (¹H: 500 MHz, ¹³C: 126 MHz, ¹⁹F: 470 MHz, ¹¹B: 160 MHz) spectrometer. For ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (δ) are given relative to TMS and referenced to the solvent signal (¹⁹F rel. to external CFCl₃; ¹¹B rel. to external BF₃·Et₂O). NMR assignments are supported by additional 1D and 2D NMR experiments. NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C unless otherwise stated, and chemical shifts are reported in ppm. NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes, dried over CaH₂ (CD₂Cl₂, C₆D₅Br, and CDCl₃), vacuum distilled prior to use, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves in the glovebox. Elemental analyses were performed on a Elementar Vario El III. IR spectra were recorded on a Varian 3100 FT-IR (Excalibur Series). Melting points were obtained with a DSC Q20 (TA Instruments). ESI mass spectra recorded on a Bruker Daltonics MicroTof.

The imines^{25b,c} **13** and the enones^{25a} **15** were prepared by literature methods. $B(C_6F_5)_3$ was prepared according to a literature procedure^{2c,d} and purified by two successive sublimations (Toronto). The syntheses of compounds **4a**–**h** were described in our previously published papers.²² Crystals of **4e** suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a concentrated pentane solution at -40 °C for one week.

Synthesis of $[Et_2C = C(C_6F_5)B(C_6F_5)_2]$, 4e. In a glovebox, a 50 mL glass tube wrapped with aluminum foil, equipped with a small stir bar and a Teflon screw tap, was charged with a solution of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (512 mg, 1.00 mmol) in toluene (15 mL). While mixing, 3-hexyne was added via syringe (0.34 mL, 3.00 mmol), resulting in a light brown solution. The solution was allowed to mix for 12 h at 120 °C. After cooling to 25 °C, all volatiles were removed and the crude product was recrystallized from pentane at -40 °C to yield a pale brown powder in 78% yield. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a concentrated pentane solution at -40 °C for one week. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₂₄H₁₀BF₁₅: C 48.52, H 1.70. Found: C 48.21, H 1.84. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 2.51 (m, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.43 Hz, CH_2), 2.32 $(m, 2H, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.4 Hz, CH_{2}), 1.12 (t, 3H, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.4 Hz, CH_{3}), 1.07 (t, 3H)$ 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.4$ Hz, CH₃). ${}^{19}F$ NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -129.8 (m, 4F, o-B(C₆F₅)₂), -139.3 (m, 2F, o-C₆F₅), -148.2 (t, 2F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 19.9 \text{ Hz}, p-B(C_{6}F_{5})_{2}), -156.0 \text{ (t, 1F, } {}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.7 \text{ Hz}, p-C_{6}F_{5}),$ $-161.3 \text{ (m, 4F, } m\text{-B}(C_6F_5)_2), -162.5 \text{ (m, 2F, } m\text{-}C_6F_5).$ ¹¹B NMR (128) MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 60.9 (br s). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 182.6 (Et₂C=), 146.9 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 248 Hz, CF), 143.8 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 244 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}$, 143.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 258 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}$), 140.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} =$ 253 Hz, CF), 137.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 253 Hz, CF), 137.2 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 252 Hz, CF), 126.6 (br, =CB), 116.9 (tm, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 20.7$ Hz, *ipso*-C₆F₅), 114.6 (br, ipso-B(C₆F₅)₂), 29.9 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 14.6 (CH₃), 11.7 (CH₃). X-ray data: a = 10.9155(7) Å, b = 9.9660(7) Å, c = 11.6419(8) Å, $\beta = 115.278(2)^{\circ}$, V = 1145.18(13) Å³, Z = 2, monoclinic, space group: P2₁, 3972 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 490 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0294, wR2(all) = 0.688, GOF = 1.024.

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][HC(C₆H₄)=C(C₆F₅)B(C₆F₅)₂], 5c. In a glovebox, a 50 mL glass tube equipped with a small stir bar and a Teflon screw top was charged with a solution of (E)- and (Z)-4c (30:1) (77 mg,0.12 mmol) and tBu₃P (25 mg, 0.12 mmol) in toluene (3 mL). The yellow solution was placed in an oil bath at 80 °C for 3 days. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the crude oil was washed with pentane $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ to yield 69% of the product as a white precipitate. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₈H₃₃BF₁₅P: C 55.90, H 4.07. Found: C 55.82, H 4.15. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.27 (d, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.1 Hz, C_6H_4), 7.19 (s, 1H, C(H)=), 7.09 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.1$ Hz, C_6H_4), 6.91 (tm, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.10$ Hz, C_6H_4), 6.81 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.1$ Hz, C_6H_4), 4.87 (d, 1H, ${}^{1}J_{H-P} = 429$ Hz, PH), 1.52 (d, 27H, ${}^{3}J_{H-P} = 15.7$ Hz, tBu). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –131.2 (m, 4F, o-B(C₆F₅)₂), $-140.0 \text{ (m, 2F, } o-C_6F_5), -163.6 \text{ (t, 1F, } {}^{3}J_{F-F} = 21.1 \text{ Hz}, p-C_6F_5), -165.4$ (t, 2F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 21.1$ Hz, p-B(C₆F₅)₂), -166.8 (m, 2F, m-C₆F₅), -167.5 (m, 4F, m-B(C₆F₅)₂). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 60.2 (¹)_{H-P} = 429 Hz, PH). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –10.0; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) partial: δ 149.7 $(^{Ph}CC(H)=)$, 142.1 (C(H)=), 128.5 (C₆H₄), 124.4 (C₆H₄), 124.3 (C_6H_4) , 120.5 (C_6H_4) , 37.7 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-P} = 27.1 \text{ Hz}, tBu)$, 30.0 (tBu). X-ray data: a = 11.2573(6) Å, b = 11.8997(6) Å, c = 15.2899(8) Å, $\alpha = 78.641(3)^{\circ}, \beta = 71.732(3)^{\circ}, \gamma = 67.422(2)^{\circ}, V = 1789.05(16) \text{ Å}^3,$ Z = 2, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, 6288 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 505 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0566, wR2(all) = 0.1546, GOF = 1.018.

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][PhC(C₆H₄)=C(C₆F₅)B(C₆F₅)₂], 5g. Compound 4g (28 mg, 0.041 mmol) and tBu₃P (8 mg, 0.041 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (0.5 mL) in a Teflon screw cap 50 mL glass bomb with a small stir bar. The mixture was allowed to heat at 80 °C for 3 days. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the crude oil was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) to yield the product as a white precipitate in 52% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₄₄H₃₇BF₁₅P: C 59.21, H 4.18. Found: C 58.69, H 4.38. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.25–7.10 (m, 6H, Ph, C₆H₄), 6.94–6.85 (m, 3H, Ph, C₆H₄), 4.85 (d, 1H, ¹J_{H-P} = 427 Hz, PH), 1.52 (d, 27H, ³J_{H-P} = 15.7 Hz, tBu). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –132.2 (m, 4F, o-B(C₆F₅)₂), –141.1 (m, 2F, o-C₆F₅), –163.8 (t, 1F, ³J_{F-F} = 20.7 Hz, p-C₆F₅), –166.2 (t, 2F, ³J_{F-F} = 20.7 Hz,

p-B(C₆F₅)₂), −167.9 (m, 2F, *m*-C₆F₅), −168.4 (m, 4F, *m*-B(C₆F₅)₂).³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 58.3 (¹J_{H−P} = 427 Hz, PH).¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ −9.39.¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) partial: δ 130.0, 129.4 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph), 126.6, 124.6, 124.3, 120.0, 38.1 (d, ¹J_{C−P} = 26.6 Hz, *t*Bu), 30.4 (*t*Bu). X-ray data: *a* = 11.2252(3) Å, *b* = 18.7357(5) Å, *c* = 19.1203(6) Å, *V* = 4021.2(2) Å³, *Z* = 4, orthorhombic, space group *P*2₁2₁2₁ 7084 observed reflections (*I* ≥ 2σ(*I*)), 559 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0459, wR2(all) = 0.1211, GOF = 1.050.

Synthesis of $R_2C = C(C_6F_5)BF(C_6F_5)(C_6F_4PiPr_3)$ (R = Et 6e, Ph 6g), $R_2C = C(C_6F_5)BF(C_6F_5)(C_6F_4PtBu_2H)$ ($R_2 = Ph(H)$ 7c; R = Et 7e, Ph 7g), and Ph₂C = $C(C_6F_5)BF(C_6F_5)(C_6F_4PCy_2H)$, 8g. These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion, and thus only one preparation is detailed. In some cases elevated temperatures and prolonged periods were employed. To a yellow solution of 4g (107 mg, 0.13 mmol) in toluene (1 mL) was added *i*PPr₃ (25 mg, 0.16 mmol). After 16 h at 25 °C, a white precipitate was observed. The reaction was allowed to stir for 48 h at 25 °C, before adding pentane (3 × 2 mL). The solvent was decanted, and the product was dried *in vacuo* to yield 6g (82%) as a white solid.

6e: 25 °C for 16 h, 70% yield as a white solid. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₃H₃₁BF₁₅P: C 52.54, H 4.14. Found: C 52.55, H 4.44. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 3.26 (m, 3H, *i*Pr), 2.27 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.91 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.48 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H-P}$ = 17.8 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.1 Hz, 18H, *i*Pr), 0.89 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.4 Hz, CH₃), 0.74 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.4 Hz, CH₃). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –126.3 (br, 2F, C₆F₄), –131.6 (m, 2F, p- C_6F_4), -133.0 (m, 2F, o-BC₆F₅), -139.4 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -140.2 (br, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -162.5 (t, 1F, ³J_{F-F} = 19.2 Hz, p-C₆F₅), -163.6 (t, 1F, 1F, 0-CC₆F₅), -163.6 (t, 1F, 0-CC₆F₅), -163.6 (${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.8 \text{ Hz}, p-C_{6}F_{5}), -166.8-167.0 \text{ (m, 4F, }m-BC_{6}F_{5}, m-CC_{6}F_{5}),$ -185.5 (br, 1F, BF). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 52.1 (m). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 298 K): δ 0.34 (d, ¹ J_{B-F} = 48.0 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) partial: δ 150.5 (Et₂C=), 149.3 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 250 Hz, CF), 147.9 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 240 Hz, CF), 146.3 $(dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 254 Hz, CF), 143.6 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 246 Hz, CF), 137.6 (dm,)$ ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 247 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}$, 136.7 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 248 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}$), 130.6 (br, =CB), 124.1 (br, *ipso*-BC₆F₅), 122.7 (tm, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 24.5$ Hz, *ipso*-CC₆F₅), 87.8 (dt, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 70.7 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 18.0 \text{ Hz}, ipso-PC_{6}F_{4}$), 26.2 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₂), 23.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 40.9 \text{ Hz}, iPr$), 16.8 (iPr), 12.0 (CH₃), 11.8 (CH₃). X-ray data: a =18.4883(10) Å, b =18.5351(11) Å, c = 19.2487(11) Å, V = 6596.2(7) Å³, Z = 8, orthorhombic, space group *Pbca*, 5803 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 451 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0516, wR2(all) = 0.1501, GOF = 1.039.

6g: Anal. Calcd (%) for C41H31BF15P: C 57.90, H 3.67. Found: C 58.21, H 4.14. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 7.38 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ o-Ph}), 7.12-7.09 \text{ (m, 4H, o,m-Ph)}, 7.04-6.97 \text{ (m, 3H, o,m-Ph)}, 7.04-6.97 \text{ (m, 3H,$ *m*-Ph, *p*-Ph), 6.92 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.4$ Hz, *p*-Ph), 3.07 (m, 3H, *i*Pr), 1.33 $(dd, {}^{3}J_{H-P} = 17.6 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 18\text{H}, i\text{Pr}). {}^{19}\text{F} \text{ NMR} (377 \text{ MHz}, 18\text{H}, i\text{Pr})$ CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ –124.7 (br, 2F, C_6F_4), –132.2 to 132.3 (br, 4F, o- BC_6F_5 , $p-C_6F_4$), -138.3 (m, 1F, $o-CC_6F_5$), -138.8 (br, 1F, $o-CC_6F_5$), -162.1 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.2$ Hz, p-BC₆F₅), -162.3 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.7$ Hz, $p-CC_6F_5$), -166.7 (m, 1F, $m-CC_6F_5$), -166.9 (m, 1F, $m-CC_6F_5$), -167.1 (m, 2F, m-BC₆F₅), -180.1 (br, 1F, BF). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162) MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 52.0 (m). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta 0.59$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{B-F} = 52.5$ Hz). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (101 MHz, $CD_{2}Cl_{2}$, 298 K) partial: δ 149.6 (Ph₂C=), 149.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 251 Hz, CF), 147.8 $(dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 242 Hz, CF), 145.9 (i-Ph), 143.9 (i-Ph), 143.3 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} =$ 242 Hz, CF), 138.0 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 244 Hz, CF), 136.8 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 245 Hz, CF), 129.6 (o-Ph), 128.0 (o-Ph), 127.5 (m-Ph), 126.6 (m-Ph), 126.0 (*p*-Ph), 125.8 (*p*-Ph), 123.4 (br, *ipso*-BC₆F₅), 123.2 (tm, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 22.0$ Hz, *ipso*-CC₆F₅), 87.7 (dtm, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 72.0$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 18.2$ Hz, *ipso*-PC₆F₄), 23.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 41.9$ Hz, *i*Pr), 16.9 (*i*Pr). X-ray data: *a* = 11.0573(6) Å, b = 13.0147(7) Å, c = 13.9942(7) Å, $\alpha = 81.889(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 88.595(3)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 13.9942(7)$ Å, $\alpha = 81.889(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 88.595(3)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 13.9942(7)$ Å, $\alpha = 81.889(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 88.595(3)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 13.9942(7)$ Å, $\alpha = 13.9942(7)$ Å, $\alpha = 13.9942(7)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 13.9942(7)^{$ $85.825(2)^{\circ}$, V = 1988.23(18) Å³, Z = 2, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, 6992 observed reflections ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$), 523 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0579, wR2(all) = 0.1739, GOF = 1.045.

7c: 50 °C for 48 h, 66% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{34}H_{25}BF_{15}P$: C 53.71, H 3.31. Found: C 53.50, H 3.50. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.17 (t, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.1 Hz, *m*-Ph), 7.13 (t, 1H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.1 Hz, *p*-Ph), 6.98 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.1 Hz, *o*-Ph), 6.81 (s, 1H, C(H)=), 6.33 (d, 1H, ¹J_{H-P} = 462 Hz, PH), 1.60 (d, 18H, ³J_{H-P} = 19.3 Hz, tBu). ¹⁹F NMR

 $(377 \text{ MHz}, d_8\text{-THF}, 298 \text{ K})$: $\delta - 127.0 \text{ (br, 1F, C}_6\text{F}_4)$, -127.3 (m, 1F, p-127.3)C₆F₄), -127.7 (br, 1F, C₆F₄), -131.5 (m, 2F, o-BC₆F₅), -133.6 (m, 1F, C_6F_4), -138.7 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -142.2 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -163.3 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.3$ Hz, p-BC₆F₅), -163.5 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.7$ Hz, p- CC_6F_5), -166.8 (m, 1F, m- CC_6F_5), -167.6 to -167.7 (m, 3F, m- BC_6F_5 , m-CC₆F₅), -193.0 (br, 1F, BF). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 33.4 (br). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 1.49 (d, ¹ J_{B-F} = 50.8 Hz, BF). $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (101 MHz, $d_{8}\text{-}\mathrm{THF}$, 298 K) partial: δ 148.9 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 244 Hz, CF), 148.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 244 Hz, CF), 145.0 $(dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 248 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}), 143.7 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 249 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}), 142.8 (dm, dm)$ ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 240 Hz, CF), 139.5 (*ipso*-Ph), 138.0 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 247 Hz, CF), 137.0 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 247 Hz, CF), 136.6 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 246 Hz, CF), 136.3 $(dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 247 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}), 134.8 (C(H)=), 127.6 (o,m-Ph), 125.9$ (*p*-Ph), 123.8 (br, *i*-BC₆F₅), 120.5 (tm, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 22.8$ Hz, *i*-CC₆F₅), 90.1 $(dtm, {}^{1}J_{C-P} = 70.0 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 19.3 \text{ Hz}, ipso-PC_{6}F_{4}), 35.5 (d, {}^{1}J_{C-P} = 31.6 \text{ Hz})$ Hz, tBu), 26.6 (tBu).

7e: 50 °C, 48 h, 99% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₂H₂₉BF₁₅P: C 52.19, H 3.95. Found: C 52.56, H 4.41. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 6.33 (d, 1H, ${}^{1}J_{H-P}$ = 466 Hz, PH), 2.25 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.90 (m, 2H, CH_2), 1.59 (d, 18H, ${}^{3}J_{H-P}$ = 19.0 Hz, *t*Bu), 0.88 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.4 Hz, CH₃), 0.72 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.4 Hz, CH₃). ${}^{19}F$ NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta - 125.6$ (br, 1F, C₆F₄), -126.2 (br, 1F, C₆F₄), -126.5 (m, 1F, $p-C_6F_4$, -133.0 (m, 3F, o-BC₆F₅, C₆F₄), -139.4 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -140.3 (br, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -162.4 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.8$ Hz, $p-C_{6}F_{5}$), -163.6 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.8$ Hz, p-C₆F₅), -166.7 to 166.9 (m, 4F, m- BC_6F_5 , m-CC₆F₅), -184.5 (br, 1F, BF). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 33.1 (br). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 0.39 (d, J_{B-F} = 40.8 Hz, BF). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) partial: δ 150.6 (Et₂C=), 149.3 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 252 Hz, CF), 142.0 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$, 143.7 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}$), 138.5 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ Hz, CF}), 138.5 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 240 \text{ 249 Hz, CF), 137.6 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 252 Hz, CF), 136.7 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 246 Hz, CF), 131.6 (br, =CB), 123.9 (br, *i*-BC₆F₅), 122.6 (tm, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 22.0$ Hz, *ipso*-CC₆F₅), 88.7 (m, *ipso*-PC₆F₄), 36.0 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 30.9$ Hz, *t*Bu), 27.6 (tBu), 26.2 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₂), 12.0 (CH₃), 11.8 (CH₃).

7g: 70 °C for 48 h, 42% yield off-white solid. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₄₀H₂₉BF₁₅P: C 57.44, H 3.49. Found: C 57.19, H 3.70. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 7.40 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.0 Hz, o-Ph), 7.30 (m, 1H, p-Ph), 7.13 (m, 4H, o,m-Ph), 7.02 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.0$ Hz, m-Ph), 6.95 (m, 1H, p-Ph), 6.27 (d, 1H, ${}^{1}J_{H-P}$ = 465 Hz, PH), 1.57 (d, 18H, ${}^{3}J_{H-P} = 19.2 \text{ Hz}, tBu$). ${}^{19}\text{F} \text{ NMR} (377 \text{ MHz}, CD_2Cl_2, 298 \text{ K}): \delta - 124.2$ (br, 1F, C₆F₄), -124.5 (br, 1F, C₆F₄), -127.1 (m, 1F, p-C₆F₄), -132.4 (m, 2F, o-BC₆F₅), -133.5 (m, 1F, C₆F₄), -138.3 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -139.0 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₅), -161.9 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.4$ Hz, p-BC₆F₅), -162.2 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.4$ Hz, p-CC₆F₅), -166.6 (m, 1F, m-CC₆F₅), -166.8 (m, 1F, m-CC₆F₅), -166.9 (m, 2F, m-BC₆F₅), -179.0 (br, 1F, BF). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 33.1 (br). ${}^{11}B$ NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 0.68 (d, ${}^{1}J_{B-F}$ = 52.3 Hz, BF). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ partial: 149.8 (Ph₂C=), 148.9 $(dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 251 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}), 148.2 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 247 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CF}), 145.9 (dm, dm)$ ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 244 Hz, CF), 146.3 (*i*-Ph), 144.3 (*ipso*-Ph), 143.8 (dm, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 244 Hz, CF), 138.1 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 249 Hz, CF), 136.7 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 244 Hz, CF), 129.9 (o-Ph), 128.3 (m-Ph), 127.9 (o-Ph), 127.0 (m-Ph), 126.4 (p-Ph), 126.2 (p-Ph), 123.6 $(br, ipso-BC_6F_5)$, 123.5 $(tm, {}^2J_{C-F} = 21.2 \text{ Hz})$ *ipso*-CC₆F₅), 89.1 (dtm, ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 73.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 17.8$ Hz, *i*-PC₆F₄), 36.1 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-P} = 31.3 \text{ Hz}, tBu), 36.0 (d, {}^{1}J_{C-P} = 31.3 \text{ Hz}, tBu), 27.7 (tBu), 27.6$ (*t*Bu). X-ray data: a = 9.8745(6) Å, b = 18.2413(10) Å, c = 23.7744(13)Å, V = 4282.3(4) Å³, Z = 4, orthorhombic, space group $P2_12_12_1$, 9855 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 565 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0728, wR2(all) = 0.2161, GOF = 1.037. 8g: 70 °C for 24 h, 64% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₄₄H₃₃BF₁₅P: C 59.48, H 3.74. Found: C 59.51, H 3.98. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d_8 -THF, 298 K): δ 7.41 (d, 2H, ³ J_{H-H} = 7.5 Hz, o-Ph), 7.13 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} =$ 7.6 Hz, o-Ph), 7.06 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} =$ 7.6 Hz, *m*-Ph), 7.03 (d, 1H, ${}^{1}J_{H-P}$ = 496 Hz, PH), 7.00–6.89 (m, 2H, *p*-Ph, *p*-Ph), 6.97 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H}$ = 7.5 Hz, *m*-Ph), 3.00 (br, 2H, Cy), 2.17 - 1.34 (br m, 20H, Cy). ${}^{19}F$ NMR (377 MHz, d_{8} -THF, 298 K): δ -124.5 (br, 2F, C_6F_4), -132.3 (br, 2F, o-BC₆F₅), -132.9 (br d, 2F, C₆F₄), -138.4 (m, 1F, o-CC₆F₄), -139.0 (br, 1F, o-CC₆F₄), -162.0 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.2$ Hz, p-C₆F₅), -162.3 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 21.5$ Hz, p-C₆F₅), -166.8 to -167.0 (m, 4F, m-BC₆F₅, m-CC₆F₅), -179.8 (br, 1F, BF). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 11.0 (br s). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 0.48 (br, BF). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, d_8 -THF, 298 K) partial: δ 149.6 (Ph₂C==), 148.4 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 247 Hz, CF), 148.0 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 247 Hz, CF), 146.1 (*i*-Ph), 145.6 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 252 Hz, CF), 145.4 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 252 Hz, CF), 145.4 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 252 Hz, CF), 143.8 (*ipso*-Ph), 143.4 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 247 Hz, CF), 137.7 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 247 Hz, CF), 136.2 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 250 Hz, CF), 129.7 (*o*-Ph), 128.0 (*o*-Ph), 127.1 (*m*-Ph), 126.2 (*m*-Ph), 125.7 (*p*-Ph), 125.4 (*p*-Ph), 123.9 (tm, ²J_{C-F} = 20.8 Hz, *i*-CC₆F₅), 87.5 (br, *ipso*-PC₆F₄), 28.6 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 41.7 Hz, P-Cy), 26.3 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 3.3 Hz, Cy), 25.5 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 15.6 Hz, Cy), 24.8 (Cy).

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][CH₃(CH₂)₃CH(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂], 9a. A solution of 4a (58 mg, 0.1 mmol) and tBu₃P (20 mg, 0.1 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was degassed, and the reaction flask filled with H₂ (2.5 bar). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under H_2 (2.5 bar), and pentane (10 mL) was added, after which the supernatant was decanted. Crystallizing the residue with CH_2Cl_2 /pentane (v/v = 1:3, 4 mL) at -35 °C and then drying *in vacuo* afforded **9a** as a white powder (68 mg, 87%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis were grown by a CH_2Cl_2 /pentane (v/v = 1:3) solution of 9a at -35 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₃₉BF₁₅P: C, 53.45; H, 5.00. Found: C, 53.31; H, 5.10. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 5.29 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PH}$ = 433.5 Hz, 1H, PH), 3.23 (b) In the second seco not listed]. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –132.6 (m, 4F), -140.9 (br, 1F), -143.7 (br, 1F) (o-C₆F₅), -164.6 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz), -164.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz), -166.2 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.3$ Hz) (each 1F, $p-C_{6}F_{5}$), $-167.3 \text{ (m, 4F)}, -167.5 \text{ (m, 2F)} (m-C_6F_5).$ ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (192 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 298 K): δ –19.3 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 60$ Hz). ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 298 K): $\delta - 19.3$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{BH} = 90.6$ Hz). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.2 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx$ 3 Hz). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\tilde{\delta}$ 59.2 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{PH} \approx 433$ Hz). X-ray data: a = 9.9252(3) Å, b = 20.0004(11)Å, c = 18.3802(7) Å, $\beta = 93.002(3)^{\circ}$, V = 3643.6(3) Å³, Z = 4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, 4875 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 490 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0889, wR2(all) = 0.2672, GOF = 1.067.

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][CH₃(CH₂)₄CH(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂], 9b. A similar procedure to that mentioned above for the preparation of compound 9a was carried out by using starting material 4b to yield 9b as a white powder (66 mg, 82%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{41}BF_{15}P$: C, 54.02; H, 5.16. Found: C, 53.86; H, 5.25. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 5.31 (d, ¹J_{PH} = 433.8 Hz, 1H, PH), 3.23 (br t, ³J_{HH} = 10.1 Hz, 1H, BCH), 2.93 (br, BH), 1.88/1.64 (each m, each 1H, ^{CH}CH₂)¹, 1.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ = 15.7 Hz, 27H, tBu), 1.21/1.18 (each m, each 1H, ${}^{Me}CH_{2}$)¹, 1.23/ 1.18 (each m, each 1H, 4-CH₂)¹, 1.14 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂)¹, 0.81 (m, 3H, CH₃) [¹ from ghsqc experiment]. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 298 K): δ 38.0 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 27.1 Hz, *t*Bu), 33.3 (^{CH}CH₂), 32.5 (C4), 30.9 (C3), 30.3 (*t*Bu), 30.2 (br, B-CH), 23.2 (^{Me}CH₂), 14.3 (CH₃) [C₆F₅ not listed]. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –132.6 (m, 4F), –140.9 (br, 1F), -143.7 (br, 1F) (o-C₆F₅), -164.6 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz), -164.9 $(t, {}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3 \text{ Hz}), -166.2 (t, {}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.3 \text{ Hz}) (each 1F, p-C_{6}F_{5}), -167.3 (m, 4F), -167.5 (m, 2F) (m-C_{6}F_{5}). {}^{11}B{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR (160 MHz, CD_{2}Cl_{2})}$ 298 K): $\delta - 19.3 (\nu_{1/2} \approx 70 \text{ Hz})$. ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –19.3 (d, ¹J_{BH} = 93.1 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.1 ($\nu_{1/2}$ ≈ 3 Hz). ³¹P NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.1 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{\rm PH} = 433.9 \ {\rm Hz}).$

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][PhCH₂CH(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂], **9c.** In a glovebox, a 50 mL glass tube equipped with a small stir bar and a Teflon screw top was charged with a solution of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-4c (30:1) (77 mg, 0.12 mmol) and tBu₃P (25 mg, 0.12 mmol) in toluene (1 mL). The yellow solution was degassed three times through a freeze–pump–thaw cycle on the vacuum/H₂ line and filled with H₂ (4 bar) at –196 °C. After the addition of H₂ the reaction tube was allowed to mix at 80 °C for 15 h. The product was precipitated from solution by adding pentane (20 mL) dropwise. The crude product was dried under vacuum and shown to be a mixture of **5c**, **9c**, and **10c** in a 4:9:2 ratio. Crystals of **9c** suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a layered C₆D₅Br/hexane solution at 25 °C and isolated in ca. 30% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for

C₃₈H₃₇BF₁₅P: C 55.63; H 4.55. Found: C 55.17, H 4.73. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ 7.37 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.6 Hz, *o*-Ph), 7.10 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, *m*-Ph), 6.95 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, *p*-Ph), 4.67 (d, 1H, ${}^{1}J_{H-P} = 434 \text{ Hz}, \text{PH}$, 4.14 (br m, 1H, CHB), 3.43 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph), 3.42 (br, 1H, BH), 1.07 (d, 27H, ${}^{3}J_{H-P} = 15.7$ Hz; tBu). ${}^{19}F$ NMR (377 MHz, C_6D_5Br , 298 K): -131.1 (m, 2F, o-B(C_6F_5)₂), -131.2 (m, 2F, $o-B(C_6F_5)_2)$, -139.5 (m, 1F, $o-C_6F_5$), -142.1 (m, 1F, $o-C_6F_5$), -162.5 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.9$ Hz, p-B(C₆F₅)₂), -162.7 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} =$ 21.1 Hz, p-B(C₆F₅)₂), -163.7 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 22.0$ Hz, p-C₆F₅), -165.3 $(m, 1F, m-B(C_6F_5)_2), -165.5 (m, 1F, m-B(C_6F_5)_2), -165.8 (m, 1F, m-B(C_6F_5)_2)$ C_6F_5), -166.2 (m, 1F, m-BC₆F₅). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ 58.5. ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ –18.7 (br, BH). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K) partial: δ 145.2 (*ipso-Ph*), 128.5 (o-Ph), 128.1 (m-Ph), 125.3 (p-Ph), 39.4 (CH₂Ph), 36.7 (${}^{1}J_{C-P}$ = 27.1 Hz, tBu), 33.4 (br, CHB), 29.3 (tBu). X-ray data: a = 37.440(3) Å, b = 9.7274(6) Å, c = 24.1227(17) Å, $\beta = 120.714(3)^{\circ}$, V = 7553.0(9) Å³, Z = 8, monoclinic, space group C2/c, 6652 observed reflections (I \geq $2\sigma(I)$, 509 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0528, wR2(all) = 0.1413, GOF = 1013

Synthesis of $[tBu_3PH][Ph(H)C = C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 10c. In a glovebox, a 50 mL glass tube equipped with a small stir bar and a Teflon screw top was charged with a solution of (E)- and (Z)-4c (30:1) (77 mg, 0.12 mmol) and tBu_3P (25 mg, 0.12 mmol) in pentane (40 mL). The reaction flask was immediately covered with aluminum foil. The bright yellow solution was degassed three times through a freeze–pump–thaw cycle on the vacuum/H₂ line and filled with H₂ (4 bar) at –196 °C. After the addition of H₂ the reaction tube was allowed to mix at 25 °C for 3 days. A white precipitate was observed in the reaction tube as the solution gradually became colorless. The *E* isomer of the product was isolated in 86% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₈H₃₇BF₁₅P: C 55.63; H 4.55. Found: C 55.17, H 4.73.

The same procedure above was repeated without covering the reaction tube, yielding a white precipitate, which was isolated in 88% yield and proved to be a mixture of the *E* and *Z* isomers.

Resonances common to both *E* and *Z* isomers: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.12 (m, 2H, *m*-Ph), 7.03 (m, 1H, *p*-Ph), 5.10 (d, 1H, ¹J_{H-P} = 431 Hz, PH), 1.67 (d, 27H, ³J_{H-P} = 15.7 Hz, tBu). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 58.2. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 148.2 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 234 Hz, CF), 147.7 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 234 Hz, CF), 143.1 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 243 Hz, CF), 142.8 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 241 Hz, CF), 126.1 (*ipso*-B(C₆F₅)₂), 123.8 (tm, ²J_{C-F} = 23 Hz, *i*-C₆F₅), 37.6 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 26.8 Hz, tBu), 29.9 (tBu).

E isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.49 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.74 Hz, *o*-Ph), 6.63 (s, 1H, C(H)=), 3.90 (br q, 1H, ¹J_{H-B} = 90.8 Hz, BH). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -131.1 (m, 4F, *o*-B(C₆F₅)₂, -141.7 (m, 2F, *o*-C₆F₅), -163.7 (t, 1F, ³J_{F-F} = 20.7 Hz, *p*-C₆F₅), -164.5 (t, 2F, ³J_{F-F} = 20.0 Hz, *p*-B(C₆F₅)₂, -166.7 (m, 2F, *m*-C₆F₅), -167.6 (m, 2F, *m*-B(C₆F₅)₂, ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -21.9 (d, ¹J_{B-H} = 90.8 Hz, BH). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 140.2 (*ipso*-Ph), 136.1 (Ph(H)C=), 129.2 (*o*-Ph), 126.9 (*m*-Ph), 125.2 (*p*-Ph).

Z isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 6.92 (d, 2H, ³J_{H-H} = 7.74 Hz, *o*-Ph), 6.54 (s, 1H, C(H)=), 3.35 (br q, 1H, ¹J_{H-B} = 90.8 Hz, BH). ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -131.1 (m, 4F, *o*-B(C₆F₅)₂), -141.3 (m, 2F, *o*-C₆F₅), -163.3 (t, 1F, ³J_{F-F} = 21.4 Hz, *p*-C₆F₅), -164.3 (t, 2F, ³J_{F-F} = 19.8 Hz, *p*-B(C₆F₅)₂), -165.8 (m, 2F, *m*-C₆F₅), -167.5 (m, 4F, *m*-B(C₆F₅)₂). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -19.4 (d, ¹J_{B-H} = 90.8 Hz, BH);. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 140.3 (*ipso*-Ph), 133.4 (Ph(H)C=), 128.2 (*m*-Ph), 127.8 (*o*-Ph), 125.8 (*p*-Ph).

Synthesis of $[tBu_3PH][tBu(H)C = C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 10d. A similar procedure to that mentioned above for compound 9a was carried out by using starting material 4d to yield 10d as a white powder (73 mg, 91%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis were grown from a CH₂Cl₂/pentane (v/v = 1:3) solution after 12 d at -35 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₉BF₁₅P + 3/4CH₂Cl₂: C, 51.20; H, 4.73. Found: C, 51.07; H, 4.47. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 5.40 (br s, 1H, =CH), 5.17 (d, ¹J_{PH} = 431.3 Hz, 1H, PH), 3.00 (1:1:1:1 q, ¹J_{BH} = 93.1 Hz, 1H, BH), 1.63 (d, ³J_{PH} = 15.7 Hz, 27H, tBuP), 0.80 (s, 9H, tBu).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 143.1 (=CH), n.o. (=CB), 38.0 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 26.8 Hz, tBuP), 34.7 (tBu), 30.32 (tBu), 30.31 (tBuP) [C₆F₅ not listed]. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –130.9 (br m, 4F, o), -165.0 (t, ³J_{FF} = 20.3 Hz, 2F, p), -168.0 (m, 4F, m) (BC₆F₅), -140.9 (br m, 2F, o), -164.9 (t ³J_{FF} = 21.4 Hz, 1F, p), -167.0 (m, 2F, m) (C₆F₅). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –18.8 (ν_{1/2} ≈ 50 Hz). ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –18.8 (d, ¹J_{EH} = 91.4 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.6 (dm, ¹J_{PH} ≈ 433 Hz). X-ray data: *a* = 10.2586(3) Å, *b* = 12.1826(5) Å, *c* = 16.1736(5) Å, *α* = 89.894(3)°, *β* = 77.848(2)°, *γ* = 71.794(4)°, *V* = 1872.7(1) Å³, *Z* = 2, triclinic, space group PĪ, 5818 observed reflections (*I* ≥ 2*σ*(*I*)), 496 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0729, wR2(all) = 0.2201, GOF = 1.152.

Synthesis of $[tBu_3PH][Et_2C=C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 10e. Compound 4e (28 mg, 0.047 mmol) and tBu_3P (9 mg, 0.047 mmol) were dissolved in C_6D_5Br (0.5 mL) in a Teflon cap sealed J-Young tube. The brown solution was degassed three times through a freeze-pump-thaw cycle on the vacuum/ H_2 line and filled with H_2 (4 bar) at -196 °C. After the addition of H₂ the reaction tube was allowed to sit in an 80 °C oil bath for 16 h. To isolate the salt, the reaction mixture was layered with hexane and placed in -40 °C freezer overnight to yield 98% of a white precipitate. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a layered dichloromethane/hexane solution at -40 °C. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₂H₂₉BF₁₅P: C 54.15, H 4.92. Found: C 54.62, H 4.92. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ 4.73 (d, 1H, ¹J_{H-P} = 436 Hz, PH), 4.00 (br, 1H, BH), 2.48 (m, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, CH₂), 2.03 (m, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.3$ Hz, CH₂), 1.07 (d, 27H, ${}^{3}J_{H-P} = 16$ Hz, fBu), 1.00 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.6$ Hz, CH₃), 0.97 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.3$ Hz, CH₃). 19 F NMR (377 MHz, CH₃) C_6D_5Br , 298 K): $\delta - 129.7$ (br, 4F, o-B(C_6F_5)₂, -139.2 (br, 2F, o- C_6F_5), -163.4 (t, 1F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 21.6$ Hz, $p-C_{6}F_{5}$), -163.7 (t, 2F, ${}^{3}J_{F-F} = 20.5$ Hz, $p-C_{6}F_{5}$) $B(C_6F_5)_2)$, -166.0 (m, 2F, m- C_6F_5), -166.4 (m, 4F, m- $B(C_6F_5)_2$). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ 57.7 (PH, ¹J_{H-P} = 436 Hz). ¹¹B NMR (128 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K): δ –21.0 (br, BH). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 298 K) partial: δ 148.4 (dm, ¹J_{C-F} = 233 Hz, CF), 148.3 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 236 Hz, CF), 146.2 (Et₂C=), 143.3 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 239 Hz, CF), 137.4 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 243 Hz, CF), 137.2 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 247 Hz, CF), 136.6 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$ = 245 Hz, CF), 36.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C-P}$ = 27.1 Hz, tBu), 29.2 (tBu), 26.6 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 13.2 (CH₃), 12.4 (CH₃). X-ray data: a = 8.8564(10) Å, b = 12.5788(15) Å, c = 17.423(2) Å, $\alpha =$ $81.339(5)^{\circ}, \beta = 79.730(5)^{\circ}, \gamma = 73.098(6)^{\circ}, V = 1817.3(4) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 2,$ triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, 6402 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 489 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0507, wR2(all) = 0.1169, GOF = 1.004.

Synthesis of $[tBu_3PH][(C_3H_7)_2C=C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 10f. A similar procedure to that mentioned above for the synthesis of compound 9a was carried out by using the starting material 4f to yield 10f as a white powder (63 mg, 76%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis were grown from a CH₂Cl₂/cyclopentane (v/v = 1:5) solution of 10f at -35 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{38}H_{43}BF_{15}P$: C, 55.22; H, 5.24. Found: C, 54.76; H, 5.11. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 5.19 (d, ¹*J*_{PH} = 432.0 Hz, 1H, PH), 3.42 (br, 1:1:1:1 q, ¹*J*_{BH} ≈ 92 Hz, 1H, BH), 2.15 (m, 2H, =CH₂^A), 1.76 (m, 2H, =CH₂^B), 1.65 (d, $^{3}J_{PH} =$ 15.7 Hz, 27H, PtBu), 1.29 (m, 2H, CH₂^A), 1.27 (m, 2H, CH₂^B), 0.71 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, CH₃^B), 0.70 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, CH₃^A). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (151 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 144.2 (= C^{Pr}), 132.6 (br, =CB)¹ 38.0 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 27.7$ Hz, tBu), 36.5 (=CH₂^B), 36.0 (=CH₂^A), 30.3 (*t*Bu), 21.6 (CH₂^B), 21.3 (CH₂^A), 14.7 (CH₃^A), 14.5 (CH₃^B) $[C_6F_5 \text{ not}]$ listed; ¹ from GHMBC experiment]. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta - 131.2$ (br m, 4F, o), -165.4 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz, 2F, p), -168.0 (m, 4F, m) (B C₆F₅), -140.5 (br m, 2F, o), -165.2 (t, ³J_{FF} = 21.0 Hz, 1F, p), -167.5 (m, 2F, m) (C₆F₅). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ −21.6 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 50$ Hz). ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ −21.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{BH} \approx 91$ Hz). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (242 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.6 $(\nu_{1/2} \approx 3 \text{ Hz})$. ³¹P NMR (242 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.6 (dm, ¹J_{PH} \approx 432 Hz). X-ray data: a = 13.2049(3) Å, b = 16.7532(4) Å, c = 17.8062(6)Å, $\beta = 98.306(2)^{\circ}$, V = 3897.85(18) Å³, Z = 4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, 6021 observed reflections ($I \ge 2\sigma(I)$), 513 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0415, wR2(all) = 0.1103, GOF = 1.047.

Synthesis of $[tBu_3PH][Ph_2C=C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 10g. A solution of 4g (69 mg, 0.1 mmol) and tBu_3P (20 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (1 mL), and the reaction mixture was kept under a

hydrogen atmosphere of 60 bar (by using an autoclave system) and heated at 80 °C for a period of 24 h. To isolate the salt, the reaction mixture was layered with heptane and placed in a -35 °C freezer overnight to yield a pale brown precipitate. The supernatant was decanted, and washing the residue with pentane twice $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and drying in vacuo afforded 10g as a white powder (65 mg, 72%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis were grown from a CH₂Cl₂/ heptane (v/v = 1:4) solution of 10g at room temperature. Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₃₉BF₁₅P: C, 59.08; H, 4.39. Found: C, 58.81; H, 4.36. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.29 (m, 2H, o-Ph^B), 7.04 (m, 4H, m.o-Ph^A), 6.97 (m, 2H, m-Ph^B), 6.96 (m, 1H, p-Ph^A), 6.89 (m, 1H, p-Ph^B), 5.11 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PH}$ = 429.6 Hz, 1H, PH), 3.62 (br, 1:1:1:1 q, ${}^{1}J_{HB} \approx$ 93 Hz, 1H, BH), 1.65 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ = 15.9 Hz, 27H, tBu). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (151 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): n.o. (=CB), 147.2 (*i*-Ph^A), 145.4 (=C^{Ph}), 145.0 (*i*-Ph^B), 129.8 (*o*-Ph^B), 128.8 (*o*-Ph^A), 127.7 (*m*-Ph^A), 126.8 $(m-Ph^{B})$, 125.7 $(p-Ph^{A})$, 125.4 $(p-Ph^{B})$, 38.1 $(d, {}^{1}J_{PC} = 26.8 \text{ Hz}, tBu)$, 30.4 $(tBu) [C_{6}F_{5} \text{ not listed}]. {}^{19}F{}^{1}H} \text{NMR} (564 \text{ MHz}, CD_{2}Cl_{2}, 298 \text{ K}):$ δ -130.7 (br m, 4F, o), -165.3 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 20.2 Hz, 2F, p), -168.3 (m, 4F, m) (BC_6F_5) , -140.0 (m, 2F, o), -163.8 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.1$ Hz, 1F, p), -167.3 (m, 2F, m) (C_6F_5) . ¹¹B $\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –21.5 $(\nu_{1/2} \approx 50 \text{ Hz})$. ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -21.5 $(d_{1}^{-1}J_{BH} \approx 91 \text{ Hz})$. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 60.0 $(\nu_{1/2} \approx 4 \text{ Hz})$. ³¹P NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 60.0 (dm, ¹J_{PH} \approx 430 Hz). X-ray data: *a* = 13.3052(2) Å, *b* = 15.4057(4) Å, *c* = 20.3224(5) Å, V = 4165.60(16) Å³, Z = 4, orthorhombic, space group $P2_12_12_1$, 6975 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 567 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0352, wR2(all) = 0.0858, GOF = 1.052

Synthesis of [tBu₃PH][(MeC₆H₄)₂C=C(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂], 10h. A similar procedure to that mentioned above for the preparation of compound 10g was carried out using the starting material 4h to yield 10h as a white powder (76 mg, 81%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{46}H_{43}BF_{15}P$: C, 59.88; H, 4.70. Found: C, 59.59; H, 4.41. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 7.13 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H, *o*-tol^A), 6.90 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.0 Hz 2H, $o-tol^{B}$), 6.83 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, $m-tol^{B}$), 6.77 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H, *m*-tol^A), 5.15 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PH} = 430.7$ Hz, 1H, PH), 3.57 (br, 1:1:1:1 q, ${}^{1}J_{HB} \approx 92$ Hz, 1H, BH), 2.17 (s, 3H, CH₃ tol^B), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH₃ tol^A), 1.65 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm PH} = 15.8$ Hz, 27H, tBu). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (151 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 145.4 ($=C^{tol}$), n.o. (=CB), 144.4 (*i*-tol^B), 142.4 (*i*-tol^A), 135.1 (*p*-tol^B), 134.9 (p-tol^A), 129.7 (o-tol^A), 128.7 (o-tol^B), 128.3 (m-tol^B), 127.3 (mtol^A), 38.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 25.2$ Hz, tBu), 30.4 (tBu), 21.1 (CH₃^B), 20.9 (CH_3^A) [C₆F₅ not listed]. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (564 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -130.7 (br m, 4F, o), -165.6 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.3$ Hz, 2F, p), -168.4 (m, 4F, m) (BC₆F₅), -140.1 (m, 2F, o), -164.1 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.2$ Hz, 1F, p), -167.4 (m, 2F, m) (C_6F_5) . ¹¹B $\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –21.5 $(\nu_{1/2} \approx 60 \text{ Hz})$. ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –21.5 (d, ¹J_{BH} ≈ 89 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.8 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 5$ Hz). ³¹P NMR (243 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ 59.8 (dm, ¹J_{PH} \approx 430 Hz).

Synthesis of $[HC(CH_2CH_2)_3NH][tBu(H)C=C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 11d. A solution of 4d (59 mg, 0.1 mmol) and quinuclidine (11 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred 24 h under a hydrogen atmosphere of 60 bar (by using the autoclave system). Pentane (10 mL) was added, after which the supernatant was decanted. The residue was then dried in vacuo to afford 11d as a white powder (64 mg, 91%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystal structure analysis were grown from a CH_2Cl_2 /pentane (v/v = 1:3) solution of 11d at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 6.82 (br, 1H, NH), 5.90 (s, 1H, =CH), 2.75 (br, 1:1:1:1 q, ¹J_{BH} \approx 82 Hz, 1H, BH), 2.19 (m, 6H, NCH₂), 1.03 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.84 (m, 1H, CH), 0.60 (m, 6H, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ 145.1 (=CH), n.o. (=CB), 46.9 (NCH₂), 35.0 (*t*Bu), 30.4 (*t*Bu), 22.0 (CH₂), 18.1 (CH) [C₆F₅ not listed]. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ –131.2 (br m, 4F, o), -161.2 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 20.5 Hz, 2F, p), -165.5 (m, 4F, m) (B C₆F₅), -140.8 (br m, 2F, o), -161.6 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 21.4 Hz, 1F, p), -165.3 (m, 2F, m) (C₆F₅). ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ -17.8 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 40$ Hz). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ –17.8 (d, ¹J_{BH} \approx 81 Hz). X-ray data: a = 9.5401(3) Å, b = 19.9821(6) Å, c = 17.8109(5) Å, $\beta = 97.067(2)^\circ$, V = 3369.52(17) Å³, Z = 4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, 4846 observed reflections $(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$, 503 refined parameters, R1 = 0.0576, wR2(all) = 0.1690, GOF = 1.109.

Synthesis of [N(CH₂CH₂)₃NH][*t***Bu(H)C=C(C₆F₅)BH(C₆F₅)₂], 12d. A solution of 4d (59 mg, 0.1 mmol) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO, 11 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred 24 h under a hydrogen atmosphere of 60 bar (by using the autoclave system). Pentane (10 mL) was added, after which the supernatant was decanted. The residue was then dried** *in vacuo* **to afford 12d as a white powder (61 mg, 86%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, THF-***d***₈, 298 K): δ 8.95 (***s***, 1H, NH), 5.43 (***s***, 1H, =CH), n.o. (BH), 3.03 (***s***, 12H, CH₂), 0.80 (***s***, 9H,** *t***Bu). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, THF***d***₈, 298 K): δ 143.1 (=CH), n.o. (=CB), 46.3 (CH₂), 35.9 (***t***Bu), 30.6 (***t***Bu) [C₆F₅ not listed]. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, THF-***d***₈, 298 K): δ −130.5 (br m, 4F,** *o***), −166.6 (t, ³***J***_{FF} = 20.1 Hz, 2F,** *p***), −169.3 (m, 4F,** *m***) (B C₆F₅), −140.6 (m, 2F,** *o***), −166.4 (t, ³***J***_{FF} = 20.8 Hz, 1F,** *p***), −168.4 (m, 2F,** *m***) (C₆F₅). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, THF-***d***₈, 298 K): δ −18.7 (***ν***_{1/2} ≈ 50 Hz). ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, THF-***d***₈, 298 K): δ −18.7 (d, ¹***J***_{BH} ≈ 92 Hz).**

Synthesis of $[N(CH_2CH_2)_3NH][(C_3H_7)_2C=C(C_6F_5)BH(C_6F_5)_2]$, 12f. A solution of 4f (62 mg, 0.1 mmol) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2] octane (DABCO, 11 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred 24 h under a hydrogen atmosphere of 60 bar (by using the autoclave system). Pentane (10 mL) was added, after which the supernatant was decanted. The residue was then dried in vacuo to afford 12f as a white powder (59 mg, 79%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂ 298 K): δ 10.75 (br, 1H, NH), n.o. (BH), 3.14 (s, 12H, CH₂), $2.09 (m, =CH_2^A), 1.78 (m, =CH_2^B), 1.29 (m, CH_2^A), 1.25 (m, CH_2^A)$ $0.71 (t, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_{3}^{\text{B}}), 0.67 (t, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_{3}^{\text{A}}).$ ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): δ 144.4 (= C^{Pr}), 132.1 (br, =CB)¹, 45.3 (CH₂), 36.4 (=CH₂^B), 36.2 (=CH₂^A), 21.7 (CH₂^B), 21.2 (CH₂^A), 14.8 (CH_3^A) , 14.5 (CH_3^B) $[C_6F_5$ not listed; ¹ from GHMBC experiment]. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –131.8 (br m, 4F, o), -164.4 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 20.2$ Hz, 2F, p), -167.3 (m, 4F, m) (BC₆F₅), -140.1 (br, 2F, o), -164.3 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 21.1$ Hz, 1F, p), -167.0 (m, 2F, m) (C_6F_5) . ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ -21.6 ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 50$ Hz). ¹¹B NMR (192 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): δ –21.6 (d, ¹J_{BH} \approx 88 Hz).

General Procedure for Catalytic Hydrogenation Reactions. *Imines*. (a) Reactions at 5 bar of H₂: In a glovebox, a 100 mL glass bomb equipped with a small stir bar and Teflon screw top was charged with imine (1 mmol), catalyst (0.05 mmol), and dry toluene (2.5 mL). The reaction bomb was degassed three times through a freeze-pump-thaw cycle on the vacuum/H₂ line and filled with H₂ (4 bar) at -196 °C. The flask was then sealed and warmed to room temperature. The reaction was placed in a preheated oil bath set to 120 °C (\hat{H}_2 pressure: ca. 5 bar). Aliquots were withdrawn at 12 h intervals, and the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. (b) Reactions at 110 bar of H₂: In a glovebox, a series 4560 mini benchtop Parr Instrument reactors was equipped with six 20 mL vials each containing a small stir bar. The vials were charged with the imine, catalyst, and toluene and loosely capped. The reactor was assembled in the glovebox. The reactor was taken out of the box and connected to a hydrogen line. The line was purged five times with hydrogen from a gas purifier cartridge (Matheson type 452), and then the reactor was purged five times before filling with dihydrogen (behind a blast shield). The reactor was heated with an oil bath to 120 °C for 20 min to equilibrate, and then the H₂ pressure was increased to 110 bar. Aliquots were taken in a glovebox after venting and rapid cooling. Identification of the amine products was by comparison of ¹H NMR spectra with literature values.

Enones. Alkenylborane (4) (0.025 mmol) and 1,4diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (2.8 mg, 0.025 mmol) were dissolved in C_6D_6 (2 mL), enone (15) (0.5 mmol) was added, and the light yellow solution was stirred for 48 h under a hydrogen atmosphere of 10 bar at 80 °C by using an autoclave system. The resulting solution was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy before and after completion of the reaction. The sample contains a mixture of enone (15) and ketone (16). Afterward the reaction was quenched with 2 mL of pentane (normal pentane with moisture), and then all of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Pure ketone was isolated by silica gel chromatography.

X-ray Data Collection and Reduction. (Münster) Crystals were coated in FOMBLIN Y oil, mounted on a glass fiber, and placed under an N_2 stream, thus maintaining a dry, O_2 -free environment for each crystal. The data were collected on Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometers,

both with APEXII detectors. In the case of Mo radiation a rotating anode generator equipped with Montel mirrors was used. The frames were integrated with the DENZO-SMN software package²⁶ including absorption corrections²⁷ using the empirical multiscan method.

Structure Solution and Refinement. (Toronto) Non-hydrogen atomic scattering factors were taken from the literature tabulations.² The heavy atom positions were determined using direct methods employing the SHELXTL direct methods routine.²⁹ The remaining nonhydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix leastsquares techniques on F^2 , minimizing the function $w(F_0 - F_c)^2$ where the weight w is defined as $4F_o^2/2\sigma(F_o^2)$, where F_o and F_c are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes, respectively. In the final cycles of each refinement, all non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors in the absence of disorder or insufficient data. In the latter cases atoms were treated isotropically. C-H atom positions were calculated and allowed to ride on the carbon to which they are bonded assuming a C-H bond length of 0.95 Å. H atom temperature factors were fixed at 1.10 times the isotropic temperature factor of the C atom to which they are bonded. The H atom contributions were calculated, but not refined. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities in each case were of no chemical significance. Additional details are provided in the Supporting Information.

Structure Solution and Refinement. (Münster). Non-hydrogen atomic scattering factors were taken from the literature tabulations.² The heavy atom positions were determined using direct or Patterson methods employing the SHELXS routine.²⁹ The remaining nonhydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix leastsquares techniques on F^2 employing the SHELXL routine. In the final cycles of each refinement, all non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors in the absence of disorder or insufficient data. In the latter cases atoms were treated isotropically. C-H atom positions were calculated and allowed to ride on the carbon to which they are bonded assuming C-H bond lengths between 0.94 and 0.99 Å depending on the type of the carbon atom. H atom temperature factors were fixed at 1.20 or 1.50 times the isotropic temperature factor of the C atom to which they are bonded. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities in each case were of no chemical significance. Additional data for the structural studies are deposited.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Synthetic, experimental, and crystallographic details are deposited. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca; erker@uni-muenster.de. Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged (G.E.). J.S.R. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Fellowship. D.W.S. is grateful for the financial support of NSERC of Canada and the award of a Canada Research Chair.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Stephan, D. W.; Greenberg, S.; Graham, T. W.; Chase, P.; Hastie, J. J.; Geier, S. J.; Farrell, J. M.; Brown, C. C.; Heiden, Z. M.; Welch, G. C.; Ullrich, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12338–12348. (b) Erker, G. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 7475-7483. (c) Erker, G. Organometallics 2011, 30, 358-368. (d) Erker, G. C. R. Chim. 2011, 14, 831-841. (e) Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8526-8533. (f) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46-76. (g) Stephan, D. W. Dalton Trans. 2009, 3129-3136. (h) Stephan, D. W. Org. Biomol. Chem 2008, 6, 1535-1539.

(2) (a) Ullrich, M.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2010,
29, 3647–3654. (b) Erker, G. Dalton Trans. 2005, 1883–1890.
(c) Massey, A. G.; Park, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 245–250.
(d) Massey, A. G.; Stone, F. G. A.; Park, A. J. P. Chem. Soc. London 1963,
212–213.

(3) (a) Chapman, A. M.; Haddow, M. F.; Wass, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18463–18478. (b) Chapman, A. M.; Haddow, M. F.; Wass, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8826–8829. (c) Appelt, C.; Westenberg, H.; Bertini, F.; Ehlers, A. W.; Slootweg, J. C.; Lammertsma, K.; Uhl, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3925–3928. (d) Ménard, G.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8396–8399. (e) Schafer, A.; Reismann, M.; Schafer, A.; Saak, W.; Haase, D.; Muller, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12636–12638. (f) Ménard, G.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1796–1797. (g) Frey, G. D.; Lavallo, V.; Donnadieu, B.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. Science 2007, 316, 439–441.

(4) (a) Harhausen, R.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2012, 31, 2810–2809. (b) Bertini, F.; Lyaskovskyy, V.; Timmer, B. J. J.; De Kanter, F. J. J.; Lutz, M. E., A. W.; Slootweg, J. C.; Lammertsma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 201-204. (c) Stute, A.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4288-4290. (d) Rosorius, C.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2011, 30, 4211-4219. (e) Chen, D. J.; Wang, Y. T.; Klankermayer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9475-9478. (f) Schwendemann, S.; Tumay, T. A.; Axenov, K. V.; Peuser, I.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1067-1069. (g) Neu, R. C.; Ouyang, E. Y.; Geier, S. J.; Zhao, X. X.; Ramos, A.; Stephan, D. W. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 4285-4294. (h) Ramos, A.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1118-1120. (i) Ullrich, M.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 52-53. (j) Jiang, C. F.; Blacque, O.; Berke, H. Organometallics 2009, 28, 5233-5239. (k) Geier, S. J.; Gilbert, T. M.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12632-12633. (1) Spies, P.; Schwendemann, S.; Lange, S.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7543-7546. (m) Huber, D. P.; Kehr, G.; Bergander, K.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G.; Tanino, S.; Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5279-5284. (n) Chen, D. J.; Klankermayer, J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2130-2131. (o) Chase, P. A.; Welch, G. C.; Jurca, T.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8050-8053.

(5) (a) Erös, G.; Nagy, K.; Mehdi, H.; Pápai, I.; Nagy, P.; Király, P.; Tárkányi, G.; Soós, T. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 574-585. (b) Lu, Z.; Cheng, Z.; Chen, Z.; Weng, L.; Li, Z. H.; Wang, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 12227-12231. (c) Schwendemann, S.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1842-1849. (d) Jiang, C. F.; Blacque, O.; Fox, T.; Berke, H. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2117-2124. (e) Sumerin, V.; Chernichenko, K.; Nieger, M.; Leskela, M.; Rieger, B.; Repo, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2093-2110. (f) Theuergarten, E.; Schluns, D.; Grunenberg, J.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Jones, P. G.; Tamm, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8561-8563. (g) Erös, G.; Mehdi, H.; Pápai, I.; Rokob, T. A.; Király, P.; Tárkányi, G.; Soós, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6559-6563. (h) Geier, S. J.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3476-3477. (i) Geier, S. J.; Gille, A. L.; Gilbert, T. M.; Stephan, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 10466-10474. (j) Axenov, K. V.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2009, 28, 5148-5158. (k) Jiang, C. F.; Blacque, O.; Berke, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5518-5520. (l) Chase, P. A.; Jurca, T.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1701-1703. (m) Sumerin, V.; Schulz, F.; Nieger, M.; Leskela, M.; Repo, T.; Rieger, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6001–6003. (n) Sumerin, V.; Schulz, F.; Atsumi, M.; Wang, C.; Nieger, M.; Leskela, M.; Repo, T.; Pyykko, P.; Rieger, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14117-14118.

(6) (a) Ines, B.; Holle, S.; Goddard, R.; Alcarazo, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8389–8391. (b) Alcarazo, M.; Gomez, C.; Holle, S.; Goddard, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5788–5791. (c) Holschumacher, D.; Taouss, C.; Bannenberg, T.; Hrib, C. G.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Jones, P. G.; Tamm, M. Dalton Trans. **2009**, 6927– 6929. (d) Chase, P. A.; Gille, A. L.; Gilbert, T. M.; Stephan, D. W. Dalton Trans. **2009**, 7179–7188. (e) Chase, P. A.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 7433–7437. (f) Holschumacher, D.; Bannenberg, T.; Hrib, C. G.; Jones, P. G.; Tamm, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 7428–7432.

(7) (a) Welch, G. C.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1880–1881. (b) Spies, P.; Erker, G.; Kehr, G.; Bergander, K.; Fröhlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2007, 5072–5074.

(8) (a) Zhao, X.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12448–12450. (b) Voss, T.; Sortais, J. B.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2011, 30, 584–594. (c) Voss, T.; Chen, C.; Kehr, G.; Nauha, E.; Erker, G.; Stephan, D. W. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 3005–3008.

(9) (a) Tanur, C. A.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 3652–3657. (b) Liedtke, R.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 5222–5232. (c) Mömming, C. M.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. **2011**, 47, 2006–2007. (d) Dureen, M. A.; Brown, C. C.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 6594–6607. (e) Dureen, M. A.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 8396–8397. (f) Mömming, C. M.; Frömel, S.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 12280–12289. (g) Jiang, C. F.; Blacque, O.; Berke, H. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 125–133.

(10) (a) Mömming, C. M.; Kehr, G.; Wibbeling, B.; Fröhlich, R.; Schirmer, B.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2414–2417. (b) Chen, C.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3580–3582. (c) Ullrich, M.; Seto, K. S. H.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2335–2337.

(11) Mömming, C. M.; Kehr, G.; Wibbeling, B.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564.

(12) (a) Mömming, C. M.; Otten, E.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6643– 6646. (b) Peuser, I.; Neu, R. C.; Zhao, X. X.; Ulrich, M.; Schirmer, B.; Tannert, J. A.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G.; Stephan, D. W. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9640–9650. (c) Zhao, X.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1833–1835.

(13) Otten, E.; Neu, R. C.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9918–9919.

(14) Cardenas, A. J. P.; Culotta, B. J.; Warren, T. H.; Grimme, S.; Stute, A.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, *50*, 7567–7571.

(15) Welch, G. C.; Juan, R. R. S.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W. Science **2006**, *314*, 1124–1126.

(16) Axenov, K. V.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3454–3455.

(17) (a) Farrell, J. M.; Heiden, Z. M.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2011, 30, 4497–4500. (b) Heiden, Z. M.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5729–5731. (c) Geier, S. J.; Chase, P. A.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4884–4886. (d) Chase, P. A.; Welch, G. C.; Jurca, T.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9136– 9136.

(18) Wang, H. D.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5966–5968.

(19) Mahdi, T.; Heiden, Z. M.; Grimme, S.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4088-4091.

(20) Xu, B. H.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Wibbeling, B.; Schirmer, B.; Grimme, S.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 7183–7186.

(21) (a) Kehr, G.; G., E. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1839–1850.
(b) Wrackmeyer, B. Heteroat. Chem. 2006, 17, 188–208. (c) Wrackmeyer, B. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 145, 125–156.

(22) (a) Chen, C.; Voss, T.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 62–65. (b) Chen, C.; Eweiner, F.; Wibbeling, B.; Fröhlich, R.; Senda, S.; Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K.; Grimme, S.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. *Chem.– Asian J.* 2010, *5*, 2199–2208. (c) Chen, C.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 13594–13595.

(23) (a) Caputo, C. B.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics **2012**, 31, 27– 30. (b) Welch, G. C.; Prieto, R.; Dureen, M. A.; Lough, A. J.; Labeodan, O. A.; Holtrichter-Rossmann, T.; Stephan, D. W. Dalton Trans. **2009**, Article

1559–1570. (c) Welch, G. C.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 478–480.

(24) (a) Borisenko, A. A.; Sergeyev, N., M.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. *Mol. Phys.* **1971**, 22, 715–719. (b) Fluck, E.; Binder, Z. Z. *Naturforsch.* **1967**, 22, 805–808.

(25) (a) Cabrera, M.; Simoens, M.; Falchi, G.; Lavaggi, M. L.; Piro, O. E.; Castellano, E. E.; Vidal, A.; Azqueta, A. M.; Cerain, A. L.; Sagrera, G.; Seoane, G.; Cerecetto, H.; Gonzalez, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *15*, 3356–3367. (b) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3029–3069. (c) Kobayashi, S.; Ishitani, H. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 1069–1094.

(26) Otwinowski, Z.; Minor, W. Methods Enzymol. 1997, 276, 307–326.

(27) Otwinowski, Z; Borek, D.; Majewski, W.; Minor, W. Acta Crystallogr. 2003, A59, 228–234.

(28) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. Int. Tables X-Ray Crystallogr. 1974, 4, 71–147.

(29) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112-122.

(b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-TL; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2000.

(c) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467-473.