

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 11 (2001) 973-976

Discovery and SAR of Diarylsulfide Cyclopropylamide LFA-1/ICAM-1 Interaction Antagonists

James T. Link,* Bryan Sorensen, Gang Liu, Zhonghua Pei, Edward B. Reilly, Sandra Leitza and Greg Okasinski

Metabolic Disease Research, Abbott Laboratories, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL 60064, USA

Received 28 December 2000; accepted 5 February 2001

Abstract—Diarylsulfide cyclopropylamides were synthesized and evaluated as LFA-1/ICAM-1 interaction antagonists. A substituent pattern was identified which maximized potency and minimized protein binding as exemplified by antagonist **30** (IC₅₀=5 nM). \odot 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Figure 1.

Cell adhesion is the process by which leukocytes are recruited to a site of injury.^{1–3} The process is mediated by adhesion molecules on circulating leukocytes and on vascular endothelial cells. The interaction between leukocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) on leukocytes and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM-1) on endothelial cells is critical to the adherence of leukocytes prior to extravasation from the vasculature into the surrounding tissue. Inhibition of the LFA-1/ICAM-1 interaction would presumably suppress this early step of the inflammatory response. An inhibitor could prove useful for the treatment or prophylaxis of inflammatory diseases, autoimmune diseases, tumor metastasis, allograft rejection, and reperfusion injury.

Early LFA-1/ICAM-1 interaction antagonists were mAb based due to the difficulty of identifying small molecule protein–protein interaction antagonists.^{4–6} Recently, four different classes of small molecule antagonists have been identified,^{7–10} including *trans*-cinnamide diarylsulfides **1** from our laboratories (Fig. 1).¹¹ Initially, we were concerned about the potential metabolic sensitivity of the diarylsulfide cinnamide antagonists and incubated one analogue with rat and human liver microsomes. This study indicated the cinnamide diarylsulfide was susceptable to cinnamide isomerization followed by degradation. Although it ultimately proved unneccessary to modify the cinnamide, a number of cinnamide replacements were surveyed

*Corresponding author. Fax: +1-847-938-1674; e-mail: james.link@ abbott.com

including the diarylsulfide *trans*-cyclopropylamides **2**. Of the potential replacements surveyed, the cyclopropylamides were the most potent in vitro. In this paper, we present some of the *trans*-cyclopropylamide SARs and related observations which allowed us to advance our program.

The diarylsulfide cyclopropylamides were prepared as outlined in Scheme 1. Benzenethiols, such as 2-bromobenzenethiol **3**, were added to 4-halo- or 4-trifloxybenzaldehydes, like 5,¹² under basic conditions to provide diaryl sulfide aldehydes **6**. Conversion of the aldehydes to the cinnamic esters **7** was accomplished by treatment with (ethoxycarbonylmethyl)triphenyl-phosphonium chloride. Cyclopropane formation utilizing trimethylsulfoxonium iodide yielded the *trans*-cyclopropyl esters **8**. Hydrolysis (**8**–**9**) and amide formation protocols, illustrated with amines like 1-(3-aminopro-





0960-894X/01/\$ - see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0960-894X(01)00105-6



Scheme 1. (a) Tf₂O, pyr, 0°C \rightarrow rt, 1 h; (b) *i*-Pr₂NEt, CH₃CN, 25 min, 74%; (c) EtO₂CCH₂PPh₃Cl, NaH, THF, 2 h, 85%; (d) Me₃SOI, NaH, DMSO, 12 h, 65%; (e) NaOH, THF, EtOH, H₂O, 98%; (f) 1-(3-aminopropyl)-2-pyrrolidinone, EDAC, HOBt, DMF, rt, 95%; (g) ethyl nipecotate, Pd₂(dba)₃, (±)-BINAP, NaO*t*-Bu, tol, 80°C, 12 h, 84%; (h) NaOH, THF, EtOH, H₂O, 97%.

Table 1. Diarylsulfide cyclopropylamide SAR 12-18

pyl)-2-pyrrolidinone, yielded diarylsulfide cyclopropyl cinnamides **10**. Further modification using Buchwald/ Hartwig aryl halide amine coupling protocols led to piperidine substituted analogues like **11**.¹³ Resolution of intermediates by preparative HPLC on chiral columns was accomplished at either the cyclopropyl ester or amide stages depending upon the ease of the individual separation. Parallel synthesis allowed for rapid optimization of both the amide and piperidine regions of these analogues.

Like the cinnamides 1,¹¹ diarylsulfide cyclopropamides **2** are most potent when R¹ is in the *ortho* or *meta* position (relative to the arylthio group) and R² and R³ are small and electron withdrawing (Fig. 1). One potent series is obtained when R¹ is isopropyl and R² and R³ are C1 (Table 1). Variation of the amide portion of the molecule revealed that a number of different groups (R⁴ and R⁵) are tolerated. The preferred substitutent at this position is 1-(3-aminopropyl)-2-pyrrolidinone as illustrated by **12a**, which gave an IC₅₀ of 7 nM in an LFA-1/ICAM-1 binding assay.¹⁴ Each *trans*-cyclopropylamide enantiomer of the diaryl sulfide core was evaluated independently. One cyclopropane antipode was consistantly more potent than the other (column 3 vs column 6). The more potent antipode was evaluated more thoroughly and an IC₇₀ and IC₈₀ were determined.

Compound	R	No serum IC ₅₀ (nM) ^{a,b}	No serum IC ₇₀ (nM) ^{a,b}	No serum IC ₈₀ (nM) ^{a,b}	Enantiomer no serum IC ₅₀ (nM) ^{a,c}			
12a,b	H N	7	40	63	6			
13a,b	_≒ NCO₂Н	12	50	95	33			
14a,b	H Yy N CO ₂ H	8	26	54	34			
15a,b	,, N, CO₂H	7	16	9	26			
16a,b ^d	Żţ ^N CO₂H	13	55	110	33			
17a,b ^d	λ. N CO ₂ H	6	13	25	17			
18a,b	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9	24	54	21			

ÇI ÇI

^aValues are means of two experiments.

^b*trans*-Cyclopropylamide antipode **a**.

^ctrans-Cyclopropylamide antipode b.

^d1:1 mixture of diastereomers.

In order to ensure the agents had appropriate water solubility for in vivo utility it was necessary that they contain an acid. A number of amino acids were surveyed (13–18) and both straight chain and cyclic amino acids were tolerated.

A cell based adhesion assay, which measured the ability of the antagonists to block the adherence of LFA-1 expressing JY-8 cells to immobilized ICAM-1, was used to confirm functional activity in vitro.¹⁴ Among the compounds in Table 1, β -amino acid **14a** was the most potent antagonist in this assay giving an IC₅₀ value of 150 nM (*n*=2).

Table 2. Diarylsulfide cyclopropylamide SAR 19-26



One region where we found substituent polarity could be varied was in the R^1 position. Racemic *trans*-cyclo-

.CO₂Et

-CO²

100

50

R	No serum IC ₅₀ , nM ^a	Compound	R	No serum IC ₅₀ , nM ^a				
∑ N 	32	23	CO ₂ Et	115				
	40	24		620				

25^b

26^b

 \cap

CF₃

15

200

^aValues are means of two experiments.

^bMixture of diastereomers.

Compound

19

20

21

22

Table 3. Diarylsulfide cyclopropylamide SAR 16a and 27-30



Compound	No serum			50% FBS		
	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^a	IC ₇₀ (nM) ^a	IC ₈₀ (nM) ^a	IC ₅₀ (nM)	IC ₇₀ (nM)	IC ₈₀ (nM)
16a	13	55	110	1480	3520	8820
27 ^b	15	26	40	33	120	210
28 ^b	10	21	26	29	116	199
29°	31	97	142	640	2100	3200
30 ^c	5	10	19	27	89	133

^aValues are means of two experiments.

^bMixture of two *trans*-cyclopropylamide diastereomers differing in the configuration of the 3-carboxypiperidine stereocenter of the antagonists. ^cSingle diastereomer derived from **28**.

propylamides **19–26** were synthesized in parallel. Pyrrolidinyl and piperidinyl analogues (**19** and **20**, respectively) had reasonable potency, which was improved upon by morpholine analogue **21**, which gave an IC₅₀ value of 15 nM in an LFA/ICAM assay (Table 2). Placement of more polar substituents chosen to potentially improve water solubility at various positions around the ring resulted in less potent analogues (**22–25**). However, the racemic mixture of diastereomers **26** gave an IC₅₀ quite close to that of the unsubstituted piperidine **20** indicating an acid could be placed at this position.

Moving to a more potent series and varying R^1 substituents a group of potent antagonists with reduced protein binding affinity was identified. Palladium-mediated coupling of ethyl nipecotate and hydrolysis of each enantiomer of *trans*-cyclopropylamide 10 gave 27 and 28, respectively, each as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers. Both diastereomeric mixtures 27 and 28 show good activity in the presence of serum in the LFA-1/ICAM-1 binding assay and represent a significant improvement over the profile observed for 16a (Table 3). Diastereomeric mixture 28 was separated into its components **29** and A-324920 (**30**). Carboxypiperidine A-324920 (**30**) represented an advance for our program identifying a substituent pattern which delivered potency while minimizing protein binding. In the absence of serum, A-324920 (30) gave an IC₅₀ of 5 nM in the LFA-1/ICAM-1 binding assay while in the presence of 50% FBS an IC₅₀ of 27 nM was obtained. The 5-fold reduction in potency was a vast improvement over previous analogues like 16a, which showed a greater than two orders of magnitude reduction. In the JY-8/ICAM-1 cellular adhesion assay A-324920 (30) gave an IC_{70} of 9 nM in the absence of serum. In the presence of 50% serum an IC_{50} of 50 nM and an IC₇₀ of 200 nM were measured.

The pharmacokinetics of **28** in rats at 5 mpk po was measured. Although the bioavailability (F = 27%), half life ($t_{1/2}$ = 1.2 h), and maximum observed concentration in the bloodstream (C_{max} = 0.35 µg/mL) were not optimal, the results suggested that further refinement of closely related structures could potentially yield a compound with more desireable properties.

Lead modification of *trans*-cinnamide **1** led to the identification of *trans*-cyclopropylamides **2**. SAR studies indicated that potent LFA-1/ICAM-1 *trans*-cyclopropylamides could be made. Further optimization identified analogues with improved protein binding profiles as exemplified by antagonist A-324920 (**30**) ($IC_{50} = 5 \text{ nM}$).

Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply indebted to Mike Fitzgerald for HPLC resolution of several diarylsulfide cyclopropanes. Dean Hickman is thanked for performing liver microsome experiments and Kennan Marsh and Bach-Nga Nguyen are thanked for pharmacokinetic studies.

References and Notes

- 1. Springer, T. A. Nature 1990, 346, 425.
- 2. Hynes, R. O. Cell 1992, 69, 11.
- 3. Carlos, T. M.; Harlan, J. M. Blood 1994, 84, 2068.
- 4. Cornejo, C. J.; Winn, R. K.; Harlan, J. M. Adv. Pharmacol. 1997, 39, 135.
- 5. Hourmant, M.; Le Mauf, B.; Le Meur, Y.; Dantal, J.; Cantarovich, D.; Giral, M.; Caudrelier, P.; Albericci, G.; Soulilou, J. P. *Transplantation* **1994**, *58*, 377.
- 6. Nakakura, E. K.; Shorthouse, R. A.; Zheng, B.; McCabe, S. M.; Jardieu, P. M.; Morris, R. E. *Transplantation* **1996**, *62*,
- M.; Jardieu, P. M.; Morris, R. E. Transplantation 1996, 62, 547.
 Gammill, R. B.; Vandervelde, S.; Mitchell, M. A.; Nugent, R.
- A. WO 99/20617 and WO 99/20618, 1999; *Chem. Abstr.* 1999, 130, 704.
- 8. Kelly, T. A.; Bormann, B. J.; Frye, L. L.; Wu, J.-P. WO 9839303, 1998; Chem. Abstr. 1998, 129, 752.
- 9. Kelly, T. A.; Jeanfavre, D. D.; McNeil, D. W.; Woska, J. R., Jr.; Reilly, P. L.; Mainolfi, E. A.; Kishimoto, K. M.; Nabozny, G. H.; Zinter, R.; Bormann, B. J.; Rothlein, R. J. *Immunol.* **1999**, *163*, 5173.
- 10. Bauer, W.; Cottens, S.; Geyl, D.; Weitz, S. G.; Kallen, J.; Hommel, U. WO 9911258, 1999; *Chem. Abstr.* **1999** *130*, 676. 11. Liu, G.; Link, J. T.; Pei, Z.; Reilly, E. B.; Leitza, S.;
- Nguyen, B.; Marsh, K. C.; Okasinski, G. F.; von Geldern, T. W.; Ormes, M.; Fowler, K.; Gallatin, M. *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 4025.
- 12. Bicking, J. B.; Holtz, W. J.; Watson, L. S.; Cragoe, E. J. J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 530.
- 13. For a recent review see Yang, B. H.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Organomet. Chem. **1999**, 576, 125.
- 14. The procedures for the LFA-1/ICAM-1 binding assay, the ICAM-1/JY-8 cell adhesion assay, and pharmacokinetic analyses are reported in ref 11.