# Chemical Science

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Veatch and E. Alexanian, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0SC02178D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the <u>Information for Authors</u>.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemical-science

View Article Online

View Journal

# **Chemical Science**

# EDGE ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 22 June 2020. Downloaded on 6/22/2020 2:34:48 PM



## **Cobalt-Catalyzed Aminocarbonylation of (Hetero)Aryl Halides Promoted by Visible Light**

Alexander M. Veatch<sup>a</sup> and Erik J. Alexanian<sup>\*a</sup>

The catalytic aminocarbonylation of (hetero)aryl halides is widely applied in the synthesis of amides but relies heavily on the use of precious metal catalysis. Herein, we report an aminocarbonylation of (hetero)aryl halides using a simple cobalt catalyst under visible light irradiation. The reaction extends to the use of (hetero)aryl chlorides and is successful with a broad range of amine nucleophiles. Mechanistic investigations are consistent with a reaction proceeding via intermolecular charge transfer involving a donor-acceptor complex of the substrate and cobaltate catalyst.

## Introduction

Carbonylative transformations of (hetero)aryl halides are widely utilized across chemical synthesis for the preparation of functionalized aromatics.<sup>1</sup> The aminocarbonylation of (hetero)aryl halides is a prototypical example in the class, first reported by Heck in 1974 using palladium catalysis.<sup>2</sup> The significant value of this transformation in amide synthesis has since led to the development of a range of novel palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylations of broad scope.<sup>3</sup> While often efficient, the use of expensive palladium catalysts and phosphine ligands in these reactions is suboptimal. The continued lack of catalytic systems for aminocarbonylation featuring inexpensive, first-row metals hampers further application of this important synthetic process.<sup>4</sup>



Fig. 1. Cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylations of sp<sup>2</sup> C–X electrophiles.

Metal carbonyl complexes (e.g., Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>) have been shown to catalyze carbonylative transformations of (hetero)aryl halides using phase-transfer catalysis or visible light promotion, most notably in alkoxycarbonylations.<sup>5</sup> In these reactions, the strongly basic reaction conditions are proposed to generate tetracarbonyl cobaltate  $(Co(CO)_4^{-})$  in situ as the active catalyst.<sup>6</sup> However, the requirement of alkoxides presents a challenge in the use of other nucleophiles in this manifold.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, there is scant precedent for intermolecular aminocarbonylation using cobalt catalysis (Figure 1).<sup>5a</sup> Moreover, the mechanistic details concerning the activation of the (hetero)aryl substrate by the cobaltate catalyst remain unknown.<sup>5c</sup>

We have recently developed a mild system for the generation of cobaltate ion from  $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$  for the catalytic carbonylative functionalization of alkyl electrophiles.<sup>7</sup> We hypothesized that this system could also unlock a general, cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylation of (hetero)aryl electrophiles. Herein, we report the successful development of such a process using a broad range of electrophiles–including (hetero)aryl chlorides–and diverse amine nucleophiles. Initial mechanistic studies support a visible light-promoted electron-transfer of a donor-acceptor complex involving the substrate and cobaltate in the critical activation step.

### **Results and discussion**

Our studies commenced with the aminocarbonylation of 1bromo-4-methoxybenzene (1) using piperidine as the nucleophile. The use of simple carbonyl complex  $Co_2(CO)_8$  in conjunction with 2 equiv of tetramethylpiperidine (TMP) under visible light irradiation (390 nm LEDs) delivered the desired aminocarbonylation product in virtually quantitative isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). As expected, the substitution of 10 mol % of a cobaltate catalyst was equally effective (entry 2). The use of either  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$  or  $CoCl_2$  instead of  $Co_2(CO)_8$  was not effective in the aminocarbonylation (entries 3 and 4). Switching from violet LEDs (390 nm) to either blue LEDs (427 nm), ambient light, or dark conditions significantly lowered the yield (entries 5-7). The use of a balloon of CO (1 atm) instead of 2 atm CO was suboptimal, although the reaction proceeded in 58% yield (entry 8). Decreasing the reaction time to 20 h led to incomplete

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Department of Chemistry, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterization data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Science Acce

#### Journal Name

conversion (entry 9). Control experiments indicated that removing TMP lowered reaction efficiency (entry 10), and no reaction took place in the absence of  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (entry 11).

 Table 1. Cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylation of 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene with piperidine.

MeO	Br 1	+ HN 5 mol % Co <sub>2</sub> (CO) <sub>8</sub> 2 atm CO 390 nm LEDs 2 equiv TMP <i>t</i> -amyl-OH, 48 h	мео
	entry	variation from standard conditions above	yield (%) <sup>a</sup>
	1	none	99 <sup>b</sup>
	2	10 mol % K[Co(CO) <sub>4</sub> ] instead of Co <sub>2</sub> (CO) <sub>8</sub>	99
	3	10 mol % Mn2(CO)10 instead of Co2(CO)8	<2
	4	10 mol % CoCl2 instead of Co2(CO)8	<2
	5	427 nm LEDs instead of 390 nm LEDs	10
	6	ambient light, 50 °C instead of 390 nm LEDs	<2
	7	no light instead of 390 nm LEDs	<2
	8	1 atm CO (balloon) instead of 2 atm CO	58
	9	20 h instead of 48 h	73
	10	no TMP	69
	11	no Co <sub>2</sub> (CO) <sub>8</sub>	<2

Reactions were performed with  $[1]_0 = 0.40$  M. <sup>*o*</sup>Yields determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of crude reaction mixture using an internal standard. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield.

A diverse array of (hetero)aryl and vinyl electrophiles are excellent substrates for the aminocarbonylation, as demonstrated in Figure 2 using piperidine as a representative nucleophile. Aminocarbonylations of electron-rich and electronically neutral aryl electrophiles proceed in good to quantitative yields across a range of substitution patterns, as demonstrated by the reactions of substrates **1-14**. Aryl triflates react comparably to aryl bromides, as indicated by the quantitative aminocarbonylation of substrate **8**. For this substrate and a few others irradiation at  $370_{vid}$  m<sub>rtis</sub>lightly improved reaction efficiency. Electron-poor any browneds are also good coupling partners in the aminocarbonylation (substrates **15-18**), with the decreased yield of aryl nitrile **18** attributed to undesired reactivity of the nitrile functionality. Cyclooctenyl bromide **19** and (*E*)-(2-bromovinyl)benzene **20** delivered aminocarbonylation products in 94% and 50% yield (76:24 *E:Z*), respectively, indicating the viability of vinyl halide substrates.

We additionally surveyed several classes of common heterocyclic bromides, with the aminocarbonylations proceeding in good to excellent yields. Bromopyridines (substrates **21-23**), 3-bromofuran (**24**), and 6-bromoquinoline (**25**) all delivered the corresponding amide products in good yield. We also examined the reactions of a set of bromo(aza)indoles (substrates **26-28**) which were similarly successful. We additionally performed the aminocarbonylation of 1-bromo-4-methoxybenzene (**1**) on a one-gram scale in 96% isolated yield with no change in reaction conditions. In short, Figure 2 (top) clearly indicates that the cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylations of (hetero)aryl and vinyl bromides proceed with notable efficiency across a broad range of important substrates.

Owing to their wider availability and lower cost than other electrophiles, (hetero)aryl chlorides are attractive substrates for carbonylative processes. These substrates also pose significant limitations in palladium-catalyzed carbonylations, as the presence of CO is known to present significant challenges in the catalytic activation of these substrates.<sup>1a,3a</sup> We next explored the use of (hetero)aryl chlorides in the aminocarbonylation (Figure 2, bottom). While the overall levels



Fig. 2. Aminocarbonylation of diverse (hetero)aryl electrophiles. Yields are of isolated product unless otherwise noted. <sup>a</sup>10 mol % Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> used as catalyst. <sup>b</sup>10 mol % Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> used as catalyst under 370 nm LEDs. <sup>c</sup>Cyclohexylamine used as the nucleophile, reaction time of 24 h. <sup>d</sup>Substrate HCl salt used, 3 equiv of TMP used as base. <sup>e</sup>20 mol % Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> used as catalyst, reaction time of 144 h.

#### **Journal Name**

of reactivity were lower than that of bromides, promising results were obtained across several substrates. The aminocarbonylation of chlorobenzene (29) proceeded in moderate yield (44%) after an extended reaction time and an increase in catalyst loading, while the reaction of 2chloronapthalene (30) proceeded under standard conditions in good yield (80%). The aminocarbonylations of electron-poor aryl chlorides were similar to their bromide counterparts (substrates 31-33), whereas reactions of electron-rich aryl chlorides gave poor conversions and are a current limitation likely owing to higher reduction potentials. As expected, heteroaryl chlorides also reacted efficiently, as 2-chloropyridine (34) and 2-chloropyrazine (35) delivered aminocarbonylation products in 84% and 59% yield, respectively.



diverse amine nucleophiles. "Reaction time of 24 h. b10 mol % Co2(CO)8 used as catalyst with ammonium carbamate as nucleophile in the presence of 4Å molecular sieves. <sup>c</sup>10 mol % KCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> used as catalyst with 4.0 equiv of KOH as nucleophile

We continued by evaluating the scope of the amine nucleophiles permissible in the aminocarbonylation, which was also quite broad. Cyclic and acyclic secondary amines afforded benzamides 36-38 in good to excellent yield. Primary amines were also useful coupling partners, as demonstrated by the synthesis of products 39-44 using a diverse set of common amine nucleophiles. We have also obtained a promising preliminary result indicating the potential for the preparation of primary amides using our system. Aminocarbonylation using ammonium carbamate as an in situ source of ammonia produced amide 45 in moderate yield (46%). Notably, the catalytic carbonylative coupling of (hetero)aryl electrophiles with ammonia remains a significant challenge in catalysis, with few successful systems to date even involving precious palladium catalysis with tuned phosphine ligands.<sup>3a,8</sup> Finally, we also achieved preliminary results for alkoxycarbonylation and carboxylation using substrate 1 with only minor changes. The alkoxycarbonylation proceeded in 69% yield using 2,2,2trifluoroethanol with Ph<sub>3</sub>SiCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> as catalyst, and the carboxylation used KOH as the nucleophile with  $KCo(CO)_4$  as catalyst to deliver benzoic acid 46 in 82% yield (see Supporting DOI: 10.1039/D0SC02178D Information for further details).



Fig. 4. UV-vis absorption spectra of bromobenzene and KCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> in THF with [10  $\mu$ M].

Despite the clear potential for cobalt catalysis in the carbonylative functionalization of sp<sup>2</sup> C-X electrophiles, the mechanistic details of this class of transformations remain obscure. While previous studies have speculated that photochemical charge transfer of a donor-acceptor complex involving the electrophile and  $Co(CO)_4^-$  could be involved, there is no experimental evidence for such a step.<sup>5c</sup> In order to gain insight into this key step, we performed UV-vis spectroscopic measurements on bromobenzene, KCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> (used as a proxy for cobaltate formed in situ under our reaction conditions, and also a viable catalyst-see Table 1, entry 2), and the combination of the two in THF at 10  $\mu$ M of the cobaltate ion. As clearly indicated in Figure 4, we observed an increase in absorbance ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 275 nm) upon mixing the two species; this peak is proposed to arise from the absorption of a donoracceptor complex formed from the bromobenzene and the cobaltate, providing support for such a complex in the lightpromoted activation via cobaltate catalysis.

[Co(CO)

PhBr

 $\xrightarrow{\mathsf{R}_2\mathsf{NH}} [\mathsf{Co}(\mathsf{CO})_3(\mathsf{R}_2\mathsf{NH})]^{\textcircled{\bullet}} [\mathsf{Co}(\mathsf{CO})_4]^{\textcircled{\bullet}}$ 

R<sub>2</sub>NH + CO

 $Co_{\alpha}(CO)_{\alpha}$ 



mechanistic proposal for А the cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylation is depicted in Scheme 1. Octacarbonyldicobalt first disproportionates to the cobaltate anion by addition of the amine or TMP.<sup>9</sup> The active cobaltate next coordinates to the (hetero)aryl or vinyl electrophile, followed by a reversible light-promoted charge transfer of the donor-acceptor complex. Loss of the halide (or triflate) through an S<sub>RN</sub>1 mechanism leads to a radical pair which recombines in

Co(CO)

Ph

#### **Journal Name**

the solvent cage to form a (hetero)aryl or vinyl cobalt species.<sup>5b,10</sup> This intermediate undergoes CO migratory insertion to generate an acylcobalt which is then substituted by the amine nucleophile to deliver the product and regenerate the catalyst.

#### Conclusions

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 22 June 2020. Downloaded on 6/22/2020 2:34:48 PM

In conclusion, we have developed a visible-light promoted, cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylation of diverse sp<sup>2</sup> C-X electrophiles. The broad scope of the reaction extends to the use of (hetero)aryl and vinyl bromides, chlorides, and triflates with a range of amine nucleophiles, including an ammonia surrogate. The overall scope of the cobalt-catalyzed aminocarbonylation rivals that of the common phosphineligated, palladium-catalyzed methods, and proceeds at low CO pressure, ambient temperatures, and uses common visible light LEDs. Our mechanistic studies provide evidence for the important role of a donor-acceptor complex between cobaltate and (hetero)aryl electrophiles with implications beyond the present work. We anticipate that the notable practicality of this inexpensive cobalt-based catalytic system will prove enabling in carbonylative catalysis. Ongoing efforts will continue to develop carbonylative transformations for synthesis featuring anionic metal catalysis.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Award No. R35 GM131708 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. We thank the UNC Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Core Laboratory for assistance with MS analysis, supported by the National Science Foundation under award number CHE 1726291. We thank Brendon Sargent (University of North Carolina—Chapel Hill) for assistance with optimization and helpful discussions. We also thank Carla Morton (UNC—CH) for assistance with UV-vis spectroscopic measurements and Xander Deetz (UNC—CH) for assistance with calculation of the donor-acceptor binding constant.

#### Notes and references

- (a) A. Brennführer, H. Neumann, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, **48**, 4114–4133; (b) C. L. Allen, J. M. Williams, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, **40**, 3405–3415; (c) S. T. Gadge, B. M. Bhanage, RSC Adv. 2014, **4**, 10367–10389; (d) L. W. Hernandez, J. Pospech, U. Klöckner, T. W. Bingham, D. Sarlah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, **139**, 15656–15659; (e) R. J. Atkins, A. Banks, R. K. Bellingham, G. F. Breen, J. S. Carey, S. K. Etridge, J. F. Hayes, N. Hussain, D. O. Morgan, P. Oxley, et al. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2003, **7**, 663–675.
- 2 A. Schoenberg, R. F. Heck, J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 3327–3331.
- 3 (a) J. Y. Wang, A. E. Strom, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 7979–7993; (b) J. R. Martinelli, D. A. Watson, D. M.

M. Freckmann, T. E. Barder, S. L. Buchwald, *J. org. Chem.* 2008, **73**, 7102–7107; (c) C. F. J. Barnard, *Org. Org. Optical Mass* 2008, **27**, 5402–5422; (d) S. D. Friis, T. Skrydstrup, S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett.* 2014, **16**, 4296–4299; (e) S. N. Gockel, K. L. Hull, *Org. Lett.* 2015, **17**, 3236–3239.

- For aminocarbonylations not involving simple amine coupling partners (aryl carbamoylation), see: (a) X.-P. Wen, Y.-L. Han, J.-X. Chen, RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 45107–45112; (b) C. M. Lindsay, D. A. Widdowson, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1988, No. 3, 569; (c) N. Alandini, L. Buzzetti, G. Favi, T. Schulte, L. Candish, K. D. Collins, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 5248–5253.
- 5 (a) J.-J. Brunet, C. Sidot, B. Loubinoux, P. Caubère, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2199–2202; (b) J.-J. Brunet, C. Sidot, P. Caubère, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1013–1016; (c) J.-J. Brunet, C. Sidot, P. Caubère, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1166– 1171; (d) J. Marchal, J. Bodiguel, Y. Fort, P. Caubère, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 8336–8340; (e) R. Vanderesse, J. Marchal, P. Caubère, Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 1361–1370.
- J.-J. Brunet, C. Sidot, P. Caubère, J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 204, 229–241.
- 7 B. T. Sargent, E. J. Alexanian, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, **58**, 9533–9536.
- 8 (a) X. Qi, H.-J. Ai, C.-X. Cai, J.-B. Peng, J. Ying, X.-F. Wu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 7222–7225; (b) A. Schnyder, M. Beller, G. Mehltretter, T. Nsenda, M. Studer, A. F. Indolese, *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, **66**, 4311–4315.
- 9 (a) P. L. Pauson, J. P. Stambuli, T.-C. Chou, B.-C. Hong, Octacarbonyldicobalt. In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*; 2014; pp 1–26; (b) J. Guo, H. D. Pham, Y.-B. Wu, D. Zhang, X. Wang, *ACS Catal.* 2020, **10**, 1520–1527.
- 10 J. F. Bunnett, Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 413-420.