ASYMMETRIC REDUCTION OF FARNESOL

Marc JULIA^{*} and Pierre ROY

Laboratoire de Chimie, Ecole Normale Supérieure 24, Rue Lhomond, 75321, PARIS cedex 05 FRANCE

(Received in France 4 July 1986)

<u>Abstract</u>: Asymmetric hydroboration-oxidation followed by reductive deoxygenation has been used to bring about the asymmetric hydrogenation of simple trisubstituted olefins. This technique has been illustrated by the preparation of (R)-(-)-6,7,10,11-tetrahydrofarnesol.

The hydrogenation of non-proximal double bonds is an important aspect of the biosynthesis of some higher acyclic terpenes. Prominant examples are the incorporation of geranylgeraniol (GG) into tocopherols^{1a} or chlorophyll^{1b}. In a previous paper² advantage was taken of the different reactivities of the various double bonds in the geranyl, farnesyl and geranylgeranyl acetate (di-, tri- and tetra-prenol respectively) to carry out the selective hydrochlorination of the non -proximal double bond(s). Hydrogenolysis of the halogen led to the desired hydrogenated products. This route although practically convenient does not lead itself to an asymmetric hydrogenation. The synthesis of optically active compounds of this type has been actively pursued in recent years, particularly the side chain of vitamin E^3 . To the best of our knowledge, no partial hydrogenation of higher polyprenols has been described.

The asymmetric hydrogenation of carbon to carbon double bonds has been extensively investigated and considerable progress has been made⁴. Catalytic hydrogenation has proved extremely efficient when the double bonds carry some substituents such as NHR, COOR..., but this is not so with simple double bonds. Ionic hydrogenation⁵ with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and optically active hydrogenosilane has led to a very small <u>ee</u>. Asymmetric epoxidation of double bonds has been efficiently achieved⁶ with allylic alcohols. This would however be of no help in our problem. Asymmetric epoxidation of simple double bonds has been improving steadily⁷. However reduction of two sites including the sensitive one could be necessary.

Asymmetric hydroboration was potentially useful. When this work was started, it had led to fairly high induction. The <u>ee</u> was usually measured on the alcohol produced by oxidation of the borane⁸, whereas we intended to use the <u>ee</u> induced on the other carbon atom of the original double bond which should be just as good.



We did not expect to remove the boron from the intermediate borane by selective protonation⁹ of the desired alkyl residue without affecting the allylic function. However, after the well exemplified hydroboration-oxidation of the double bond, reductive removal of the oxygen function should be possible without modifying the chirality of the adjacent saturated carbon atom. In polyprenols, the hydroboration was expected to take place on the non-proximal double bond¹⁰. Although cyclic hydroboration of 1,5-dienes can lead to interesting remote stereocontrol^{3†}, it is known to involve the proximal double bond in geranyl derivatives^{10,11,12}. We therefore could not use monoisopinocampheyl borane (IpcBH₂^{8C}) which had led to very high <u>ee</u> in the hydroboration of trisubstitued double bonds. Since diisopinocampheyl borane does not give good results with such olefins, we turned to the readily available limonyl borane <u>3</u> (limBH^{8C}) to investigate the feasability of this approach. We selected as a first target tetrahydrofarnesol.

Whereas protonolysis of borane needs fairly severe conditions⁹, the conversion into halides or alcohols is easy. We selected the well known hydrogen peroxide oxidation to obtain the alcohol.

Preliminary hydroboration-oxidation of citronellol <u>la</u> gave the expected diol <u>2a</u>. The primary alcohol function was acetylated much more rapidly than the secondary one. The byproducts <u>2g</u> and <u>2f</u> are easily recycled. Monoacetate <u>2b</u> was then treated with tosyl chloride in pyridine to give in high yield the acetate tosylate <u>2c</u>. Lithium aluminium hydride (LAH) reduction of <u>2c</u> gave tetrahydrogeraniol <u>2d</u> in high yield. It proved essential to use a clear solution of LAH filtered through celite¹³.

With geraniol <u>4b</u> itself or its butyl ether <u>4a</u>, the best conditions found involved addition of limBCl and Me₂S to a solution of olefin and LAH in ether. After the usual oxidation with hydrogen peroxide and sodium hydroxide, the mixture was separated by flash-chromatography. The desired diol <u>6a</u>¹⁴, and <u>5a</u> respectively, was readily separated from the starting material. In some runs, small amounts of the isomeric glycol <u>8b</u>¹⁵ (<u>8a</u> respectively) or the diastereoisomeric triol <u>9b</u>¹² (<u>9a</u> respectively) were isolated. The enantiomeric purity of the hydroboration-oxidation products <u>5a</u> and <u>6a</u> was determined by esterification with *a*-methoxy-*a*-trifluoromethyl phenyl acetyl chloride (MTPACl¹⁶) and HPLC analysis of the diastereoisomeric mixture formed. The values of the <u>ee</u> found were 52% for 5a and 50% for <u>6a</u>.

The next target was tetrahydrofarnesol <u>12</u>; before embarking on the partial hydrogenation of farnesol, we needed an authentic sample of the optically active tetrahydrofarnesol of known enantiomeric purity for comparison purposes (Scheme II). (R)-(+)-citronellol was converted into the corresponding phenyl sulfone <u>lc</u> via its tosylate¹⁷ <u>2h</u>. The reduction of the double bond was carried out with Et_3SiH -TFA-LiClO₄ as in the previous paper² to give (R)-tetrahydrogeranyl sulfone <u>2e</u>. This was metalated with butyl lithium and condensed with 4-bromo-3-methyl-2-buten-l-yl acetate <u>10</u> (kindly provided by Dr Ferezou). Reductive desulfonylation of the sulfonyl acetate <u>11</u> was carried out with sodium amalgam in methanol to give tetrahydrofarnesol <u>12</u> which should have the same optical purity as the starting citronellol (<u>ee</u> 80%).



The farnesol 13 was then exposed to the hydroboration conditions. After oxidation, the desired diastereoisomeric triol 14 formed by double hydroboration was isolated in 31% yield together with the starting material (17%), a mixture of isomeric diols 18a and 18b (15%) formed by reaction at one of the non proximal double bonds and some (5%) of the triple hydroboration-oxidation product 17. Monoacetylation of to primary alcohol function of 14 to give 15a was less selective (45%) than in the geraniol series, but the side products 15b and 15c can be easily recycled. Ditosylation of 15a to 16a was carried out with a yield of 47%, with some byproducts: uncomplete tosylation (16b and 16c); elimination (19a and 19b). Hydrogenolysis of the two tosyloxy groups in 16a with lithium aluminium hydride in THF gave tetrahydrofarnesol 12 in a 50% yield. The optical purity was 53 + 12%. the absolute configuration was (R), as in the authentic sample prepared from (R)-citronellol.

It was thus possible to carry out asymmetric hydrogenation of the middle double bond of farnesol. The optical purity was about the same as that observed in the hydroboration of the distal double bond of geraniol. It is the best which could be expected with LimBH. While this work being completed, an extremely efficient chiral hydroboration reagent were described¹⁸; <u>ee</u> as high as 95% being obtained with trisubstituted olefins. This should allow the very efficient asymmetric reduction of internal double bond of farnesol and geranylgeraniol.

SCHEME III





EXPERIMENTAL

The spectroscopic instrumentation included ¹H NMR (PMR) Brucker WH 80 (80 MHz) and Cameca 250 (250 MHz), in CDCl₃ solution . & Value are given in PPM with respect to Me₄Si and coupling constant in Hertz (s: singlet; d: doublet; t: triplet; q: quadruplet; m: multiplet). IR: Perkin-Elmer 599, either neat or in CHCl₃. Values are given in cm⁻¹; S: strong; m: medium; w: weak. Mass spectra: Varian Mat CH 9, and for the GLC-Mass measurement: Ribermag with capillary column; CI: chemical ionisation; EI: electronic impact. GLC: Girdel 30, column: OV 101 10% (4m) or capillary column: SE 52, integrator intersmat minigrator and Hewlet packard HP 3380A respectively. TLC was carried out on Merck plates (5735, plastic sheet, silica gel 60F254, thickness 0.2 mm). Flash chromatography (FC)¹⁹ was carried out with silica gel (Merck 7736), eluted with a mixture of pentane:ether:ethyl acetate. In several case, the amount of material available did not allowed the combustion analysis (microanalytical laboratories of the université Pierre et Marie Curie to whom our thanks are due).

Geraniol were purchased from Fluka. Butyl geranyl ether was kindly provided by Dr C. Schmitz. $\underline{t}, \underline{t}$ -farnesol was isolated from the mixture (Fluka) of isomers by MPLC (LOBAR Merck) with a C(440-37) lichoprep Si60 column (4063 μ m). (-)-LimBCl and (+)-LimBCl were prepared from (+) and (-)-limonene respectively^{8b} and converted in situ into LimBH.

Hydroboration-oxidation-reduction of citronellol.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-1,6-octanediol 2a.

Prepared by hydroboration (B_2H_6) of citronellol and oxidation¹². RM: 56067-10-0.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-1-acetoxy-6-octanol 2b.

Acetic anhydride (0.38 ml, 4 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of diol 2a (0.7 g, 4 mmol) in pyridine (3ml) and CH_2Cl_2 (10ml) at 0°C. After standing overnight at RT, the mixture was worked-up and the mono primary acetate 2b isolated by FC as a colourless oil (0.4 g, 46%). Small amounts of the other monoacetate 2g (3%) and diacetate 2f (6%) were isolated together with unchanged diol (32%). PMR(250): 0.90 (dd, J=1;7Hz,3H); 0.92 (dd, J=1;7Hz,6H); 1.1-1.7 (m,8H); 2.04 (s,3H); 3.31 (ddd, J=8.5;5;3Hz,1H); 4.03 (m,2H). IR: 3420 S; 2960-2860 S; 1720 S; 1650 w; 1450 w. MS CI(NH₂): 234 (M+18, 100); 217 (40); 199 (33). RN: 33730-43-7.

* 3,7-Dimethyl -l-acetoxy-6-p-toluenesulfonyloxy octane 2c.

The tosylate $\underline{2c}$ was prepared by addition of tosyl chloride (380mg, 2 mmol) in pyridine (0.5ml) at 0°C to a solution of hydroxy acetate $\underline{2b}$ (216 mg, 1 mmol) in pyridine (1ml). After 72 hr at 0°C the usual workup gave after FC $\underline{2c}$ (302 mg, 82%) as a colourless oil. PMR(250): 0.84 (dd, J=7;1Hz,3H); 0.86 (d, J=7Hz,6H); 1-2 (m,8H); 2.03 (s,3H); 2.42 (s,3H); 4.00 (t, J=7Hz,2H); 4.38 (dt, J=7;5Hz,1H); 7.27 (d, J=8Hz,2H); 7.63 (d, J=8Hz,2H). IR: 2960-2880 S; 1735 S; 1595 m; 1460 m. MS CI(NH₂): 388 (N+18,100).

* 3,7-Dimethyl-l-octanol 2d (tetrahydrogeraniol).

A cooled solution of LAH in THF (0.83 M, filtered through celite, 2ml) was slowly added to a solution of the above tosylate 2c (112 mg, 0.3 mmol) in THF (0.5 ml) at -10°C, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to RT overnight. Workup gave a mixture of 2d (39 mg, 82% yield by GLC) identical with an authentic sample ² and citronellol (6%).

Hydroboration-oxidation of geraniol.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-2E-octen-1,6-diol 6a.

A 20 ml flask was flame-dried and cooled under argon. Lithium aluminium hydride (57 mg, 1.5 mmol) and geraniol <u>4b</u> (154 mg, 1 mmol) in dry ether (4ml) were cooled to -30°C. A solution of LimBC1 (360 mg, 2 mmol) in Me₂S (0.243 ml, 4mmol) was then added. After 48 h standing at -30°C the temperature was allowed to rise 0°C and water (0.05 ml) and aqueous 3 M NaOH (1.5 ml) were added. 30% Hydrogen peroxide (2ml) was then slowly added and the mixture refluxed 2 h. After the usual work-up the mixture was fractionated by FC to give 32% of recovered geraniol <u>4b</u> and 46% of the desired diol <u>6a¹⁴</u>. PMR(250): 0.92 (dd, J=7;1Hz,6H); 1.4-1.7 (m,3H); 1.67 (s,3H); 2.1 (ddd, J=14;9.5;6.3Hz,1H); 2.2 (ddd, J=14;9.5;5.7Hz,1H); 3.34 (ddd,J=8.5;5;3Hz,1H); 4.12 (d, J=6.5Hz,2H); 5.43 (tq, J=6.5;1Hz,1H). IR: 3350 S; 2960-2860 S; 1710w; 1660 m; 1450 S. MS EI: M=172.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-2E-octene-1,6-diol acetate 7a.

The diol <u>6a</u> was acetylated $(Ac_2O, pyridine, CH_2Cl_2)$ as for <u>2b</u>, to give <u>7a</u> (66%) as a colourless oil. **PMR**(250): 0.91 (dd, J=7;1.5Hz,6H); 1.4-1.7 (m,3H); 1.72 (s,3H); 2.06(s,3H); 2-2.3 (m,2H); 3.35 (ddd, J=8.5,5;3Hz,1H); 4.59 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 5.39 (tq, J=7;1Hz,1H). IR: 3410 S; 2960-2860 S; 1710 S; 1660 w; 1450 S. **MS CI (NH**_3): 232 (M+18,14); 215 (1); 172 (75); 155 (100).

* Together with 11% of 3,7-dimethyl-2E-octene-1,6-diol diacetate 7b.

Colourless oil. **PWR**(250): 0.89 (d, J=6.7Hz,6H); 1.5-1.8 (m,3H); 1.68 (s,3H); 2.04 (s,6H); 2.05 (m,2H); 4.53 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 4.68 (t, J=6Hz,1H); 5.30 (tq, J=7;1Hz,1H).IR:2960-2860 S; 1735 S; 1650 w; 1465 m. **MS** CI(NH₂): 274 (M+18,100); 232 (10); 214 (50); 197 (25).

Hydroboration-oxidation of geranyl n-butyl ether.

Carried out as above with 5 mmol of <u>4a</u>. FC gave in the order of elution: recovered <u>4a</u> (5%); the unwanted isomer <u>8a</u> (10%), the desired one <u>5a</u> (22%) and the dihydroboration product <u>9a</u> (33%).

* 3,7-dimethyl-1-butoxy-6-octen-2-ol <u>8a</u>. Colourless oil. PMR(250): 0.88 (d, J=6.8Hz,3H); 0.91 (t, J=7.5Hz,3H); 1.16 (m,1H); 1.36 (m,2H); 1.54 (m,2H); 1.6 (m,2H); 1.60 (s,3H); 1.67 (s,3H); 2.0 (m,2H); 3.29 (dd, J=9.5;8.5Hz,1H); 3.44 (ddd, J=12.5;9.5;3Hz,1H); 3.45 (t, J=6.5Hz,2H); 3.58 (m,1H); 5.08 (t, J=7Hz,1H). IR: 3430 S; 2950-2850 S; 1630 w; 1450 S. MS EI: M=228. Anal.: calc. for $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$, C 73.63 H 12.36; found C 73.50 H 12.17.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-1-butoxy-2E-octen-6-ol <u>5a</u>. Colourless oil. **PMR**(250): 0.89 (dd, J=6.8;1.5Hz,6H); 0.90 (t, J=7.5Hz,3H); 1.36 (m,2H); 1.54 (m,2H); 1.3-1.7 (m,3H); 1.66 (s,3H); 2.06 (ddd,J=14;9.5;5.7Hz,1H); 2.19 (ddd,J=14;9.5;6.3Hz,1H); 3.32 (ddd, J=8.5;5;3Hz,1H); 3.39 (t, J=6.5Hz,2H); 3.95 (d, J=6.5Hz,2H); 5.37 (tq, J=6.5;1Hz,1H).IR:3400 S, 2950-2860 S; 1650 w; 1450 m. **MS** EI: M=228. **Anal**.: calc. for $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$, C 73.63 H 12.36; found, C 73.75 H 12.43.

* 3,7-Dimethyl-l-butoxy-2,6-octene diol <u>9a</u>. Colourless oil. PMR(250):0.9 (m,12H); 1.1-1.8 (m,10H); 3.2-3.6 (m,6H). IR: 3400 S; 2950-2870 S; 1460 S. MS CI(NM₃): 264 (M+18,23); 248 (60); 247 (42); 229 (100).

M. JULIA and P. ROY

Preparation of diastereoisomeric esters.

* The MTPA ester <u>5b</u> was prepared with <u>5a</u> (15 mg, 0.065 mmol), NEt₃ (0.2 ml), 4-dimethyl amino pyridine (5mg) and MTPAC1 (18mg, 1eq). The mixture was worked up after 3 h at RT. Impurities were removed by filtration through a short column of silica gel. PMR(250): 0.90 (m,9H); 1.3-1.7 (m,7H); 1.59 + 1.63 (divided s,3H); 1.83-2.10 (m,2H); 3.40 + 3.42 (divided t, J=6.5Hz,2H); 3.55 (s,3H); 3.93 + 3.96 (divided d, J=6.5Hz,2H); 4.95 (m,1H); 5.27 + 5.34 (divided t, J=7Hz,1H); 7.40 (m,3H); 7.59 (m,2H).IR: 3060 w; 3000-2840 S; 1740-1720 S; 1650 m; 1490 w; 1460-1450 m. The analysis was performed by injecting the mixture, dissolved in pentane, into a Zorbax 5 , 25 cm HPLC column and eluting with ethyl acetate 1% in isooctane, flow rate 1.5 ml/min: <u>ee</u> 52%.

* The MTPA ester mixture $\underline{7c}$ derived from $\underline{7a}$ was prepared as above. **PMR**(250): 0.90 (d, J=6.7Hz,6H); 1.5-1.7 (m,3H); 1.6 + 1.66 (divided s,3H); 1.86-2.1 (m,2H); 2.02 + 2.04 (divided s,3H); 3.54 (s,3H); 4.53 + 4.55 (divided d, J=7Hz,2H); 4.91 (m,1H); 5.20 + 5.30 (divided tq, J=7;1Hz,2H); 4.91 (m,1H); 5.20 + 5.30 (divided tq, J=7;1Hz,1H); 7.34-7.50 (m,5H).IR: 3060 w; 3000-2840 S; 1740 S; 1650 m; 1490 w; 1460-1450 m. HPLC showed an <u>ee</u> of 50%.

Preparation of authentic (R)-tetrahydrofarnesol.

* (R)-(+)-Citronellol was tosylated¹⁷. The tosylate <u>lb</u> (3 g, 9.7 mmol) and sodium benzene sulfinate in DMF were heated to 80°C overnight. The usual work-up gave unchanged tosylate (67%) and the desired sulfone <u>lc</u> (0.8 g,27%). **PMR**(80): 0.84 (d, J=6Hz,3H); 1-1.7 (m,5H); 1.56 (s,3H); 1.66 (s,3H); 1.94 (m,2H); 3.10 (t,J=7Hz,2H); 5.02 (t, J=7Hz,1H); 7.2-8 (m,5H).IR: 3000-2860 S; 1640 w; 1590 m; 1460 m.

* (R)-3,7-Dimethyl-1-benzene sulfonyl octane 2e.

Crude sulfone <u>lc</u> (0.8 g, 2.6 mmol), $LiClO_4$ (140 mg, 0.5 eq), Et_3SiH (1 ml, 2.5 eq) and TFA (0.54 ml) were heated for 3 h in 2-nitropropane at 80°C. After the usual work-up, FC gave crude tetrahydrogeranyl sulfone with an NMR spectrum identical to that of racemic component.

* 3,7,11-Trimethyl-5-benzenesulfonyl-2E-dodecen-1-yl acetate 11.

A solution of n-butyl lithium in hexane (1.52 M, 0.7 ml) was added at -78° C to a solution of sulfone <u>2e</u> (297 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (5 ml). After standing for 30 min at RT, HMPT (0.3 ml) was added and after 30 more minutes, the mixture was cooled again to -78° C then bromo acetate <u>10</u> (300 mg, 1.57 eq) was added. After 1 h at -78° C and 2 h at RT the reaction was worked up to give after FC the sulfone <u>11</u> (136 mg, 35%). **PMR**(80): 0.84 (d, J=6Hz,9H); 1-1.7 (m,10H); 1.62 (s,3H); 2.02 (s,3H); 2.03 (m,2H); 3.11 (m,1H); 4.52 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 5.34 (t, J=7Hz,1H); 7.63-7.82 (m,5H).IR: 3000-2880 S; 1740 S; 1640 w; 1580 m; 1450 m; 1300 S; 1150 S.

* (R)-3,7,11-Trimethyl-2E-dodecen-1-ol 12.

To a solution of sulfone acetate <u>11</u> (100 mg, 0.25 mmol) in methanol (2ml) was added 6% sodium amalgam (580 mg, 6 eq) and the mixture was stirred 17 h at RT. The usual workup gave after FC, (R)-tetrahydrofarnesol <u>12</u> (30 mg, 54%), $(=)^{25} = -0.59^{\circ} + 0.04^{\circ}$ (c=2.54, CHCl₃). The NMR and mass spectra were identical with those of an authentic racemic sample².

From farnesol.

* All trans farnesol 13 (671 mg, 3 mmol) was introduced in a flame dried flask under argon. LiAlH₄ (51 mg, 1.34 mmol) and dry ether (2.5 ml) were then added at -30°C followed by (-)-LimBCl (520 mg, 2.8 mmol) in Me₂S (0.4 ml). After 24 h at -30°C, (-)-LimBCl (281 mg, 1.6 mmol) in Me₂S (0.2 ml) and LAH (30 mg, 0.8 mmol) in ether (2ml) were added and the addition repeated after 24 h. After standing another 24 h at -30°C, excess LAH was hydrolysed at 0°C; aqueous sodium hydroxide (3M, 4.4 ml) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (5.8 ml) were added and the mixture refluxed for 3 h. After the usual work-up the purification by FC gave some unchanged farnesol 13 (17%), a mixture of the isomeric diols 18a and 18b (15%), the desired triol 14 (31%) and some tetraol 17.

- The mixture <u>18a</u> + <u>18b</u> was identified by the NMR and Mass spectra. Colourless oil. **PMR**(250) partial: 5.36 (t, J=7Hz, 1H); 5.12 (m, 1H); 4.07 (m, 2H); 3.33 (m, 1H).IR: 3400 S; 2980-2880 S; 1710 m; 1670 m; 1460 m. MS CI(NH₃) (Me₃Si derivatives): 402 (M'+18, 22).

- 3,7,11-Trimethy1-2E-dodecen-1,6,10-triol 14. Colourless oil. PMR(250): 0.91 (d,

J=6Hz,9H); 1.2-1.7 (m,8H); 1.67 (s,3H); 2.0-2.3 (m,2H); 2.62 (s,3H); 3.28-3.42 (m,2H); 4.12 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 5.43 (t, J=7Hz,1H). IR: 3580-3410 S; 2960-2860 S; 1650 m; 1450 S. MS CI(NH₃) (Me₃Si derivative): 402 (M'+18,22).

- 3;7,11-Trimethyl-dodecane-1,2,6,10-tetraol <u>17</u>. Colourless oil. PMR(250): 0.9 (m, 12H); 1.0-1.7 (m, 11H); 3.0-3.7 (m, 5).IR: 3400 S; 2980-2880 S; 1710 m; 1650 w; 1460 m. MS CI (NH₃) (Me₃Si derivative): 582 (M'+18, 31).

* Acetylation of <u>14</u>. To a solution of triol <u>14</u> (241 mg, 241 mmol) in pyridine (2ml) at 0°C were added portionwise Ac_20 (0.087 ml, 1 eq) in pyridine (lml). After 15 h at RT, the usual work-up and FC gave the dihydroxy acetate <u>15a</u> as a colourless oil (45%). PMR (250): 0.91 (m,9H); 1-1.7 (m,12H); 1.71 (s,3H); 1.93 (s,2H); 2.04 (s,3H); 2.24 (m,2H); 3.33 (ddd, J=8.5;5;3.5Hz,1H); 3.41 (ddd, J=9;5.5;3Hz,1H); 4.57 (d,J=7Hz,2H); 5.38 (tq, J=7;1Hz,1H).IR: 3500 S; 2980-2880 S; 1740 S; 1680 w; 1460 m.

- Together with some triol <u>14</u> (12%), a mixture of the isomeric diacetates <u>15b</u> + <u>15c</u> (10%) were also isolated (Rf 0.59 in pentane/ether:30/70). **PMR**(250) partial: 5.32 (m,1H); 4.7 (m,1H); 4.54 (m,2H); 3.40 (m,1H); 2.04 (s,6H). IR: 3500 m; 2980-2880 S; 1740 S; 1680 w; 1460 m. NS CI(NH₂)(Me₂Si derivative): 432 (M'+18,97).

* 3,7,11-Trimethy1-6,10-di-p-tosyloxy-2E-dodecen-1-yl acetate 16a.

The tosylation of <u>15a</u> was carried out as above to give the acetate ditosylate <u>16a</u> (45%) as a middle fraction of a FC. **PMR**(250): 0.80 (m,9H); 1-1.7 (m,12H); 1.61 (s,3H); 1.78 (m,2H); 2.05 (s,3H); 2.42 (s,3H); 2.43 (s,3H); 4.37 (m,2H); 4.54 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 5.22 (t, J=7Hz,1H); 7.31 (m,2H); 7.75 (m,2H).IR: 2960-2880 S; 1735 S; 1670 w; 1590 m.

- The first fraction of the FC (Rf 0.46 in pentane/ether:70/30) showed vinylic protons indicating that elimination had taken place (10%). The last fraction contained the isomeric acetate monotosylate <u>16b</u> and <u>16c</u> (10%) (Rf 0.51 in pentane/ether: 30/70). **PMR**(250) partial: 7.74 (d, J=8Hz,2H); 7.28 (d, J=8Hz,2H); 5.37 (t, J=7Hz,1H); 4.57 (d, J=7Hz,2H); 4.42 (m,1H); 3.30 (m,1H); 2.42 (s,3H); 2.04 (s;3H).

* The ditosylate <u>16a</u> (122 mg) was treated as above to give tetrahydrofarnesol (22 mg, 50%) $(\alpha)_{25}^{=}$ -0.31° + 0.05° (c=1.97, CHCl₂). The optical purity is therefore 53 + 12%.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: Our thanks are due to the Ecole Polytechnique and to the DRET who financially supported this work and to the CNRS (LA 32) where this work was carried out.

REFERENCES

- 1 a) C.D. Poulter and H.C. Rilling, Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds, J.W. Porter and S.L. Surgeon Ed., vol. 1, p. 413, Wiley, New York (1983).b) Ibid., J.F. Pennock and D.R.Threlfall, vol. 2, p. 191. c) D.J. Creighton, J. Hadju, D.S. Sigman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>,4619 (1976).
- 2 M. Julia and P. Roy, preceding paper.
- (a) J.W.K. Burell, R.F. Garwood, L.M. Jackman, E. Oskay, B.C.L. Weedon, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 2144 (1966).(b) K.K. Chan, A.C. Specian Jr, J.P. Denoble, N. Cohen, G. Saucy, J. Org; Chem., <u>41</u>, 3497 (1976). K.K. Chan, A.C. Specian Jr, G. Saucy, ibid, <u>43</u>, 3435 (1978).(c) L. Ahlquist, G. Bergström, C. Liljenberg, Prog. Chem. fats other Lipids, vol. 16, 231 (1978).(d) N. Cohen, C.G. Scott, C. Neukom, R.J. Lopresti, G. Weber, G. Saucy, Helv. Chim. Acta, <u>64</u>, 1158 (1981).(e) N. Cohen, W.F. Eichel, R.J. Lopresti, C. Neukom, G. Saucy, J. Org. Chem., <u>41</u>, 3505,3512 (1976). N. Cohen, R.J. Lopresti, C. Neukon, G. Saucy, ibid, <u>45</u>, 582 (1980). C.T. Goodhue, J.R. Schaeffer, Biotechnol. Bioeng., <u>13</u>, 203 (1971).(f) C. Fuganti, P. Grasselli, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., <u>995</u> (1979).(g) H.G.W. Leuenberger, W. Boguth, R. Barner, M. Schmid, R. Zell, Helv. Chim. Acta, <u>62</u>, 455 (1979).(h) B.M. Trost and

T.P. Klun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 6756 (1979). B.M. Trost and T.P. Klun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 1864 (1981). B.M. Trost and J.P. Klun, J. Org. Chem., 45, 4256 (1980).(1) W.C. Still and K.P. Darst, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 7385 (1980).(j) T. Fujisawa, T. Sato, T. Kawara, K. Ohashi, Tetrahedron Letters, 22, 4823 (1981).(k) C.H. Heathcock and E.T. Jarvi, Tetrahedron Letters, 23, 2825 (1982). D.A. Evans, J.V. Nelson, T.R. Taber, Topics in Stereochemistry, 13, 1 (1982), Interscience, New York.(1) M. Koreeda and L. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 48, 2122 (1983).(m) G. Helmchen and R. Schmierer, Tetrahedron Letters, 24, 1235 (1983).(n) G. Berubé and P. Deslongchamps, Can. J. Chem., <u>62</u>, 1558 (1984).(o) J. Fujiwara, Y. Fukutani, M. Hasegawa,K. Maruoka, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 5004 (1984).(p) K. Takabe, J. Uchiyama, K. Okisaka, T. Yamada, T. Katagiri, T. Okazaki, Y. Oketa, H. Kumobayashi, S. Akutagawa, Tetrahedron Letters, 26, 5153 (1985) and ref. Cited therein. H.B. Kagan in Asymmetric Synthesis, Vol. 5, J.D. Morrison, Academic Press N.Y. (1985).. 4 5 J.L. Fry and M.G. Adlington, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 7641 (1978). J.D. Morrison and H.S. Mosher, Asymmetric Organic Reaction, Ed. Am. Chem. Soc. Whashington D.C., 266 (1976). J. Barluenga, J.M. Martinez Gallo, C. Najera, M. Yus, J. Chem Research, 266 (1985). T. Katsuki and K.B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 5974 (1980). 6 7 (a) W.H. Pirkle and P.L. Rinaldi, J. Org. Chem., <u>42</u>, 2080 (1977).(b) R. Curci, M. Fiorentino, M.R. Serio, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 155 (1984).(c) H.B. Kagan, H. Mimoun, C. Mark, V. Schurig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng., <u>18</u>, 485 (1979).(d) F.A. Davis, M.E. Haruka, S.B. Awad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 3123 (1983).(e) J.T. Groves and R.S. Myers, ibid , 105, 5791 (1983).(f) D. Mansuy, P. Battioni, J.P. Renaud, P. Guerin, ibid , 107, 155 (1985).(g) H. Ohta and H. Tetsukawa, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 849 (1978).

- 8 (a) H.C. Brown, P.K. Jadhav, A.K. Mandal, Tetrahedron, <u>37</u>, 3547 (1981).(b) P.K. Jadhav and S.U. Kulkani, Heterocycles, <u>18</u>, 169 (1982).(c) H.C. Brown and B. Singaram, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>106</u>, 1797 (1984).
- 9 (a) H.C. Brown, Boranes in Organic Chemistry, Cornell University Press, Ithaca N.Y.
 (1972).(b) H.C. Brown, Organic Synthesis with Boranes, Wiley Interscience, N.Y. (1975).(c)
 D.V. Banthorpe, H. Fitton, J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Tr. I, 2051 (1973).
- 10 H.C. Brown and E. Negishi, Tetrahedron, <u>33</u>, 2331 (1977).
- 11 R. Murphy and R.H. Prayer, Aust. J. Chem, 29, 617 (1976).
- 12 J. Wolinsky and R.H. Bedoukian, J. Org. Chem., <u>41</u>, 278 (1976).
- 13 S. Krishnamurthy and H.C. Brown, ibid , <u>47</u>, 276 (1982).
- 14 G. Ohloff, K.H. Schulte Elte, B. Willhalm, Helv. Chim. Acta, 47, 602 (1964).
- 15 S.M. Viti, Tetrahedron Letters, <u>23</u>, 4541 (1982).
- 16 J.A. Dale, D.L. Dull; H.S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 34, 2543 (1969).
- 17 R.S. Atkinson, D.R.G. Brimage, R.S. Davidson, E. Gray, J. Chem. Soc.Perkin Tr. I, 960 (1973).
- 18 S. Masamune, B.M. Kim, J.S. Petersen, T. Sato, S.J. Veenstra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>107</u>, 4549 (1985).
- 19 W.C. Still, M. Kahn, A. Mitra, J. Org.Chem., 43, 2923 (1978).