# Accepted Manuscript

Discovery of unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides as novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV main protease: Chemical synthesis, biological evaluation, molecular docking and 3D-QSAR study

Li Wang, Bo-Bo Bao, Guo-Qing Song, Cheng Chen, Xu-Meng Zhang, Wei Lu, Zefang Wang, Yan Cai, Shuang Li, Sheng Fu, Fu-Hang Song, Haitao Yang, Jian-Guo Wang

PII: S0223-5234(17)30406-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.05.045

Reference: EJMECH 9475

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 27 February 2017

Revised Date: 17 May 2017

Accepted Date: 21 May 2017

Please cite this article as: L. Wang, B.-B. Bao, G.-Q. Song, C. Chen, X.-M. Zhang, W. Lu, Z. Wang, Y. Cai, S. Li, S. Fu, F.-H. Song, H. Yang, J.-G. Wang, Discovery of unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides as novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV main protease: Chemical synthesis, biological evaluation, molecular docking and 3D-QSAR study, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.05.045.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Discovery of unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides as novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV main protease: Chemical synthesis, biological evaluation, molecular docking and 3D-QSAR study.

Li Wang<sup>a, 1</sup> Bo-Bo Bao<sup>b,c, 1</sup> Guo-Qing Song<sup>a</sup>, Cheng Chen<sup>b,c,\*\*</sup>, Xu-Meng Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Wei Lu<sup>a</sup>, Zefang Wang<sup>b,c</sup>, Yan Cai<sup>b,c</sup>, Shuang Li<sup>b,c</sup>, Sheng Fu<sup>b,c</sup>, Fu-Hang Song<sup>d</sup>, Haitao Yang<sup>b,c</sup>, Jian-Guo Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>



| 1  | Discovery of unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides as novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV main protease:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | Chemical synthesis, biological evaluation, molecular docking and 3D-QSAR study.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Li Wang <sup>a</sup> , <sup>1</sup> Bo-Bo Bao <sup>b,c</sup> , <sup>1</sup> Guo-Qing Song <sup>a</sup> , Cheng Chen <sup>b,c,**</sup> , Xu-Meng Zhang <sup>a</sup> , Wei Lu <sup>a</sup> , Zefang |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Wang <sup>b,c</sup> , Yan Cai <sup>b,c</sup> , Shuang Li <sup>b,c</sup> , Sheng Fu <sup>b,c</sup> , Fu-Hang Song <sup>d</sup> , Haitao Yang <sup>b,c</sup> , Jian-Guo Wang <sup>a,*</sup>         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | a. State-Key Laboratory and Research Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, National  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Pesticide Engineering Research Center, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | China   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | b. School of Life Sciences, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | c. Tianjin International Joint Academy of Biotechnology and Medicine, Tianjin 300457, China.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | d. CAS Key Laboratory of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Microbiology,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | <sup>1</sup> These two authors contributed equally to this work.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | *corresponding author. E-mail address: nkwjg@nankai.edu.cn (JG. Wang)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | ** corresponding author. E-mail address: chengchen@tju.edu.cn (C. Chen)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Abstract: The worldwide outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 had  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | caused a high rate of mortality. Main protease (M <sup>pro</sup> ) of SARS-associated coronavirus   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | (SARS-CoV) is an important target to discover pharmaceutical compounds for the therapy of this  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | life-threatening disease. During the course of screening new anti-SARS agents, we have identified   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | that a series of unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides inhibited SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> significantly for the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | first time. Herein, 40 novel unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides were synthesized chemically and  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | their biological activities were evaluated in vitro against SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> . These novel   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | compounds displayed excellent $IC_{50}$ data in the range of 0.516~5.954 µM. Preliminary studies  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | indicated that these disulfides are reversible and mpetitive inhibitors. A possible binding mode  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | was generated via molecular docking simulation and a comparative field analysis (CoMFA) model   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | was constructed to understand the structure-activity relationships. The present research therefore  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | has provided some meaningful guidance to design and identify anti-SARS drugs with totally new   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | chemical structures.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Keywords: SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> , aromatic disulfide, molecular docking, in vitro activity  |  |  |  |  |  |

35

36 **1. Introduction** 

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is a highly infective respiratory disease caused by
 SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Its sudden emergence and rapid outbreak during 2002-2003 had

39 resulted in ~800 deaths among >8,000 reported individual cases worldwide [1]. Although the 40 SARS epidemic had been under control for years, reemergence of this threatening illness is still a 41 possible risk and potentially new strains of SARS can be more dangerous than the previous ones. 42 A number of important targets have been recognized to take part in the biological events critical to SARS-CoV replication, among which a papain-like protease (PL<sup>pro</sup>) and a chymotrypsin-like 43 protease (3CL<sup>pro</sup>) are of significant importance to design anti-SARS inhibitors [2]. The 3CL<sup>pro</sup>, 44 45 also known as the main protease (M<sup>pro</sup>), has attracted much attention, which could be revealed from numerous publications about novel inhibitor discovery. Crystal structures of SARS-CoV 46  $M^{pro}$  either free enzyme alone or in complex with an inhibitor, had been determined to facilitate 47 the structural and functional investigation of this protease [3, 4]. The active site of SARS-CoV 48 49  $M^{pro}$  contains Cys145 and His41 to constitute a catalytic dyad, in which cysteine functions as the 50 common nucleophile in the proteolytic process.

51

52 Biological active inhibitors against SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> have been reported mainly from two different approaches: one is screening large library to identify new active compounds using 53 54 high-throughput technique, the other is novel inhibitor design based on the substrate structure or 55 active site properties rationally [5]. The inhibitory activities of these compounds were then 56 validated by in vitro protease assays. In most cases the kinetic study indicated that the inhibitor is 57 involved in an irreversible process by forming a covalent bond with Cys145, while in some other cases the inhibition is actually a reversible behavior. The reported SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors 58 59 covered a variety of different chemical scaffolds, which contain peptidomimetic compounds, 60 3-quinoline carboxylic acid derivatives, thiophene-2-carboxylate derivatives, zinc-conjugated 61 compounds, cinanserin, calmodulin, keto-glutamine analogues, anilide, bifunctional boronic acid 62 compounds, isatin derivatives, etacrynic acid derivatives, serine derivatives, trifluoromethyl 63 ketones, acetamides, pyrazolone and quercertins [5-12]. It is a pity that research on drugs and vaccines towards SARS or SARS-like coronavirus has not brought any candidate for clinical use. 64 Hence there still exists an urgent need to discover and identify new SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> agents, 65 especially those compounds from totally new chemical families, to develop effective therapy 66 67 against this fatal viral infection.

68

69 Disulfide bonds play essential roles for bioactive proteins to keep correct folding [13]. There are a 70 few cases that simple disulfides such as diallyl disulfide and dimethyl disulfide exhibit 71 hypochlorous acid scavenging activity and tyrosinase inhibitory activity (Fig. 1A) [14, 15]. The 72 unsymmetrical disulfide compounds are useful tools in the research of dynamic combinatorial 73 chemistry [16]. These compounds have also been reported to display a variety of biological 74 activities. For examples, Turos et al. reported that some unsymmetrical aryl-alkyl disulfides were 75 inhibitors of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus anthracis (Fig. 1B) [17], 76 while Khosla and co-workers published some unsymmetrical disulfides that could selectively

inhibit extracellular thioredoxin (Fig. 1C) [18]. Yoon et al. showed that some unsymmetrical
disulfide compounds were inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Haemophilus influenzae*,
by interfering with acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS), a key enzyme in the biosynthesis pathway
of branched chain amino-acids (Fig. 1D) [19, 20]. In the past of our research, we found that some
unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides could inhibit plant AHAS and was useful for herbicide research
(Fig. 1E) [21, 22].

83

84 There are indeed a few reports that some aromatic disulfides exhibit antiviral activity. The 85 virucidal activity of NSC4492 was due to targeting of arenavirus RNA synthesis (Fig. 1F) [23], 86 while 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) had antiviral propierties against T-tropic human 87 immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) (Fig. 1G) [24]. An antiviral disulfide NSC20625 88 compound could block interaction between arenavirus Z protein and cellular promyelocytic 89 leukemia protein (Fig. 1H) [25]. However, the antiviral activities against arenavirus might be 90 different from that against coronavirus. There is no evidence that the reported antiviral activities of aromatic disulfides have any direct relationships with SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> inhibition. 91

92

In an effort to discover novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV  $M^{pro}$ , we have synthesized a series of novel unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides and evaluated their biological activities in this study. The target compounds could inhibit main protease of SARS-CoV remarkably, with the best *IC*<sub>50</sub> value of 0.516 µM. Subsequent enzymatic kinetics study indicated that the aromatic disulfides acted as reversible and non-competitive inhibitors. Therefore we have demonstrated that unsymmetrical disulfide compounds with aromatic rings are novel inhibitors of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> from a totally new chemical family, which will provide helpful information for further drug discovery.

100

### 101 **2. Results and discussion**

102

### 103 2.1. Chemistry of the target compounds

104 The target unsymmetrical disulfides were synthesized by the reaction of various substituted 105 2-mercapto-[1,3,4]oxadiazole, substituted 2-mercapto-thiazole, substituted 2-mercapto-106 1H-imidazole or substituted 2-mercapto-pyrimidine with substituted arenesulfenyl chloride in 107 ethyl ether under very mild condition as reported previously [21, 22]. It is a quite straightforward nucleophilic substitution, in which the thiol group in the mercapto compound serves as a 108 109 nucleophilic reagent and attacks the sulfur atom in the arenesulfenyl chloride. Most yields for the 110 reactions were satisfactory, showing that this is a simple and easy procedure to produce 111 unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides, superior to the synthetic route developed by Hahn et al [26]. In 112 Hahn's paper, moist tetrahydrofuran gave better yields than dried benzene as the solvent for the 113 reaction, so the authors added 5–10 M equivalent of water to the reaction mixture and observed 114 satisfactory yields. Thus, the presence of water was thought to be preferable for the reaction by

Hahn et al [26]. In our synthesis experiment, only ethyl ether was used as the solvent and no additional water was added to the reaction, nevertheless, we observed very high yields for most of the target compounds.

118

The -S-S- bond had been confirmed in our previous paper [21]. Compound 3-4, 3-6, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-10 and 3-11 were further acetylated from corresponding parent compounds that had been published by us [21]. The molecular structures of the compounds are listed in Table 1. The title compounds were fully characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and HRMS (Part 1 of the supplementary data for the original figures).

124

### 125 2.2. In vitro inhibitory activity of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup>

All the synthesized unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides were subjected to the *in vitro* assay of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup>. The results are also illustrated in Table 1, expressed by  $IC_{50}$  values. It could be seen that the target compounds exhibited encouraging biological potency, with excellent  $IC_{50}$ values ranging from 0.516 µM to 5.954 µM (The inhibition curves of all target compounds can be found in part 2 of the supplementary data). This was surprising due to the fact that not any research group had ever identified the disulfide compounds as inhibitors of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup>, not to mention such strong inhibition.

133

Inhibition type of the disulfides was determined by means of enzymatic kinetic study, for which 134 135 3-31 and 3-39 were used. From Fig. 2 it can be seen that in the plot of enzyme concentration versus reaction velocity, the lines represent different inhibitor concentrations intersect at a same 136 137 point, suggesting that the inhibition is actually a reversible action. We then measured the enzymatic velocity of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> versus substrate concentrations in the presence of either 138 139 3-31 or 3-39 (Part 3 of the supplementary data). The lines displayed in reciprocal plots intersect at a same point, indicating that both inhibitors serve as a non-competitive inhibitor with  $\alpha < 1$  (Part 3 140 of the supplementary data) [27]. On this basis, the kinetic parameters ( $\alpha K_i = 0.20 \mu M$ ,  $K_i = 0.24$ 141  $\mu$ M) of **3-31** were determined [28] (Fig. 3), which clearly proved that, the non-competitive 142 143 inhibitor 3-31 is characterized by smaller equilibrium-binding constant compared to some known 144 inhibitors such as N3 ( $K_i = 9.0 \mu$ M) and N9 ( $K_i = 6.7 \mu$ M) [3].

145

Since there is a cysteine in the active site of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> (Cys145), which plays an essential role for the biological activity of this protease, it is possible that the disulfide compound reacts with Cys145 to form a new -S-S- bond and results in a loss of enzyme activity. It is known that, if a disulfide reacts with another thiol to give a new disulfide, the thiols that are parts of the old disulfide can also react directly with this thiol to form the same new disulfide [29]. We tested the biological activities of different aryl thiols derived from our disulfides, and no inhibition of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> could be detected for any of them even at very high concentration. Accordingly it

153 seemed unlikely that Cys145 formed a -S-S- bond by reacting with the target disulfide compounds. 154 Another method to rule out this possibility is to determine the change of the molecular weight of the protein before and after inhibition [30, 31]. If the disulfide compound reacts with Cys145, the 155 molecular weight would have a shift after SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> is inhibited, and this is approximately 156 157 the mass of half moiety of the unsymmetrical disulfide. Bearing this in mind, we measured the 158 molecular weight of protease before and after inhibition by three disulfide compounds with 159 significant structure difference (3-8, 3-31 and 3-39, data shown in part 4 of the supplementary 160 data). However, no such assumed shift in the molecular weight was observed to support this idea.

161

For another possibility, Khosla et al. had reported selective inhibition of extracellular thioredoxin by unsymmetrical disulfides [18], in which two cysteine residues in a close distance form an intramolecular disulfide bond. After careful analysis of the SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> crystal structure (pdb entry 2AMD) [3], no other cysteine residue was found to be in a nearby space of Cys145. In fact not any two cysteine residues are in a reasonable distance to form possible intramolecular disulfide bond. Thus we also denied this probable inhibitory mechanism.

168

169 2.3. Molecular docking and three dimensional structure-activity relationships

170 Since the unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides do not react with the residues of the SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup>, 171 it means that these compounds act as intact molecules when inhibiting this protease. Therefore, in 172 silico molecular docking technique was utilized to predict possible binding modes of the disulfide compounds with SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup>. In our previous study, we had docked a small library of isatin 173 174 compounds to the active site of AHAS and probable binding modes were predicted by FlexX 175 [32-37]. Here similar strategy was adopted to carry out database docking. After investigation of 176 the resulting docked conformations, nineteen compounds were found to overlay one another quite 177 well (Part 5 of the supplementary data), while all the other twenty-one compounds were in 178 unreasonable binding space, and they failed to overlay well with one another. Thus, the docked 179 conformations of the nineteen compounds were thought to be the possible binding conformations 180 in this study. Compound **3-31** was chosen to depict the binding mode of the disulfide inhibitors. Fig. 4 is a two-dimensional illustration of the interactions between the inhibitor and the 181 surrounding residues of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> drawn by LIGPLOT [38]. The location of **3-31** has some 182 overlap with the inhibitor N9, the inhibitor in the original pdb file. The compound binds with 183 184 SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> via multiple hydrogen bonding contacts and hydrophobic contacts. Phe140, 185 Leu141, His163, Met165, Glu166 and His172 form hydrophobic interactions with the small 186 molecule, while Asn142, Gly143 and Cys145 form intermolecular H-bond with the inhibitor. The 187 predicted binding mode therefore provides a useful clue to understand the possible molecular basis 188 of these inhibitors.

189

190 Comparative field analysis (CoMFA) is a tool to generate 3D contour models to quantitatively

191 analyze the structure-activity relationships of bioactive compounds by steric and electrostatic 192 contributions [39-41]. On the basis of the docked conformation, the molecules in the database 193 were aligned to construct a CoMFA model. Compounds 3-8, 3-23 and 3-40 were excluded from 194 the database because they were statistical outliers in the training set, that is, the inclusion of any of these molecules did not yield a satisfactory leave-one-out  $q^2$ . The training set without the outliers 195 gave a leave-one-out  $q^2$  of 0.681 when the optimum components was 6, and the 196 non-crossvalidated  $r^2$  was 0.916, with a standard error of estimate of 0.088 and F values of 37.968. 197 198 The steric and electrostatic contributions were 43.6% and 56.4%, respectively.

199

200 Compound 3-31 was used to illustrate the steric and electrostatic contour maps, together with the 201 neighboring residues in the docked binding pocket (Fig. 5). For the steric contour map, a bulky 202 group is favorable for better inhibition in the green contour region and such a group is likely to 203 decrease the activity in the yellow contour space. The green maps are mostly in three bulks: one 204 formed by Ser1and Leu141, one formed by Asn142 and Gly143 and the last one formed by 205 Ser144 and Cys145; whereas the yellow maps are just located in a space nearby Gly143. For the 206 electrostatic contour map, in the blue contour region, an increase in the positive charge will lead to 207 an increase of activity, yet in the red contour region, negative charge is favorable to enhance the 208 activity. The blue map is in a region surrounded by Leu27, Cly143, Ser144 and Cys145, whereas 209 the red maps are in three cavities: one formed by Leu141 and Asn142, one formed by Ser144, 210 Cys145 and Met165 and the last one formed by Asn142 and Gly143. The 3D CoMFA maps have 211 afforded important structural features of the unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides from steric and 212 electrostatic views, which is valuable for further design and discovery of more potent inhibitors.

213

### 214 **3. Conclusion**

215 The lack of effective anti-SARS agents makes it a possible danger when SARS breaks out sometime in the future, numerous people will be killed again. Therefore it is still an urgent 216 demand to discover novel anti-SARS inhibitors to combat this deadly disease. SARS CoV M<sup>pro</sup> is 217 218 an important target for the design of therapeutically useful drugs. In the present study, in an effort to develop non-peptidic anti-SARS inhibitors, we have identified for the first time, that some 219 unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides are excellent inhibitors of SARS CoV M<sup>pro</sup>, the mechanism of 220 221 which seems distinct as they are reversible and mpetitive inhibitors. This suggests that the 222 unsymmetrical disulfides are promising lead compounds identification and development of a new 223 family of biologically active anti-SARS agents. A possible binding model of the disulfide inhibitor 224 was built by molecular docking, and a CoMFA model was constructed subsequently to point out 225 the structural features of these novel inhibitors of SARS CoV M<sup>pro</sup>. Based on this information, 226 further structural modifications are ongoing for better pharmaceutical compounds. The Lipinski 227 rules will also be utilized to help to develop compounds with a final *in vivo* activity [42]. We are 228 also trying to co-crystallize the protease and the best inhibitor, to gain insight into a real binding

| 229 | mode and explain the molecular basis of these compounds.  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 230 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 231 | 4. Experimental section   |  |  |  |  |
| 232 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 233 | 4.1. General synthesis and instruments  |  |  |  |  |
| 234 | Various heterocyclic aromatic thiols 1 were commercial procured from 5A Pharmatech (China),                             |  |  |  |  |
| 235 | Apichemical (China), Aldrich and ACES pharma, which were all >95% purity grade. All solvents                            |  |  |  |  |
| 236 | and liquid reagents were dried in advance using standard methods and distilled before use.                              |  |  |  |  |
| 237 | Substituted arenesulfenyl chlorides 2 were synthesized as described in our previous publications                        |  |  |  |  |
| 238 | [21, 22]. Synthetic methods for compounds 3' had been reported and these parent compounds for                           |  |  |  |  |
| 239 | 3-4, 3-6. 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-10 and 3-11 had also been fully characterized before [21]. Melting points                    |  |  |  |  |
| 240 | were determined using an X-4 melting apparatus and were uncorrected. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra and <sup>13</sup> C     |  |  |  |  |
| 241 | NMR were obtained using a 400 MHz Varian Mercury Plus 400 spectrometer. The chemical shift                              |  |  |  |  |
| 242 | values (d) for the NMR spectra were reported as parts per million (ppm), using deuterated                               |  |  |  |  |
| 243 | chloroform (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) as the solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) |  |  |  |  |
| 244 | as an internal reference standard. Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Finnigan LCQ                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 245 | Advantage LC/mass detector instrument.  |  |  |  |  |
| 246 |   |  |  |  |  |
| 247 | 4.2. Synthesis of the target compounds (Scheme 1.)  |  |  |  |  |
| 248 | Heterocyclic aromatic thiols (1, 5mmol) was added to a solution of freshly prepared arenesulfenyl                       |  |  |  |  |
| 249 | chlorides (2, 5 mmol) in 25 mL of anhydrous ethyl ether at room temperature. The mixture was                            |  |  |  |  |

chlorides (**2**, 5 mmol) in 25 mL of anhydrous ethyl ether at room temperature. The mixture was then stirred for 5 h at the same temperature, after that the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Products **3** (**3'** for **3-4**, **3-6**. **3-7**, **3-8**, **3-9**, **3-10** and **3-11**) were purified by column chromatography in 75-95% yields.

253

### 254 4.3. Synthesis of the compounds **3-4**, **3-6**. **3-7**, **3-8**, **3-9**, **3-10** and **3-11** (Scheme 2.)

Unsymmetrical aromatic disulfide (**3'**, 5mmol) was added to 10 mL of acetic anhydride. The reaction mixture was stirred for 0.5h at  $60 \square$  and then 200 mL water was added to the mixture. The pH value was adjusted by sodium bicarbonate to 7~8. The product was extracted by ethyl acetate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The target compounds were finally purified by column chromatography in 87-95% yields.

- 260
- 261 4.4. Characterization of the target compounds
- 262 4.4.1. 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazole (3-1)
- 263 Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116  $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  7.62 (d, J = 8.5 Hz,
- 264 2H, ArH), 7.47 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.31 (s, 1H, NCH), 6.99 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H, SCH); <sup>13</sup>C
- 265 NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ),  $\delta$  134.8, 132.2, 129.3, 121.9, 114.20, 99.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z:
- 266 calculated for  $C_9H_6CINS_3$  259.9423, found 259.9420 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

| 267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 268 | 4.4.2. N-(2-(p-tolyldisulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-2)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 269 | Yield 91%; m.p.: 113-115 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 11.70 (s, 1H, NH),                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 270 | 7.50 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.47 (s, 1H, CH), 7.26 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ),                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 271 | 2.10 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), 167.6, 154.1, 138.9, 132.3, 130.6, 130.0,                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 272 | 128.4, 22.6, 21.1; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> OS <sub>3</sub> 297.0185, found 297.0185                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 273 | $[M + H]^+$ .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | 4.4.3. ethyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-3)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 276 | Yield 87%; m.p.: 100-102 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.64                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 4.24 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.27 (t,                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 278 | $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 161.1, 132.9, 131.7, 128.7, 117.1,              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 279 | 116.9, 60.5, 14.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>11</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 315.0022, found 315.0021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 280 | $[M + H]^+$ .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 282 | 4.4.4. 1-(5-methyl-3-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone (3-4)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 283 | Yield 90%; m.p.: 157-159 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.31 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz,           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 284 | 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 2.55  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 285 | (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 169.3, 157.8, 157.3, 144.9, 135.6, 134.3, 127.9, 126.6,                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 286 | 23.5, 15.6; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{11}H_{10}N_4O_3S_2$ 311.0273, found 311.0261 [M + H]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 289 | 4.4.5. N-(2-(phenyldisulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-5)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 290 | Yield 89%; m.p.: 108-110 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 11.79 (s, 1H, NH),                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | 7.64 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.53 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.51 – 7.42 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.39 (d, J   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 292 | = 7.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 167.6, 139.4, 137.2,                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 293 | 130.8, 130.0, 129.9, 129.0, 128.8, 128.42, 22.6; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{11}H_{10}N_2OS_3$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 294 | 283.0029, found 283.0031 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 296 | 4.4.6. 1-(5-phenyl-3-(p-tolyldisulfanyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone (3-6)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297 | Yield 92%; m.p.: 112-114 $\Box$ ; white solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 17.6 Hz,           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298 | 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (s, 2H), 2.72  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 299 | (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 135.4, 129.4, 128.9, 128.1, 126.2, 125.8,                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 | 129.5, 22.2, 20.8; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{17}H_{15}N_3OS_2$ 342.0729, found 342.0734  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301 | $\left[M+H\right]^{+}\!\!.$  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- 303 *4.4.7. 1-(3-((4-methoxyphenyl)disulfanyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone* (**3-7**)
- 304 Yield 91%; m.p.: 133-135  $\Box$ ; white solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  8.11 (s, 2H), 7.70 (d,

| 305 | J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (s, 3), 6.73 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 306 | MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), δ 169.3, 161.9, 161.2, 158.9, 134.8, 129.9, 129.3, 128.5, 127.1, 124.8, 115.0,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 307 | 55.2, 22.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{17}H_{15}N_3O_2S_2$ 358.0683, found 358.0685 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 308 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 309 | 4.4.8. 1-(3-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)-5-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone (3-8)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 310 | Yield 89%; m.p.: 186-188 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.64 (d, $J$ = 4.6 Hz,                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.70 – 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 312 | 3H), 3.06 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ), <i>δ</i> 174.9, 150.1, 135.3, 129.9, 129.5, 129.3, 127.5,                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 313 | 120.7, 46.0, 29.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>5</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 374.0381, found 374.0380    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 314 | $[M+H]^+$ .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 315 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 316 | 4.4.9. ethyl 2-((1-acetyl-5-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-9)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 317 | Yield 92%; m.p.: 128-130 $\Box$ ; white solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 9.10 (s,1H), 8.66 (s,                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318 | 1H), 8.26 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.57 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 319 | (s, 1H), 4.22 (q, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.33 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 320 | 150.1, 135.1, 133.6, 130.9, 129.5, 129.3, 120.7, 45.9, 29.7, 22.5, 8.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 321 | calculated for $C_{18}H_{16}N_4O_3S_2$ 401.0736, found 401.0746 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 322 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323 | 4.4.10. ethyl 2-((1-acetyl-5-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-10)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 324 | Yield 95%; m.p.: 130-132 $\Box$ ; white solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 9.10 (s, 1H), 8.66                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325 | (s,1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 7.5 Hz,1H), 7.75 (t, J = 7.6 Hz,1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.41  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326 | (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.34 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.33 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 327 | MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), δ 167.9, 150.1, 135.1, 133.6, 130.9, 129.5, 129.3, 120.7, 45.9, 29.7, 8.6;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 328 | HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{18}H_{16}N_4O_3S_2$ 401.0737, found 401.0746 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 330 | 4.4.11. 1-(3-((4-methoxyphenyl)disulfanyl)-5-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone (3-11)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 331 | Yield 87%; m.p.: 113-115 :; white solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 9.32 (d, $J$ = 37.6 Hz,                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 332 | 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.54 – 7.27 (m, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 333 | 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.64 (s, 3H); $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 161.0, 160.3, 150.9, 148.3,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334 | 135.8, 134.0, 123.7, 115.0, 114.7, 55.4, 29.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 335 | 359.0630, found 359.0639 $[M + H]^+$ .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 336 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337 | 4.4.12. N-(2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-12)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338 | Yield 94%; m.p.: 127-129 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 11.73 (s, 1H, NH),                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339 | 7.65 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (s, 1H, CH), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> );                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 | <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), δ 167.6, 153.1, 152.0, 139.3, 134.4, 133.6, 130.8, 129.9, 128.5,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341 | 22.6; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{11}H_9ClN_2OS_3316.9639$ , found 316.9641 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342 |   |  |  |  |  |  |

| 343   | 4.4.13. N-(2-((4-bromophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-13)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 344   | Yield 93%; m.p.: 123-125 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 11.72 (s, 1H, NH),  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345   | 7.65 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.58 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (s, 1H, CH), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> );  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 346   | <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 167.5, 167.0, 152.0, 139.6, 132.4, 130.5, 128.0, 121.6, 22.2;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 347   | HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{11}H_9BrN_2OS_3$ 360.9132, found 360.9128 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 348   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 349   | 4.4.14. methyl 2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-14</b> )  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350   | Yield 88%; m.p.: 160-163 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.51 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 351   | 1H, ArH), 8.31 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.58 (dd, J =   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 352   | 11.4, 4.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 158.9, 151.1,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 353   | 139.8, 137.7, 131.2, 130.5, 129.4, 125.2, 124.4, 122.2, 53.0; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 354   | $C_{11}H_9N_3O_4S_2$ 312.0107, found 312.0112 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 355   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 356   | 4.4.15. methyl 2-((2-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-15)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 357   | Yield 92%; m.p.: 93-95 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.34 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 358   | 1H, ArH), 8.03 – 7.96 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.96 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.79 – 7.66 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.41 (t, J =   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 359   | 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 4.32 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 360   | CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 166.1, 161.8, 157.8, 141.0, 139.9, 134.1, 131.5,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 361   | 126.9, 126.8, 126.5, 121.9, 61.9, 51.8, 14.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362   | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364   | <ul> <li>339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.</li> <li>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365  | <ul> <li>339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.</li> <li>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</li> <li>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 8.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz,</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366   | <ul> <li>339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.</li> <li>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</li> <li>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 8.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> </ul>  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 $[M + H]^+$ .<br><i>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</i><br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.</li> <li>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</li> <li>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 8.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> </ul>  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 $[M + H]^+$ .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370   | 339.0468, found 339.0475 $[M + H]^+$ .<br><i>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate</i> ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370<br>371  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 $[M + H]^+$ .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-17</b> )   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370<br>371<br>372   | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-17</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> <li>370</li> <li>371</li> <li>372</li> <li>373</li> </ul>  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-17)<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370<br>371<br>372<br>373<br>374   | 339.0468, found 339.0475 $[M + H]^+$ .<br><i>4.4.16. methyl</i> 2-((2-( <i>methoxycarbonyl</i> ) <i>phenyl</i> ) <i>disulfanyl</i> )- <i>1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate</i> ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br><i>4.4.17. methyl</i> 2-(( <i>4-chlorophenyl</i> ) <i>disulfanyl</i> )- <i>1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate</i> ( <i>3-17</i> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370<br>371<br>372<br>373<br>374<br>375  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-17</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> <li>370</li> <li>371</li> <li>372</li> <li>373</li> <li>374</li> <li>375</li> <li>376</li> </ul>   | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-17</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 362<br>363<br>364<br>365<br>366<br>367<br>368<br>369<br>370<br>371<br>372<br>373<br>374<br>375<br>376<br>377  | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-17)<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.18. N-(2-((4-fluorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-18)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> <li>370</li> <li>371</li> <li>372</li> <li>373</li> <li>374</li> <li>375</li> <li>376</li> <li>377</li> <li>378</li> </ul>                           | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-16</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 8.35 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate ( <b>3-17</b> )<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.18. N-(2-((4-fluorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide ( <b>3-18</b> )<br>Yield 95%; m.p.: 119-122 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), δ 11.78 (s, 1H, NH),  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> <li>370</li> <li>371</li> <li>372</li> <li>373</li> <li>374</li> <li>375</li> <li>376</li> <li>377</li> <li>378</li> <li>379</li> </ul>              | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-17)<br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br>4.4.18. N-(2-((4-fluorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-18)<br>Yield 95%; m.p.: 119-122 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 11.78 (s, 1H, NH),<br>7.68 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz 2H, ArH), 7.50 (s, 1H, CH), 7.32 (t, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> );  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> <li>366</li> <li>367</li> <li>368</li> <li>369</li> <li>370</li> <li>371</li> <li>372</li> <li>373</li> <li>374</li> <li>375</li> <li>376</li> <li>377</li> <li>378</li> <li>379</li> <li>380</li> </ul> | 339.0468, found 339.0475 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br><i>4.4.16. methyl 2-((2-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-16)</i><br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 114-116 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.35 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,<br>1H, ArH), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.95 (s, 1H, NHCH), 7.76 (t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.41<br>(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,<br>DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.9, 137.8, 134.2, 131.9, 129.6, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2, 52.4;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 325.0311, found 325.0314 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br><i>4.4.17. methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3-17)</i><br>Yield 89%; m.p.: 138-140 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 8.00 (s, 1H,<br>NHCH), 7.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C<br>NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 158.7, 147.5, 137.8, 133.5, 129.9, 128.2, 127.9, 125.2, 124.4, 53.2;<br>HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>9</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 300.9867, found 300.9894 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .<br><i>4.4.18. N-(2-((4-fluorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-18)</i><br>Yield 95%; m.p.: 119-122 □; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 11.78 (s, 1H, NH),<br>7.68 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz 2H, ArH), 7.50 (s, 1H, CH), 7.32 (t, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> );<br><sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), $\delta$ 167.4, 153.8, 139.4, 132.6, 132.5, 131.5, 128.5, 117.3, 117.1, |  |  |  |  |  |

| 381 | 22.6; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{11}H_9FN_2OS_3$ 300.9934, found 300.9934 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 382 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 383 | 4.4.19. N-(2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazol-5-yl)acetamide (3-19)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384 | Yield 93%; m.p.: 130-133 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 11.76 (s, 1H, NH),                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 385 | 8.41 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.35 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.96 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.61 (t,                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 386 | $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.49 (s, 1H CH), 2.09 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 387 | 167.6, 151.4, 145.3, 139.4, 135.8, 134.8, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 126.8, 22.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 388 | calculated for $C_{11}H_9N_3O_3S_3$ 327.9879, found 327.9876 $[M + H]^+$ .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 390 | 4.4.20. 2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazole ( <b>3-20</b> )   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 391 | Yield 90%; m.p.: 105-107 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.37 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 392 | 1H, ArH), 8.23 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.93 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.87 – 7.74 (m, 2H, CH),  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 393 | 7.62 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H, ArH); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 163.8, 145.6, 144.9, 136.2,                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 394 | 133.9, 128.7, 127.6, 126.9, 124.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> 270.9664, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 395 | found 270.9663 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 396 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 397 | 4.4.21. 2-(p-tolyldisulfanyl)thiazole (3-21)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 398 | Yield 75%; m.p.: 60-62 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ), $\delta$ 7.80 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 2H,                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 399 | ArH), 7.53 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.25 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.30 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | (101 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ), $\delta$ 136.5, 136.3, 130.2, 129.3, 127.6, 124.9, 21.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 401 | calculated for $C_{10}H_9NS_3$ 239.9969, found 239.9970 $[M+H]^+$ .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 402 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 403 | 4.4.22. 2-((4-fluorophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazole (3-22)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 404 | Yield 82%; m.p.: 89-91 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.37 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz,                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 405 | 1H, ArH), 8.23 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ 7.66 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.7 Hz, 2H,                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 406 | ArH); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), δ 144.9, 132.7, 132.6, 130.6, 125.0, 123.9, 117.3, 117.1,                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 407 | 40.1, 39.9, 39.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>6</sub> FNS <sub>3</sub> 243.9719, found 243.9721                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 408 | $[M+H]^+$ .   |  |  |  |  |  |

409

410 *4.4.23. 2-((4-bromophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazole (3-23)* 

411 Yield 80%; m.p.: 92-94  $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  7.61 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 412 2H, ArH), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 1H, NCH), 6.99 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H, SCH); <sup>13</sup>C 413 NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>),  $\delta$  134.9, 132.3, 129.2, 121.9, 114.2, 99.4; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: 414 calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>BrNS<sub>3</sub> 303.8918, found 303.8914 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

415

416 4.4.24. 4-methyl-2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)thiazole (3-24)

- 417 Yield 92%; m.p.: 61-63  $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$  8.36 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H,
- 418 ArH), 8.20 (d, *J* = 8.2Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.01 7.84 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.64 7.53 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.33 (s,

| 419 | 1H, CH), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); ${}^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ), $\delta$ 162.8, 154.6, 145.7, 136.0, 133.9,  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 420 | 128.7, 127.6, 126.9, 118.4, 17.3; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>8</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> 284.9821,                      |  |  |  |  |
| 421 | found 284.9823 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 422 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 423 | 4.4.25. ethyl 2-((4-methylthiazol-2-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-25)  |  |  |  |  |
| 424 | Yield 91%; m.p.: 103-105 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.09 – 8.03 (m, 1H,   |  |  |  |  |
| 425 | ArH), 8.01 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.74 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.45 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH),  |  |  |  |  |
| 426 | 7.28 (s, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH3), 1.36 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C               |  |  |  |  |
| 427 | NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 166.2, 156.3, 154.5, 147.4, 138.4, 134.4, 131.8, 127.4, 125.9,   |  |  |  |  |
| 428 | 117.6, 62.2, 17.4, 14.5; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>13</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> 312.0181, found                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 429 | 312.0188 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 430 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 431 | 4.4.26. methyl 2-((5-methyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-26)  |  |  |  |  |
| 432 | Yield 93%; m.p.: 80-82 : yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.14 (d, $J$ = 7.4 Hz,   |  |  |  |  |
| 433 | 1H, ArH), 8.03 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.78 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.47 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.89 (s,  |  |  |  |  |
| 434 | 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.49 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 167.3, 166.7, 161.7, 138.7,                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 435 | 134.4, 131.6, 127.4, 127.1, 126.5, 53.3, 11.3; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>10</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>                  |  |  |  |  |
| 436 | 283.0206, found 283.0209 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 437 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 438 | 4.4.27. ethyl 2-((5-methyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-27)   |  |  |  |  |
| 439 | Yield 88%; m.p.: 73-74 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.14 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |
| 440 | 1H, ArH), 8.04 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.78 (t, J = 7.8 Hz ,1H, ArH), 7.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H,   |  |  |  |  |
| 441 | ArH), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.50 (s, 3H,CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C |  |  |  |  |
| 442 | NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 167.3, 166.3, 161.7, 138.6, 134.3, 131.6, 127.4, 126.5, 62.2, 14.5,  |  |  |  |  |
| 443 | 11.3; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{12}H_{12}N_2O_3S_2$ 297.0362, found 297.0365 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 444 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 445 | 4.4.28. 2-methyl-5-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (3-28)   |  |  |  |  |
| 446 | Yield 92%; m.p.: 91-93 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.34 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz,  |  |  |  |  |
| 447 | 1H, ArH), 8.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.97 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.63 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H,   |  |  |  |  |
| 448 | ArH), 2.51 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 167.5, 161.2, 145.4, 135.9, 134.0,   |  |  |  |  |
| 449 | 128.7, 128.1, 126.8, 11.3; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>7</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 270.0002, found                        |  |  |  |  |
| 450 | 270.0000 [M+H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 451 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 452 | 4.4.29. methyl 2-((1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-29)   |  |  |  |  |
| 453 | Yield 87%; m.p.: 99-101 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 9.37 (s, 1H, CH),  |  |  |  |  |
| 454 | 8.14 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2, Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.05 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8, Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.87 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.8, Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.48  |  |  |  |  |
| 455 | (t, $J = 7.7$ , Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 166.8, 162.3,   |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 457 $C_{10}H_8N_2O_3S_2$ 290.9869, found 290.9868 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. 458 459 4.4.30. methyl 2-((4-methyloxazol-2-yl)disulfanyl)benzoate (3-30) 460 Yield 90%; m.p.: 68-70 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.16 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 461 1H, ArH), 8.04 (d, J = 7.7, Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.97 (s, 1H, CH), 7.88(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.45 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.07 (s 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 462 166.7, 139.6, 139.3, 138.8, 134.3, 131.6, 127.2, 126.9, 126.4, 53.2, 11.7; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: 463 464 calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 282.0253, found 282.0257 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. 465 466 4.4.31. 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (3-31) 467 Yield 90%; m.p.: 69-72 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 9.46 (s, 1H, CH), 7.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.54 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 157.7, 468 134.7, 133.8, 132.5, 131.4, 130.1; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>OS<sub>2</sub> 261.9871, 469 470 found 261.9872 [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>. 471 472 4.4.32. 4,6-dimethyl-2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-32) 473 Yield 94%; m.p.: 151-153 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.34 (d, 6.5 Hz, 1H, 474 ArH), 7.99 – 7.69 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.55 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 25.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 2.35 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 168.7, 168.3, 135.5, 128.5, 128.0, 127.4, 475 127.0, 126.3, 118.7, 118.1, 23.8; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 294.0365, 476 found 294.0364 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. 477 478 4.4.33. 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine (3-33) 479 Yield 80%; m.p.: 84-86 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), $\delta$ 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 480 2H, ArH), 7.44 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.14 (s, 1H, ArH), 2.40 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 481 482 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 168.6, 167.8, 135.6, 133.1, 130.9, 129.6, 118.4, 23.8; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: 483 calculated for $C_{12}H_{11}CIN_2S_2$ 283.0125, found 283.0130 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. 484 485 4.4.34. 2-((4-bromophenyl)disulfanyl)-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine (3-34) Yield 84%; m.p.: 76-79 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 7.53 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.2486 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.15 (s, 1H, ArH), 2.38 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 168.6, 487 488 167.7, 136.1, 132.5, 131.0, 121.5, 118.4, 23.8; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> 326.9620, found 326.9622 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. 489 490 491 4.4.35. 4,6-dimethyl-2-(phenyldisulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-35) 492 Yield 81%; m.p.: 61-63 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), $\delta$ 7.67 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.60 – 7.31 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.22 (s, 1H, ArH), 2.48 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, 493 DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>), δ 168.6, 130.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.3, 118.4, 23.8; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: 494 495 calculated for $C_{12}H_{12}N_2S_2$ 249.0515, found 249.0515 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

13

| 497        | 4.4.36. 4,6-dimethyl-2-(p-tolyldisulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-36)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 498        | Yield 84%; m.p.: 72-75 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 7.50 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 499        | 2H, ArH), 7.18 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.12 (s, 1H, ArH), 2.40 (s, 6H, CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.28 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> );  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500        | <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), δ 168.4, 138.4, 133.1, 131.6, 130.3, 130.2, 118.2, 23.86, 21.1;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 501        | HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{13}H_{14}N_2S_2$ 263.0671, found 263.0674 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 502        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 503        | 4.4.37. 2-((2-nitrophenyl)disulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-37)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 504        | Yield 86%; m.p.: 130-132 : yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.63 (s, 2H, ArH),  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 505        | 8.31 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.96 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.59 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.38 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.17 (s, 1H,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506        | ArH); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub> ), δ 169.4, 158.6, 136.3, 134.3, 128.1, 126.7, 125.9, 118.9,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 507        | 99.9; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for $C_{10}H_7N_3O_2S_2$ 265.0052 , found 266.0053 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 508        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 509        | 4.4.38. 2-((4-chlorophenyl)disulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-38)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510        | Yield 80%; m.p.: 79-80 $\Box$ ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.66 – 8.47 (m, 2H),   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511        | 7.47 (t, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.29 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512        | DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 158.0, 134.9, 133.8, 130.2, 129.3, 129.1, 118.3; HRMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 513        | $C_{10}H_7CIN_2S_2$ 254.9812, found 254.9816 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 515<br>516 | 4 4 39 2-((4-bromophenyl)disulfanyl)pyrimidine (3-39)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 517        | Yield 83%: m n : 75-78 $\Box$ : vellow solid: <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz DMSO- $d_c$ ) $\delta$ 8 63 (dd $I = 22.9.47$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 518        | Hz. 2H), 7.43 (dd. $J = 20.0, 12.8$ Hz. 4H), 7.10 (d. $J = 4.0$ Hz. 1H); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 519        | IL, 211), 7.45 (uu, $J = 20.0$ , 12.0 IZ, 4Π), 7.10 (u, $J = 4.0$ HZ, 1H); C NMK (101 MHZ,<br>DMSO <sub>2</sub> d.) δ 158.1 135.5 132.0 130.3 128.9 121.8 118.3 HDMS(MALDI) m/z: calculated for |  |  |  |  |  |
| 520        | $C_{10}$ H <sub>2</sub> BrN <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 298 9307 found 298 9307 [M ± H1 <sup>+</sup>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 521        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 522        | 4.4.40. 2-(p-tolvldisulfanvl)pyrimidine ( <b>3-40</b> )   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 523        | Yield 86%; m.p.: 45-48 ; yellow solid; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ), $\delta$ 8.56 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524        | 2H), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.13 – 6.90 (m, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); <sup>13</sup> C NMR (101 MHz,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 525        | $DMSO-d_{\delta}$ ), $\delta$ 171.2, 157.9, 138.1, 132.9, 129.8, 129.7, 118.0, 21.1, HRMS(MALDI) m/7.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 526        | calculated for $C_{11}H_{10}N_2S_2$ 235.0358, found 235.0361 [M + H] <sup>+</sup> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 527        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 528        | 4.5. In vitro Enzyme inhibition assay   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 529        | The expression and purification of SARS CoV M <sup>pro</sup> was described by Rao et al [42]. Basically,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 530        | the sequence of SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> cloned into the pGEX-6P-1 vector was transformed into E. coli   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 531        | BL21 (DE3) cells. The GST fusion protein, GST-SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> , was purified by   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 532        | GST-glutathione affinity chromatography, cleaved with PreScission protease, and the recombinant   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 533        | SARS-CoV M <sup>pro</sup> was further purified by using anion-exchange chromatography. Eventually   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 534        | purified protein was of high purity (>95%) as judged by SDSPAGE analysis and the  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |   |  |  |  |  |  |

concentration is 0.5 μM, and the buffer contains 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.3 and 1 mM EDTA. The
 fluorogenic substrate with consensus sequence of CoV M<sup>pro</sup>,
 MCA-AVLQSGFR-Lys(Dnp)-Lys-NH2 (95% purity), was synthesized in Shanghai Biological
 Engineering Company. The substrate was dissolved in DMSO in 0.8 mM liquid storage for use.

539

The inhibition assay was similar to Yang's procedure [11]. The SARS CoV M<sup>pro</sup> inhibition assays 540 541 were conducted by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). The natural substrate amino acid sequence (AVLQSGFRKK) of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> started with the MCA fluorescent group and 542 connected the Dnp fluorescence quenching group with penultimate K. The tested compounds were 543 544 dissolved by sterilized DMSO and diluted to various concentrations. The settled concentrations of 545 proteins, compounds and substrate were preheated at 37 and oscillated. The excitation/emission 546 light was 320/405 nm, and the test was carried out every 5 s for 200 times. Drawing curves, the 547 maximum value of the negative control curve slope is  $V_0$ , and the largest compound curve slope is V1. The inhibition ratio can be defined  $(1-V_1/V_0)$ . And the  $IC_{50}$  value was calculated by equation 548 549 (1) using CraphPad Prism5:

550 551  $V_0/V = 1 + [I]/IC_{50}$ (1)  $V_0$  shows the initial rate of the reaction without inhibitor, V means the initial rate of the reaction

s52 with the inhibitor at various concentrations and [I] indicates the concentration of the inhibitor.

553

The determination of the inhibitor as a valent inhibitor employs the above methods as well, albeit with two modifications. Firstly, the inhibitor concentration was set to 2 or 4 $\mu$ M. And for each inhibitor concentration, we measured the enzymatic activity of M<sup>pro</sup> whose concentration spans 0 to 2  $\mu$ M. Secondly, M<sup>pro</sup> and inhibitor were first incubated for 20 min to ensure a thorough 'M<sup>pro</sup>-inhibitor' reaction and then the inhibition assay was initiated by adding substrate and characterized by fluorescence monitoring.

560

567

561 The further characterization of the inhibitor as a non-competitive inhibitor employs the methods 562 described in earlier work [28]. Basically, the enzymatic velocity of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> versus 563 substrate concentrations with presence of inhibitors is depicted by equation (2) [27], where  $K_i$  is 564 the dissociation constant for the SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> complexed with inhibitor **3-31**; factor  $\alpha$  reflects 565 the effect of inhibitor **3-31** on the affinity of the enzyme for its substrate;  $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$  represent 566 the maximum velocity and Michaelis-Menten constant, respectively.

$$v = \frac{V_{\max}[S]}{[S]\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{\alpha K_i}\right) + K_m\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}\right)}$$
(2)

568 The values of  $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$  at different inhibitor concentrations were apparent  $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$ , called 569  $V_{\text{max}}^{app}$  and  $K_{m}^{app}$ , respectively. According to equation (2),  $V_{\text{max}}^{app}$  and  $K_{m}^{app}$  can be calculated by 570 equation (3).

$$V_{\max}^{app} = \frac{V_{\max}}{1 + \frac{[I]}{\alpha K_i}}, K_m^{app} = \frac{K_m \left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}\right)}{1 + \frac{[I]}{\alpha K_i}}$$
(3)

571

572 The kinetic parameters of  $V_{\text{max}}^{app}$  and  $K_m^{app}$ , were determined by adding 1µM SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> to 573 20µM substrate containing varying concentrations of inhibitor 3-31 (0-3µM). The value of  $\alpha K_i$ 574 was then calculated from plots of  $1/V_{\text{max}}^{app}$  versus 1/[I]. Similarly, the value of  $K_i$  was calculated 575 from plots of  $V_{\text{max}}^{app}$  and  $K_m^{app}$  versus 1/[I].

576

577 Mass spectra were recorded on Waters Xevo G2-XS Q-TOF mass spectrometry. Mass spectra 578 were acquired in positive ion mode using a capillary voltage of 3 kV, a sampling cone voltage of 579 40 V and a source offset voltage of 80 V. The cone gas flow was set up to 50 L/h and desolvation 580 gas flow was 800 L/h. Desolvation temperature and source temperature were set to 400  $\Box$  and 581 100  $\Box$ , respectively. The mass of intact protein was obtained by deconvolution of the raw data 582 using MaxEnt1 tool. The samples were prepared at the similar condition with the  $IC_{50}$ 583 determination, except that the concentration of the inhibitors was 20 times of the concentration of 584 SARS M<sup>pro</sup>.

585

586 4.6 Molecular docking and comparative field analysis

587 Chemical structures of the compounds were built within Sybyl 7.3 (Tripos Inc., St Louis, MO). 588 All the molecules were assigned Gasteiger-Hückel charges and minimized by the Tripos force 589 field when convergence reached 0.001 kcal/mol/Å.

590

591 Molecular docking of the unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides to the active site of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> 592 was performed by FlexX. The crystal structure of SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> in complex with inhibitor (pdb 593 code 2AMD) was retrieved from the pdb databank. All water molecules were removed, and 594 hydrogen atoms were added in the standard geometry. Any amino acid residue within 6.5 Å of the 595 location of the original inhibitor N9 was considered to be in the binding pocket. Cscore calculation 596 was enabled and set to serial mode. Database docking and subsequent scoring procedures were 597 performed using the default parameters in the program.

598

599 For CoMFA, The molecules were superimposed using **3-31** from the molecular docking result as 600 the template. All the parameters were used the default value within CoMFA module and the 601 column filtering was set to 2.0 kcal/mol. The "leave-one-out" (LOO) cross validation method was 602 applied to determine the optimum number of partial least squares (PLS) components. The 603 non-cross validated method was used to derive the final model to explain the quantitative 604 structure-activity relationship in a three dimensional manner.

| 605                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 606                      | Acknowledgment   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 607                      | This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21272128                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 608                      | and 21672114), the "111" Project of Ministry of Education of China (No. B06005) and the                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 609                      | National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2013CB734004). We appreciate Professor   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 610                      | Chuanzheng Zhou in Nankai University for his kind assistance for the mass spectrometry of SARS                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 611<br>612               | CoV main protease.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 613<br>614<br>615<br>616 | Appendix A. Supplementary data<br>Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <u>http://dx.doi.org/XXXXXX</u> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 617                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 618                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 619                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 620                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 621                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 622                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 623                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 624                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 625                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 626                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 627                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 628                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 629                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 630                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 631                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 633                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 634                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 635                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 636 References

- [1] World Health Organization. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1
  November 2002 to 31 July 2003 Based on data as of the 31 December 2003.
  http://www.who.int/csr/sars/country/table2004 04 21/en/
- [2] D.H. Goetz, Y. Choe, E. Hansell, Y.T. Chen, M. McDowell, C.B. Jonsson, W.R. Roush, J.
  McKerrow, C.S. Craik. Substrate specificity profiling and identification of a new class of
  inhibitor for the major protease of the SARS coronavirus, Biochemistry 46 (2007)
  8744-8752.
- [3] H. Yang, W. Xie, X. Xue, K. Yang, J. Ma, W. Liang, Q. Zhao, Z. Zhou, D. Pei, J. Ziebuhr, R.
  Hilgenfeld, K.Y. Yuen, L. Wong, G. Gao, S. Chen, Z. Chen, D. Ma, M. Bartlam, Z. Rao.
  Design of wide-spectrum inhibitors targeting coronavirus main proteases, PLoS Biol. 3 (2005)
  1741-1752.
- K. Anand, J. Ziebuhr, P. Wadhwani, J.R. Mesters, R. Hilgenfeld. Coronavirus main proteinase
  (3CLpro) structure: basis for design of anti-SARS drugs. Science 300 (2003) 1763-1767.
- [5] L. Zhou, Y. Liu, W. Zhang, P. Wei, C. Huang, J. Pei, Y. Yuan, L. Lai. Isatin compounds as
  non-covalent SARS coronavirus 3C-like protease inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 49 (2006)
  3440-3443.
- [6] L. Chen, J. Li, C. Luo, H. Liu, W. Xu, G. Chen, O.W. Liew, W. Zhu, C.M. Puah, X. Shen, H.
  Jiang. Binding interaction of quercetin-3-β-galactoside and its synthetic derivatives with
  SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pro</sup>: structure-activity relationship studies reveal salient pharmacophore
  features. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 14 (2006) 8295-8306.
- [7] R. Ramajayam, K.P. Tan, H.G. Liu, P.H. Liang. Synthesis and evaluation of pyrazolone
  compounds as SARS-coronavirus 3C-like protease inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18 (2010)
  7849-7854.
- [8] Y.M. Shao, W.B. Yang, T.H. Kuo, K.C. Tsai, C.H. Lin, A.S. Yang, P.H. Liang, C.H. Wong.
  Design, synthesis, and evaluation of trifluoromethyl ketones as inhibitors of SARS-CoV 3CL
  protease. Bioorg, Med. Chem. 16 (2008) 4652-4660.
- [9] J. Jacobs, V. Grum-Tokars, Y. Zhou, M. Turlington, S.A. Saldanha, P. Chase, A. Eggler, E.S. 663 Dawson, Y.M. Baez-Santos, S. Tomar, A.M. Mielech, S.C. Baker, C.W. Lindsley, P. Hodder, 664 665 A. Mesecar, S.R. Stauffer. Discovery, synthesis, and structure-based optimization of a series N-(tert-butyl)-2-(N-arylamido)-2-(pyridin-3-yl) acetamides 666 (ML188) of as potent 667 non-covalent small molecule inhibitors of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 668 (SARS-CoV) 3CL protease. J. Med. Chem. 56 (2013) 534-546.
- [10] P. Thanigaimalai, S. Konno, T. Yamamoto, Y. Koiwai, A. Taguchi, K. Takayama, F. Yakushiji,
  K. Akaji, S.E. Chen, A. Naser-Tavakolian, A. Schön, E. Freire, Y. Hayashi. Development of
  potent dipeptide-type SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors with novel P3 scaffolds: design,
  synthesis, biological evaluation, and docking studies. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 68 (2013) 372-384.
- 673 [11] W. Liu, H.M. Zhu, G.J. Niu, E.Z. Shi, J. Chen, B. Sun, W.Q. Chen, H.G. Zhou, C. Yang.

- 674 Synthesis, modification and docking studies of 5-sulfonyl isatin derivatives as SARS-CoV
  675 3C-like protease inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 22 (2014) 292-302.
- [12] P. Thanigaimalai, S. Konno, T. Yamamoto, Y. Koiwai, A. Taguchi, K. Takayama, F. Yakushiji,
  K. Akaji, Y. Kiso, Y. Kawasaki, S.E. Chen, A. Naser-Tavakolian, A. Schön, E. Freire, Y.
  Hayashi. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of novel dipeptide-type SARS-CoV
  3CL protease inhibitors: structure-activity relationship study. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 65 (2013)
  436-447.
- [13] L. Zhang, C.P. Chou, M. Moo-Young. Disulfide bond formation and its impact on the
   biological activity and stability of recombinant therapeutic proteins produced by *Escherichia coli* expression system. Biotechnol. Adv. 29 (2011) 923-929.
- [14] R. Argüello-García, O.N. Medina-Campos, N. Pérez-Hernández, J. Pedraza- Chaverrí, G.
  Ortega-Pierres. Hypochlorous acid scavenging activities of thioallyl compounds from garlic.
  J. Agric. Food Chem. 58 (2010) 11226–11233.
- [15] H.L. Chu, B.S. Wang, P.D Duh. Effects of selected organosulfur compounds on melanin
  formation. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57 (2009) 7072–7077.
- 689 [16] S. Otto. Dynamic molecular networks: from synthetic receptors to self-replicators. Acc.
  690 Chem. Res. 45 (2012) 2200-2210.
- [17] E. Turos, K.D. Revell, P. Ramaraju, D.A. Gergeres, K. Greenhalgh, A. Young, N.
  Sathyanarayan, S. Dickey, D. Lim, M.M. Alhamadsheh, K. Reynolds. Unsymmetric
  aryl-alkyl disulfide growth inhibitors of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus anthracis*. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 16 (2008) 6501-6508.
- [18] T.R. DiRaimondo, N.M. Plugis, X. Jin, C. Khosla. Selective inhibition of extracellular
  thioredoxin by asymmetric disulfides. J. Med. Chem. 56 (2013) 1301-1310.
- [19] K.J. Choi, Y.G. Yu, H.G. Hahn, J.D. Choi, M.Y. Yoon. Characterization of acetohydroxyacid
  synthase from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and the identification of its new inhibitor from
  the screening of a chemical library. FEBS Lett. 579 (2005) 4903-4910.
- [20] K.J. Choi, K.M. Noh, D.E. Kim, B.H. Ha, E.E. Kim, M.Y. Yoon. Identification of the
  catalytic subunit of acetohydroxyacid synthase in *Haemophilus influenzae* and its potent
  inhibitors. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 466 (2007) 24-30.
- [21] J. Shang, W.M. Wang, Y.H. Li, H.B. Song, Z.M. Li, J.G. Wang. Synthesis, crystal structure,
  in vitro acetohydroxyacid synthase inhibition, in vivo herbicidal activity, and 3D-QSAR of
  new asymmetric aryl disulfides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60 (2012) 8286-8293.
- [22] Z.S. Li, W.M. Wang, W. Lu, C.W. Niu, Y.H. Li, Z.M. Li, J.G. Wang. Synthesis and biological
  evaluation of nonsymmetrical aromatic disulfides as novel inhibitors of acetohydroxyacid
  synthase. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 23 (2013) 3723-3727.
- [23] C.S. Sepúlveda, C.C. García, J.M. Levingston Macleod, N. López, E.B. Damonte. Targeting
  of arenavirus RNA synthesis by a carboxamide-derivatized aromatic disulfide with virucidal
  activity. PLoS One. 8 (2013) e81251.

- [24] H.H. Lara, L. Ixtepan-Turrent, E.N. Garza-Treviño, S.M. Flores-Teviño, G. Borkow, C.
  Rodriguez-Padilla. Antiviral propierties of 5, 5'-dithiobis-2- nitrobenzoic acid and bacitracin
  against T-tropic human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Virol. J. 8 (2011) 137.
- [25] C.C. García, I. Topisirovic, M. Djavani, K.L. Borden, E.B. Damonte, M.S. Salvato. An
  antiviral disulfide compound blocks interaction between arenavirus Z protein and cellular
  promyelocytic leukemia protein. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 393 (2010) 625-630.
- [26] M. Han, J.T. Lee, H.G. Hahn, A traceless, one-pot preparation of unsymmetric disulfides
  from symmetric disulfides through a repeated process involving sulfenic acid and
  thiosulfinate intermediates. Tetrahedron. Lett. 52 (2011) 236-239.
- [27] R. Copeland. Reversible inhibitors. In: R. Copeland, Enzymes: a practical introduction to
  structure, mechanism, and data analysis. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley-VCH, Inc. (2000)
  266-304.
- [28] F. Wang, C. Chen, X. Liu, K. Yang, X. Xu, H. Yang. Crystal structure of feline infectious
  peritonitis virus main protease in complex with synergetic dual inhibitors. J. Virol. 90 (2015)
  1910-1917.
- [29] P.T. Corbett, J. Leclaire, L. Vial, K.R. West, J.L. Wietor, J.K. Sanders, S. Otto. Dynamic
  combinatorial chemistry. Chem. Rev. 106 (2006) 3652-3711.
- [30] T. Christopeit, A. Albert, H.K. Leiros. Discovery of a novel covalent non-β-lactam inhibitor
  of the metallo-β-lactamase NDM-1. Bioorg. Med. Chem.24 (2016) 2947-2953.
- [31] J. Zhang, H.I. Pettersson, C. Huitema, C. Niu, J. Yin, M.N. James, L.D. Eltis, J.C. Vederas.
  Design, synthesis, and evaluation of inhibitors for severe acute respiratory syndrome 3C-like
  protease based on phthalhydrazide ketones or heteroaromatic esters. J. Med. Chem.50 (2007)
  1850-1864.
- [32] M. Rarey, B. Kramer, T. Lengauer, G. Klebe, A fast flexible docking method using an
  incremental construction algorithm. J. Mol. Biol. 261 (1996) 470-489.
- [33] J. Wang, H. Tan, Y. Li, Y. Ma, Z. Li, L.W. Guddat. Chemical synthesis, in vitro
  acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) inhibition, herbicidal activity, and computational studies
  of isatin derivatives. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59 (2011) 9892-9900.
- [34] G. Jug, M. Anderluh, T. Tomašič. Comparative evaluation of several docking tools for
  docking small molecule ligands to DC-SIGN. J. Mol. Model. 21 (2015) 164.
- [35] R.K. Mishra, J. Singh. A Structure Guided QSAR: A Rapid and Accurate technique to predict
   IC<sub>50</sub>: A Case Study. Curr. Comput. Aided Drug Des. 11 (2015) 152-163.
- [36] H. Liu, Q. Shen, J. Zhang, W. Fu. Evaluation of various inverse docking schemes in multiple
  targets identification. J. Mol. Graph. Model. 29 (2010) 326-330.
- [37] X. Hou, J. Du, J. Zhang, L. Du, H. Fang, M. Li. How to improve docking accuracy of
  AutoDock4.2: a case study using different electrostatic potentials. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2013
  Jan 28;53(1):188-200.
- [38] A.C. Wallace, R.A. Laskowski, J.M. Thornton. LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic

- diagrams of protein-ligand interactions. Protein Eng. 8 (1995) 127-134.
- [39] R.D. Cramer, D.E. Patterson, J.D. Bunce, Comparative molecular field analysis (CoMFA). 1.
  Effect of shape on binding of steroids to carrier proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988)
  5959-5967.
- [40] D.P. Jiang, C.C. Zhu, X.S. Shao, J.G. Cheng, Z. Li. Bioactive conformation analysis of
  anthranilic diamide insecticides: DFT-based potential energy surface scanning and 3D-QSAR
  investigations. Chin. Chem. Lett. 26 (2015) 662-666.
- [41] X.L. Yue, H. Li, S.S. Liu, Q.Y. Zhang, J.J. Yao, F.Y. Wang. N-Fluorinated
  phenyl-N'-pyrimidyl urea derivatives: Synthesis, biological evaluation and 3D-QSAR study.
  Chin. Chem. Lett. 25 (2014) 1069-1072.
- [42] C.A. Lipinski, F. Lombardo, B.W. Dominy, P.J. Feeney. Experimental and computational
  approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development
  settings. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 46 (2001) 3-26.
- [43] H. Yang, M. Yang, Y. Ding, Y. Liu, Z. Lou, Z. Zhou, L. Sun, L. Mo, S. Ye, H. Pang, G.F. Gao,
  K. Anand, M. Bartlam, R. Hilgenfeld, Z. Rao. The crystal structures of severe acute
  respiratory syndrome virus main protease and its complex with an inhibitor. Proc. Natl. Acad.
  Sci. USA. 100 (2003) 13190-13195.

767

768 769

770

771

772

773 774

| Entry no. | Chemical structure   | $IC_{50}(\mu M)$  | Entry no. | Chemical structure                | <i>IC</i> <sub>50</sub> (µM) |
|-----------|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 3-1       | S-Cl   | $1.871 \pm 0.071$ | 3-21      | SSS-SS                            | 1.250±0.023                  |
| 3-2       |  | $2.803 \pm 0.052$ | 3-22      | S S S F                           | 2.211±0.152                  |
| 3-3       |  | 3.675±0.193       | 3-23      | S S Br                            | 3.321±0.068                  |
| 3-4       | $ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{N}^{-N} \\ \mathbf{N}^{-N} \\ \mathbf{N}^{-N} \\ \mathbf{O}_{2}^{N} \end{array} $ | 3.130±0.052       | 3-24      |                                   | 2.555±0.270                  |
| 3-5       |  | 1.506±0.184       | 3-25      | C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OOC | 2.452±0.126                  |

Table 1. The novel unsymmetrical aromatic disulfide compounds and their SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> inhibitory activities (*IC*<sub>50</sub>)









Fig. 1. Different disulfide compounds with various biological activities from literature.







B

Fig. 2. Plot of enzyme concentration *versus* reaction velocity for enzymatic kinetic study of 3-31 (A) and 3-39 (B).



Fig. 3. Secondary plots for the determination of the kinetic constants ( $K_i$  and  $\alpha K_i$ ) of inhibitor 3-31 as a non-competitive inhibitor. The values of  $\alpha K_i$  (A) and  $K_i$  (B) are calculated from the x intercept.



Fig. 4. LIGPLOT 2D representation of 3-31 bound with SARS CoV Mpro from FlexX docking. The hydrogen bonds between the enzyme and the inhibitor are shown as green dashed lines, and distances are in Å units. Amino acid residues that are within van der Waals contact of the inhibitor are shown as red arcs.





B

Fig. 5. Steric contour map (A) and electrostatic contour map (B) for the CoMFA model. Sterically favored and disfavored regions are shown in green and yellow in map A. Electrostatic favored and disfavored regions are shown in blue and red in map B.



Scheme 1. Synthesis route of the target unsymmetrical aromatic disulfide compounds



 $R_4 = H$ ,  $o-NO_2$ ,  $o-COOC_2H_5$ ,  $p-OCH_3$  and  $p-CH_3$ 

Scheme 2. Synthesis route of the target unsymmetrical aromatic disulfide compounds (3-4, 3-6, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-10 and 3-11)

- 40 novel unsymmetrical aromatic disulfides were synthesized.
- The synthesized disulfide compounds are potent inhibitors of SARS main protease.
- Possible binding mode and structure-activity relationships of the compounds were established.