## Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of *N*-Heterocyclic Chlorides and Bromides with Arylmagnesium Reagents

2012 Vol. 14, No. 18 4818–4821

ORGANIC LETTERS

Olesya M. Kuzmina,<sup>†</sup> Andreas K. Steib,<sup>†</sup> Dietmar Flubacher,<sup>‡</sup> and Paul Knochel<sup>\*,†</sup>

Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 München, Germany, and Novartis Pharma AG, Novartis Campus, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

Paul.Knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de

## Received August 1, 2012



A simple, practical iron salt catalyzed procedure allows fast cross-couplings of *N*-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides with various electron-rich and -poor aryImagnesium reagents. A solvent mixture of THF and *t*BuOMe is found to be essential for achieving high yields mainly by avoiding homocoupling side reactions.

Fe-catalyzed cross-couplings have received a lot of attention due to the environmentally friendly properties of iron salts combined with their moderate prices.<sup>1</sup> Whereas alkylaryl,<sup>2</sup> alkyl-alkenyl,<sup>3</sup> aryl-alkenyl,<sup>3b,c,4</sup> and alkynyl<sup>5</sup> coupling reactions are well documented, the corresponding aryl-aryl cross-couplings are much more challenging due to the formation of homocoupling products<sup>2a,6,7</sup> or to the need for additional copper salts.<sup>8</sup> The use of iron fluorides in combination with carbene ligands improves such aryl-aryl cross-couplings dramatically as shown by M. Nakamura.<sup>9</sup> The cross-coupling of *N*-heterocyclic halides (chlorides or bromides) with arylmagnesium reagents is of special importance due to the potential biological activity of the resulting arylated heterocycles. For such reactions only a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Novartis Pharma AG.

<sup>(1)</sup> For selected reviews on iron-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Bolm,
C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217. (b)
Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2081. (c) Fürstner,
A.; Martin, R. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 624. (d) Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.;
Beller, M. Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3363. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3317. (e) Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500.
(f) Correa, A.; Mancheño, O. G.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108. (g) Plietker, B. Iron Catalysis in Organic Chemistry: Reactions and Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009, 121, 1390. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1364. (j) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengroš, J.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 396. (k) Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6061. For the role of metal contaminants in iron catalysis, see: (l) Buchwald, S. L.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5694. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5586. (m) Thomé, I.; Nijs, A.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 979.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 632. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 609. (b) Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A.; Méndez, M.; Krause, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13856. (c) Martin, R.; Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 4045. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3955. (d) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1297. (e) Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3686. (f) Bedford, R. B.; Bruce, D. W.; Frost, R. M.; Goodby, J. W.; Hird, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *40*, 2822. (g) Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. *Synlett* **2005**, *11*, 1794. (h) Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1104. (i) Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 4442. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4364. (j) Fürstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8773. (k) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 616. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 607. (1) Bedford, R. B.; Huwe, M.; Wilkinson, M. C. Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 600. (m) Cahiez, G.; Foulgoc, L.; Moyeux, A. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 3013. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2969. (n) Noda, D.; Sunada, Y.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nagashima, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6078. (o) Ito, S.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Nakamura, E.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4306. (p) Gøgsig, T. M.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4886. (q) Kawamura, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Takaya, H.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6054. (r) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674. (s) Steib, A. K.; Thaler, T.; Komeyama, K.; Mayer, P.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 3361. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3303. (t) Yamaguchi, Y.; Ando, H.; Nagaya, M.; Hinago, H.; Ito, T.; Asami, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 983. (u) Jin, M.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1012. (v) Hatakeyama, T.; Fujiwara, Y.-i.; Okada, Y.; Itoh, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kawamura, S.; Ogata, K.; Takaya, H.; Nakamura, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1030.

few examples have been reported and no general crosscoupling method has been available.<sup>2a,b,9,10</sup> Herein, we describe an efficient iron-catalyzed cross-coupling between *N*-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides with various arylmagnesium reagents using a simple iron salt as the catalyst system.

In preliminary experiments, we have examined the crosscoupling between 2-chloropyridine (1a) and PhMgCl (2a) (Scheme 1). Thus, catalytic amounts (5 mol %) of various iron salts such as Fe(acac)<sub>2</sub>, Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub>, or the related Fe(TMHD)<sub>3</sub> (TMHD = 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionate; entries 1–3 of Table 1) and iron halides such as FeCl<sub>2</sub>, FeCl<sub>3</sub>, FeBr<sub>2</sub>, or FeBr<sub>3</sub> (entries 4–7) as well as



**Table 1.** Optimization of the Conditions for Reaction of PyridylChloride (1a) with PhMgCl (2a) Catalyzed by Fe-Salts

entry	$\mathrm{Fe} ext{-salt}^a$	solvent	${ m reaction} { m time}^b$	yield $(\%)^c$
1	Fe(acac) <sub>2</sub>	THF	2 h	46
<b>2</b>	Fe(acac) <sub>3</sub>	THF	2 h	55
3	Fe(TMHD) <sub>3</sub>	THF	2 h	53
4	$FeCl_2$	THF	5 h	56
5	$FeCl_3$	THF	2 h	55
6	$FeBr_2$	THF	2 h	62
7	$FeBr_3$	THF	$1.5~\mathrm{h}$	63
8	Fe(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	THF	5 h	60
9	$FeF_2$	THF	20 h	$traces^d$
10	$FeF_3$	THF	20 h	$traces^d$
11	$\mathrm{FeI}_2$	THF	20 h	$traces^d$
12	$FeBr_3$	THF/NMP <sup>e</sup>	2 h	traces
13	$FeBr_3$	<i>n</i> -hexane	2 h	53
14	$FeBr_3$	toluene	$1.5~\mathrm{h}$	14
15	$FeBr_3$	$Et_2O$	$1.5~\mathrm{h}$	73, 87 <sup>f</sup> (84) <sup>f</sup>
16	$FeBr_3$	t-BuOMe	$1.5~\mathrm{h}$	$75,85^{f}(82)^{f}$

<sup>*a*</sup> 5 mol % of Fe-salt was used. <sup>*b*</sup> Reaction time until reaction completion according to GC analysis. <sup>*c*</sup> Calibrated GC yield using undecane  $(C_{11}H_{24})$  as internal standard. Numbers in brackets indicate isolated yields <sup>*d*</sup> Starting material was not consumed even after 20 h. <sup>*e*</sup> A mixture of THF/NMP (5:1) was used. The reaction of PhMgCl with NMP was dominant. <sup>*f*</sup> 3 mol % of FeBr<sub>3</sub> was used.

(3) (a) Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1487. (b) Fabre, J.-L.; Julia, M.; Verpeaux, J.-N. Tetrahedron. Lett. 1982, 23, 2469. (c) Scheiper, B.; Bonnekessel, M.; Krause, H.; Fürstner, A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3943. (d) Cahiez, G.; Duplais, C.; Moyeux, A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3253. (e) Guérinot, A.; Reymond, S.; Cossy, J. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6641. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6521. (f) Cahiez, G.; Habiak, V.; Gager, O. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2389. (g) Hatakeyama, T.; Nakagawa, N.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4496. (h) Li, B.-J.; Xu, L.; Wu, Z.-H.; Guan, B.-T.; Sun, C.-L.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14656. (i) Nishikado, H.; Nakatsuji, H.; Ueno, K.; Nagase, R.; Tanabe, Y. Synlett 2010, 14, 2087. (j) Hashimoto, T.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1168.

Fe(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (entry 8) gave only moderate yields of the desired cross-coupling product 3a (46-63%) in THF at rt. Also, the use of iron fluorides and iodide led to only traces of product at rt (entries 9-11). Polar cosolvents such as NMP (*N*-methylpyrrolidone) hampered the cross-coupling (entry 12). Nonpolar solvents, e.g., *n*-hexane or toluene, did not display any considerable improvement (entries 13–14).<sup>11</sup> However, ethereal solvents such as diethyl ether or tBuOMe dramatically increased the GC vield up to 87% affording after isolation the arylated pyridine **3a** in 84% yield (entries 15-16). Since comparable yields are obtained using tBuOMe or Et<sub>2</sub>O, we have pursued our investigations using the industry-friendly solvent *t*BuOMe. The use of such ethereal solvents proved to be a key determinant and allowed us to extend this crosscoupling to various other N-heterocycles. In order to study the reaction scope, we have first varied the N-heterocyclic chlorides or bromides and determined their reactions with PhMgCl (2a) in tBuOMe at rt.<sup>12</sup> Thus, we observed that

Table 2. Scope of Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling ofN-Heteroarylchlorides/-bromides (1a-1j) with PhMgCl (2a)







## Table 3. Iron-Catalyzed Cross-couplings of N-Heteroarylchlorides/-bromides with Various Grignard Reagents

<sup>a</sup> The reaction was performed on a 1 mmol scale with 3 mol % of FeBr<sub>3</sub> in THF/tBuOMe (ca. 2:5) at rt. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

2-bromopyridine (**1b**) reacted with PhMgCl at a faster rate for completion than 2-chloropyridine (70 min instead of 90 min) and produced **3a** in the same yield (83%, entry 2 of Table 2). Substituted bromo- or chloropyridines such as 2-chloro-4-picoline (1c) and 2-bromo-5-chloropyridine (1d) reacted smoothly with similar reaction times leading to the pyridines **3b** and **3c** in 78–84% yield (entries 3 and 4). Interestingly, the presence of a *tert*-butoxycarbonyl group in position 3 (1e) dramatically increased the reaction rate leading to full conversion within 5 min (entry 5). The cross-coupling product **3d** was isolated in 60% yield. No starting chloride was detected, and the relatively moderate yield may be due to a polymerization of 1e. The annulation of the pyridine ring with a benzene moiety also accelerated the reaction rate, and the cross-couplings of PhMgCl with

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Molander, G. A.; Rahn, B. J.; Shubert, D. C.; Bonde, S. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 5449. (b) Itami, K.; Higashi, S.; Mineno, M.; Yoshida, J.-i. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1219.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Hatakeyama, T.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Gabriel, T.; Nakamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 5341. (b) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 135. (c) Hatakeyama, T.; Okada, Y.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Nakamura, M. *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 11204. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10973.

2-chloroquinoline (1f) or 1-chloroisoquinoline (1g) were completed in 5 min and gave the expected phenylated *N*-heterocycles 3e and 3f in 88–90% yield (entries 6 and 7). The cross-coupling was also extended to diazines. Whereas the 2-chloropyrimidine derivative 1h reacted with PhMgCl within 2 h providing the arylated pyrimidine 3g in 76% yield (entry 8), the more sensitive chloropyridazine 1i and -pyrazine 1j required 3–5 h for the reaction to go to completion but led to the phenylated products in only 22-24% yields (entries 9 and 10).<sup>13</sup>

We have then varied the nature of the Grignard reagent<sup>14</sup> using typical N-heterocyclic chlorides and bromides (1b, 1f, 1g) as electrophiles (Table 3). In all cases, the Fe-catalyzed cross-couplings were fast (2 min to 5 h) and led to complete conversion. Both electron-rich and -poor substituents can be present in the Grignard reagent. We have examined first the substitution pattern of the arylmagnesium reagent and have found that ortho-, meta-, and para-substituted Grignard reagents can be used. Whereas *m*-TolMgBr  $\cdot$  LiCl (**2b**) and *p*-TolMgBr  $\cdot$  LiCl (**2c**) react at similar rates as the unsubstituted magnesium reagent, the presence of an ortho-methyl substituent in o-TolMgBr. LiCl (2d) reduced the reaction rate (compare entry 3 of Table 3 with entry 6 of Table 2). However, in all cases excellent yields (80-93%); entries 1-3 of Table 3) were obtained. Various electron-poor substituents such as a trifluoromethyl group (as in 3-trifluoromethyl- magnesium bromide 2e and in 3,5-ditrifluorophenylmagnesium bromide 2f; entries 4–6), a fluorine group (as in 4-fluorophenylmagnesium bromide 2g; entries 7 and 8), and a chlorine group (as in 2h; entry 9) were well tolerated in the cross-couplings providing the expected products in 66-92% yields (entries 4-9). Interestingly, also electronrich substituents such as methoxy (see reagents 2i and 2i; entries 10-12), methylenedioxy (see reagent 2k; entry 13), and pivalate groups (OPiv; see reagent 2l; entry 14) were compatible with rapid iron-catalyzed cross-couplings. The more sensitive Boc-protected Grignard reagent 2m also

smoothly underwent cross-coupling with 2-chloroquinoline leading to the 2-arylated quinoline **3x** in 84% yield (entry 15). An amino substituent did not disturb the crosscoupling, and the Grignard reagent **2n** reacted with **1f** within 5 min providing the product **3y** in 82% yield (entry 16).

Even though the mechanism of this cross-coupling could not yet be elucidated, we noticed that the use of Fe(II) or Fe(III) salt led to similar results. Reducing the Fe(III) catalyst *in situ* with *i*PrMgCl prior to cross-coupling deactivated the catalytic system and hampered the coupling reaction. The use of an apolar cosolvent such as *t*BuOMe was found to be vital to achieving high yields mainly by avoiding homocoupling products.

In summary, we have developed a new practical ironcatalyzed  $sp^2-sp^2$  cross-coupling between *N*-heterocyclic chlorides or bromides and various arylmagnesium reagents. This cross-coupling reaction tolerates several electronwithdrawing and -rich functionalities, such as dimethylamino, *tert*-butoxyoxycarbonyl (OBoc), or methoxy groups. Further studies to increased the reaction scope as well as mechanistic investigations are currently underway in our laboratories.

Acknowledgment. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the *European Community's* Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) ERC Grant Agreement No. 227763. We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support and are grateful to BASF AG and Chemetall GmbH for the generous gift of chemicals. O.M.K. thanks the Novartis Pharma AG for financial support.

**Supporting Information Available.** Experimental procedures and characterization data of all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(6)</sup> For Fe-catalyzed homocoupling reactions, see: (a) Kharasch, M. S.; Fields, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1941**, 63, 2316. (b) Felkin, H.; Meunier, B. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, 146, 169. (c) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 491. (d) Cahiez, G.; Chaboche, C.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Ahr, M. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 1943. (e) Xu, X.; Cheng, D.; Pei, W. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 6637. (f) Liu, W.; Lei, A. Tetrahedron Lett. **2007**, 49, 610. (g) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A.; Buendia, J.; Duplais, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 13788.

<sup>(7)</sup> The term "homocoupling" refers only to the homocoupling of the Grignard reagent.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Sapountzis, I.; Lin, W.; Kofink, C. C.; Despotopoulou, C.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. **2005**, 117, 1682. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, 44, 1654. (b) Kofink, C. C.; Blank, B.; Pagano, S.; Götz, N.; Knochel, P. Chem. Commun. **2007**, 1954.

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9844. (b) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11949.

<sup>(10) (</sup>a) Quintin, J.; Franck, X.; Hocquemiller, R.; Figadère, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 3547. (b) Korn, T. J.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. *Synlett* **2003**, *12*, 1892. (c) Boully, L.; Darabantu, M.; Turck, A.; Plé, N. J. Heterocycl. Chem. **2005**, *42*, 1423.

<sup>(11)</sup> The low yields in entries 1-14 of Table 1 are due to the fact that the reaction conversion never reaches 100% for these substrates.

<sup>(12)</sup> Since PhMgCl is prepared in THF, the cross-coupling reaction is in fact performed in a mixture of THF and *t*BuOMe (ca. 2:5).

<sup>(13)</sup> The use of other heterocyclic halides, such as 3- and 4-chloropyridine, 2-chlorothiophene, or 2-bromofuran, as well as standard haloarenes resulted in only low yields.

<sup>(14)</sup> The Grignard reagents were prepared by LiCl-mediated Mg insertion; see: Piller, F. M.; Metzger, A.; Schade, M. A.; Haag, B. A.; Gavryushin, A.; P. Knochel, P. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 7192.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.