Stereocontrol in a one-pot procedure for anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement followed by intramolecular aldol reaction

PERKIN

Alistair P. Rutherford, a Cameron S. Gibb, Richard C. Hartley *a and Jonathan M. Goodman b

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 19th December 2000, Accepted 23rd March 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 18th April 2001

Racemic β -hydroxycyclohexanones with up to three chiral centres have been synthesized in a stereocontrolled way using the novel anionic oxy-Cope (AOC) rearrangement of acyclic enol ethers. The first examples of compounds containing an aldehyde and an enol ether in a 1,5 relationship are reported. Stereocontrol in the cyclisation of these compounds by a 6-(enolendo)-exo-trig intramolecular aldol reaction has been studied: there is high stereoselectivity for an axial hydroxy group in the product β -hydroxycyclohexanones. AM1 calculations show that there is a stabilising electrostatic attraction between the oxygen atom of the axial C-3 hydroxy group and the electron-poor carbon at C-1 in the intermediate oxonium ions. \geq 87% of AOC rearrangement occurs via a chair-like transition state giving rise to the 5,6-anti stereochemistry of the β -hydroxycyclohexanones. Trapping the enolate product of AOC rearrangement with oxygen gives fragmentation via a 1,2-dioxetane.

Introduction

We have been developing a general method for the stereocontrolled synthesis of polyfunctionalised ring systems using four key reactions: the aldol reaction, Takai alkylidenation, a novel anionic oxy-Cope (AOC) rearrangement of acyclic enol ethers and a new intramolecular aldol reaction (Scheme 1).¹

The starting α , β -unsaturated aldehyde **1** has one piece of stereochemical information, the *E* double bond geometry. The aldol reaction then introduces up to two chiral centres in a controlled way. The aldol reaction is chosen as the first step because it is reliable and has efficient diastereoselective and enantioselective versions.²

The second key step is the conversion of ester 2 into enol ether 3 using a titanium(IV) alkylidene reagent 4. Titanium alkylidene reagents 4 developed by Tebbe³ and by Grubbs⁴ are limited to methylenation ($R^4 = H$), while those developed by Petasis⁵ can only be generated if R^4 does not allow β -elimination (*i.e.* $R^4 = H$, aryl, SiMe₃). However, Takai^{6,7} and, more recently, Takeda⁸ have reported methods of generating

DOI: 10.1039/b010138i

titanium(IV) alkylidenes in a way that tolerates β -hydrogen atoms. Furthermore, their reagents convert esters into enol ethers with good Z-selectivity. Thus, the alkylidenation step may introduce further stereochemical information.

The third key step is AOC rearrangement of enol ether 3 to give enolate 5. This moves the stereochemical information into positions that are less accessible by 'direct' synthesis, and it may also increase the stereochemical complexity of the system. The AOC rearrangement of rigid cyclic substrates is well known to give high levels of stereocontrol and is widely used. Our method employs the rarer AOC rearrangement of acyclic substrates. Prior to our work, only five examples of AOC rearrangement of enol ethers had been reported; all were cyclic and only two had our 1,3-relationship between the enol ether and the oxyanion. All Enolate 5 is quenched with water to give the novel aldehyde—enol ether 6. Compounds containing an aldehyde and an enol ether in a 1,5 relationship were unknown prior to our work.

The fourth key step is acid-induced carbocyclisation 14 of aldehyde 6 to give a β-hydroxycyclohexanone 7 producing up to two new chiral centres. 6-(enolendo)-exo-trig cyclisation of enol ethers onto aldehydes is new. However, since our communication 1 similar reactions of enol ethers with ketones have been reported.¹⁵ Similar 6-(enolendo)-exo-trig intramolecular aldol reactions 16 are some of the most important synthetic (e.g. the Robinson annulation) and biological transformations (e.g. aromatic ring formation in polyketide synthesis). However, there had been no systematic study of the stereochemical aspects of this highly favoured process prior to the work that we report here. There were reports where the orientation of the 3-hydroxy group had been determined by face selectivity on a carbocycle present in the reactant, 17 but reported stereoselectivities in the formation of monocyclic β-hydroxycyclohexanones varied from only axial, 15,18,19 to mostly equatorial.19,20

We here give full details of our first studies on the route outlined in Scheme 1. We wished to determine whether acyclic enol ethers 3 would undergo AOC rearrangement; whether the acid-induced cyclisation would be selective for an axial or for an equatorial 3-hydroxy in β-hydroxycyclohexanone 7; whether there would be good control of the 5,6-relative stereochemistry

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK G12 8QQ

^b Department of Chemistry, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW

of cyclohexanones 7, which is set up in the AOC rearrangement; and whether the bifunctional aldehydes 6 could be isolated. By choosing racemic substrates $3 (R^2 = H)$ that had only one chiral centre, we avoided the complex issue of the orientation of the oxyanion during AOC rearrangement.

Results and discussion

We synthesised a range of substrates 12 and 13 for the AOC rearrangement by the route shown in Scheme 2. Reaction of

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, TBDMSCl or TESCl, EtNPri2, DMF; ii, TMSCl, EtNPrⁱ₂, THF or CH₂Cl₂; iii, TiCl₄, TMEDA, Zn, CH₂Br₂, THF; iv, TiCl₄, TMEDA, Zn, CH₃CHBr₂, THF; v, TBAF, THF.

cinnamaldehyde with the appropriate lithium enolates gave aldols 8a and 8b in close to quantitative yield. The aliphatic aldol **8c** was made from (E)-hex-2-enal in 89% yield. The aldols 8d and 8e were made in 82% and 43% yield respectively by Mukaiyama reaction²¹ between 1-phenoxy-1-trimethyl-silyloxyethylene²² and the appropriate aldehyde. The use of Mukaiyama conditions is necessary as β-lactones are formed in aldol reactions using phenyl esters.23 Indeed, Schick and co-workers²⁴ have used related indium-mediated Reformatsky reaction as a method for the synthesis of β -lactones.

Alkylidenation of β -hydroxyesters 8 using a modification ^{7,25} of Takai's procedure failed, so the aldols 8 were protected as silyl ethers 9. Methylenation then gave enol ethers 10, while ethylenation gave mixtures of Z and E enol ethers 11 with the Zisomers predominating (81–85% Z). Much higher Z-selectivity has been observed in the ethylenation of esters having a branch alpha to the carbonyl group. 6,26 It is noteworthy that even the trimethylsilyl group is stable to Takai alkylidenation. The trimethylsilyl ethers were carried through all steps with no purification after work-up.

Removal of the silvl protecting groups gave alcohols 12 and 13 in 18–52% overall yield from the corresponding aldols. The E and Z isomers of enol ethers 13a and 13b were separated in order to study their rearrangement products. The double bond geometries were assigned from the chemical shifts of RC(OR³)=CHCH₃ in the ¹³C NMR spectra as described by Strobel et al.²⁷ Z-enol ethers have $\delta_{\rm C} = 109.5$ and 110.6 ppm respectively, while $\delta_C = 93.7$ and 94.8 ppm for *E*-enol ethers.

Initially, we attempted to rearrange and cyclise alcohols 12b and 12c so that we could determine the diastereoselectivity

in the cyclisation step. isopropyl enol ether 12b reacted with potassium hydride and 18-crown-6 (18-c-6) in DME to give alkoxide 14 which underwent AOC rearrangement to enolate 15 (Scheme 3). This was quenched with aqueous hydrochloric acid

to generate the desired aldehyde 16 which cyclised under these acid conditions to give a 95 : 5 ratio of 3,5-anti- and 3,5-syn-βhydroxycyclohexanones²⁸ 17 and 18 (no dehydration). The crude mixture was not amenable to chromatography so pure β-hydroxycyclohexanone 17 was obtained in 43% yield by crystallisation. Dehydration of the crude mixture of products from rearrangement of alcohol 12b gave 5-phenylcyclohex-2enone ²⁹ **19** in 61% yield.

The above rearrangement-cyclisation and the rearrangements of enol ethers 13 that follow gave crude product mixtures with 80–100% mass balance after work-up. The ¹H NMR spectra showed that the desired β-hydroxycyclohexanones were essentially the only products and the characteristic olefinic signals (δ 5–6 ppm) for the starting 1,5-dienes were absent. In each case, the ratio of isomers was determined by integration of the CHOH signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude mixture. Structural assignment is described below.

A much longer reaction time was required for the rearrangement of aliphatic alcohol 12c. Acid-induced cyclisation then gave a 51% isolated yield of a 90:10 mixture of 3,5-anti- and 3,5-*syn*-β-hydroxycyclohexanones **20** and **21** (Scheme 4).

We concluded from the above cyclisations that there is a strong preference for the formation of an axial hydroxy group in the product β -hydroxycyclohexanones. An explanation for this selectivity is given below.

We next examined control of the 5,6-relative stereochemistry † of β -hydroxycyclohexanones 7 ($R^2 = H$) by the AOC rearrangement (Scheme 1).

The 5,6-anti-β-hydroxycyclohexanones 22 and 24 were the major products when Z enol ethers Z-13a and Z-13b were rearranged and cyclised (Scheme 5, Table 1). We presume that the 5,6-anti isomers arise from AOC rearrangement via chairlike conformation 26; if this is so, then 87–89% of the reaction proceeds via this conformation. Similarly, we believe that the 5,6-syn-β-hydroxycyclohexanones 23 and 25 arise from rearrangement via boat-like conformation 27. The orientation

[†] For ease of comparison with the 3,5-disubstituted cyclohexanones, the substituents of the trisubstituted cyclohexanones are considered to be at positions 3, 5 and 6. The latter compounds would be named as 2,3,5-trisubstituted cyclohexanones according to IUPAC nomenclature.

 Table 1
 Products from AOC rearrangement of alcohols 13

	Ratio					
Reactant	22	23	24	25	28:29	
Z-13a	74	12	13	а	1	
Z-13b	78	11	10	а	1	
<i>E</i> -13a	24	66	9		1	
<i>E</i> -13b	24	67	8		1	

"Not detected and expected to be <1%. b Ratio of products as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude mixture after work-up.

of the oxyanion is unknown, but recent evidence suggests that it is axial.²⁶ The major isomer **22** was isolated by crystallisation in 31% yield from the rearrangement–cyclisation of enol ether **Z-13b**. Unfortunately, dehydration of alcohol **22** proceeded with epimerisation to give an 87: 13 mixture of cyclohexenones **28** and **29** (Scheme 6). Under the same conditions, the crude mixture from rearrangement–cyclisation of enol ether **Z-13b** gave the same 87: 13 ratio of cyclohexenones **28** and **29** in 57% yield (Scheme 6).

We confirmed that the 5,6 stereochemistry reflects whether the transition state of AOC rearrangement is chair-like or boat-like and is not the result of epimerisation. When enol ether Z-13a was rearranged and quenched with 1 M DCl in D_2 O the label was incorporated only at C-4 of β -hydroxycyclohexanone

22. This was evident from loss of couplings to CHOH and CHPh, and a reduction in the size of the signal for the C-4 methylene in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the product 22. The doublet due to the methyl group showed no trace of collapse to a singlet and the C-2 protons were unaffected. The lack of deuterium incorporation at C-2 confirms that cyclisation is faster than protonation of the enol ether, a result that mirrors kinetic studies of base-catalysed intramolecular aldol reactions.³⁰

E Enol ethers E-B13a and E-13b gave the 5,6-syn isomer 23 as the major product of rearrangement, presumably as a result of rearrangement via chair-like conformation 31 (Scheme 5). However, substantial amounts of 5,6-anti isomer 22 were also formed, indicating that the boat-like conformation 30 competes. The CHOH signal of the all syn isomer 25 in the 1 H NMR spectrum overlaps sufficiently with that of its C-6 epimer 24 to prevent the ratio of 24:25 from being determined accurately. We estimate that the ratio of 24:25 is 3:5 since the 5,6-anti isomers 22 and 24 should be produced in the same ratio by rearrangement of either E or Z enol ethers 13.

In all the above cyclisations, there is a strong preference for the formation of an axial hydroxy group (*i.e.* 3,5-anti stereochemistry) in the product β -hydroxycyclohexanones. The aldehydes 16 and 32 produced by aqueous quench of the AOC rearrangement of isopropyl enol ethers 12b and 13b might become protonated to give oxonium ions 33 (Scheme 7), which

would undergo intramolecular aldol reactions to give the 3,5-anti oxonium ions **34**, and 3,5-syn oxonium ions **35**. Hydrolysis of 3,5-anti oxonium ions **34** would then give the favoured β-hydroxycyclohexanones **17**, **22** and **23**, while hydrolysis of 3,5-syn oxonium ions **35** would give β-hydroxycyclohexanones **18**, **24** and **25**. MM2 calculations as implemented in MacroModel version $5.5^{31,32}$ show that there is a modest thermodynamic preference for 3,5-anti-β-hydroxycyclohexanones **17**, **22** and **23** with an axial hydroxy group over the corresponding 3,5-syn β-hydroxycyclohexanones **18**, **24** and **25** with an equatorial hydroxy group (Table 2, entries 1–3). The preference is lower than that observed experimentally and AM1 calculations ³³ carried out using MOPAC version 7^{34} indicate that the 3,5-syn-β-hydroxycyclohexanones **18**, **24** and **25** are lower in energy (Table 2, entries 4–6). However, AM1

Table 2 MM2 and AM1 calculations

N. 4. 1. 6	3,5-anti (axial (OH)	3,5-syn (equato	orial OH)	D
Method of calculation	Compound	kJ mol ⁻¹	Compound	kJ mol ⁻¹	Ratio at 0 °C 3,5-anti : syn
MM2	17	21.16	18	22.84	68:32
MM2	22	26.35	24	28.17	69:31
MM2	23	39.55	25	41.19	67:33
AM1	17	-325.4	18	-328.6	20:80
AM1	22	-337.6	24	-340.6	21:79
AM1	23	-330.1	25	-336.0	7:93
AM1	34a	334.2	35a	343.4	98:2
AM1	34b	320.4	35b	331.5	99:1
AM1	34c	324.4	35e	334.5	99:1

calculations strongly favour oxonium ions 34 with an axial hydroxy group over the corresponding oxonium ions 35 with an equatorial hydroxy group. The Mulliken population analysis suggests that there is a positive charge on C-1 and a partial negative charge on the oxygen atom of the hydroxy group, so there should be an electrostatic interaction between these two centres. When the hydroxy group is equatorial the distance between C-1 and the oxygen atom of the hydroxy group is about 3.7 Å, while this distance is only approximately 2.5 Å for an axial hydroxy group. Thus, the electrostatic interaction in 3,5-anti oxonium ions 34 would be stronger than that in 3,5-syn oxonium ions 35 and probably accounts for the greater stability of the 3,5-anti configuration. Stabilisation of the intermediate 3,5-anti oxonium ions 34 could lead to the observed preference for β -hydroxycyclohexanones 17, 22 and 23. It seems likely that the rate of hydrolysis of oxonium ions 34 and 35 would be similar. The calculated thermodynamic preference for 3,5-anti oxonium ions 34 could, therefore, lead to the observed preference for β-hydroxycyclohexanones 17, 22 and 23, provided the ratio of 34:35 is thermodynamically controlled. If, however, this ratio is kinetically controlled (i.e. ions 34 and 35 do not equilibrate), it is likely that there will still be a preference for βhydroxycyclohexanones 17, 22 and 23, because the interactions which lead to the thermodynamic preference for the 3,5-anti stereochemistry should be partially present in the transition state for its formation; thus, there will be a kinetic preference for the 3,5-anti oxonium ions 34 and the cyclohexanones 17, 22 and 23 derived from them.

The bifunctional intermediates 6 (Scheme 1) are interesting in that they contain both a nucleophilic enol ether and an electrophilic aldehyde group. Alkyl enol ethers proved too nucleophilic to allow the isolation of such intermediates, but alcohols 12d and 12e underwent AOC rearrangement followed by alkaline quench to give phenyl enol ethers—aldehydes 36 and 37 that could be isolated (Scheme 8). Recently, the relative rates

OPh
(a) KH, 18-c-6, THF
(b) NaHCO_{3 (aq)}

$$R^1$$
 R^2
12d,e

OPh
 R^2
 R^2

Scheme 8

of enolate protonation and intramolecular reaction between an enolate and an aldehyde have been studied.³⁰ Compounds **36** and **37** may prove useful for similar studies of acid-induced aldol reactions.

Finally, we wished to test whether our method could be used to make cyclic peroxides that would be potential antibiotics. The 1,2,4-trioxane component of artemisinin is known to be responsible for its antimalarial activity, and other cyclic peroxides have antifungal activity.³⁵ We hoped that quenching the

enolate 38 resulting from AOC rearrangement of alcohol 12e with oxygen 12,36 would give aldehyde 39, which would cyclise upon acid quench to give compound 40 (Scheme 9).

Unfortunately, aldehyde **39** fragments (presumably *via* 1,2-dioxetane **41**) to give ketone **42**, which could be isolated in 71% yield when a neutral quench was used. Autooxidation of enolisable carbonyl groups in protic solvents is well known,³⁷ but regiocontrol can be problematic and multiple fragmentations can occur. Since our oxygenation–fragmentation occurs at low temperature (perhaps limiting multiple fragmentation) and the position of the enolate is determined by the AOC rearrangement, the reaction could be synthetically useful. Recently, a similar fragmentation of a peroxide derived from a potassium enolate has been exploited in gibberellin synthesis.³⁸

Stereochemical assignment

The spectroscopic data for the 3,5-anti-β-hydroxycyclohexanone 17 correspond to that reported by Fleming and co-workers ²⁸ and the 3,5-syn diastereomer 18 was identified in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude mixture by comparison with their data for the 3,5-syn compound 18. The ¹H NMR signal for CHOH of 3,5-anti-β-hydroxycyclohexanone 20 is a narrow multiplet of approximately 16 Hz, showing no large axial–axial couplings.

The 3,5-anti,5,6-anti-β-hydroxycyclohexanone **22** was isolated and its stereochemistry determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. 1 and Table 3). The rows in Table 3 show the unadjusted coupling constants measured from the signal at the chemical shift shown. The signal for *CHOH* is a 2.9 Hz quintet, confirming that it is equatorial and the hydroxy group

Table 3 Selected ¹H NMR data for cyclohexanone 22

δ	Assignment	H^2	$H^{2'}$	H^3	H ⁴	$H^{4'}$	H^5	H^6	Me
2.77	H^2		14.1	3.0	_	_	_	_	_
2.62	$H^{2'}$	14.1		а	_	_	_	_	_
4.59	H^3	2.9	2.9		2.9	2.9	_	_	
2.13-2.19	H^4			а		а	a		
2.13-2.19	$H^{4'}$	_	_	а	а		a	_	_
3.13	H ⁵	_	_	_	9.1	7.5		11.8	_
2.64	H^6	_	_	_		_	11.9		6.6
0.84	Me	_		_			_	6.5	

^a Coupling constant could not be determined; — indicates no coupling.

Table 4 Selected ¹H NMR data for cyclohexanone 43

δ	Assignment	H ²	$H^{2'}$	H³	H ⁴	$H^{4'}$	H ⁵	H ⁶	Me	
2.74	H^2		14.5	3.7	_	_	_	_	_	
2.38	$H^{2'}$	14.6		4.9	_	_	_	_	_	
4.40	H^3	а	а		a	а		_	_	
2.29	H^4			2.5		13.5	10.9	_		
1.96	$H^{4'}$	_		4.5	13.7		3.5	_	_	
3.71	H ⁵	_	_	_	11.0	4.3		4.3	_	
2.70	H^6	_	_	_	_	_	а		а	
0.88	Me	_	_	_	_	_	_	7.2		

[&]quot; Coupling constant could not be determined; — indicates no coupling.

Table 5 Selected ¹H NMR data for cyclohexanone 44

δ	Assignment	H ²	$H^{2'}$	H^3	H ⁴	$H^{4'}$	H ⁵	H^6	Me
2.58	H^2		12.2	12.2	_	_	_	_	_
2.76	$H^{2'}$	13.0	12.2	4.9	_	2.2	_	_	_
3.95	H^3	10.9	4.8		10.9	4.8	_	_	_
2.04	H ⁴	_	_	10.7		12.9	12.9		_
2.20	$H^{4'}$		a	a	a		a		_
2.38	H^5	_	_	_	12.3	3.3		12.3	_
2.52	H^6	_	_	_	_	_	a		а
0.78	Me	_	_	_	_	_	_	6.4	

^a Coupling constant could not be determined; — indicates no coupling.

is axial. The signals for H⁵ and H⁶ show a large axial–axial coupling to each other, confirming the 5,6-anti stereochemistry.

Isomers 23 and 24 could not be isolated by chromatography or crystallisation, but silylation of the product mixture from rearrangement of Z-enol ether 13 followed by exhaustive chromatography gave samples of the silylated derivatives 43 and 44. The stereochemistry of each isomer was assigned using ¹H NMR spectroscopy (see Fig. 2 and Table 4, Fig. 3 and Table 5). In each case, the connectivity was established by irradiating the signals for CHOTBDMS (leading to simplification of the signals for H², H², H⁴ and H⁴) and the methyl group (leading to the signal for H⁶ collapsing to a doublet). In each case, the

signal for H-6 was partly obscured and when the methyl group was irradiated, one line of the doublet was coincident with a neighbouring signal, so $J_{5,6}$ is determined from the signal for H-5. Averaging two or more similar couplings leads to minor discrepancies in the coupling constants measured from different signals.

The signal for CHOTBDMS of silyl ether 43 is a narrow multiplet and $J_{2,3}$, $J_{2',3}$, $J_{4,3}$ and $J_{4',3}$ are all less than 5 Hz. Thus, the silyloxy group is axial. $J_{5,6}$ is 4.3 Hz, allowing us to assign the 5,6-syn stereochemistry. The signal for CHOTBDMS of silyl ether 44 shows large axial-axial couplings to H^2 and H^4 , confirming that the silyloxy group is equatorial. $J_{5,6}$ is large, confirming the 5,6-anti stereochemistry.

Unfortunately, treatment of the silyl derivatives with TBAF generated a mixture of isomers, presumably by a fluoride induced retro-aldol process. However, the assignment of the stereochemistry of the silyl ethers allows us to identify the corresponding alcohols in the crude mixture produced by rearrangement–cyclisation because the ¹H NMR signal for CHOTBDMS in each silyl derivative was the same shape

and almost the same chemical shift as the CHOH of the corresponding alcohol.

Conclusion

We have synthesised racemic β -hydroxycyclohexanones with up to three chiral centres in a stereocontrolled way using the novel AOC rearrangement of acyclic enol ethers. We have isolated the first examples of compounds containing an aldehyde and an enol ether in a 1,5 relationship. We have studied stereocontrol in the novel 6-(enolendo)-exo-trig intramolecular aldol reaction between enol ethers and aldehydes and shown that the reaction favours the formation of an axial hydroxy group. We have shown that the 5,6-relative stereochemistry of β -hydroxycyclohexanones is controlled by the AOC rearrangement and $\geq 87\%$ of AOC rearrangement occurs via a chair-like transition state. Finally, we have observed an oxidative fragmentation of an enolate prepared by AOC rearrangement.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen, using oven-dried glassware. All solutions were added via syringe unless otherwise stated. THF, ether and DME were freshly distilled from sodium-benzophenone. Dichloromethane, hexane and all amines were distilled from CaH₂ prior to use. DMF was distilled from BaO or from calcium hydride and was stored over 4 Å MS. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling at 40-60 °C. Cinnamaldehyde was distilled. 18-Crown-6 was dried by azeotrope with toluene. Reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Purification by column chromatography was carried out using Fisher MatrexTM silica gel, mesh size 35-70 μm, Fluka basic alumina Brockmann grade III or Aldrich neutral alumina Brockmann grade III mesh size ~150 as the stationary phase. Melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded using a Nicolet Impact 410 FTIR spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AM-200SY, AM-360 and DPX-400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane using residual CHCl₃ as an internal standard (7.26 ppm). J Values are given in Hz. The multiplicities of ¹³C nuclei were determined using the DEPT pulse sequence. Mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL JMS700 spectrometer. Combustion analysis was carried out using a Carlo-Erba 1106 elemental analyser.

Ethyl (E)-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 8a 39

Ethyl acetate (11.1 cm³, 113.6 mmol) in dry THF (230 cm³) was added to a stirred solution of LDA [made from butyllithium $(1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ solution in THF}, 113.5 \text{ cm}^3, 113.5 \text{ mmol})$ and diisopropylamine (14.9 cm³, 113.5 mmol)]. After 40 min (E)-cinnamaldehyde (14.3 cm³, 113.4 mmol) was added. Stirring continued for 20 min, then the mixture was poured into aqueous hydrochloric acid (200 cm³, 1 mol dm⁻³). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with ether $(2 \times 200 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with aqueous hydrochloric acid (100 cm³) and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (100 cm³). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give the aldol 8a as a yellow oil (23.43 g, 106.4 mmol, 94%), sufficiently pure for the silyl protection reaction; $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃) 7.40–7.19 (5H, m, Ph), 6.65 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 1.2, PhCH=), 6.21 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 6.0, PhCH=CH), 4.89-4.64 (1H, br m, CHOH), 4.18 (2H, q, J 6.0, OCH₂CH₃), 2.62–2.59 [2H, m, CH(OH)C H_2] and 1.26 (3H, d, J 6.0, OCH₂C H_3).

Isopropyl (E)-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 8b 40

In the same way, isopropyl acetate (11.5 cm³, 98.2 mmol), LDA [ex butyllithium (1.6 mol dm⁻³ solution in THF, 61.2 cm³, 97.9

mmol) and disopropylamine (9.9 g, 12.8 cm³, 97.9 mmol)], and (E)-cinnamaldehyde (12.3 cm³, 97.5 mmol) gave aldol **8b** (23.63 g, 103%) as a pale yellow oil, sufficiently pure for the silyl protection reaction; R_f (alumina, 1:1 ether-hexane) 0.21; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3449 br s (OH), 2981 m, 2935 w, 1726 s (C=O), 1495 m, 1374 w, 1107 m, 966 m, 818 m and 751 s; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37-7.21 (5H, m, Ph), 6.64 (1H, d, J 16.0, PhCH=), 6.21 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 6.0, PhCH=CH), 5.06 (1H, sep, J 6.4, OCHMe₂), 4.74–4.68 (1H, br m, CHOH), 3.26 (1H, d, J 4.2, OH), 2.63 [1H, dd, J 16.0 and 4.8, CH(OH)CHAHB], 2.58 [1H, dd, J 16.0 and 7.4, CH(OH)CH^AH^B] and 1.24 (6H, d, J 6.4, OCH Me_2); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.7 (C), 136.5 (C), 130.6 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 68.9 (CH), 67.9 (CH), 41.9 (CH₂) and 21.8 (CH₃); m/z (EI) 234.1 (M⁺*, 25%), 174.1 (40), 133.1 (100) (Found: M⁺, 234.1256, C₁₄H₁₈O₃ requires M, 234.1256).

Isopropyl (E)-3-hydroxyoct-4-enoate 8c

In the same way, isopropyl acetate (7.2 cm³, 61 mmol), LDA [ex 38.2 cm³ of a 1.6 mol dm⁻³ solution of butyllithium in hexane and 8.0 cm³, 61.1 mmol of diisopropylamine] and (E)-hexenal (7.1 cm³, 61 mmol) gave the *aldol* **8c** as a pale yellow oil (12.68 g, 103%) sufficiently pure for the silyl protection reaction. A pure sample was obtained by column chromatography on silica using 1:1 ether-hexane as eluent to give a colourless oil (89%), $R_{\rm f}$ (silica, 1 : 1 ether–hexane) 0.42; v_{max} (thin film) 3448 s br (OH), 2961 s, 2932 s, 1732 s (C=O), 1468 m, 1108 m and 966 m; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.68 (1H, dt, J 15.2 and 6.8, CH₂CH=), 5.45 (1H, dd, J 15.2 and 6.8, CH₂CH=CH), 5.02 (1H, sep, J 6.4, CHMe₂), 4.45 (1H, m, CHOH), 2.51–2.45 [2H, m, CH(OH)CH₂], 1.97 (2H, dt, J7.4 and 6.8, CH₂CH=), 1.36 (2H, sex, J7.2, CH₃CH₂), 1.22 (6H, d, J 6.4, CH Me_2) and 0.86 (3H, t, J 7.2, C H_3 CH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}(100~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~172.3~({\rm C}),~132.8~({\rm CH}),~131.1~({\rm CH}),~69.4$ (CH), 68.5 (CH), 42.3 (CH₂), 34.6 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 22.2 (CH_3) and 14.0 (CH_3) ; m/z (CI) 218.2 $[(M + NH_4)^+, 45\%]$, 200.0 (-H₂O, 52), 183.1 (100) [Found (EI): M⁺, 200.1413. $C_{11}H_{20}O_3$ requires M, 200.1412].

Phenyl (E)-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 8d

BF₃·OEt₂ (11.2 cm³, 0.09 mol) was added to a stirred solution of (E)-cinnamaldehyde (11.5 cm 3 , 0.09 mol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (200 cm³) over 0.5 h at −78 °C under nitrogen. After stirring for 30 min at -78 °C, 1-phenoxy-1-trimethylsiloxyethylene²² (19 g, 0.09 mol, approx. 70% pure) was added, over 30 min and stirring was continued at -78 °C for 30 min. The temperature was allowed to rise to -30 °C over 30 min and stirring was continued for a further 3 h. The mixture was then poured into pH 7 phosphate buffer (200 cm³) and warmed to room temperature. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 cm³), the organic washings were combined, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give ester 8d as needles (8.25 g, 43% \ddagger). R_f (DCM) 0.13; mp 99–101 °C; v_{max} (KBr) 3447 (OH), 1737 (C=O), 1489 (C=C), 1195, 1145, 743 and 690 cm⁻¹; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.35–6.99 (10H, m, 2 × *Ph*), 6.65 (1H, d, J 15.9, =CHPh), 6.23 (1H, dd, J 15.9 and 6.2, =CHCHOH), 4.77 (1H, m, CHOH), 2.84 (2H, d, J 6.7, CH₂) and 2.76 (1H, br s, OH); $\delta_{\rm C}(100~{\rm MHz})$ 170.6 (C), 150.3 (C), 136.2 (C), 131.1 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.5 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 127.9 (CH), 126.5 (2 × CH), 126.0 (CH), 121.4 $(2 \times \text{CH})$, 68.9 (CH) and 41.7 (CH₂); m/z 268 (5, M⁺*), 175 (49, M⁺* – PhO*), 157 (16.4, M⁺* – PhO* and H₂O), 133 (96), 115 (29) and 94 (100, PhOH) (Found: C 75.91, H 5.91%. C₁₇H₁₆O₃ requires C 76.12, H 5.97%).

Phenyl 3-hydroxy-4-methyleneoctanoate 8e

Aldol 8e (17.73 g, 82%) was prepared from 2-butylacrolein

[‡] Based on the aldehyde.

(11.5 g, 87 mmol) using the method described for **8d** as an oil. $R_{\rm f}$ (DCM) 0.10; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (thin film) 3458 (OH), 2956, 2931, 1760 (C=O), 1650 (C=C), 1594 (aromatic ring) and 1493 (aromatic ring) cm $^{-1}$; $\delta_{\rm H}(200~{\rm MHz};$ CDCl $_3)$ 7.42–7.04 (5H, m, Ph), 5.17 (1H, s, =CHH), 4.96 (1H, s, =CHH), 4.62 (1H, dd, J 7.6 and 5, CHOH), 2.93–2.74 (2H, m, CH $_2$ CO)), 2.30–1.97 (2H, m, =CCH $_2$), 1.93 (1H, d, J 1.6, OH), 1.67–1.15 (4H, m, MeCH $_2$ CH $_2$) and 0.93 (3H, t, J 7.0, Me); m/z (CI) 249 (100, M + H $^+$), 231 (45, M + H $^+$ – H $_2$ O) and 94 (61, PhOH) [Found: (M + H) $^+$, 249.1489). C $_{15}$ H $_{21}$ O $_3$ requires M + H, 249.1488].

Isopropyl (E)-3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-5-phenylpent-4-enoate 9b

Ester 8b (8.90 g, 38.0 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (80 cm³) and diisopropylethylamine (20.0 cm³, 114.9 mmol), then TBDMSCl (11.55 g, 76.6 mmol) were added. After 17 h the mixture was poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (100 cm³) and extracted with ether (2 × 100 cm³). The combined ethereal extracts were washed with aqueous hydrochloric acid solution ($2 \times 100 \text{ cm}^3$, 1.1 mol dm⁻³) then brine (100 cm³) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give, after chromatography on silica gel using 10: 1 hexane-ether as eluent, the silyl ether 9b as a pale yellow oil (12.95 g, 37.2 mmol, 98%); $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, 1 : 1 ether–hexane) 0.76; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2930 s, 2886 s, 1736 s (C=O), 1495 m, 1472 m, 1374 m, 1257 w, 965 m, 840 m, 777 m, 746 m and 693 m; $\delta_{\rm H}(400~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})$ 7.38– 7.24 (5H, m, Ph), 6.58 (1H, d, J 16.0, PhCH=), 6.21 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 6.8, PhCH=CH), 5.02 (1H, sep, J 6.4, CHMe₂), 4.78 (1H, dd, J 12.8 and 6.8, CHOSi), 2.61 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 7.6, CH(OSi)CH^AH^B], 2.50 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 5.2, CH(OSi)-CH^AH^B], 1.26 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHMe^AMe^B), 1.23 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHMe^A Me^{B}), 0.75 (9H, s, SiCMe₃), -0.08 (3H, s, Si Me^{A} Me^B) and -0.10 (3H, s, SiMe^A Me^B); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.5 (C), 136.6 (C), 131.7 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 70.7 (CH), 67.8 (CH), 44.2 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₃), $21.87 (CH_3), 21.82 (CH_3), 18.1 (C), -4.2 (CH_3) and -5.0 (CH_3);$ m/z (CI, NH₃) 366 [(M + NH₃)⁺], 234 (100) [Found: (M + NH_3)⁺ 366.2459, $C_{20}H_{36}O_3Si$ requires $M + NH_3$ 366.2464].

Isopropyl (E)-3-triethylsilyloxyoct-4-enoate 9c

In the same way, ester 8c (11.0 g, 54.9 mmol), diisopropylethylamine (28.7 cm³, 165.0 mmol) and triethylsilyl chloride (TESCl) (18.4 cm³, 110.0 mmol) in dry DMF (110 cm³) gave silyl ether 9c as a pale yellow oil (23.27 g) which was used in the Takai reaction without further purification. A pure sample was obtained by column chromatography on silica gel using 10:1 hexane-ether as eluent to give a colourless oil (88%); v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2956 s, 2877 s, 1735 s (C=O), 1466 w, 1374 m, 968 m and 744 m; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.57 (1H, dt, J 15.3 and 6.8, $CH_2CH=$), 5.39 (1H, ddt, J 15.3, 7.2 and 1.2, $CH_2CH=CH$), 4.94 (1H, sep, J 6.4, CHMe₂), 4.49 (1H, br dt, J 7.2 and 6.4, CHOSi), 2.46 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 7.6, CH(OSi)C $H^{A}H^{B}$], 2.33 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 6.0, CH(OSi)CH^AH^B], 1.93 (2H, br q, J 7.2, $CH_2CH=$), 1.34 (2H, sex, J 7.2, CH_3CH_2), 1.18 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHMe^AMe^B), 1.17 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHMe^AMe^B), 0.89 (9H, t, J 8.0, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.84 (3H, t, J 7.2, CH₃CH₂) and 0.54 (6H, q, J 8.0, SiC H_2 CH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.0 (C), 132.6 (CH), 131.7 (CH), 71.1 (CH), 67.9 (CH), 44.7 (CH₂), 34.5 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 22.2 (CH₃), 22.1 (CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃), 7.1 (CH₃) and 5.2 (CH_2) ; m/z (CI) 315.3 [(M + H)⁺, 7%], 285.2 (20), 183.2 (100) [Found: $(M + H)^+$, 315.2352, $C_{17}H_{34}O_3Si$ requires M + H, 315.2355].

(5E)-2-Isopropoxy-4-triethylsilyloxynona-1,5-diene 10c

Titanium tetrachloride (13.9 cm³, 127.2 mmol) was added slowly to THF (100 cm³) under nitrogen at 0 °C. To the resulting bright yellow suspension was added TMEDA (38.4 cm³,

254.4 mmol) to give an orange–brown suspension. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min, then zinc powder (18.7 g, 286.2 mmol, preactivated by sequential washing with 5% aqueous hydrochloric acid, water, acetone and ether) mixed with a small amount of lead(II) chloride (~20 mg) was added. An exotherm occurred and the mixture turned a grey-blue colour. The ice bath was removed and the suspension stirred for 40 min, over which time a dark green colour developed. The mixture was then re-cooled in an ice bath and a solution of the ester 9c (9.98) g, 31.8 mmol) and dibromomethane (4.9 cm³, 70.0 mmol) in THF (5 cm³) was added dropwise. After addition was complete the ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture stirred for 17 h (the suspension turned dark brown-black after ~20 min at rt). The reaction mixture was then re-cooled with an ice bath, and saturated potassium carbonate (40 cm³) solution was added via syringe. The resulting thick black slurry was stirred for a further 15 min, then poured into ether (200 cm³). The reaction vessel was washed repeatedly with ether and the combined washings were then passed through a short column of basic alumina to filter off the solid formed during the quench. After washing the filtrate with additional ether, the combined washings were dried and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with hexane, and the insoluble white precipitate formed on concentration was filtered off by passing the hexane solution through a short column of basic alumina. The precipitate was washed with additional hexane and the combined washings concentrated under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow oil (8.46 g, 85%) containing the enol ether 10c and a small amount of TMEDA. This was used without further purification in the deprotection reaction. A pure sample was obtained as a colourless oil by chromatography on basic alumina using hexane as eluent, $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, hexane) 0.63; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2956 s, 2876 s, 1655 m, 1459 m, 1370 m, 1005 m and 741 m; $\delta_{\rm H}(400~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})$ 5.53 (1H, dt, J 15.2 and 6.4, CH₂CH=), 5.40 (1H, ddt, J 15.2, 6.8 and 1.2, CH₂CH=CH), 4.28 (1H, q, J 6.8, CHOSi), 4.17 (1H, sep, J 6.0, $CHMe_2$), 3.88 (1H, d, J 1.6, = CH^4H^B), 3.81 (1H, d, J 1.6, $=CH^AH^B$), 2.29 [1H, dd, J 13.6 and 6.8, CH(OSi)C H^AH^B], 2.12 [1H, dd, J 13.6 and 6.4, CH(OSi)CHAHB, 2.03-1.89 (2H, m, $CH_2CH=$), 1.37 (2H, sex, J 7.2, CH_3CH_2), 1.22 (3H, d, J 6.0, $CHMe^{A}Me^{B}$), 1.19 (3H, d, J 6.0, $CHMe^{A}Me^{B}$), 0.94 (9H, t, J 8.0, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.88 (3H, t, J 7.2, CH₃CH₂) and 0.58 (6H, q, J 8.0, SiC H_2 CH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 158.5 (C), 133.4 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 83.6 (CH₂), 71.8 (CH), 68.5 (CH), 45.7 (CH₂), 34.6 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 22.2 (CH₃), 21.7 (CH₃), 14.1 (CH₃), 7.2 (CH₃) and 5.3 (CH₂); *m/z* (EI) 312.2 (M⁺⁺, 11%), 213 (100) (Found: M^+ , 312.2487. $C_{18}H_{39}O_2Si$ requires M, 312.2485).

(1E,5Z)-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-5-isopropoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-diene 11b

In the same way as above for 10c, a solution of the ester 9b (4.00 g, 11.5 mmol) and 1,1-dibromoethane (2.3 cm³, 25 mmol) in THF (3 cm³) was added to the suspension formed from titanium tetrachloride (5.0 cm³, 8.7 mmol), TMEDA (13.9 cm³, 91.8 mmol), activated zinc powder (6.75 g, 103 mmol) and lead(II) chloride (~10 mg) in THF (15 cm³). The reaction was stirred for 4 h, then worked-up as above to give a pale yellow oil (3.37 g). Chromatography on basic alumina using hexane as eluent gave a 15:85 E-Z mixture of enol ethers 11b as an oil (2.45 g, 59%). The NMR data given are for the major Z isomer; $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, hexane) 0.71; $v_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2956 m, 2929 m, 2857 m, 1679 w, 1494 m, 1369 m, 1254 m, 1196 m, 1115 m and 838 m; δ_{H} (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38–7.22 (5H, m, Ph), 6.53 (1H, d, J 15.9, PhCH=), 6.26 (1H, dd, J 15.9 and 5.8, PhCH=CH), 4.73 (1H, q, J 6.7, =CHMe), 4.42 (1H, br q, J 6.6, CHOSi), 4.10 (1H, sep, J 6.1, CHMe₂), 2.36 [1H, br dd, J 14.2 and 6.7, $CH(OSi)CH^{A}H^{B}$], 2.28 [1H, dd, J 14.6 and 6.6, CH(OSi)- CH^AH^B], 1.57 (3H, d, J 6.7, =CHMe), 1.21 (3H, d, J 6.1, $CHMe^{A}Me^{B}$), 1.20 (3H, d, J 6.1, $CHMe^{A}Me^{B}$), 0.92 (9H, s,

SiCMe₃), 0.08 (3H, s, Si Me^AMe^B) and 0.07 (3H, s, Si Me^AMe^B); δ_C (90 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.3 (C), 137.2 (C), 132.9 (CH), 128.43 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.31 (CH), 126.26 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 71.1 (CH), 68.8 (CH), 41.8 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₃), 22.5 (CH₃), 22.3 (CH₃), 18.2 (C), 10.6 (CH₃), -4.6 (CH₃) and -5.0 (CH₃); m/z (EI+) 360.2 (M++, 2%), 247.2 (100) (Found: M+, 360.2487. $C_{22}H_{36}O_2$ Si requires M, 360.2485).

(1E)-5-Isopropoxy-1-phenylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol 12b

In the same way as for **10c**, a solution of the ester **9b** (13.0 g, 37.3 mmol) and dibromomethane (5.8 cm³, 82.1 mmol) in THF (5 cm³) was added to the mixture formed from titanium tetrachloride (16.4 cm³, 149.2 mmol), TMEDA (45.0 cm³, 298.4 mmol), zinc powder (21.9 g, 335.7 mmol) and lead(II) chloride (~20 mg) in THF (150 cm³). Stirring was continued for 17 h, whereupon the reaction mixture was worked up as described to give the crude enol ether 10b as a pale yellow oil (9.35 g, 27.0 mmol). Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (67.5 cm³ of a 1.0 mol dm⁻³ solution in THF) and 4 Å molecular sieves (12.2 g) were added. After stirring for 2.5 h, the reaction mixture was poured through filter paper into saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (50 cm³). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give a dark brown oil. The alcohol 12b was obtained as a pale yellow oil (3.52 g, 41% over two steps) after column chromatography on alumina, using 1:1 ether-hexane as eluent; R_f (alumina, 1:1 ether-hexane) 0.46; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3415 br s (OH), 2976 s, 2922 sm, 1653 s (enol ether C=C), 1619 m, 1495 m, 1291 m, 1001 m, 965 m, 801 m, 749 s and 693 m; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.39 (2H, d, J 7.2, o-Ph), 7.32 (2H, t, J 7.2, m-Ph), 7.24 (1H, t, J 7.2, p-Ph), 6.65 (1H, d, J 16.0, PhCH=), 6.26 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 6.4, PhCH=CH), 4.54-4.51 (1H, m, CHOH), 4.29 (1H, sep, J 6.0, $CHMe_2$), 4.05 (1H, d, J 2.0, = CH^4H^B), 3.99 (1H, d, J 2.0, =CH^AH^B), 2.87 (1H, d, J 3.6, OH), 2.45 [1H, dd, J 14.0 and 4.4, $CH(OH)CH^{A}H^{B}$], 2.36 [1H, dd, J 14.0 and 8.0, CH(OH)- $CH^{A}H^{B}$], 1.28 (3H, d, J 6.0, $CHMe^{A}Me^{B}$) and 1.27 (3H, d, J 6.0, CHMe^A Me^B); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 157.7 (C), 136.8 (C), 131.3 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 84.1 (CH₂), 70.6 (CH), 68.7 (CH), 43.5 (CH₂), 21.44 (CH₃) and $21.37 \text{ (CH}_3); m/z \text{ (CI) } 233.2 \text{ [(M + H)^+, 15\%)]}, 215.2 \text{ (40)}, 173.1$ (100) [Found: $(M + H)^+$, 233.1541. $C_{15}H_{21}O_2$ requires M + H, 233.1542].

(5E)-2-Isopropoxynona-1,5-dien-4-ol 12c

Tetrabutylammonium fluoride (52 cm³ of a 1.0 mol dm⁻³ solution in THF) and 4 Å MS (10 g) were added to the crude enol ether 10c (8.02 g, 25.6 mmol). The orange solution was stirred for 2.5 h, then poured through filter paper into saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (50 cm³), washing the sieves with ether. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give a dark brown oil. The alcohol 12c was obtained as a pale yellow oil (1.91 g, 38%) after column chromatography on alumina, using 1:1 ether–hexane as eluent, $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, 1:1 ether-hexane) 0.61; $v_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3399 br s (OH), 2960 s, 2929 s, 1654 m, 1294 m, 1121 m and 799 m; $\delta_{\rm H}(400~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~5.67~(1{\rm H},~{\rm dtd},~J~15.2,~6.8~{\rm and}~0.8,$ $CH_2CH=$), 5.44 (1H, ddt, J 15.2, 6.8 and 1.2, $CH_2CH=CH$), 4.25 (1H, sep, J 6.0, CHMe₂), 4.25–4.20 (1H, m, CHOH), 3.96 $(1H, d, J 1.6, =CH^AH^B), 3.92 (1H, d, J 1.6, =CH^AH^B), 2.49 (1H, d, J 1.6, =CH^AH^B), 2.4$ d, J 3.2, OH), 2.29 [1H, dd, J 14.0 and 3.8, CH(OH)C H^AH^B], 2.20 [1H, dd, J 14.0 and 8.4, CH(OH)CH^AH^B], 1.99 (2H, br q, J 7.2, CH_2CH_2 , 1.38 (2H, sex, J 7.2, CH_3CH_2), 1.23 (3H, d, J 6.0, CH $Me^{A}Me^{B}$), 1.22 (3H, d, J 6.0, CH $Me^{A}Me^{B}$) and 0.88 (3H, t, J 7.2, CH_3CH_2); $\delta_C(100 \text{ MHz}, CDCl_3)$ 158.6 (C), 132.2 (CH), 131.9 (CH), 84.3 (CH₂), 71.3 (CH), 69.2 (CH), 44.2

(CH₂), 37.4 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 22.0 (CH₃), 21.9 (CH₃) and 14.1 (CH₃); m/z (CI) 199.2 [(M + H)⁺, 95%], 101.1 (100) [Found (EI): M⁺, 198.1617. C₁₂H₂₂O₂ requires M, 198.1620].

(1E)-5-Phenoxy-1-phenylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol 12d

Diisopropylethylamine (8.04 cm³, 46 mmol) then chlorotrimethylsilane (5.84 cm³, 46 mmol) were added to a solution of ester 8d (8.25 g, 30.7 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (150 cm³) with stirring at 0 °C under nitrogen. Stirring was continued for 13 h at room temperature. Hexane was added to the mixture which was then filtered and concentrated to give the silvl ether 9d. Takai methylenation followed by deprotection in the same way as described for enol ether 12a gave alcohol 12d (1.80 g, 25%) as a pale yellow oil. R_f (DCM) 0.63; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3384 (OH), 1640 (C=C), 1592 (aromatic ring), 1491 (aromatic ring) and 1218; $\delta_{H}(200 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_{3})$ 7.43–7.02 (10H, m, 2 × *Ph*), 6.70 (1H, d, J 15.8, =CHPh), 6.31 (1H, dd, J 15.8 and 6.1, =CHCHOH), 4.78-4.63 (1H, m, CHOH), 4.25 (1H, d, J 1.8, =CHH), 4.02 (1H, d, J 1.8, =CHH), 2.66 (1H, dd, J 12.9 and 4.6, CH^AH^B), 2.58 (1H, dd, J 12.9 and 7.9, CH^AH^B) and 2.31 (1H, br s, OH); $\delta_{\rm C}(50 \text{ MHz})$ 159.8 (C), 154.8 (C), 136.6 (C), 131.1 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.6 (2 × CH), 128.5 (2 × CH), 127.6 (CH), 126.5 (2 × CH), 124.4 (CH), 121.1 (2 × CH), 91.0 (CH₂), 70.4 (CH) and 42.4 (CH₂); m/z (EI) 266 (3, M⁺), 248 (6, M+* - H₂O), 173 (10), 155 (8) and 133 (100) (Found: M+, 266.1306. C₁₈H₁₈O₂ requires M, 266.1305).

5-Methylene-2-phenoxynon-1-en-4-ol 12e

Enol ether 12e was prepared using the method described for preparation of 12d: alcohol 8e (17.0 g, 68.5 mmol) gave silyl ether **9e** (18.5 g) as a red oil. A portion of the ester **9e** (13.1 g, 41.2 mmol) then gave *enol ether* **12e** (2.20 g, 18% over 3 steps) as a pale yellow oil. $R_{\rm f}$ (DCM) 0.71; $v_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3450 (OH), 2954, 2929, 1645 (C=C), 1593 (aromatic ring) and 1493 (aromatic ring); $\delta_{\rm H}(360 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3) 7.35-7.03 (5H, m, Ph),$ 5.15 (1H, s, (HO)HCC=CHH), 4.91 (1H, s, (HO)HCC=CHH), 4.45 (1H, dd, J 8.6 and 3.6, CHOH), 4.21 (1H, d, J 1.4, PhOC= CHH), 3.98 (1H, d, J 1.4, PhOC=CHH), 2.61 (1H, dd, J 14.3 and 3.6, CHAHBCHOH), 2.44 (1H, dd, J 14.3 and 8.6, CHAHB), 2.37 (1H, br s, OH), 2.18–1.98 (2H, m, $=CCH_2$), 1.52–1.26 (4H, m, MeC H_2 C H_2) and 0.91 (3H, t, J 7.2, Me); δ_C (100 MHz) 160.5 (C), 154.8 (C), 150.9 (C), 129.6 (2 × CH), 124.4 (CH), 121.1 $(2 \times CH)$, 109.4 (CH₂), 90.5 (CH₂), 72.5 (CH), 41.1 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 30.1 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂) and 14.0 (CH₃); m/z (CI) 247 (43, $M + H^{+}$), 229 (100, $M + H^{+} - H_{2}O$), 169 (29), 153 (34) and 135 (65) [Found: $(M + H)^+$, 247.1700. $C_{16}H_{23}O_2$ requires 247.1702].

(1E,5ZE)-5-Ethoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol 13a

Aldol 8a (23.43 g, 106.4 mmol) was dissolved in THF (100 cm³), chlorotrimethylsilane (16.0 cm³, 125.4 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (19.8 cm³, 125.4 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h. The bulk of the amine hydrochloride salt formed during the reaction was filtered off and the precipitate washed with hexane. The combined washings were concentrated under reduced pressure, and the process repeated to remove the remaining salt. The silyl ether 9a was obtained as a yellow oil (22.54 g, 77.0 mmol, 72%). In the same way as for enol ether 11b, a solution of the crude ester 9a (7.54 g, 25.8) mmol) and 1,1-dibromoethane (5.7 cm³, 63 mmol) in THF (5 cm³) was added to the suspension formed from titanium tetrachloride (12.5 cm³, 114 mmol), TMEDA (34.4 cm³, 228 mmol), activated zinc powder (16.8 g, 257 mmol) and lead(II) chloride (~10 mg) in THF (50 cm³). The reaction was stirred for 4 h, then worked-up as above to give the enol ether 11a as a yellow oil (5.25 g, 17.2 mmol, 67%). The oil was dissolved in a solution of TBAF in THF (18.0 cm³, 1.0 mol dm⁻³) and the mixture stirred for 1 h. Work-up as above gave a yellow oil which was chromatographed on basic alumina using 1:1 hexane–ether as eluent to give the *alcohols* **13a** as a pale yellow oil (2.06 g, 8.87 mmol, 25% over 3 steps). Repeated chromatography was required to obtain a sample of each isomer free from the other.

(1E,5Z)-5-Ethoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol, Z-13a. R_f (alumina, 1:1 hexane-ether) 0.57; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3409 br m (OH), 2977 m, 2915 m, 2863 m, 1670 m (enol ether C=C), 1494 m, 1448 m, 1193 m, 966 m, 743 m and 694 m; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38 (2H, d, J 7.2, o-Ph), 7.31 (2H, t, J 7.2, m-Ph), 7.23 (1H, t, J 7.2, p-Ph), 6.65 (1H, d, J 16.0, PhCH=), 6.26 (1H, dd, J 16.0 and 4.4, PhCH=CH), 4.81 (1H, q, J 6.4, =CHMe), 4.48-4.43 (1H, m, CHOH), 3.87–3.75 (2H, m, OCH₂CH₃), 2.56 (1H, d, J 2.8, OH), 2.46 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 4.0, $CH(OH)CH^{A}H^{B}$], 2.30 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 8.4, CH(OH)CH $^{A}H^{B}$], 1.64 (3H, d, J 6.8, =CHMe) and 1.29 (3H, t, J 7.0, OCH₂CH₃); δ _C(100 MHz, CDCl₃) 151.4 (C), 136.8 (C), 131.4 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 109.5 (CH), 70.5 (CH), 68.5 (CH₂), 40.5 (CH₂), 15.4 (CH₃) and 10.5 (CH₃); m/z (EI) 232.2 $(M^{+\bullet}, 5\%)$, 133.1 (100) (Found: M^{+} , 232.1463. $C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}$ requires M, 232.1463).

(1*E*,5*E*)-5-Ethoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol, *E*-13a. $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, 1 : 1 hexane—ether) 0.60; $v_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3458 br s (OH), 2861 w, 1668 m (enol ether C=C), 1495 w, 1226 w, 1103 w, 963 w and 748 w; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37 (2H, d, *J* 7.2, *o*-Ph), 7.31 (2H, t, *J* 7.2, *m*-Ph), 7.23 (1H, t, *J* 7.2, *p*-Ph), 6.63 (1H, d, *J* 15.9, PhCH=), 6.26 (1H, dd, *J* 15.9 and 6.1, PhCH=C*H*), 4.58 (1H, q, *J* 6.8, =C*H*Me), 4.55–4.48 (1H, partly obscured m, C*H*OH), 3.74–3.66 (2H, m, OC*H*₂CH₃), 2.75 (1H, br d, *J* 3.0, OH), 2.48 [2H, m, CH(OH)C*H*⁴*H*^B], 1.62 (3H, d, *J* 6.8, =CH*Me*) and 1.29 (3H, t, *J* 7.0, OCH₂CH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (90 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.0 (C), 137.0 (C), 131.7 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 93.7 (CH), 71.2 (CH), 62.0 (CH), 37.5 (CH₂), 14.7 (CH₃) and 11.8 (CH₃); *m/z* (EI) 232 (M⁺⁺, 7%), 133 (100) (Found: M⁺, 232.1464. C₁₅H₂₀O₂ requires *M* 232.1463).

(1E,5EZ)-5-Isopropoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol, 13b

In the same way as above for **12c**, *silyl ether* **11b** (2.40 g, 6.66 mmol) was dissolved in a solution of TBAF in THF (18.1 cm³, 1.1 mol dm⁻³). 4 Å MS were added and the mixture stirred for 2 h. Work-up as above gave a yellow oil which was chromatographed on basic alumina using 1 : 1 hexane–ether as eluent to give the *alcohols* as a pale yellow oil (1.45 g, 5.9 mmol, 88%). Repeated chromatography was required to obtain a sample of each isomer free from the other.

(1*E*,5*Z*)-5-Isopropoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol, *Z*-13b. $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, 2 : 1 petroleum ether—ether) 0.61; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/cm $^{-1}$ 3425 br m (OH), 2974 m, 1678 m, 1494 m, 1449 m, 1196 m, 1113 m, 965 m, 747 m and 693 m; $\delta_{\rm H}(360~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})$ 7.39 (2H, d, J 7.2, o-Ph), 7.31 (2H, t, J 7.2, m-Ph), 7.24 (1H, t, J 7.2, p-Ph), 6.63 (1H, d, J 15.9, PhCH=), 6.26 (1H, dd, J 15.9 and 6.1, PhCH=CH), 4.87 (1H, q, J 6.7, =CHMe), 4.49–4.41 (1H, m, CHOH), 4.17 (1H, sep, J 6.1, CHMe₂), 2.57 (1H, br d, J 2.5, OH), 2.45 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 4.1, CH(OH)CH^AH^B], 2.29 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 8.5, CH(OH)CH $^{A}H^{B}$], 1.63 (3H, d, J 6.7, =CHMe), 1.25 (3H, d, J 6.1, CH Me^AMe^B) and 1.22 (3H, d, J 6.1, CHMe^A Me^B); δ_C(90 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.7 (C), 136.8 (C), 131.4 (CH), 129.7 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 110.6 (CH), 70.5 (CH), 69.8 (CH), 40.6 (CH₂), 22.4 (CH₃), 22.2 (CH_3) and 10.7 (CH_3) ; m/z (CI) 247.2 $[(M + H)^+, 9\%]$, 229.2 (90), 187.2 (100) [Found: $(M + H)^+$, 247.1697. $C_{16}H_{23}O_2$ requires M + H, 247.1698].

(1*E*,5*E*)-5-Isopropoxy-1-phenylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol, *E*-13b. $R_{\rm f}$ (alumina, 2:1 petroleum ether-ether) 0.69; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz,

CDCl₃) 7.38 (2H, d, J 7.2, o-Ph), 7.26 (2H, t, J 7.2, m-Ph), 7.23 (1H, t, J 7.2, p-Ph), 6.63 (1H, d, J 15.9, PhCH=), 6.24 (1H, dd, J 15.9 and 6.1, PhCH=CH), 4.58 (1H, q, J 6.8, =CHMe), 4.53–4.48 (1H, m, CHOH), 4.25 (1H, sep, J 6.0, CHMe₂), 2.88 (1H, d, J 3.7, OH), 2.48 [1H, dd, J 14.3 and 4.6, CH(OH)CH⁴H^B], 2.43 [1H, dd, J 14.4 and 7.4, CH(OH)CH⁴H^B], 1.62 (3H, d, J 6.8, =CHMe), 1.23 (3H, d, J 6.0, CHMe⁴Me^B) and 1.22 (3H, d, J 6.0, CHMe⁴Me^B); δ _C(90 MHz, CDCl₃) 151.1 (C), 137.0 (C), 131.7 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 94.8 (CH), 71.3 (CH), 67.7 (CH), 37.5 (CH), 21.9 (CH₃), 21.8 (CH₃) and 11.9 (CH₃).

(3SR,5SR)-3-Hydroxy-5-phenylcyclohexanone ²⁸ 17

Alcohol 12b (500 mg, 2.15 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (1.14 g, 4.30 mmol) in DME (4 cm³) were added with stirring to a suspension of potassium hydride (617 mg of 35% suspension in mineral oil, 5.38 mmol) in DME (6 cm³). After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was poured into cold aqueous hydrochloric acid (25 cm³, 1 mol dm⁻³). The mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C, then allowed to warm to room temperature over 15 min. After an additional 15 min at rt, ether (50 cm³) was added and the layers separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether (10 cm³) and the combined organic extracts were washed with hydrochloric acid (10 cm³), water (10 cm³) and brine (10 cm³). Solvent removal under reduced pressure yielded a yellow solid (402 mg, 80%) w/w). Purification by trituration with ether gave the cyclohexanone²⁸ 17 as an amorphous solid (215 mg, 43%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35–7.19 (5H, m, Ph), 4.60 [1H, qn, J 3.0, CH(OH)], 3.57 [1H, tt, J 12.2 and 4.1, CH(Ph)], 2.69–2.51 [4H, m, $CH_2C(O)CH_2$], 2.35–2.19 (1H, br s, OH), 2.21 [1H, m with large doublet splitting, J 14.0, CH(Ph)CH_{eq}H_{ax}] and 2.06 [1H, distorted ddd, J 14.1, 12.2 and 2.4, CH(Ph)CH_{eq} H_{ax}].

New data: $\delta_{\rm C}(100~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~209.7~({\rm C}),~143.7~({\rm C}),~128.7~({\rm CH}),~126.7~(2\times{\rm CH}),~68.3~({\rm CH}),~48.7~(2\times{\rm CH_2}),~39.2~({\rm CH_2})$ and 38.2 (CH).

5-Phenylcyclohex-2-enone ²⁹ 19

Crude alcohols 17 and 18 (211 mg, 1.11 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (10 cm³) and treated with methanesulfonyl chloride (0.1 cm³, 1.2 mmol) and triethylamine (0.62 cm³, 4.4 mmol). Work-up as for compound 28 gave the cyclohexenone 19 as a yellow oil²9 (117 mg, 61%). A pure sample was obtained as a solid in 34% yield after further chromatography using DCM as eluent; $\delta_{\rm H}(400~{\rm MHz},{\rm CDCl}_3)$ 7.40–7.24 (5H, m, Ph), 7.06 [1H, ddd, J 10.0, 6.0 and 2.8, CH=CHC(O)], 6.13 [1H, d br d, J 10.0 and 2.8, CH=CHC(O)], 3.36 (1H, ddt, J 12.4, 10.4 and 5.2, CHPh), 2.72–2.61 [3H, m, C(O)CH₂ and =CHC $H_{\rm eq}H_{\rm ax}$] and 2.54 (1H, ddt, J 18.8, 10.8 and 2.4, =CHCH $_{\rm eq}H_{\rm ax}$).

(3SR,5RS)-3-Hydroxy-5-(n-propyl)cyclohexanone 20

Potassium hydride (173 mg of a 35% suspension in mineral oil, 1.50 mmol) was washed with hexane (3 × 4 cm³) to remove oil and then suspended in dry THF (1.5 cm³) under nitrogen. To the suspension was added a solution of alcohol 12c (100 mg, 0.50 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (269 mg, 1.00 mmol) in THF (1 cm³) with stirring at rt. The resulting dark brown mixture was stirred for 5 days, whereupon it was poured into cold (ice bath) aqueous hydrochloric acid (5 cm³, 1 mol dm⁻³) with vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min, then the ice bath was removed and stirring continued for an additional 15 min. Ether (10 cm³) was then added and the layers separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(2 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3)$ and the combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate. Solvent removal under reduced pressure yielded the alcohols 20 and 21 (54 mg, 69%) as a brown oil. This was washed quickly through a short column of neutral alumina with ether to give a pure sample of a 90: 10 anti-syn mixture of isomers as a yellow oil (40 mg, 51%); data for the major isomer **20**: v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3423 brs (OH), 2958 s, 2928 s, 1708 s (C=O), 1413 m, 1292 m, 1236 m, 1095 m, 1062 m, 1037 m and 970 m; δ_{H} (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.50–4.44 (1H, m, CHOH), 2.54 [1H, dd, J 14.2 and 3.7, CH(OH)C $H_{ax}H_{eq}$ C(O)], 2.50–2.40 [2H, m, CH(OH)C $H_{ax}H_{eq}$ C(O)CH $_{ax}H_{eq}$], 2.30–2.21 (1H, m, CHPr), 2.03–1.96 [2H, m, CH(Pr)C $H_{ax}H_{eq}$ C(O) and CH(OH)-CH $_{ax}H_{eq}$ CH(Pr)], 1.89 (1H, br s, OH), 1.56 [1H, ddd, J 13.9, 11.4 and 2.5, CH(OH)CH $_{eq}H_{ax}$ CH(Pr)], 1.40–1.25 (4H, m, MeC H_2 CH $_2$) and 0.91–0.88 (3H, m, Me); δ_{C} (90 MHz, CDCl $_3$) 210.4 (C), 68.4 (CH), 49.1 (CH $_2$), 47.7 (CH $_2$), 38.5 (CH $_2$), 38.0 (CH $_2$), 32.4 (CH), 19.7 (CH $_2$) and 14.0 (CH $_3$); m/z (EI) 156 (M⁺⁺, 45%), 113 (52), 96 (90), 69 (100) (Found: M⁺, 156.1150). C $_9$ H $_{16}$ O $_2$ requires M, 156.1150).

(3SR,5SR,6RS)-3-Hydroxy-6-methyl-5-phenylcyclohexanone 22

Potassium hydride (1.16 g of 35% suspension in mineral oil, 10.15 mmol) was washed with hexane $(3 \times 2 \text{ cm}^3)$ to remove oil and then suspended in dry 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) (15 cm³) under nitrogen. To the suspension was added a solution of alcohol 13b (85% Z, 1 g, 4.06 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (2.15 g, 8.12 mmol), in DME (5 cm³) with stirring at rt. The resulting dark brown mixture was stirred for 3 h, whereupon it was poured into cold (ice bath) aqueous hydrochloric acid (50 cm³, 1 mol dm⁻³) with vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C, then allowed to warm to room temperature over 15 min. After an additional 15 min at rt, ether (50 cm³) was added and the layers separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether (10 cm³) and the combined organic extracts were washed with hydrochloric acid (10 cm³), water (10 cm³) and brine (10 cm³). Solvent removal under reduced pressure yielded a brown solid (757 mg, 91% w/w). Purification was achieved by trituration with cold ether to give cyclohexanone 22 as a white solid (150 mg, 31%); mp 156-157 °C (Found: C 76.4; H 7.9, $C_{13}H_{16}O_2$ requires C 76.4; H 7.9%); $v_{max}(KBr)/cm^{-1}$ 3369 br (OH), 1713 vs (C=O), 1492 m, 1452 m, 1230 m, 1015 m, 753 m and 700 s; $\delta_{\rm H}(360~{\rm MHz},\,{\rm CDCl_3})$ 7.36–7.22 (5H, m, Ph), 4.59 [1H, qn, J 2.9, CH(OH)], 3.13 [1H, ddd, J 11.8, 9.1 and 7.5, CH(Ph)], 2.77 [1H, dd, J 14.1 and 3.0, $C(O)CH_{eq}H_{ax}$], 2.64 [1H, partly obscured dq, J 11.9 (by irradiation of Me) and 6.6, CHMe], 2.62 [1H, d with poorly resolved smaller couplings, J 14.1, C(O)C H_{eq} H_{ax}], 2.19–2.13 (2H, m, CH(Ph)C H_2), 1.94 (1H, br s, OH), 0.84 (3H, d, J 6.5, Me); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (90 MHz, CDCl₃) 210.92 (C), 143.15 (C), 128.70 (CH), 127.43 (CH), 126.75 (CH), 68.92 (CH), 50.63 (CH), 49.05 (CH₂), 46.50 (CH), 40.58 (CH₂) and 11.99 (CH₃); m/z (EI) 204 (M⁺, 62%), 186 (M⁺ - H₂O, 29), 91 (100), 77 (Ph, 49) (Found: M⁺, 204.1151. C₁₃H₁₆O₂ requires M, 204.1150).

(5SR,6SR)-6-Methyl-5-phenylcyclohex-2-enone 28

The crude alcohols 22-24 (241 mg, 1.18 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (10 cm³) under nitrogen and cooled in an ice bath. Methanesulfonyl chloride (0.1 cm³, 1.18 mmol) was added in one portion, then triethylamine (0.7 cm³, 4.72 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 15 min then poured into water (10 cm³) and the layers separated. The organic phase was washed with hydrochloric acid (10 cm³, 1.1 mol dm⁻³) then brine (10 cm³) and dried over magnesium sulfate. Concentration under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography on silica using DCM as eluent gave the cyclohexenones as a pale yellow oil (125 mg, 57%), containing a 13:87 mixture of syn and anti isomers 29 and 28; data for anti isomer 28: R_f (silica, DCM) 0.79; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 3029 w, 2970 w, 2929 w, 2877 w, 1676 vs (C=O), 1494 m, 1454 m, 1388 m, 1232 m, 769 m, 748 m and 702 s; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.30-7.10 (5H, m, Ph), 6.97 [1H, ddd, J 10.0, 4.8 and 2.8, CH=CHC(O)], 6.12 [1H, br d, J 10.0, CH=CHC(O)], 2.97 (1H, ddd, J 12.8, 9.6 and 6.0, CHPh), 2.69 (1H, dq, J 12.8 and 6.8, CHMe), 2.65-2.55 (2H, m, CH₂) and 0.94 (3H, d, J 6.8, Me); $\delta_{\rm C}(100~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl_3})~201.3~({\rm C}),~148.2~({\rm CH}),~142.8~({\rm C}),~129.3$

(CH), 128.7 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 48.6 (CH), 46.8 (CH), 34.9 (CH₂) and 12.5 (CH₃); *mlz* (EI) 186.1 (M⁺⁺, 40%), 118.1 (100) (Found: M⁺, 186.1044, C₁₃H₁₄O requires *M*, 186.1045).

5-Phenoxy-3-phenylhex-5-enal 36

A solution of **12d** (0.10 g, 0.38 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (0.20 g, 0.74 mmol) in dry THF (1 cm³) was added to a flask containing potassium hydride (0.11 g of a 35% dispersion in mineral oil prewashed with dry hexane 4×2 cm³), in dry THF (5 cm³) under nitrogen. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature then poured into aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate (50 cm³). The product was extracted into ether (2 × 50 cm³) and dried (MgSO₄). Purification by chromatography on alumina, eluting with hexane-ether (20:1), gave aldehyde 36 as an oil (41 mg, 41%). R_f (hexane-ether, 5:1) 0.40; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2924, 1724 (C=O), 1634 (C=C), 1592 (aromatic ring), 1491 (aromatic ring) and 1218; $\delta_{H}(200 \text{ MHz})$; $CDCl_3$) 9.63 (1H, t, J 2.0, CHO), 7.30–6.83 (10H, m, 2 × Ph), 3.97 (1H, d, J1.8, =CHH), 3.78 (1H, d, J1.8, =CHH), 3.64 (1H, d, J1.broad qn, J 7.6, CHPh), 2.82 (1H, ddd, J 16.7, 6.2 and 1.9, $CH^{A}H^{B}CHO$), 2.73 (1H, ddd, J 16.7, 8.4 and 2.1, $CH^{A}H^{B}CHO$) and 2.54 [2H, d, J 7.7, =C(OPh)C H_2 CHPh]; δ_c (50 MHz) 201.8 (CH), 160.4 (C), 154.8 (C), 142.9 (C), 129.5 (2 × CH), 128.6 (2 × CH), 127.5 (2 × CH), 126.6 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 121.0 (2 × CH), 90.3 (CH₂), 49.3 (CH₂), 41.3 (CH₂) and 37.7 (CH); m/z (CI) 267 (100, M + H⁺), 249 (22) and 173 (M + H⁺ -HOPh) [Found: $(M + H)^+$, 267.1386. $C_{18}H_{19}O_2$ requires M, 267.1385].

5-Formyl-2-phenoxynon-1-ene 37

Aldehyde **37** was prepared (0.10 g, 59%) as an oil from *hexadienol* **12e** (0.17 g, 0.69 mmol) using the method described for synthesis of **36**. $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane–ether 5 : 1) 0.43; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (thin film)/ cm⁻¹ 2930, 1725 (C=O), 1593 (aromatic ring), 1491 (aromatic ring), 1220 and 693; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (360 MHz; CDCl₃) 9.63 (1H, d, J 2.5, CHO), 7.35–7.00 (5H, m, Ph), 4.15 (1H, s, =CHH), 3.94 (1H, s, =CHH), 2.40–2.36 (1H, m, CHCHO), 2.32–2.27 (2H, m, =CCH₂), 2.00–1.94 (2H, m, =CCH₂CH₂), 1.79–1.64 (2H, m, PrCH₂), 1.53–1.46 (2H, m, EtCH₂), 1.34–1.26 (2H, m, MeCH₂) and 0.90 (3H, t, J 7.2, Me); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50 MHz) 205.0 (CH), 162.3 (C), 155.1 (C), 129.5 (2 × CH), 124.0 (CH), 120.9 (2 × CH), 89.0 (CH₂), 51.0 (CH), 31.5 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.5 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂) and 13.8 (CH₃); mlz (EI) 246 (5, M⁺⁺), 229 (14), 183 (23), 149 (32), 94 (45) and 43 (100) (Found: M⁺, 246.2019. C_{16} H₂₂O₂ requires M, 246.2026).

2-Phenoxynon-1-en-5-one 42

A solution of alcohol 12e (0.10 g, 0.41 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (0.21 g, 0.82 mmol) in dry THF (1 cm³) was added to a flask containing potassium hydride (0.14 g of a 35% dispersion in mineral oil prewashed with dry hexane 4×2 cm³) in dry THF (5 cm³). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, then cooled to -78 °C and dry oxygen gas was bubbled through the solution over 30 min. pH 7 phosphate buffer (15 cm³) was then added dropwise to the mixture and the solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(2 \times 25 \text{ cm}^3)$. The ethereal extracts were combined, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Chromatography on alumina, eluting with hexane-ether (10:1), gave ketone 42 as an oil (71 mg, 71%). R_f [alumina, hexane-ether (10:1)] 0.27; v_{max} (thin film)/cm⁻¹ 2958, 2930, 2871, 1715 (C=O), 1657 (C=C), 1638, 1592 (aromatic ring), 1490 (aromatic ring) and 1220; $\delta_{H}(360 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_{3}) 7.35-7.00 (5\text{H}, \text{m}, Ph), 4.17 (1\text{H}, \text{d}, J 1.8,$ =CHH), 3.93 (1H, d, J 1.8, =CHH), 2.73 (2H, t, J 7.2, $=CCH_2CH_2$ or $=CCH_2$), 2.56 (2H, t, J 7.2, $=CCH_2CH_2$ or $=CCH_2$), 2.45 (2H, t, J 7.5, $PrCH_2$), 1.65–1.53 (2H, m, $EtCH_2$),

1.37–1.26 (2H, m, MeC H_2) and 0.90 (3H, t, J 7.7, Me); δ_C (50 MHz) 210.1 (C), 162.0 (C), 155.1 (C), 129.5 (2 × CH), 124.0 (CH), 120.7 (2 × CH), 89.0 (CH₂), 42.6 (CH₂), 40.1 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 28.2 (CH₂), 22.3 (CH₂) and 13.8 (CH₃); m/z (EI) 232 (M+*, 9%) and 147 (100) [Found (CI): (M + H)+, 233.1544. $C_{15}H_{21}O_2$ requires $(M + H^+)$ 233.1541].

(3RS,5SR,6SR)- and (3RS,5SR,6RS)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-6-methyl-5-phenylcyclohexanone, 43 and 44

A mixture of alcohols 22-24 (776 mg, 3.7 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (10 cm³) under nitrogen. Diisopropylethylamine (1.2 cm³, 6.7 mmol) then tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (468 mg, 3.1 mmol) were added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then left to stir over three days (~60 h). The mixture was then poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (20 cm³). The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with ether $(2 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with aqueous hydrochloric acid $(2 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3, 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ solution})$ then brine (10 cm³) and dried (MgSO₄). Concentration under reduced pressure gave a mixture of the unprotected alcohol 22, its TBDMS ether, and silyl ethers 43 and 44. Separation of the two diastereoisomers was achieved by column chromatography followed by preparative TLC.

(3RS,5SR,6SR)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-6-methyl-5phenylcyclohexanone 43. Spectral data for 43: $v_{\text{max}}(\text{thin film})$ cm⁻¹ 2954, 2929, 2856, 1714 (C=O); δ_{H} (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37–7.13 (5H, m, Ph), 4.40 [1H, m, $\Sigma J \sim 16$, CH(OTBDMS)], 3.71 [1H, dt, J 11.0 and 4.3, CH(Ph)], 2.74 [1H, dd, J 14.5 and 3.7, $C(O)CH_{ax}H_{eq}$], 2.70 [1H, dq partly obscured, CHMe], 2.38 [1H, dd, J 14.6 and 4.9, C(O)CH_{eq}H_{ax}], 2.29 [1H, ddd, J 13.5, 10.9 and 2.5, CH(Ph)C $H_{ax}H_{eq}$], 1.96 [1H, ddd, J 13.7, 4.5 and 3.5, CH(Ph)CH_{ax} H_{eq}], 0.88 (3H, d, J 7.2, CHMe), 0.84 (9H, s, Si^Bu), 0.03 (3H, s, Si Me^A Me^B), -0.01 (3H, s, Si Me^A Me^B); m/z (EI) 261 (M⁺⁺ - ^tBu⁺, 20%), 157 (100) [Found (CI): $(M + NH_3)^+$ 336.2345. $C_{19}H_{34}NO_2Si$ requires $M + NH_3$, 336.2359].

(3RS,5SR,6RS)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-6-methyl-5phenylcyclohexanone 44. Spectral data for 44: v_{max} (thin film)/ cm⁻¹ 2956 m, 2856 m, 1715 vs (C=O), 1376 m, 1254 m, 1095 s, 777 m and 700 m; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.40–7.15 (5H, m, Ph), 3.95 [1H, tt, J 10.9 and 4.8, CH(OTBDMS)], 2.76 [1H, ddd, J 13.0, 4.9 and 2.2, $C(O)CH_{eq}H_{ax}$], 2.58 [1H, t, J 12.2, C(O)- $CH_{ax}H_{eq}$], 2.52 [1H, dq partly obscured, CHMe], 2.38 [1H, td, J 12.3 and 3.3, CH(Ph)], 2.20 [1H, doublet with poorly-resolved smaller couplings, J approx. 13.0, CH(Ph)CH_{ax} H_{eq}], 2.04 [1H, td, J 12.9 and 10.7, CH(Ph)C H_{ax} H_{eq}], 0.87 (9H, s, Si^tBu), 0.78 (3H, d, J 6.4, CHMe), 0.06 (3H, s, Si Me^AMe^B), 0.05 (3H, s, SiMe^AMe^B); m/z (EI) 261 (M⁺ - ^tBu⁺, 7%), 157 (38), 31 (100) [Found (CI): $(M + H)^+$, 319.2090. $C_{19}H_{31}O_2Si$ requires M + H, 319.2093].

Acknowledgements

University of Glasgow for a scholarship for A. P. R., Loudon bequest for supporting C. S. G.

References

- 1 A. P. Rutherford, C. S. Gibb and R. C. Hartley, Tetrahedron Lett.,
- 2 For a review see: A. S. Franklin and I. Paterson, Contemp. Org. Synth., 1994, 317.

- 3 F. N. Tebbe, G. W. Parshall and G. S. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3611
- 4 T. R. Howard, J. B. Lee and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 6876; K. A. Brown-Wensley, S. L. Buchwald, L. Cannizzo, L. Clawson, S. Ho, D. Meinhardt, J. R. Stille, D. Straus and R. H. Grubbs, Pure Appl. Chem., 1983, 55, 1733.
- 5 N. A. Petasis and S.-P. Lu, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2393 and refs. 1-3 therein.
- 6 T. Okazoe, K. Takai, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 4410.
- 7 K. Takai, Y. Kataoka, J. Miyai, T. Okazoe, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, Org. Synth., 1996, 73, 73.
- 8 Y. Horikawa, M. Watanabe, T. Fujiwara and T. Takeda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 1127.
- 9 For a review see: L. A. Paquette, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 13971.
- 10 N. Greeves and W.-M. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **35**, 6445 and refs. 10 and 11 therein; E. Lee, Y. R. Lee, B. Moon, O. Kwon, M. S. Shim and J. S. Yun, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 1444; S.-Y. Wei, K. Tamooka and T. Nakai, Tetrahedron, 1993, 49, 1025; L. A. Paquette and G. D. Maynard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114,
- 11 L. A. Paquette, D. T. DeRussy and R. D. Rogers, Tetrahedron, 1988, **44**, 3139; L. A. Paquette, J. Reagan, S. L. Schreiber and C. A. Teleha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2331; R. E. Maleczka, Jr. and L. A. Paquette, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 6538.

 12 L. A. Paquette, D. T. DeRussy, N. A. Pegg, R. T. Taylor and
- T. M. Zydowsky, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 4576. 13 L. A. Paquette, T. M. Morwick, J. T. Negri and R. D. Rogers,
- Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 3075.
- 14 For a review of carbocyclisations see: R. C. Hartley and S. T. Caldwell, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, 2000, 477.
- 15 H. Ohtake, X.-L. Li, M. Shiro and S. Ikegami, Tetrahedron, 2000, **56**, 7109.
- 16 J. E. Baldwin and M. J. Lusch, Tetrahedron, 1982, 38, 2939.
- 17 Examples include: J. R. Bull and J. H. S. Borry, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1994, 913; I. Shiina, H. Iwadare, M. Saitoh, N. Ohkawa, T. Nishimura and T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, 781; T. Mukaiyama, I. Shiina, K. Kimura, Y. Akiyama and H. Iwadare, Chem. Lett., 1995, 229; Y. Tsuda, Y. Sakai, T. Sano and J. Toda, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1991, 39, 1402.
- 18 K. Tadano, S. Kanazawa, K. Takao and S. Ogawa, Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 4283.
- 19 H. Fukase and S. Horii, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3642.
- 20 M.-H. Filippini, R. Faure and J. Rodriguez, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60,
- 21 T. Mukaiyama, Org. React. (N. Y.), 1982, 28, 238.
- 22 N. Sloughi and G. Rousseau, Synth. Commun., 1987, 17, 1.
- 23 C. Wedler, A. Kunath and H. Schick, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 758.
- 24 H. Schick, R. Ludwig, K. Kleiner and A. Kunath, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 2939.
- 25 M. Mortimore and P. Kocienski, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 3357.
- 26 A. P. Rutherford and R. C. Hartley, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 737
- 27 M. P. Strobel, C. G. Andrieu, D. Paquer, M. Vazeux and C. C. Pham, Nouv. J. Chim., 1980, 4, 101.
- 28 A route to these β-hydroxycyclohexanones is known: I. Fleming, R. Henning, D. C. Parker, H. E. Plaut and P. E. J. Sanderson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 317.
- 29 M. J. Aurell, P. Gavina and R. Mestres, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 2571.
- 30 J. P. Richard and R. W. Nagorski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121,
- 31 N. L. Allinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 8127.
- 32 F. Mohamadi, N. G. J. Richards, W. C. Guida, R. Liskamp, M. Lipton, C. Caufield, G. Chang, T. Hendrickson and W. C. Still, J. Comput. Chem., 1990, 11, 440.
- 33 M. J. S. Dewar, E. G. Zoebisch, E. F. Healy and J. J. P. Stewart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 3902.
- 34 J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des., 1990, 4, 1.
- 35 K. J. McCullough, Contemp. Org. Synth., 1995, 3, 225.
- 36 L. A. Paquette and R. C. Thompson, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 4952.
- 37 J. Zabicky, The Chemistry of the Carbonyl Group, Wiley, New York, 1970, Vol. 2, pp. 81-87.
- 38 L. N. Mander and D. J. Owen, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 2137.
- 39 S. Matsubara, N. Tsuboniwa, Y. Morizawa, K. Oshima and H. Nozaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1984, 3242.
- 40 K. Inomata, T. Kawahara and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1974, 245.