

Intramolecular Cyclopropanation of Glycals: Studies toward the Synthesis of Canadensolide, Sporothriolide, and Xylobovide


Ming Yu, Vincent Lynch,[†] and Brian L. Pagenkopf*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin,
Austin, Texas 78712

pagenkopf@mail.utexas.edu

Received June 6, 2001

ABSTRACT



The first examples of copper-catalyzed intramolecular cyclopropanations of glycal-derived diazoacetates are reported. The new cyclopropanes are converted into advanced intermediates for the synthesis of bislactone natural products. Synthetic highlights include the selective monodeprotection of a di-*tert*-butylsilylene ether and a zinc-mediated ring opening cascade reaction.

Carbohydrates are an indispensable source of materials for asymmetric synthesis, supported by a history of well-documented functional group transformations.¹ One challenge in carbohydrate chemistry includes developing economical and stereoselective methods for the introduction of new carbon–carbon bonds bearing suitable functional handles.² Carbon-branched sugars³ are found in numerous natural products and have been used as starting materials for indole alkaloid syntheses.⁴ They commonly occur in the glycon portion of many antibiotics⁵ and have been studied during the elucidation of biochemical pathways.⁶ Additionally,

naturally occurring macrolides often contain branched polyol sequences, a structural motif that portends an origin from a C-branched sugar.⁷

Glycals continue to attract attention as important substrates for the development of new synthetic methodologies.⁸ Their cyclopropanation is a particularly attractive means for the efficient introduction of new carbon bonds onto the sugar backbone. Although the synthesis of cyclopropanated sugars was first described decades ago,⁹ their importance continues

[†] Author to whom inquiries concerning the X-ray data should be directed.

(1) Bols, M. *Carbohydrate Building Blocks*; John Wiley & Sons: Toronto, 1996.

(2) Lopez, J. C.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 2251–2257.

(3) Chapleur, T.; Chetein, F. In *Preparative Carbohydrate Chemistry*; Hanessian, S., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997; pp 207–264.

(4) (a) Pancrazi, A.; Kervagoret, J.; Khuong-Huu, Q. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 4303–4306. (b) Khuong-Huu, Q.; Nemlin, J.; Kervagoret, J.; Pancrazi, A. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, 48, 6689–6700.

(5) (a) Lindberg, B. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* **1990**, 48, 279–318. (b) Grisebach, H. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* **1978**, 35, 81–126.


(6) He, X.; Agnihotri, G.; Liu, H.-w. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 100, 4615–4662.

(7) Celmer, W. D. *Pure. Appl. Chem.* **1971**, 28, 413–453.

(8) For recent representative examples, see: (a) Kan, C.; Long, C. M.; Paul, M.; Ring, M. C.; Tully, S. E.; Rojas, C. M. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, 3, 381–384. (b) Kim, J. Y.; Di Bussolo, V.; Gin, D. Y. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, 3, 303–306. (c) Tan, D. S.; Schreiber, S. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 9509–9513. (d) Evans, D. A.; Trotter, B. W.; Côté, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 1709–1712. (e) Hosokawa, S.; Kirschbaum, B.; Isobe, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 1917–1920. (f) Rainier, J. D.; Allwein, S. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 9601–9604. (g) DuBois, J.; Tomooka, C. S.; Hong, J.; Carreira, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 3179–3180. (h) Linker, T.; Sommermann, T.; Kahlenberg, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 9377–9384. (i) Danishefsky, S. J.; Bilodeau, M. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, 35, 1380–1419. (j) Halcomb, R. L.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 6661–6666.

(9) Brimacombe, J. S.; Evans, M. E.; Forbes, E. J.; Foster, A. B.; Webber, J. M. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1967**, 4, 239–243.

to prompt investigations that offer improved or alternative methods for their preparation.¹⁰ The reactions of vinyl ethers with the Simmons–Smith^{11,12} reagent or dihalocarbene¹³ provide robust adducts that undergo ring opening reactions with Lewis acids,^{12,13} by α alkoxide fragmentation¹⁴ or platinum catalyzed hydration/isomerization.^{15,16} The facial selectivity of the intermolecular cyclopropanation of glycal **1** with ethyl diazoacetate showed a pronounced dependency on the nature of the catalyst (Scheme 1).¹⁷ These cyclopro-




^a Ratio of **2**:**3**:**4**:**5**: with cat. Cu⁰ (ref 17a), 0:0:1:0; with cat. Rh₂(OAc)₄ (ref 17c), 31:0:1:0.

panes possess vicinal functional groups that can in principle work synergistically in a “push–pull” relationship, an arrangement that engenders useful reactivity for further transformations when geminal electron-withdrawing groups are present.^{18,19}

Herein we describe the first intramolecular cyclopropanation reactions of glucose-derived glycals and the selective mono-deprotection of a di-*tert*-butylsilylene ether.²⁰ The new cyclopropane **8a** was transformed by way of a zinc-mediated

tandem ring opening reaction sequence into an advanced intermediate for the synthesis of the tetrahydro-furo[3,4-*b*]-furan-2,4-dione core found in several bislactone natural products.

The glycals **6a**,²¹ **6b**,²² and **6c**²³ and olefin **9**²⁴ were synthesized from D-glucose. The diazoesters shown in Scheme 2 were prepared by a modification of the method



^a Conditions: (a) (i) *p*-TolSO₂NHNHCOCl (**12**), Me₂NPh, DCM/DMF; (ii) Et₃N; (b) 5 mol % **13**, PhMe, reflux.

reported in the pioneering contribution by Corey and Myers on the intramolecular cyclopropanation of diazoacetates.²⁵ Treating a dichloromethane/*N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) solution of glyoxylic acid chloride *p*-toluenesulfonylhydrazide (**12**)²⁶ and the alcohol sequentially with *N,N*-dimethylaniline and triethylamine afforded the diazoacetates in greater than 85% yield. The addition of anhydrous DMF as cosolvent proved crucial for successful acylation, without which less than 5% of the desired diazoesters could be isolated. The cyclopropanations were achieved by the addition of the diazoester to a refluxing solution of 5 mol % bis(*N*-*tert*-butylsalicylaldiminato)copper(II) [Cu(TBS)₂]

(10) For a review, see: Cousins, G. S.; Hoberg, J. O. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2000**, 29, 165–174.

(11) Hoberg, J. O.; Claffey, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 2533–2536.

(12) Hoberg, J. O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 6615–6618.

(13) Ramana, C. V.; Murali, R.; Nagarajan, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 7694–7703.

(14) (a) Mehta, G.; Acharyulu, P. V. R. *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B* **1998**, 37B, 201–204. (b) Mehta, G.; Acharyulu, P. V. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 24, 2759–2760.

(15) (a) Beyer, J.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 12137–12138. (b) Beyer, J.; Skaanderup, P. R.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 9575–9583.

(16) Doyle, M. P.; Leusen, D. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 103, 5917–5919.

(17) (a) Henry, K. J., Jr.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 8901–8904. (b) Timmers, C. M.; Leeuwenburgh, M. A.; Verheijen, J. C.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **1996**, 7, 49–52. (c) Hoberg, J. O.; Claffey, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 2533–2536.

(18) Wenkert, E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, 13, 27–31.

(19) Danishefsky, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1979**, 12, 66–72.

(20) For cyclopropanation of carbohydrate-derived silyl enol ethers, see: (a) Schumacher, R.; Reissig, H.-U. *Leibigs Ann. Recueil* **1997**, 521–526. (b) Schumacher, R.; Reissig, H.-U. *Synlett* **1996**, 1121–1122.

(21) Hoberg, J. O. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1997**, 4, 365–368.

(22) (a) Fetizon, M.; Khac, D. D.; Tho, N. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, 27, 1777–1780. (b) Kjoelberg, O.; Neumann, K. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1993**, 47, 843–845.

(23) Bartolozzi, A.; Capozzi, G.; Menichetti, S.; Nativi, C. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, 2, 251–253.

(24) Tolstikov, A. G.; Prokopenko, O. F.; Spirikhin, L. F.; Tolstikov, G. A. *Russ. J. Bioorg. Chem.* **1993**, 19, 139–144.

(25) Corey, E. J.; Myers, A. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 3559–3562.

(26) Blankley, C. J.; Suture, F. J.; House, H. O. *Synthesis* **1970**, 259–262.

(13)²⁷ in either dichloromethane or toluene. Slow addition of the diazoester over 8–12 h was necessary to minimize formation of dimeric fumarates and maleates. The Cu(TBS)₂ precatalyst **13** performed admirably in the cyclopropanation reactions examined for this study, whereas other catalysts, including Rh₂(OAc)₄, gave lower yields.²⁸

Scheme 2 shows that the intramolecular cyclopropanation of glycals is compatible with a variety of protecting groups at the C(4) and C(6) positions, including cyclic silylene or acetonide groups and acyclic benzyl and TBS groups. Each of the cyclopropanes shown in Scheme 2 was formed as an exclusive stereoisomer, as judged by TLC and inspection of the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures. The stereochemistry of the cyclopropanation product **8a** was unambiguously established by X-ray crystallographic analysis of crystals (mp 199.5–201.0 °C), obtained from ethyl acetate/hexanes, Figure 1. As expected,²⁵ the cyclopropanation is




Figure 1. Chem3D representation of the X-ray structure of cyclopropane **8a**.

not limited to electron-rich olefins, and reaction of **10** proceeded with equal efficiency. In addition to the high diastereoselectivity inherent to this intramolecular reaction, the products are formed with equal levels of selectivity regardless of the protecting groups that are employed at C(4) and C(6).

The phytotoxic natural product xylobovide **20**,²⁹ the fungicide canadensolide **22**,³⁰ and sporothriolide **23**,³¹ an antibacterial, fungicidal, algicidal, and herbicidal agent, are closely related natural products that differ simply in the length of their side chain. These structures have received

considerable attention as synthetic targets, with several reported total syntheses of canadensolide and one of sporothriolide appearing in the literature.^{32,33} Inspection of xylobovide reveals that, excluding the exocyclic methylene,³⁴ all of the carbons with the correct stereochemistry necessary for its synthesis are present in cyclopropanes **8a–c**.

To demonstrate the potential utility of this methodology in natural product synthesis, **8a** was converted into the advanced intermediate **19**, which, on the basis of literature precedent,^{32g,h} will provide access to xylobovide **20**. The first synthetic task was the removal of the di-*tert*-butyl silylene ether. Complete desilylation with TBAF in THF afforded, as expected, the corresponding diol in 93% yield. However, the possibility of achieving a more interesting monodesilylation of the di-*tert*-butylsilylene ether was explored with this substrate. After some experimentation, it was discovered that treatment of **8a** with BF₃·Et₂O in toluene (room temperature to 85 °C, 30 min) in the presence an HF scavenger such as allyltrimethylsilane revealed exclusively the primary alcohol **14** in 95% yield.^{35,36} Conversion of alcohol **14** to iodide **15** (ca. 90%, two steps) set the stage for a zinc-mediated reductive ring opening cascade.³⁷ Addition of iodide **15** to a room-temperature suspension of zinc–copper couple³⁸ in dry THF resulted in rapid reduction and cleavage of the pyran ether to zinc alkoxide **16**, which spontaneously underwent further ring opening to aldehyde **17**. Aldehyde **17** was converted without purification to the hemiacetal **18** by desilylation of the di-*tert*-butylfluorosilyl ether with HF·pyridine.³⁹ The di-*tert*-butylfluorosilyl ether protecting group utilized in this reaction sequence proved to be quite robust in the absence of fluoride ion and was labile only upon exposure for several hours to aqueous solutions at extremes of pH.

Reduction of olefin **18** with hydrogen over palladium on carbon provided hemiacetal **19** (96%), which maps⁴⁰ nicely onto an advanced intermediate in the Fraser–Reid total

(27) (a) Yokoi, H.; Takeuchi, A.; Yamada, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, 63, 1462–1466. (b) Batley, G. E.; Graddon, D. P. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1968**, 21, 1473–1485.

(28) Under slow addition conditions, other catalysts gave primarily maleates and fumarates (CuClO₄·(CH₃CN)₂, toluene, 120 °C; CuBF₄·(CH₃CN)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C; CuPF₆·(CH₃CN)₂, toluene, 120 °C; Cu(OTf)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C) or multiple byproducts (Rh₂(OAc)₄, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C; CuPF₆·(CH₃CN)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C) or failed to react (Co(acac)₃, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C; ZrCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C).

(29) Abate, D.; Abraham, W.-R.; Meyer, H. *Phytochemistry* **1997**, 44, 1443–1448.

(30) McCorkindale, N. J.; Wright, J. L. C.; Brian, P. W.; Clarke, S. M.; Hutchinson, S. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, 6, 727–730.

(31) Krohn, K.; Ludewig, K.; Aust, H. J.; Draeger, S.; Schulz, B. *J. Antibiot.* **1994**, 47, 113–118.

(32) (a) Al-Abed, Y.; Naz, N.; Mootoo, D.; Voelter, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 8641–8642. (b) Honda, T.; Kobayashi, Y.; Tsubuki, M. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 1211–1222. (c) Sharma, G. V. M.; Vepachedu, S. R. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, 47, 519–524. (d) Honda, T.; Kobayashi, Y.; Tsubuki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 4891–4894. (e) Tochtermann, W.; Schroeder, G. R.; Snatzke, G.; Peters, E. M.; Peters, K.; Von Schnering, H. G. *Chem. Ber.* **1988**, 121, 1625–1636. (f) Tsuboi, S.; Muranaka, K.; Sakai, T.; Takeda, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, 51, 4944–4946. (g) Anderson, R. C.; Fraser-Reid, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 4786–4790. (h) Anderson, R. C.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 35, 3233–3236. (i) Sakai, T.; Yoshida, M.; Kohmoto, S.; Utaka, M.; Takeda, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, 23, 5185–5188. (j) Tsuboi, S.; Fujita, H.; Muranaka, K.; Seko, K.; Takeda, A. *Chem. Lett.* **1982**, 1909–1912. (k) Carlson, R. M.; Oyler, A. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, 41, 4065–4069. (l) Kato, M.; Kageyama, M.; Tanaka, R.; Kuwahara, K.; Yoshikoshi, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, 40, 1932–1941.

(33) Sharma, G. V. M.; Krishnudu, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 2661–2664.

(34) Grieco, P. A. *Synthesis* **1975**, 67–82.

(35) The generality of this selective monodesilylation protocol will be described elsewhere.

(36) For the formation of di-*tert*-butylfluoroethers in synthesis, see: (a) Sannigrahi, M.; Mayhew, D. L.; Clive, D. L. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 2776–2788. (b) Clive, D. L. J.; Cantin, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 319–320.


(37) Bernet, B.; Vasella, A. *Helv. Chem. Acta* **1979**, 62, 1990–2016.

(38) LeGoff, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1964**, 29, 2048–2049.

(39) Nicolaou, K. C.; Webber, S. E. *Synthesis* **1986**, 455–461.

(40) Hemiacetal **19** differs from the canadensolide intermediate only in that it bears an ethyl side chain instead of a butyl.

Scheme 3



synthesis of canadensolide.^{32g,h} Additionally, treatment of hemiacetal **18** with catalytic H_2SO_4 in refluxing methanol afforded acetal **21** in 96% yield. The acetal **21** presents a convenient divergence point for the synthesis of canadensolide **22**, sporothriolide **23**, and related structures by oxidative olefin cleavage and Wittig homologation (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, we have prepared advanced intermediates for the synthesis of bislactone natural products by the intramolecular cyclopropanation of glycals. Additionally, we have reported the first monodesilylation of a di-*tert*-butylsilylene ether.

Acknowledgment. We thank The University of Texas at Austin and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for generous financial support of our work.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray structure data and CIF file for **8a** and detailed experimental procedures and characterization of all new compounds (**7**, **8**, **10**, **11**, **14**, **15**, **21**, **24**). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL016239H