Oxalates as Activating Groups for Alcohols in Visible Light Photoredox Catalysis: Formation of Quaternary Centers by Redox-Neutral Fragment Coupling

Christopher C. Nawrat,[†] Christopher R. Jamison,[‡] Yuriy Slutskyy,[‡] David W. C. MacMillan,^{*,†} and Larry E. Overman^{*,‡}

[†]Merck Center for Catalysis at Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States [‡]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2025, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Alkyl oxalates are new bench-stable alcoholactivating groups for radical generation under visible light photoredox conditions. Using these precursors, the first net redox-neutral coupling of tertiary and secondary alcohols with electron-deficient alkenes is achieved.

Tucleophilic tertiary carbon radicals are useful intermediates for combining structurally complex carbon fragments with the formation of new quaternary carbons.¹ These alkyl radicals are formed most commonly from halide precursors; however, competing elimination and rearrangement reactions can undermine the preparation of structurally complex tertiary halides.² In contrast, tertiary alcohols would be ideal precursors of tertiary radicals because they can be prepared by various reliable methods and are widely commercially available. Inspired by Barton's introduction of tert-alkyl N-hydroxypyridine-2-thionyl oxalates for generating carbon radicals from alcohols,^{2b} Overman et al. recently introduced N-phthalimidoyl oxalate derivatives of tertiary alcohols for the reductive coupling of tertiary radicals with Michael acceptors using visible light and $[Ru(bpy)_3](PF_6)_2$ (eq 1).³⁻⁵ Though this method was shown to possess a wide substrate scope, its mechanism necessitates the use of a stoichiometric reductant to produce the tertiary alkyl radical and forms phthalimide as a byproduct. Also, the inherent





sensitivity of the N-phthalimidoyl oxalate moiety can present complications for purification of the requisite intermediates because N-phthalimidoyl oxalates are not stable to aqueous workup or flash chromatography. As such, identifying an activating group for tertiary alcohols that is both stable and capable of generating and coupling radicals in a redox-neutral fashion (i.e., without the need for supplementary reductants or oxidants) would be a desirable goal. The MacMillan group reported a net redox-neutral method to generate carbon radicals and couple them with Michael acceptors by visible-lightpromoted decarboxylation of carboxylic acids using the photocatalyst $Ir[dF(CF_3)ppy]_2(dtbbpy)PF_6(1) (eq 2)$.⁶ In contrast to the reductive coupling of N-phthalimidoyl oxalates, this method produces no byproduct other than CO₂. We questioned whether it would be possible to combine the salient features of both the Overman and MacMillan radical generation methods to identify a new activating-group strategy for tertiary alcohols that proceeds via a redox-neutral manifold using stable, easily handled intermediates. Here we report that simple oxalate salts of tertiary alcohols undergo high-yield photocatalytic coupling with electron-deficient alkenes in the presence of 1 and visible light (eq 3).

Communication

The mechanistic details of the proposed coupling reaction are outlined in Scheme 1. Irradiation of heteroleptic photocatalyst $Ir[dF(CF_3)ppy]_2(dtbbpy)PF_6$ (1) $[dF(CF_3)ppy = 2-(2,4$ difluorophenyl)-5-trifluoromethylpyridine, dtbbpy = 4,4'-di-t-Bu-2,2'-bipyridine] with visible light leads to the formation of a long-lived $(\tau = 2.3 \ \mu s)$ excited state *Ir^{III} 2, which is a strong oxidant $(E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} [*Ir^{\text{III}}/Ir^{\text{II}}] = +1.21 \text{ V vs SCE in CH}_3\text{CN}).^7 \text{ On}$ the basis of this, we hypothesized that oxidation of the conjugate base of 3 $(E_{1/2}^{red} = +1.28 \text{ V vs SCE in CH}_3\text{CN for } t$ BuOCOCO₂Cs)⁸ by *Ir^{III} (2) via single-electron transfer (SET) should be thermodynamically feasible, generating 4 following the stepwise loss of two molecules of CO₂. Nucleophilic C-centered radical 4 should rapidly undergo addition to electron-deficient alkenes such as 5. Finally, we expected that reduction of resulting adduct radical 6 ($E_{1/2}^{red}$ = -0.59 to -0.73 V vs SCE in MeCN)⁹ by SET from available Ir^{II} species 3 $(E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} [\text{Ir}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ir}^{\text{II}}] = -1.37 \text{ V vs SCE in CH}_3 \text{CN})^7$ should yield 7 after protonation and regenerate ground-state photocatalyst 1, completing the proposed catalytic cycle.

Received: July 22, 2015

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for Redox-Neutral Radical-Coupling Reaction Using Alkyl Oxalates and Michael Acceptors



Table 1. Initial Studies and Reaction Optimization

Me			1 mol% photoca	talyst 1	Me
alkul ovalate		benzul acculate	base, solvent, 40 34 W Blue LED		dition product
aikyi t	Adiate	benzyi aci yiate		1, 4 -a	aution product
entry	Х	solv	ent	base	yield (%) ^a
1	Н	DMF		K_2HPO_4	64
2	Н	DMF		CsF	74
3	Н	DME		CsF	82
4	Н	3:1 DM	E/DMF	CsF	91
5	Cs	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	65
6 ^b	Cs	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	95
7 ^b	Li	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	93
8 ^{b,c}	Cs	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	66
9 ^{b,d}	Cs	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	0
10 ^{b,e}	Cs	3:1 DM	E/DMF	none	0

^aReactions on a 0.2 mmol scale using 1.0 equiv of acceptor and 1.1 equiv of oxalate. Yields determined by ¹H NMR using mesitylene as an internal standard. ^bWater added = 10 equiv. ^cReaction carried out with 26 W CFL. ^dReaction carried out without photocatalyst. ^eReaction carried out in the absence of light.

We first explored the proposed decarboxylative alkylation reaction using the alkyl hydrogen oxalate derived from 1-methyl-1-cyclohexanol as the radical precursor in the presence of benzyl acrylate as an archetypal Michael acceptor (Table 1). Using 1 as photocatalyst and dipotassium phosphate as base,⁶ we were delighted to obtain the desired product in moderate yield (entry 1). Further optimization revealed CsF to be a more competent base and a 3:1 mixture of DME/DMF to be the optimal solvent (entries 2–4).

Though *tert*-alkyl hydrogen oxalates clearly function as viable radical precursors, many were observed to be intrinsically unstable species that disproportionate into a mixture of the corresponding dialkyl oxalate and oxalic acid, even during storage at -18 °C.¹⁰ Also, it was apparent that the presence of a highly acidic hydrogen oxalate would likely preclude the preparation of

substrates containing sensitive functional groups. Fortunately, it was found that the preformed Cs salts of the starting acids were also competent in the reaction (entry 5). In contrast to the parent acid (and, indeed, to most activating groups for tertiary alcohols used for radical generation),^{2,3} alkyl cesium oxalates were benchstable, nonhygroscopic, and easy to isolate and handle.¹¹ Adding 10 equiv of water was found to be beneficial when utilizing the preformed oxalate salt, giving the coupled product in an excellent 95% yield (entry 6). Presumably, water both assists in solubilizing oxalate salt and provides a proton source to quench the intermediate cesium enolate after radical coupling and reduction. Additionally, oxalates bearing other alkali counterions, such as Li (entry 7), performed comparably to cesium oxalates in the reaction.¹² The use of a 26 W CFL bulb in place of a 34 W blue LED lamp resulted in a diminished but still useful yield (entry 8). Finally, it was observed that control experiments run in the absence of photocatalyst or a visible light source did not generate any of the desired 1,4-addition product (entries 9 and 10).

Having identified optimal conditions, we examined the scope of the acceptor component. As shown in Table 2, a wide range of

Table 2. Acceptor Scope with Alkyl Cesium Oxalate 9^a

Me OCOCO ₂ Cs	EWG	1 mol% photocata 3:1 DME:DMF, H ₂ C 34 W Blue LEI	alyst 1 D, 40 °C Ds				
alkyl cesium oxalate 9	Michael acceptor		1,4-addition product				
Michael Acceptors Employed (product number, yield)							
OR OR		Me O	MMe ₂				
R = Et 10 88% y R = Ph 11 86% y	ield ield ^b	12 86% yield	13 82% yield				
<i>⊢</i> ⁰		PO(OEt)2	SO ₂ Ph				
14 77% yield	I	15 68% yield	16 77% yield				
		CO ₂ Me	CI CO ₂ Me				
17 85% yield	I	18 96% yield	19 94% yield				
Ph	ОН Мо	eO ₂ C CO ₂ Me					
20 85% yield	Ь	21 99% yield	R = Me 22 73% yield ^c R = Ph 23 70% yield ^c				
	-0	MeO					
24 89% yield rr >20:1	đ	25 73% yield ^{c,d,e} dr >20:1	26 90% yield ^f dr >20:1				

^{*a*}Isolated yields using optimized conditions from Table 1 with 1.0 equiv of acceptor and 1.1 equiv of oxalate (Supporting Information). ^{*b*}Carried out with 1.5 equiv of cesium oxalate. ^{*c*}Carried out in 100% DME. ^{*d*}Run at 22 °C. ^{*e*}Isolated as trans isomer. ^{*f*}Isolated as cis isomer.

electron-deficient alkenes can be used in the reaction. As expected, various acrylates were capable acceptors in the reaction (10 and 11, 88 and 86% yield, respectively); the presence of α substitution was well-tolerated (17–20, 85–96% yield). Also, α,β -unsaturated acids can be used as coupling partners, owing to the low basicity of the oxalate salt (20, 85% yield). This procedure could be applied to a range of other electron-deficient alkenes (e.g., enones, enals, acrylamides, vinyl phosphonates, and vinyl sulfones; 12–16, 68–86% yield). Surprisingly, acrylonitrile produced little product (11% yield),¹³ whereas methacrylonitrile

Table 3. Scope of Cesium Oxalate Salts with Benzyl Acrylate as the Acceptor⁴



^{*a*}Isolated yields using optimized conditions from Table 1 with 1.0 equiv of acceptor and 1.1 equiv of oxalate (Supporting Information). ^{*b*}Carried out with 1.5 equiv of oxalate. ^{*c*}Using 100% DME.

proved to be a much more capable acceptor (17, 85% yield). Substitution at the β position was tolerated for more electrondeficient alkenes such as dimethyl fumarate and dimethyl ethylidene- and benzylidenemalonate, furnishing the expected adducts with good efficiency (21–23, 70–99% yield). As expected, 4-vinylfuran-2-one gave exclusively the 1,6-addition product in excellent yield (24, 89% yield). In the case of acceptors harboring existing stereogenic centers, high levels of diastereoselectivity were obtained (25 and 26, 73–90% yield, > 20:1 dr).

Next, we investigated the scope of cesium oxalate (Table 3). Owing to the long forming bond (2.2–2.5 Å) in the transition state of carbon radical conjugate addition¹⁴ and the poor solvation of carbon radicals,^{1b} the reaction proved quite insensitive to steric hindrance around the site of radical generation, with adjacent isopropyl and tert-butyl groups not greatly reducing the efficiency of the reaction (29 and 31, 73-93% yield). Cyclopentanol-derived oxalates also underwent coupling in good yield (33 and 39, 85-92% yield), but very low conversion was observed for 1-methylcyclopropanol- and 1methylcyclobutanol-derived oxalates. Heterocycles (e.g., pyrrolidines, piperidines, tetrahydrofurans, pyridines, and indoles) were well-tolerated in the reaction (35, 37, 41, 59, and 63, 54-77% yield). Underscoring the utility of this method for constructing quaternary stereocenters in complex molecules, natural product-derived oxalates also performed well, with good levels of diastereoselectivity being observed (41, 43, 45, 47, and 49, 85–96% yield). Indeed, high yields were obtained even for the formation of vicinal quaternary stereocenters (47, 85%) yield). Also, a number of acyclic *tert*-alkyl oxalates also undergo the coupling with high levels of efficiency (51-63, 54-93%) yield).

The reaction was examined with several secondary cesium oxalates; two representative examples are shown in Table 4. Although still synthetically useful, lower yields of coupled products were obtained with these substrates, and the product of trapping of the intermediate alkoxyacyl radical was also isolated (65 and 66). For more stabilized benzylic radicals, these side products were not observed; the yields remained moderate (68).





"Isolated yields using optimized conditions from Table 1 with 1.0 equiv of acceptor and 1.5 equiv of oxalate (Supporting Information).

Scheme 2. Six-Step Synthesis of a *trans*-Clerodane Natural Product



The reaction also enabled a short synthesis of 70,¹⁵ a member of the trans-clerodane family of natural products (Scheme 2).¹⁶ Activation of the tertiary alcohol of known intermediate 69¹⁷ is particularly challenging because the trans-decalin ring system places the tertiary alcohol in a 1,3-diaxial relationship with the angular methyl substituent. This severe steric interaction had previously prevented the preparation of the N-phthalimidoyl oxalate derivative.¹⁷ However, acylation of 69 with methyl chlorooxoacetate proceeded in excellent yield. In situ hydrolysis with aqueous CsOH allowed pure cesium oxalate 44 to be isolated in one step and high yield from alcohol 69 without the use of chromatography. Coupling of oxalate 44 (1.5 equiv) with commercially available 4-vinylfuran-2-one (1.0 equiv) proceeded with perfect diastereo- and regioselectivity in 98% yield to give trans-clerodane 70, a natural product that is a versatile precursor of many other members of the trans-clerodane family.

We have developed a new visible light photoredox-catalyzed method for the generation of alkyl radicals from secondary and tertiary alcohols and shown its use in the redox-neutral formation of quaternary carbon centers through alkylation with electrondeficient alkenes. The intermediate alkyl cesium oxalates are bench-stable, easily handled, and provide a notably convenient means to activate alcohols for radical generation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b07678.

Experimental procedures and data. (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*dmacmill@princeton.edu *leoverma@uci.edu

Author Contributions

C.C.N., C.R.J., and Y.S. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support at UC Irvine was provided by the NSF (CHE1265964) and the NIHGMS (R01-GM098601) and at Princeton by the NIHGMS (R01-GM078201).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Giese, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1983**, 22, 753. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Sas, W. Tetrahedron **1990**, 46, 3419. (c) Russell, G. A.; Shi, B. Z.; Jiang, W.; Hu, S.; Kim, B. H.; Baik, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 3952. (d) Togo, H.; Taguchi, R.; Yamaguchi, K.; Yokoyama, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1995**, 2135. (e) Schnermann, M. J.; Overman, L. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 9576. (f) Lo, J. C.; Yabe, Y.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 1304.

(2) In addition to halides, a number of activating groups have been reported that allow tertiary alcohols to be used as radical precursors. For existing methods that employ oxalate or xanthate derivatives, see (a) Dolan, S. C.; MacMillan, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1588. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1986, 1603. (c) Coppa, F.; Fontana, F.; Lazzarini, E.; Minisci, F.; Pianese, G.; Zhao, L. Chem. Lett. 1992, 1295. (d) Crich, D.; Fortt, S. M. Synthesis 1987, 1987, 35. (e) Coppa, F.; Fontana, F.; Lazzarini, E.; Minisci, F. Chem. Lett. 1992, 1299. (f) Togo, H.; Matsubayashi, S.; Yamazaki, O.; Yokoyama, M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2816.

(3) (a) Lackner, G. L.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15342. (b) Lackner, G. L.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Pratsch, G.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 6012.

(4) For recent reviews on photoredox catalysis, see (a) Tucker, J. W.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1617. (b) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5322. (c) Xie, J.; Jin, H.; Xu, P.; Zhu, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 36. (d) Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. Science 2014, 343, 1239176.

(5) For selected reviews on radical conjugate additions in general, see
(a) Renaud, P.; Gerster, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2562.
(b) Sibi, M. P.; Porter, N. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 163. (c) Sibi, M.

(d) Sibi, M. I., Forter, N. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 103. (c) Sibi, M. P.; Manyem, S.; Zimmerman, J. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3263.
(d) Srikanth, G. S. C.; Castle, S. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 10377.

(d) Silkanti, G. S. C.; Castle, S. L. Tetruneuron 2005, 01, 10577.

(6) Chu, L.; Ohta, C.; Zuo, Z.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10886.

(7) Lowry, M. S.; Goldsmith, J. L.; Slinker, J. D.; Rohl, R.; Pascal, R. A.; Malliaras, G. G.; Bernhard, S. *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 5712.

(8) See the Supporting Information for further details about CV measurements.

(9) (a) Bortolamei, N.; Isse, A. A.; Gennaro, A. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55*, 8312. (b) See the Supporting Information for evidence of an enolate intermediate.

(10) This disproportionation is unique to *tert*-alkyl hydrogen oxalates. Alkyl hydrogen oxalates derived from primary and secondary alcohols are stable and do not readily disproportionate.

(11) The cesium oxalates were most conveniently prepared via hydrolysis of the corresponding *tert*-alkyl methyl oxalates with aqueous CsOH. This route avoids the potentially unstable alkyl hydrogen oxalate moiety; the *tert*-alkyl methyl oxalate intermediates are stable to silica column chromatography and aqueous work up. The cesium oxalates may also be prepared in a simple one-pot procedure directly from tertiary alcohols (Supporting Information).

(12) Lithium, sodium, potassium, and cesium oxalates all gave similar results (Supporting Information). However, cesium oxalates were chosen for development under the assumption that they were likely to have favorable physical properties over a wide range of oxalate substrates.

(13) Rapid reaction of the coupled radical with additional acrylonitrile is likely responsible for the low yield in this case.

(14) (a) Damm, W.; Giese, B.; Hartung, J.; Hasskerl, T.; Houk, K. N.; Hueter; Zipse, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 4067. (b) Arnaud, R.; Postlethwaite, H.; Barone, V. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 5913.

(15) Hara, N.; Asaki, H.; Fujimoto, Y.; Gupta, Y.; Singh, A.; Sahai, M. *Phytochemistry* **1995**, 38, 189.

(16) For general information on the clerodane terpenoids, see
(a) Merritt, A. T.; Ley, S. V. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1992, 9, 243.
(b) Tokoroyama, T. Synthesis 2000, 2000, 611.

(17) Müller, D. S.; Untiedt, N. L.; Dieskau, A. P.; Lackner, G. L.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 660.