# Tetrahedron Letters 55 (2014) 1702-1705

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Borylation using group IV metallocene under mild conditions

# Ludovic D. Marciasini, Michel Vaultier, Mathieu Pucheault\*

Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, UMR 5255, Université de Bordeaux 1, IPB, CNRS, 351 Cours de la libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex, France

# ARTICLE INFO

# ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 9 December 2013 Revised 14 January 2014 Accepted 20 January 2014 Available online 4 February 2014

Keywords: Metallocene Boron Radical Zirconium Titanium

Boron derivatives are used in a plethora of domain and serve as efficient building block in synthesis.<sup>1</sup> Traditional organometallic addition to trialkylborate aside, carbon-boron bond formation is mostly achieved through two main reactions: hydroboration and catalyzed borylation.<sup>2</sup> Both have witnessed tremendous improvements over the last two decades with the introduction of transition metal catalysts, leading to better selectivities and easier product access. The main borylation methods have been developed using palladium activation of carbon-halide bond (Miyaura borylation)<sup>3-6</sup> or Ar-H activation using iridium catalysts (Hartwig borylation).<sup>7-14</sup> Both are very efficient and use traditional pinacolborane or pinacolatodiboron as boron source. Recently, we reported that the aminoboranes used in the same type of palladium catalyzed borylation<sup>15-20</sup> could be used in combination with iron catalysis.<sup>21-23</sup> Here, we would like to present the extension of our research related to the use of other metallocene to perform the same reactions.

We started to investigate group IV derived metallocene as they have been shown by Manners to activate dehydrogenation of the monoalkylamine borane complex into B–N oligomers.<sup>24,25</sup> Hence, we envisioned that the activation of the B–H bond by those complex could advantageously been used to create a carbon boron bond. We chose aryldiazonium salts (Scheme 1) as they often appear to be the most reactive partners in coupling reaction owing to the irreversible generation of nitrogen during oxidative addition. They have additionally successfully been used for borylation reaction using pinacolatodiboron as coupling agent.<sup>26–29</sup> More recently, they have been shown to add to bis(pinacolato)diboron

upon activation with peroxide when generated in situ from anilines.<sup>30</sup> Fundamentally, the presence of a B–H bond in the borylation reagent is likely to induce more competitive reduction of the substrate into the corresponding arene or the aniline.

A borylation reaction of aromatic diazonium salts has been optimized using titanocene and zirconocene

derivatives as catalysts. The reaction employs diisopropylaminoborane as a borylating agent and pro-

ceeds smoothly at room temperature to provide arylboronates after methanolysis and transesterification

with pinacol. The reaction mechanism has been found to proceed via a radical pathway.

Starting with the system described by Manners,<sup>24</sup> we evaluated the reaction of  $iPr_2N=BH_2$  **1** in the presence of 1% of '[Cp<sub>2</sub>Ti]' generated *in situ* by the addition of 2 equiv of *n*-BuLi on Cp<sub>2</sub>TiCl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>24</sup> By reaction with 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> **2a**, it led to the formation of the arylaminoborane 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BHNiPr<sub>2</sub> **3a** in 30% yield. This compound, stable enough to be fully characterized by NMR, can advantageously be transformed into other boron derivatives using previously reported methods (Scheme 2).

This first catalytic system led to 15% of toluene as a reduction by-product, probably originating from the competitive hydride



Scheme 1. Borylation of arenediazonium salts with diisopropyl amino borane.



Scheme 2. Transformation of arylaminoboranes.





© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

etrahedro

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 540006733; fax: +33 540006632. *E-mail address*: m.pucheault@ism.u-bordeaux1.fr (M. Pucheault).

 Table 1

 Catalytic system optimization

	$H_2B-NiPr_2 + - N_2BF_4 \xrightarrow{\text{cat. } [M_T] 1\%}_{\text{RT}} - $	$- \underbrace{N_{H}Pr_{2}}_{H} \xrightarrow{1. \text{ MeOH}}_{2. \text{ pinacol}} - \underbrace{-}_{H} B(\text{pin})$	
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent 4a	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)
1	_	THF	0
2	[TiCp <sub>2</sub> ]	THF	30
3	[TiCp <sub>2</sub> ]	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	56
4	$TiCp_{2}(CO)_{2}$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	60
5	(CptBu) <sub>2</sub> TiCl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	61
6	CpTiCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	62
7	TiCp <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	72
8	Cp*TiCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	67
9	TiCp* <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	61
10	(Indenyl) <sub>2</sub> ZrCl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	75
11	$(tBuCp)_2ZrCl_2$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	68
12	$Cp_2ZrCl_2$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	68
13	Cp <sub>2</sub> ZrHCl	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	74
14	[Cp <sub>2</sub> Co]PF <sub>6</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	61
15	Cp <sub>2</sub> Co	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	69
16	Cp <sub>2</sub> Ni	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	76
17	Cp <sub>2</sub> Ru	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	74

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield after pinacol treatment and purification.

transfer. A quick solvent screening showed that polar solvent favored greatly the reaction, most likely because of the poor diazonium solubility in apolar media. Most titanocene derivatives were found to efficiently catalyze the coupling reaction (Table 1, entries 3–9). Addition of alkyl lithium species to  $TiCp_2Cl_2$  in various quantities led to minor differences in catalytic activities. Effect of cyclopentadienyl (Cp) ring replacement by the permethyl analog (Cp\*) was unclear (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). Overall the titanocene dichloride was found to be the most active and practical complex to use.

As other metals were used for dehydrogenation of amine borane complexes, they were evaluated in the borylation process. Indeed zirconium based catalysts<sup>31</sup> also efficiently promote the borylation reaction (Table 1 entries 10–13). Among all tested complexes the Schwartz's reagent turned out to be one of the most efficient (Table 1 entry 13) despite few differences within the results. Other metallocene derivatives were tried, including cobaltocene (Table 1 entry 15), cobaltocenium hexafluorophosphate (Table 1 entry 14), nickelocene (Table 1 entry 16), and ruthenocene (Table 1 entry 17) led to interesting results. As Schwartz's reagent is known to react with nitrile, we evaluated the imino zirconium complex formed by reaction between Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrHCl and acetonitrile, it gave similar yields than without preformation.

This reaction was then extended to the use of other aryldiazonium salts. Overall the reaction proceeds smoothly with electron donating or withdrawing group. In most cases yields remain in the 60–80% range, which is quite decent considering they originate from a multistep sequence: aminoborylation, alcohol solvolysis, and transesterification by pinacol. In addition to methoxy groups (Table 2, entries 2–5), electron poor arylboronates, bearing nitro group (Table 2, entries 6 and 10), trifluoromethyl groups (Table 2, entries 7 and 9), cyano groups (Table 2, entry 8) can be obtained using this method. Not surprisingly, 4-benzoyl substituent led only to reduction product (benzophenone, Table 2, entry 25).

One advantage of this methodology is the complete compatibility with halide-substituted aryldiazonium salts. If fluorine arylboronates can be easily accessible using classical methods (Table 2, entries 12–14), the presence of chlorine, bromine and even more iodine, can lead to selectivity issues when performing borylation with classical reagents (organolithium or palladium/nickel catalysis). In our cases, chlorine (Table 2 entries 15–19) or bromine (Table 2 entries 20–22) substituted diazonium salts led to the corresponding boronates in similar yields to those obtained with other substituents. Even iodobenzeneboronates can be synthesized using our method (Table 2, entries 23 and 24).

Overall, apart from arylketo and carboxaldehyde substituted diazonium salts, this method was found to be quite general in terms of chemical substitution, likely owing to the mild conditions used during the process. Additionally, this method offers a preparation of boron derivatives which could appear more versatile than the previous methodologies using bis(pinacolato)diboron. Indeed, the resulting aryl amino borane can be transformed at will, depending on the work up of the borylation reaction, into the boronic acid, boronates, or trifluoborate salts. As an example, diisopropylamino-(4-methyl)phenylborane 3a is transformed by treatment with a MeOH/aq HCl mixture affording the corresponding boronic acid 5a in 91% yield. Trifluoroborate salts are obtained from the same intermediate by treatment with a saturated aqueous solution of KHF<sub>2</sub> leading to the borate **6a** in 90% yield. Considering the safety issues associated with diazonium salts manipulation, we performed an experiment generating the salt in situ by reaction of the 4-methylaniline with isoamylnitrite and BF<sub>3</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O. Yields were comparable (70%) but the reaction was slower than with the isolated diazonium salt (4 vs 2 h).

Even if our first rational for this reaction was based on the activation of the B–H bond by zirconocene and titanocene, we were deeply intrigued by the fact that any zirconocene and titanocene seem to promote this reaction. Moreover other metallocenes (Table 1 entries 14–17) led to the same conversion. We then performed a series of experiment to rule out the possibility of a classical ionic metal centered mechanism. In the case of a titanium centered mechanism the reaction is likely to start with the oxidative addition of the aryl diazonium salt to the metallocene (Scheme 3,  $A^1$ ). The aryl transfer from the metal center onto the boron could proceed through an addition leading to an amidoborane (Scheme 3,  $A^2$ )<sup>32</sup> or via a metal assisted  $\sigma$ -bond metathesis (Scheme 3,  $A^3$ ).<sup>33</sup>

However, despite our tries we never succeeded to obtain the cationic metallocenium by reacting titanocene (generated in situ from TiCp<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) or zirconocene with a diazonium salt. In all cases, degradation occurred, mostly via arylation of the Cp ring. Moreover reaction of zirconocene dichloride **7** with one equivalent of phenyl lithium led to the phenylzirconocenium chloride **8**, which underwent an ion metathesis by the addition of silver tetrafluoroborate **9**. This sequence provided us a reference compound which was never observed during the standard reaction. Moreover, we never

#### Table 2

Borylation using group IV metallocenes

	TiCp ZrCp N <sub>2</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> -	D <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (1%) Method A D <sub>2</sub> HCI (1%) Method B BH <sub>2</sub> -NiPR <sub>2</sub> (2eq) CH <sub>3</sub> CN, RT, 2h	NiPr <sub>2</sub> H 3		
Entry	Product	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)	Entry	Product	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)
1	4a — B(pin)	A:67 B:69	14	4n F	A:52 B:57
2	4b B(pin)	A:56 B:48	15	40 Cl B(pin)	A:57 B:52
3	4c B(pin)	A:37 B:38	16	4p B(pin)	A:78 B:73
4	4d ,O-C-B(pin)	A:72 B:55	17	4q CI	A:65 B:64
5	-O O-B(pin) 4e -O	A:43 B:36	18	4r Cl	A:68 B:69
6	4f O <sub>2</sub> N	A:54 B:63	19	Cl B(pin) 4s Cl	A:72 B:70
7	F <sub>3</sub> C 4g	A:61 B:57	20	4t Br B(pin)	A:62 B:57
8	4h NC	A:56 B:53	21	Br B(pin)	A:68 B:65
9	$F_3C$ $B(pin)$ $4i$ $CF_3$	A:61 B:65	22	4v Br	A:52 B:57
10	O <sub>2</sub> N B(pin)	A:63 B:58	23	4w B(pin)	B:70
11	4k B(pin)	A:41 B:49	24	4x I	A:75 B:79
12	4I F B(pin)	A:42 B:51	25	Ph <sup>O</sup> B(pin)	b
13	4m B(pin)	A:66 B:64	26	(B(pin))	A:56 B:52

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield after pinacol treatment and purification.

<sup>b</sup> Benzophenone was isolated as the sole product.

succeeded to perform a reaction between the isolated phenylzirconocenium tetrafluoroborate and the diisopropylaminoborane; even under harsh condition, we never observed the formation of a carbon–boron bond, only degradation (Scheme 4).

Arguably, as some report have proposed that aryldiazonium salts could react with bis(pinacolato)diboron through a radical mechanism. The propensity of diazonium salts to evolve into aryl free radicals in the presence of metallocene,<sup>34</sup> especially zirconocene dichloride<sup>35</sup> prompted us to evaluate a radical pathway (Scheme 5). The aryl radical obtained by the diazonium salt reduction by the metallocene (I) would undergo a bimolecular reaction with the dialkylaminoborane ( $P^1$ ), leading to the radical nitrogen cation. This intermediate can be reduced directly into the amine borane complex; this side product is observed in some cases in the reaction mixture. Radical addition to aminoborane has already been reported using silvl radical<sup>36</sup> but in that case the final product has a nitrogen silicon bond; the silicon residue is then transferred to the boron in a second step. In our case, the resulting nitrogen radical would then react with the starting diazonium salt leading to HBF<sub>4</sub>, the arylaminoborane and regenerating the aryl radical ( $P^2$ ). This mechanistic assumption has been corroborated by a metallocene loading study. Interestingly, lower loading (10 ppm) led to similar conversion but with a long activation period, and increased kinetics in the final stage of the reaction. This is consistent with a radical mechanism initiated by the metallocene; the propagation being fed continuously by more aryl radicals generated from the reaction between the aryldiazonium salt and the metallocene. Correspondingly, larger metallocene loading (10%) led only to lower yields due an increased side product formation. In both processes, metallocenium formed during the first step is thought to be reduced either by the excess of borane used in the reaction or by the product (R).

When a good hydrogen atom donor is present, aryl radical is known to undergo hydrogen atom abstraction affording ArH, with rate constants in the range of  $10^5-10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1.37</sup> In our case, when deuteriated acetonitrile was used, no deuteriated arene was observed. With BD<sub>2</sub>=NiPr<sub>2</sub> a similar result was obtained indicating that the addition on the borane is faster than the hydrogen abstraction and therefore probably not the rate determining step. Reaction with 1 equivalent of D<sub>2</sub>O led to no deuterium incorporation whether



Scheme 3. Plausible metal centered mechanism.



Scheme 4. Reaction between arvl zirconocenium and aminoborane.

$$ArN_{2}BF_{4} + Cp_{2}M \longrightarrow Ar' + [Cp_{2}M]^{+} (I)$$

$$Ar' + BH_{2}N/Pr_{2} \longrightarrow Ar' + Br'_{H} (P^{1})$$

$$H_{Ar'-B-N'}^{+} + ArN_{2}BF_{4} \longrightarrow Ar' + ArBHN(iPr)_{2} (P^{2})$$

$$H_{BF_{4}}^{+} + BF_{4}$$

$$\frac{\text{RBHN}(iPr)_2}{[C_{P2}M]^+} \xrightarrow{C_{D2}M} C_{D2}M$$

Scheme 5. Proposed radical chain mechanism.

reaction was performed in CH<sub>3</sub>CN or CD<sub>3</sub>CN. When the reaction was performed with 2-allyloxyphenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate. a mixture of products resulting from the 5-exo-trig cyclization was obtained and no trace of borylation product could be observed. These cyclization processes are known to proceed with rate constant close of 4.8  $\cdot 10^9$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. It means that this cyclization is faster than the addition to the aminoborane and that proton abstraction or fluoride recombination of the resulting alkyl radical is faster than the bimolecular addition to diisopropylamino borane.

Overall we found that metallocene could advantageously be used for promoting borylation reactions. The resulting arylaminoborane can then be transformed using the relevant work up into handier boron derivatives providing a synthetically useful method to prepare boronic acids and pinacol boronates. We found that the mechanism is likely a radical chain and that the key addition of the generated aryl radical to diisopropylaminoborane is occurring with rate constant between 10<sup>6</sup> and 10<sup>9</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Interestingly, commonly used dialkoxyborane or bis(pinacolato)diboron is unreactive and only diisopropylaminoborane showed a smooth reactivity under those conditions.

#### Acknowledgments

This work has been funded by the Conseil regional d'Aquitaine, the CNRS and the University of Bordeaux1, they are gratefully acknowledged.

# Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.01. 080.

# **References and notes**

- 1. Kaufmann, D. E.; Matteson, D. S. Organometallics: Boron Compounds In ; Thieme, 2004; Vol. 6,
- Chow, W. K.; Yuen, O. Y.; Choy, P. Y.; So, C. M.; Lau, C. P.; Wong, W. T.; Kwong, F. Y. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 12518-12539.
- Ishiyama, T.; Murata, M.; Miyaura, N. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7508-7510. 3
- 4. Ishiyama, T.; Itoh, Y.; Kitano, T.; Miyaura, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3447-3450
- 5. Ishiyama, T.; Ishida, K.; Miyaura, N. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9813–9816.
- Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 611, 392–402. 6.
- Boller, T. M.; Murphy, J. M.; Hapke, M.; Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N.; Hartwig, J. F. J. 7. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14263-14278.
- Hartwig, J. F.: Cook, K. S.: Hapke, M.: Incarvito, C. D.: Fan, Y.: Webster, C. E.: Hall, 8 M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2538-2552.
- Murphy, J. M.; Liao, X.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15434–15435.
   Murphy, J. M.; Tzschucke, C. C.; Hartwig, J. F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 757–760.
- Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Chem. 11. Rev 2010 110 890-931
- Roosen, P. C.; Kallepalli, V. A.; Chattopadhyay, B.; Singleton, D. A.; Maleczka, R. 12. E.; Smith, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11350-11353.
- 13 Chotana, G. A.; Vanchura, I. I. B. A.; Tse, M. K.; Staples, R. J.; Maleczka, J. R. E.; Smith, M. R., III Chem. Commun. 2009, 5731-5733.
- Vanchura, I. I. B. A.; Preshlock, S. M.; Roosen, P. C.; Kallepalli, V. A.; Staples, R. J.; 14 Maleczka, J. R. E.; Singleton, D. A.; Smith, M. R., III Chem. Commun. 2010, 7724-7726.
- 15. Euzenat, L.; Horhant, D.; Ribourdouille, Y.; Duriez, C.; Alcaraz, G.; Vaultier, M. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2280–2281.
- Vaultier, M.; Duriez, C.; Euzenat, L.; Ribourdouille, Y.; Alcaraz, G., 16 W003053981.
- Euzenat, L.; Horhant, D.; Brielles, C.; Alcaraz, G.; Vaultier, M. J. Organomet. 17 Chem. 2005, 690, 2721-2724.
- 18. Marciasini, L.; Richy, N.; Vaultier, M.; Pucheault, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1553-1555.
- Gendrineau, T.; Marre, S.; Vaultier, M.; Pucheault, M.; Aymonier, C. Angew. 19 Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8525-8528.
- 20. Pascu, O.; Marciasini, L.; Marre, S.; Vaultier, M.; Pucheault, M.; Aymonier, C. Nanoscale 2013, 5, 12425-12431.
- 21. Marciasini, L. D.; Richy, N.; Vaultier, M.; Pucheault, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1083-1088
- 22 Pucheault, M.; Marciasini, L.; Vaultier, M., PCT/EP2013/063572.
- Wood, J. L.; Marciasini, L.; Vaultier, M.; Pucheault, M. Synlett 2014. http:// 23. dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1340500.
- 24. Clark, T. J.; Russell, C. A.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9582–9583. 25. Sloan, M. E.; Staubitz, A.; Clark, T. J.; Russell, C. A.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Manners,
- I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3831-3841.
- 26. Willis, D. M.; Strongin, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 8683-8686.
- Yu, J.; Zhang, L.; Yan, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2625-2628. 27.
- 28. Zhang, J.; Wang, X.; Yu, H.; Ye, J. Synlett 2012, 1394–1396.
- 29. Ma, Y.; Song, C.; Jiang, W.; Xue, G.; Cannon, J. F.; Wang, X.; Andrus, M. B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4635-4638.
- 30. Mo, F.; Jiang, Y.; Qiu, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1846-1849
- 31 Pun, D.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3297-3299.
- 32 Wolstenholme, D. J.; Traboulsee, K. T.; Decken, A.; McGrady, G. S. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5769-5772.
- Perutz, R. N.; Sabo-Etienne, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2578-2592. 33.
- Galli, C. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 765-792. 34.
- Mardare, D.; Matyjaszewski, K. Polym. Prepr. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 35. 1994, 35, 555-556.
- Green, I. G.; Johnson, K. M.; Roberts, B. P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 36. 1963-1972
- 37. Tanner, D. D.; Reed, D. W.; Setiloane, B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3917-3923.