

This article was downloaded by: [University of Arizona]

On: 25 December 2012, At: 23:19

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Quaestiones Mathematicae

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tqma20>

Some Extremal Properties of Section Spaces of Banach Bundles and Their Duals, II

Terje Hoim & D.A.. Robbins

Version of record first published: 12 Nov 2009.

To cite this article: Terje Hoim & D.A.. Robbins (2003): Some Extremal Properties of Section Spaces of Banach Bundles and Their Duals, II, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 26:1, 57-65

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2989/16073600309486043>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SOME EXTREMAL PROPERTIES OF SECTION SPACES OF BANACH BUNDLES AND THEIR DUALS, II

TERJE HÖIM

*Dept. of Mathematics, Trinity College, Hartford, CT 06106 USA.
E-Mail terje.hoim@trincoll.edu*

D.A. ROBBINS

*Dept. of Mathematics, Trinity College, Hartford, CT 06106 USA.
E-Mail david.robbins@trincoll.edu*

ABSTRACT. Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a real Banach bundle with section space $\Gamma(\pi)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. We complete the characterization of weak-* and sequential weak-* points of continuity in the unit ball of $\Gamma(\pi)^*$ for certain classes of bundles (which include the trivial ones), which was begun in an earlier paper. The proofs avoid the use of vector measures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 46B20, 46E40, 46H25.

Key words: Banach bundle, section space, weak-* points of continuity.

The present paper, a continuation of [10], is motivated by the literature which exists on the various extremal properties of Banach spaces of the form $C(X, E)$ and their duals, where X is a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X, E)$ is the space of continuous E -valued functions on X . As might be expected, the extremal properties of these vector-valued spaces of continuous functions are related quite strongly to the extremal structure of E . Noting that the elements of $C(X, E)$ take their values in a constant space, it is natural to ask what might happen in a situation in which continuous vector-valued functions take their values in spaces which vary with $x \in X$. A reasonable setting for this question is that of section spaces of Banach bundles and their dual spaces.

In this paper, X will denote a compact Hausdorff space, and $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ will denote a Banach bundle (= bundle of Banach spaces) with real fibers $\{E_x : x \in X\}$; we will assume throughout the paper that for all $x \in X$, $E_x \neq \{0\}$. The total space \mathcal{E} carries a topology such that the relative topology on each fiber E_x is its original Banach space topology. We can regard \mathcal{E} as the disjoint union $\dot{\bigcup}\{E_x : x \in X\}$. (Alternatively, we can think of \mathcal{E} as $\bigcup\{\{x\} \times E_x : x \in X\}$; this is the approach of [3], which uses fibered vector spaces.) See e.g. [3] or [8] for details on the construction of Banach bundles; the most important properties for our present

purposes are outlined here. We denote by $\Gamma(\pi)$ the space of sections (= continuous choice functions $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$) of the bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$; $\Gamma(\pi)$ is a Banach $C(X)$ -module under the norm $\|\sigma\| = \sup\{\|\sigma(x)\| : x \in X\}$, pointwise addition, and the operation $(a \cdot \sigma)(x) = a(x)\sigma(x)$ ($a \in C(X), x \in X$). In particular, see [3] or [8] for details on the topology of the total space \mathcal{E} , which is determined by $\Gamma(\pi)$.

In thinking about the section space $\Gamma(\pi)$, perhaps the most important intuitive point to keep in mind is that when $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$, then the values $\sigma(x) \in E_x$ vary continuously over (quite possibly very) different spaces as x varies over X . This is an analogue to a way in which one may think of a space of the form $C(X, E)$ (= space of continuous functions from X to the (real) Banach space E). We can regard $C(X, E)$ as the space of sections of the trivial bundle $\rho : \mathcal{T} = X \times E \rightarrow X$, where $X \times E$ is given the product topology. Here, the total space $\mathcal{T} = X \times E = \bigcup\{\{x\} \times E : x \in X\}$ can be thought of as a union of copies of E , and an element $\sigma \in C(X, E)$, which we usually think of as having values which vary continuously over the fixed set E , can be interpreted as a section in $\Gamma(\rho)$ which varies in a very nice way between these copies of E .

The reader may also wish to consult [7] for a discussion of several ways of thinking about bundles.

Given a Banach bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$, the function $x \mapsto \|\sigma(x)\|$ is upper semi-continuous from X to \mathbb{R} for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$. If this function is continuous for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$, we will call $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ a *continuous* bundle. We call the bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ *separable* if there exists a countable set $\{\sigma_n\} \subset \Gamma(\pi)$ such that $\{\sigma_n(x)\}$ is dense in E_x for each $x \in X$; this can be interpreted as a sort of uniform separability of the fibers E_x .

We denote by \mathcal{H} the space $Hom_X(\Gamma(\pi), C(X))$ of all $C(X)$ -module homomorphisms from $\Gamma(\pi)$ to $C(X)$. As noted in [7], we can identify \mathcal{H} with the space of choice functions $H : X \rightarrow \bigcup\{E_x^* : x \in X\}$ which satisfy the property that the function $x \mapsto \langle \sigma, H \rangle(x) = \langle \sigma(x), H(x) \rangle$ is continuous for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$. (Here and henceforth, for typographical convenience, we write E_x^* for $(E_x)^*$). It is the case that \mathcal{H} is a Banach space under the norm $\|H\| = \sup\{\|H(x)\| : x \in X\}$. As in [5], we say that \mathcal{H} *norms* the bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ if for each $x \in X$ and $z \in E_x$ we have

$$\|z\| = \sup\{|\langle z, H(x) \rangle| : H \in \mathcal{H}, \|H\| \leq 1\}.$$

This condition actually happens with fair frequency; see the discussion in [5] following Definition 4.4. We will say that \mathcal{H} is *strongly norming* provided that for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\{H(x) : H \in \mathcal{H}, \|H\| = \|H(x)\|\} = E_x^*.$$

Examples of bundles which are strongly normed by \mathcal{H} include: 1) the trivial bundles $\rho : X \times E \rightarrow X$, where E is a Banach space and $\Gamma(\pi)$ is $C(X)$ -isometrically isomorphic to $C(X, E)$ (the constant maps from X to E^* will do the job); and 2) the continuous and separable bundles (see [3, Corollary 19.16]).

If Z is a Banach space, denote by B_Z the unit ball of Z . The paper [10] investigates various extremal properties of the unit balls of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)}$ and $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$. Prior work regarding extremal structure in $B_{C(X, E)}$ and $B_{C(X, E)^*}$ (see e.g. [6] or [2]) has

used the characterization of $C(X, E)^*$ very strongly. However, to the knowledge of the authors, there is no such concise characterization of $\Gamma(\pi)^*$. The investigation in [10] was handicapped by this lack of nice characterization. Indeed, contrast the two situations:

1) If X is a compact Hausdorff space, and if E is a Banach space, then $C(X, E)^*$ can be isometrically identified with the space $M(X, E^*)$ of all countably additive E^* -valued Borel measures on X , with the variation norm, and action $\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_X f d\mu$.

2) Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a separable real bundle and let $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$. Then there is a regular Borel measure μ on X and a choice function $\eta : X \rightarrow \bigcup \{E_x^* : x \in X\}$ such that (among other properties) a) $\|\eta(x)\| \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$ and equality holds μ -almost everywhere; b) the function $x \mapsto \langle \sigma(x), \eta(x) \rangle$ is Borel measurable for each $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$; and c) for all $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ we have $\langle \sigma, \phi \rangle = \int_X \langle \sigma(x), \eta(x) \rangle d\mu$. (See [4].)

In particular, whereas in [6] a necessary and sufficient condition for a functional $\phi \in C(X, E)^*$ to be a weak-* point of continuity (or a sequential weak-* point of continuity, in case X is metric) of the unit ball was obtained, in [10] only a sufficient description for $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$ to be a weak-* point of continuity of the unit ball could be proven. The purpose of this paper is to complete the characterization of weak-* points of continuity and sequential continuity in $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ for a class of bundles which includes the trivial ones. Our proofs are actually shorter than those of the more special cases addressed in [6], and do not employ vector measures.

Recall that a point $\phi \in B_{Z^*}$ is called a weak-* point of continuity provided that whenever $\{\phi_\lambda\}$ is a net in B_{Z^*} which converges weak-* to ϕ , then $\{\phi_\lambda\}$ converges in norm to ϕ . The definition of a sequential weak-* point of continuity replaces “nets” by “sequences”. Recall also that if $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is a Banach bundle, and if $x \in X$, then there is an isomorphic injection $j_x : E_x^* \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)^*$ given by (for $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$) $\langle \sigma, j_x(f) \rangle = \langle \sigma(x), f \rangle = \langle \sigma, f \circ ev_x \rangle$, where $ev_x : \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow E_x, \sigma \mapsto \sigma(x) \in E_x$, is the evaluation map. In addition, for each closed set $C \subset X$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, there is an L -projection $P_C : \Gamma(\pi)^* \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)^*, P_C(\phi) = \phi_C$. The action of ϕ_C on $\Gamma(\pi)$ is defined as follows: let W run through the system of open neighborhoods of C , and for each such W , let $i_W \in C(X), i_W : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, be such that $i_W(C) = 0$ and $i_W(X \setminus C) = 1$ (i.e. $\{i_W\}$ is an approximate identity for the ideal of functions in $C(X)$ which vanish on C). Then

$$\langle \sigma, \phi_C \rangle = \lim_{W \rightarrow C} \langle (1 - i_W)\sigma, \phi \rangle.$$

(See [4] or [9].) That is, $\phi_C = \text{weak-}^* \lim_{W \rightarrow C} (1 - i_W)\phi$. If $C \subset X$ is closed, we write $\phi_{X \setminus C} = \phi - \phi_C$; thus we have $\|\phi\| = \|\phi_C\| + \|\phi_{X \setminus C}\|$, and ϕ_A makes sense if $A \subset X$ is either open or closed. With a little work, it can also be shown that if $C \subset X$ is closed, and if $U \subset C$ is open, then we can also write $\phi_C = \phi_{C \setminus U} + \phi_U$, with $\|\phi_C\| = \|\phi_{C \setminus U}\| + \|\phi_U\|$.

In particular, if $x \in X$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, we write $\phi_x = \phi_{\{x\}}$, and we note that if $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset C$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \phi_{x_k} \right\| = \sum_{k=1}^m \|\phi_{x_k}\| \leq \sum_{x \in C} \|\phi_x\| = \left\| \sum_{x \in C} \phi_x \right\| \leq \|\phi_C\| \leq \|\phi\|.$$

It follows that for $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, we have $\{x : \phi_x \neq 0\}$ is countable. We note that for $x \in X$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, $\phi_x \in j_x(E_x^*)$. Note especially that if $\Gamma(\pi) \simeq C(X, E)$, and if $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$ corresponds to $\mu \in M(X, E^*)$, then $\phi_x \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$ corresponds to $\mu(\{x\}) \in E^*$.

From [10, Proposition 7] we have the following:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a bundle of real Banach spaces, and let $I \subset X$ be the set of isolated points in X . Suppose that $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$ has the form $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$, where for each $x \in I$ either $\phi_x = 0$ or $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and $\sum_{x \in I} \|\phi_x\| = \|\phi\| = 1$. Then ϕ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$.*

We now state our first result, which is a partial converse to Proposition 1 that encompasses a large class of bundles. Together, Propositions 1 and 2 generalize Theorem 6 of [6]. Moreover, the proof of Proposition 2, although it uses generally some of the ideas of this result, is somewhat simpler, because it does not use vector measures.

PROPOSITION 2: *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a bundle of real Banach spaces for which \mathcal{H} is strongly norming, and suppose that $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is a weak-* point of continuity. Let $I \subset X$ be the set of isolated points of X . Then $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$, where for each $x \in X$, either $\phi_x = 0$ or $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and $\|\phi\| = 1 = \sum_{x \in I} \|\phi_x\|$.*

Proof. We approach the proof of Proposition 2 through a series of lemmas. The first two lemmas will show that if $\phi_x \neq 0$, then $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and that for all non-isolated points x in X we have $\phi_x = 0$. Note that Lemma 3 is really Proposition 8 of [10]; we include the proof here for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a Banach bundle, and let $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, $\|\phi\| = 1$, be a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$. If $x \in X$, and if $\phi_x \neq 0$, then $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ is a net in $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ such that $\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ weak-*. We then have $\phi - \phi_x + \|\phi_x\| \psi_\alpha \rightarrow \phi$ weak-*, and

$$\|\phi - \phi_x + (\|\phi_x\| \psi_\alpha)\| \leq \|\phi - \phi_x\| + \|\phi_x\| \|\psi_\alpha\| \leq 1,$$

so that $\|\phi - \phi_x + (\|\phi_x\| \psi_\alpha) - \phi\| = \|(\|\phi_x\| \psi_\alpha) - \phi_x\| \rightarrow 0$, and thus $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$. \square

LEMMA 4. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a Banach bundle such that \mathcal{H} is strongly norming. If $\phi \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, $\|\phi\| = 1$, is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and if $x \in X$ is non-isolated, then $\phi_x = 0$.*

Proof. Note first that if $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mu \in M(X)$, then $\mu \circ H \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$, and thus for $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ we have

$$\langle \sigma, \mu \circ H \rangle = \int_X \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle d\mu.$$

In particular, if $\delta_y \in M(X)$ is the unit point mass at $y \in X$ and if $H \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle \sigma, \delta_y \circ H \rangle = \int_X \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle d\delta_y = \langle \sigma(y), H(y) \rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\delta_y \circ H : \|H\| = \|H(y)\| = 1, H \in \mathcal{H}, y \in X\}$. Then because \mathcal{H} is strongly norming, \mathcal{S} separates points of $\Gamma(\pi)^*$, and, for $\delta_y \circ H \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\|\delta_y \circ H\| = \sup_{\|\sigma\|=1} \left| \int_X \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle d\delta_y \right| = \sup_{\|\sigma\|=1} \{|\langle \sigma(y), H(y) \rangle|\} = \|H(y)\| = 1.$$

Moreover, each extreme point of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is in \mathcal{S} (see [1]), and so the convex hull $co(\mathcal{S})$ of \mathcal{S} is weak-* dense in $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$.

Now, fix $x \in X$, and suppose that x is non-isolated. Let $\delta_y \circ H \in \mathcal{S}$. For each open neighborhood V of x , choose $x_V \neq x \in V$, and for arbitrary $y \in X$ define $(\delta_y \circ H)^V$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, (\delta_y \circ H)^V \rangle &= \int_{X \setminus V} \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle d\delta_y + \int_V \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle d\delta_y \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle \sigma(y), H(y) \rangle, & \text{if } y \in X \setminus V \\ \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle, & \text{if } y \in V. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\delta_y \circ H \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\|\sigma\| = 1$ we have

$$|\langle \sigma, (\delta_y \circ H)^V \rangle| \leq \|\sigma\| \|H\| = 1,$$

so that $(\delta_y \circ H)^V \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$. We claim that $\delta_y \circ H = \text{weak-}^* \lim_{V \rightarrow \{x\}} (\delta_y \circ H)^V$.

Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$. It is easily checked that for $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \sigma, (\delta_y \circ H)^V - \delta_y \circ H \rangle| &= \left| \int_V \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle - \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle d\delta_y \right| \\ &\leq \int_V |\langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle - \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle| d\delta_y. \end{aligned}$$

Consider two possibilities:

1) If $y \neq x$, then as $V \rightarrow \{x\}$ we will eventually have $y \notin V$, and so in this case the second integral becomes 0.

2) If $y = x$, then let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By the continuity of $y' \mapsto \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle$ we can find a neighborhood V_ε of x such that if $y' \in V \subset V_\varepsilon$ then

$$|\langle \sigma(x), H(x) \rangle - \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle| < \varepsilon.$$

Since x_V is chosen to be in V , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V |\langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle - \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle| d\delta_y &= \int_V |\langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle - \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle| d\delta_x \\ &= |\langle \sigma(x), H(x) \rangle - \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that for any $y \in X$, $H \in \mathcal{H}$, fixed neighborhood V of x , open neighborhood W of x , and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, ((\delta_y \circ H)^V)_x \rangle &= \lim_{W \rightarrow \{x\}} \left(\int_{X \setminus V} \langle [(1 - i_W)\sigma](y'), H(y') \rangle d\delta_y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_V \langle [(1 - i_W)\sigma](x_V), H(x_V) \rangle d\delta_y \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

because the first integrand is 0 if $W \subset V$, and the second integrand is 0 if $x_V \notin W$; both of these will eventually happen as $W \rightarrow \{x\}$. Thus, $((\delta_y \circ H)^V)_x = 0$. (Here, we are choosing $i_W \in C(X)$ as in the discussion preceding Proposition 1.)

Now, $\phi \mapsto \phi_x$ is an L -projection in $\Gamma(\pi)^*$, so we have $(\phi_x)_x = \phi_x$. We can write

$$\phi_x = f_x \circ ev_x = (\delta_x \circ H)_x \quad (\text{with } \|\phi_x\| = \|H(x)\| = \|H\|)$$

for some $f_x \in E_x^*$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ (because \mathcal{H} is strongly norming). If $\phi_x \neq 0$, then Lemma 3 assures us that $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and thus since $\phi_x = \text{weak-}^* \lim_{V \rightarrow \{x\}} (\delta_x \circ H)^V$ and $\|(\delta_x \circ H)^V\| \leq \|\delta_x \circ H\| = \|H(x)\| = \|\phi_x\|$, we have

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \{x\}} \|\phi_x - (\delta_x \circ H)^V\| = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \{x\}} \|(\phi_x)_x - ((\delta_x \circ H)^V)_x\| = \|\phi_x\| = 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\phi_x = 0$. \square

Remark. Because the set \mathcal{S} described above includes the extreme points of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, any element $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is a weak-* limit of convex combinations from \mathcal{S} . The proof of the last lemma shows that if $x \in X$ is fixed, if $\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}$ is finite, and if V denotes an open neighborhood of x , we have $\delta_{y_k} \circ H_k = \text{weak-}^* \lim_{V \rightarrow \{x\}} (\delta_{y_k} \circ H_k)^V$ for each $\delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \in \lambda$. Combining these two facts shows that $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is a weak-* limit of a net of convex combinations of the form $(\sum_{\lambda} \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k)^V \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, indexed by the open neighborhoods V of x and finite sets $\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}$. Since we are assuming that ϕ is a weak-* point of continuity, ϕ is also a norm limit of the same net.

To finish the proof of Proposition 2 all we need to show is the following:

LEMMA 5. *Let $I = \{x \in X : x \text{ is isolated}\}$, and let ϕ be a weak-* point of continuity, with $\|\phi\| = 1$. Then $\|\phi_{X \setminus I}\| = 0$ and hence $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$ (and $\|\phi\| = \sum_{x \in I} \|\phi_x\|$).*

Proof. If $I \subset X$ is the set of isolated points of X , then I is open, and so $X \setminus I$ is closed. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $I_0 = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset I$ such that $\sum_{x \notin I_0} \|\phi_x\| < \varepsilon$. Recall that $\phi_x = 0$ if x is non-isolated. By the remark above there is a convex combination $\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k$ (where we suppress the scalar coefficients) such that $\|\phi - \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k\| < \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_{X \setminus I}\| &\leq \left\| \phi_{X \setminus I} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I} \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \phi_{X \setminus I} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I} \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{I \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&< \left\| \phi - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{x \in I \setminus I_0} \phi_x - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{x \in I \setminus I_0} \phi_x \right\| + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&< \varepsilon + \sum_{x \in I \setminus I_0} \left\| \phi_x - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\| + \left\| \sum_{x \in I \setminus I_0} \phi_x \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&< \varepsilon + \left\| \phi - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right) \right\| + \varepsilon + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| \\
&< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that

$$\sum_{x \in X \setminus I_0} \left\| \phi_x - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\| < \left\| \phi - \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in X \setminus I_0} \|\phi_x\| < \varepsilon$$

together imply that

$$\sum_{x \in X \setminus I_0} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\| = \left\| \sum_{x \in X \setminus I_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Now, it is easy to check that for any closed set $C \subset X$ and any $\delta_y \circ H \in \Gamma(\pi)^*$ ($y \in X, H \in \mathcal{H}$), we have

$$(\delta_y \circ H)_C = \begin{cases} \delta_y \circ H, & \text{if } y \in C \\ 0, & \text{if } y \notin C \end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{X \setminus I_0} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{y_k \in X \setminus I_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_{y_k} \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{x \in X \setminus I_0} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y_k} \circ H_k \right)_x \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\|\phi_{X \setminus I}\| < 5\varepsilon$, and since ε was arbitrary, we have $\phi_{X \setminus I} = 0$. Thus,

$$\phi = \phi_I = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x = \sum_{\{x \in I: \phi_x \neq 0\}} \phi_x.$$

□

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

The next corollary follows immediately by combining Propositions 1 and 2.

COROLLARY 6. *If X has no isolated points, then the unit ball of $\Gamma(\pi)^*$ has no weak-* points of continuity.*

In order to obtain a complete characterization of the weak-* points of sequential continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ we restrict ourselves to the strongly norming bundles over a first countable base space X . This generalizes [6, Theorem 9].

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a bundle of real Banach spaces with X first countable and \mathcal{H} strongly norming. Let $I \subset X$ be the set of isolated points of X . Then $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is a weak-* point of sequential continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ if and only if it has the form $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$ where for each $x \in X$, either $\phi_x = 0$ or $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, and $\|\phi\| = 1 = \sum_{x \in I} \|\phi_x\|$.*

Proof. If $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ has the form $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$ where for each $x \in X$, either $\phi_x = 0$ or $\phi_x / \|\phi_x\|$ is a weak-* point of continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$, then the proof that ϕ is a weak-* point of sequential continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ follows that given in Proposition 7 of [10] for weak-* points of continuity; no restriction on X is necessary.

Conversely, suppose $\phi \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ is a weak-* point of sequential continuity of $B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$. Let $I \subset X$ be the set of isolated points of X . As in Lemma 4, it can be shown that $\phi_x = 0$ for each $x \in X \setminus I$, as follows: since X is first countable, each point $x \in X$ has a countable base for its neighborhood system. In particular, if $x \in X \setminus I$ is fixed, let $\{V_n\}$ denote a countable fundamental system of neighborhoods of x . For each V_n choose $x_n \neq x \in V_n$, and as in Lemma 4, for $y \in X$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ define $(\delta_y \circ H)^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, (\delta_y \circ H)^n \rangle &= \int_{X \setminus V_n} \langle \sigma(y'), H(y') \rangle d\delta_y + \int_{V_n} \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle d\delta_y \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle \sigma(y), H(y) \rangle, & \text{if } y \in X \setminus V_n \\ \langle \sigma(x_V), H(x_V) \rangle, & \text{if } y \in V_n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4, one can show that $(\delta_y \circ H)^n \in B_{\Gamma(\pi)^*}$ and that $(\delta_y \circ H)^n$ converges weak-* to $\delta_y \circ H$ as $V_n \rightarrow \{x\}$. Arguments similar to those in the remark preceding Lemma 5 now show that there is a sequence of convex combinations from \mathcal{S} which converges to ϕ weak-*, and hence in norm, since ϕ is a weak-* point of sequential continuity. To complete the proof of Proposition 7, follow along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 5 to show that ϕ has the desired form $\phi = \sum_{x \in I} \phi_x$. □

COROLLARY 8. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ be a bundle of real Banach spaces with X first countable and \mathcal{H} strongly norming. If X has no isolated points, then the unit ball of $\Gamma(\pi)^*$ has no weak- $*$ points of sequential continuity.*

REFERENCES

1. F. CUNNINGHAM, JR. AND N.M. ROY, Extreme functionals on an upper semicontinuous function space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **42** (1974), 461–465.
2. P.N. DOWLING, Z. HU AND M.A. SMITH, *Extremal structure of the unit ball of $C(K, X)$* , Banach Spaces (Merida, 1992), Contemp. Math. 144 (Providence: American Mathematical Society), 1993, 81–85.
3. G. GIERZ, *Bundles of topological vector spaces and their duality*, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 955, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
4. _____, Integral representations of linear functionals on spaces of sections in Banach bundles, *Rocky. Mt. Math. J.* **17** (1987), 545–554.
5. A.E. GUTMAN AND A.V. KOPTEV, On the notion of the dual of a Banach bundle, *Siberian Adv. Math.* **9** (1999), 46–98.
6. Z. HU AND M.A. SMITH, *On the extremal structure of the unit ball of the space $C(K, X)^*$* , Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1994), Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 172, Dekker, New York, 1995, 205–222.
7. A.V. KOPTEV AND D.A. ROBBINS, A note on the space of weakly continuous sections of a Banach bundle, *Siberian Adv. Math.* **12** (2002), 51–62.
8. J.W. KITCHEN AND D.A. ROBBINS, *Gelfand representation of Banach modules*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) Vol. 203, 1982, 47 pp.
9. _____, Integral operators on the section space of a Banach bundle, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **16** (1993), 449–458.
10. D.A. ROBBINS, Some extremal properties of section spaces of Banach bundles and their duals, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **29** (2002), 563–572.

Received 9 September 2002