ORGANOMETALLICS-

Role of Hemilabile Diamine Ligands in the Amine-Directed C–H Borylation of Arenes

Lillian V. A. Hale, Kathryn A. McGarry, Marissa A. Ringgold, and Timothy B. Clark*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, 5998 Alcala Park, San Diego, California 92110, United States

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A study of the role played by the bidentate ligand used in amine-directed C–H borylation is described. Both reaction conversion and selectivity were significantly impacted when steric congestion and electronic perturbations of the bidentate diamine ligand were made, but a more significant influence was imparted by reducing the bite angle of the ligand. *N*-Benzylaminopyridine was identified as a general ligand that improves both selectivity and yield for most problematic substrates previously reported with picolylamine as ligand.

INTRODUCTION

C–H functionalization reactions have received significant attention over the past two decades, resulting in a number of key advances that allow the conversion of otherwise unreactive C–H bonds into synthetically valuable functional groups.^{1–5} One method shown to be valuable to the synthetic community is the C–H borylation reaction.^{6–14} The distinct advantage of this reaction lies both in the versatility of the resulting C–B bonds and the inherent selectivity of the catalysts, which are highly sensitive to steric congestion.^{12,15} In the case of arenes, borylation *ortho* to a substituent is kinetically disfavored, resulting in selective functionalization of appropriately substituted arenes (such as 1,3-disubstituted arenes) or substrates with a particularly reactive C–H bond present. Alkanes, on the other hand, are selective for primary C–H bonds,^{16–18} with only select examples of the functionalization of secondary alkyl C–H bonds reported.^{19,20}

In recent years, substrate-directed C–H borylation has resulted in the selective formation of *ortho*-substituted aryl boronate esters,^{15,21–31} reversing the inherent selectivity of the catalyst. Several examples of Lewis base-directed reactions have been reported for halides,²³ ethers,²³ esters,^{23,24} phosphines,³¹ and nitrogen-containing Lewis bases.^{26–30} Our work in this area has largely focused on amine directing groups, which utilize hemilabile diamine ligands to provide an open coordination site on the active iridium catalyst upon dissociation of one arm of the bidentate ligand.²⁹ In this transformation picolylamine (3) was identified as an optimal ligand to promote the catalytic transformation (eq 1). Several substrates, however, provided moderate yields or poor selectivity (for the mono-*ortho*borylated product over the bis-*ortho*-borylated product). To improve the yields and selectivity of this transformation, and to gain insight into the role of the diamine ligand prior to a full mechanistic interrogation, a ligand study was initiated. The



nature of the diamine ligand was probed for steric congestion, electronic effects, and the bite angle of the bidentate ligand; the diamine bite angle was found to have a significant effect on both product yield and selectivity. We herein report an improved protocol for amine-directed C–H borylation and insights into the role of the diamine in the catalytic transformation.

The initial report of amine-directed C–H borylation focused on picolylamine (3) as the optimal ligand, in conjunction with $[Ir(\mu-OMe)(COD)]_2$ (COD = cyclooctadiene), to mediate *ortho*-selective C–H borylation. The proposed mechanism (Scheme 1)³² is consistent with that suggested by Fernández and Lassaletta for the directed borylation of hydrazones²⁷ and involves partial dissociation of one arm of the bidentate diamine ligand to open a coordination site for the required C– H activation step. Notably, picolylamine (3, blue in Scheme 1) is less rigid than the traditionally used di-*tert*-butylbipyridine (dtbpy) ligand, which facilitates dissociation of one ligand nitrogen but maintains the second nitrogen (shown in Scheme 1 as the pyridine nitrogen), which is thought to be a critical component of the catalytic reactivity.

The role of the ligand in governing the product yield and the selectivity of the mono-*ortho*-borylation over the bis-*ortho*-borylation products was expected to be governed by two key

```
Received: July 30, 2014
```



Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism of Amine-Directed C-H Borylation²⁹



steps: the dissociation of one arm of the diamine ligand (step 2: **B** to **C**) and dissociation of the borylated arene (1) to regenerate the active catalyst (step 5: **E** to **A**). In step 2, the nature of the dissociating nitrogen would have a significant effect on the rate of the formation of **C** and likely the overall reactivity of the catalyst. Alternatively, step 5 will be highly influenced by the ability of the dissociated nitrogen to recoordinate to the iridium center and promote dissociation of **1**. Steric congestion around the associated nitrogen will also have a significant influence on the loss of **1** by inducing steric strain between the ligand and the increased steric demands of the borylated arene. A detailed ligand evaluation was expected to provide insights into the proposed catalytic cycle and provide a more focused approach to a full mechanistic study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ligand study was initiated by examining the influence that steric congestion around both ligand nitrogens played in reaction conversion and selectivity for the mono-*ortho* borylation product, using the parent *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine (Scheme 2).³³ *N*-Methylpicolylamine (4) and *N*,*N*-dimethylpicolylamine (5)²⁹ were examined to determine the effect of the ligand-based amine substituents on the reaction conversion and selectivity, providing significant loss in selectivity in both cases while providing an improved conversion with 4. Placement of a substituent on the alkyl backbone of the ligand (ligand 6) provided increased selectivity with a decreased conversion. Substitution on the arene portion of the ligand (ligands 7 and 8) resulted in the most significant improvements; a methyl adjacent to the pyridine nitrogen (7) provided an increase in conversion without the loss of selectivity.

The electronic effects of the ligand were examined by varying the Lewis basicity of both nitrogens (Figure 1). The electron density of the pendant amine was examined initially. Converting the pendant amine to a weaker Lewis base (ligands 9 and 10) resulted in improved reactivity of the catalyst over picolylamine (3), albeit with a significant loss in selectivity. Electron-deficient pyridine 11 resulted in a slight increase in selectivity compared to picolylamine (3). Replacement of the





^{*a*}Percent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B_2pin_2 ; all conversions are based on the arene substrate.



Figure 1. Electronic effects on conversion and selectivity to 1. Percent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B_2pin_2 ; all conversions are based on the arene substrate.

pyridine with a pyrrole or furan (ligands 12-14) resulted in low conversion, suggesting an important electronic effect of the pyridine nitrogen in the catalytic cycle. These results are consistent with dissociation of the amine nitrogen (as shown in Scheme 1) and suggest that weakly coordinating pendant Lewis bases increase the catalytic activity.

The final major category that was examined for increased catalytic activity and selectivity was the bite angle³⁴⁻³⁶ of the bidentate ligand. Ligands 15 and 16 (Figure 2) were compared to picolylamine (3) and found to provide increased conversion in the case of 15 and exceptional selectivity in the case of 16. Importantly, the high selectivity of 16 was coupled with good conversion. Additional aminopyridine ligands were examined based on the insights gained from the steric and electronic effects discussed above. Substitution of the ligand-based amine nitrogen with a benzyl substituent (ligand 17) resulted in a slight loss of selectivity but with slightly improved conversion. Addition of a methyl substituent adjacent to the pyridine nitrogen (ligand 18) did not provide improvement, but an increased conversion was observed with an acylated amine nitrogen (ligand 19). Finally, an electron-withdrawing -CF₃ substituent on the arene (20) also improved the conversion but resulted in a significant loss in selectivity.



Figure 2. Effect of bite angle on conversion and selectivity to 1. Percent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B_2pin_2 ; all conversions are based on the arene substrate.

The small bite angle of 2-aminopyridine ligands likely has two key roles in the catalytic cycle: it provides rapid dissociation of one arm of the bidentate ligand (Scheme 1, **B** to **C**) due to the decreased bite angle and the decreased Lewis basicity of an aniline-type amine; the smaller bite angle could also increase the steric congestion near the catalyst by reducing the ability of the dissociated nitrogen to rotate away from the iridium center.³⁷ These effects on individual mechanistic steps are subtle and will require a full mechanistic investigation to determine if the proposed catalytic cycle is consistent with this analysis.

The ligand study described above resulted in several improved ligands that could be applied to the substrates that provided poor yield or selectivity using picolylamine (3).²⁹ The most problematic substrates are 3-substituted benzylamines, which provide two monoborylation products (Scheme 3, **a** and

Scheme 3. Products and Ligand Screen from C–H Borylation of *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-3-methylbenzylamine^{*a*}



^{*a*}Percent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B₂pin₂; all conversions are based on the arene substrate.

b) and bisborylation products (c). For these substrates, 85-89% of the borylated material is represented by product a. Several ligands identified above were examined with *N*,*N*-dimethyl-3-methylbenzylamine. Ligand 17 was found to provide the most significant improvement in selectivity for boronate ester **21a**, with a 95:4:1 selectivity of **21a/21b/21c** while maintaining a 93% conversion.

N-Benzylaminopyridine (ligand 17) was examined with other 3-substituted benzylamines, providing improved selectivity in

each case (eq 2, Table 1). Although 3-methoxy- and 3-chlorosubstituted substrates did not improve as much as the 3-methyl-



Table 1. C–H Borylation of N_i N-Dimethyl-3-substituted Benzylamines with Ligand 17^a

Substrate		N 3	N NHBn 17
NMea	Yield	55%	85%
	Conv.	94%	93%
Me	21a:21b:21c	89:7:4	95:4:1
NMe ₂	Yield	54%	77%
	Conv.	94%	94%
CI	22a:22b:22c	88:11:1	90:8:2
NIMe	Yield	82%	73%
	Conv.	93%	95%
OMe	23a:23b:23c	88:9:3	90:6:4

^{*a*}Perent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B_2pin_2 ; conversions are based on the arene substrate. Isolated yields are of a mixture of **a**, **b**, and **c**.

substituted substrate, a consistent increase in selectivity was observed. The increased quantities of **22b** and **23b** are consistent with the known steric and electronic effects observed with electronegative atoms in the nondirected C–H borylation reactions.³⁸ The chlorine and methoxy substituents provide a slight activation of this sterically congested C–H bond through inductive effects. The general improvement of the isolated yields without an increase in conversion is believed to result from a decrease in unidentified side-products, resulting in clean product formation.

Several 4-substituted benzylic amines were also known to provide low yield or selectivity with picolylamine (3) and were examined with ligand 17 (eq 3, Table 2). Improved yield and/



or selectivity was achieved with 4-bromo-, 4-methyl-, and 4ethanoate-substituted benzylamines. The 4-methyl-substituted substrate demonstrated a significant improvement in selectivity with ligand 17 without a loss in yield. In the case of 25 and 26, the conversion and selectivity were similar to those of picolylamine (3), but the poor yields were improved. Of particular note is the improved yield of boronate ester 25 (from 37% to 65%), which has resulted in a synthetically viable yield of an intermediate with both a boronate ester and bromine present for additional transformations. Table 2. C-H Borylation of N,N-Dimethyl-4-substituted Benzylamines with Ligand 17

Substrate		NH ₂	NHBn 17
	Yield	81%	83% ^a
NMe ₂	Conv.	95%	89%
Me	24a:24c	84:16	94:6
	Yield	37%	65% ^a
Br NMe ₂	Conv.	82%	84%
	25a:25c	97:3	95:5
EtO ₂ C	Yield	49%	57%
	Conv.	90%	88%
2-	26a:26c	93:7	93:7

"Reaction run for 24 h. Percent conversion and selectivity were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; 1.2 equiv of arene to B_2pin_2 ; conversions are based on the arene substrate. Isolated yields are of a mixture of **a** and **c**.

The results described above are a clear indication that the nature of the ligand has subtle influences on the catalytic cycle that can determine the catalyst efficiency and selectivity. In an effort to isolate an active catalyst, several protocols were examined to incorporate ligand 17 into an iridium complex.^{8,39} All attempts to form a trisboryl complex from $[Ir(\mu-OMe)(COD)]_{2^{j}}$ 17, and $B_{2}pin_{2}$ or HBpin by methods analogous to those reported in the literature provided complex mixtures. Direct addition of 17 to $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_{2^{j}}$ however, provided iridium complex **27** as a yellow solid in quantitative yield and high purity (eq 4).⁴⁰ Recrystallization by slow



evaporation from tetrahydrofuran and pentane provided X-ray quality crystals that were used to assign the structure unambiguously (Figure 3). Complex 27 is a square-planar, Ir(I), d^8 complex in which the diamine ligand is bound in a monodentate fashion through the pyridine nitrogen.

All attempts to convert complex 27 to a boron-containing active catalyst by addition of B_2pin_2 or HBpin were unsuccessful. Subjecting complex 27 to the reaction conditions for C–H borylation, however, demonstrated that the complex is catalytically active.⁴¹ Notably, the catalytic reactivity of 27 is similar to the standard reaction conditions when $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ and 17 are added separately and are significantly improved over the conditions with $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ in the absence of added ligand.⁴¹

In summary, a detailed ligand screen has been reported that demonstrates the effects of steric congestion, electronics, and the bite angle of the bidentate ligand. The bite angle of the ligand was found to have the most significant effect on improving both conversion and selectivity of mono-borylation products over bis-borylation products. *N*-Benzylaminopyridine was identified as the optimal ligand for substrate-directed C-H



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing for complex 27 with thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability. Only one of the two complexes in the asymmetric unit is shown for clarity. The benzylaminopyridine ligand is coordinated to iridium through the pyridine nitrogen (N1) with a bond length of 2.093(6) Å for the complex shown.

borylation and provided improved yield and selectivity for most 3- and 4-substituted benzylamines.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Oxygen- and moisture-sensitive or hygroscopic materials were handled under purified nitrogen in an inert atmosphere glovebox. All solvents were dried and degassed by standard procedures unless used for purification. TLC analysis used 500 μ m, 60 Å silica layer fluorescence UV plates. Flash chromatography was carried out on hand-packed columns of Brockman I basic aluminum oxide, 50-200 μ m, 60 Å. NMR spectra were collected on an NMR spectrometer at 400 or 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR. ¹H NMR spectra are referenced to CDCl₃ at 7.26 ppm or to an internal tetramethylsilane (TMS) standard at 0.00 ppm. All benzylic amines were synthesized by known procedures.²⁹ All deuterated solvents, bis(pinacolato)diboron, $[Ir(\hat{\mu}-OMe)(COD)]_2$, $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$, and ligands were purchased and used without further purification. Complex 27 was examined by single-crystal Xray diffraction using a Bruker APEX-II Platform CCD diffractometer using graphitemonochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ A) by ω scans at 100 K. The structure was solved and refined using the SHELXTLPLUS package. The structure was solved by direct methods.

Representative Procedure for the C–H Borylation of Benzylic Amines with Various Bidentate Ligands. To a 50 mL PTFE-valved reaction tube, equipped with a stir bar and charged with $[Ir(\mu-OMe)(COD)]_2$ (0.020 g, 0.030 mmol), were added 6-methyl-2-(aminomethyl)pyridine (7, 0.0073 g, 0.060 mmol), B₂pin₂ (0.424 g, 1.67 mmol), *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine (0.270 g, 2.00 mmol), and 4.0 mL of methylcyclohexane. After 16 h at 70 °C, the volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. The unpurified reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and characteristic peaks for 1, 2,²⁹ and *N*,*N*dimethylbenzylamine (typically the benzylic methylene hydrogens) were used to determine the reaction conversion and selectivity.

Representative Procedure for the C–H Borylation of Substituted Benzylic Amines with *N*-Benzylaminopyridine (17). To a 50 mL PTFE-valved reaction tube, equipped with a stir bar and charged with $[Ir(\mu-OMe)(COD)]_2$ (0.020 g, 0.030 mmol), were added *N*-benzylaminopyridine (17) (0.011 g, 0.060 mmol), B₂pin₂ (0.424 g, 1.67 mmol), 3-chloro-*N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine (0.339 g, 2.00 mmol), and 4.0 mL of methylcyclohexane. After 16 h at 70 °C, the volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. The unpurified reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and characteristic peaks for 22a, 22b, 22c,²⁹ and 3-chloro-*N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine (typically the benzylic methylene hydrogens) were used to determine the reaction conversion and selectivity. Purification by chromatography with basic aluminum oxide (98.5:0.5:1 dichloromethane/ methanol/triethylamine) followed by bulb-to-bulb distillation (30 min at 60 °C, 0.5 mmHg), to remove unreacted starting material,

provided 22 (0.453 g, 1.53 mmol, 77%) as a 90:8:2 mixture of 22a/22b/22c.

(2-Benzylaminopyridine)chloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)iridium-(1) (27). To a round-bottom flask containing $[Ir(\mu-Cl)(COD)]_2$ (0.372 mmol, 0.250 g) and 17 (0.744 mmol, 0.137 g) was added THF (10.0 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 7 h, then concentrated to give a yellow, amorphous solid (0.3879 g, 0.746 mmol, 100%). Suitable crystals for X-ray analysis were grown from a solution of 27 (20.2 mg) in tetrahydrofuran/pentane (2:1, 0.75 mL) by slow evaporation. Mp: 153.3-158.0 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 8.07 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39– 7.28 (m, 5H), 6.61 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.46 (br s, 2H), 3.25 (br s, 2H), 2.23 (br s, 4H),1.66-1.63 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.49 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.1, 147.3, 137.7, 137.3, 128.8, 127.6, 126.9, 113.7, 107.9, 71.0 (br), 46.9, 32.2 (br), 31.1 (br). IR (thin film, CDCl₃): 3280 (br), 2936 (m), 2911 (m), 2880 (m), 2833 (m), 1616 (s), 1570 (s), 1521 (s), 1448 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI): calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}CIIrN_2 [M + Na]^+$ m/z 541.1132, found $[M + Na]^+$ 541.1128. The structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.⁴¹

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Representative ¹H NMR spectral data of crude and purified arylboronate esters, general experimental information, and crystallographic data and cif files of **27**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: clarkt@sandiego.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1151092), the University of San Diego, and Research Corporation for Science Advancement. The National Science Foundation is thanked for NMR (0417731) and X-ray (CHE-1126585) facilities at USD. We also thank Dr. John Greaves (University of California, Irvine) for mass spectrometry and Dr. Victor G. Young, Jr. (University of Minnesota) and Dr. Christopher J. A. Daley (University of San Diego) for assistance with X-ray data analysis.

REFERENCES

- (1) Dyker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1698.
- (2) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731.
- (3) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110,
- 624. (4) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147.
- (f) Γ_{1} V_{2} V_{2}
- (5) Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8927.

(6) Iverson, C. N.; Smith, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7696.

- (7) Chen, H.; Schlecht, S.; Semple, T. C.; Hartwig, J. F. Science (Washington D. C.) 2000, 287, 1995.
- (8) Ishiyama, T.; Takagi, J.; Ishida, K.; Miyaura, N.; Anastasi, N. R.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 390.
- (9) Cho, J.-Y.; Tse, M. K.; Holmes, D.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Smith, M. R., III. Science (Washington D. C.) **2002**, 295, 305.
- (10) Lawrence, J. D.; Takahashi, M.; Bae, C.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15334.
- (11) Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 890.
- (12) Hartwig, J. F. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2011**, 40, 1992.
- (13) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 864.

- (14) Preshlock, S. M.; Ghaffari, B.; Maligres, P. E.; Krska, S. W.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Smith, M. R., III. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 7572.
- (15) Chotana, G. A.; Rak, M. A.; Smith, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10539.
- (16) Hartwig, J. F.; Cook, K. S.; Hapke, M.; Incarvito, C. D.; Fan, Y.; Webster, C. E.; Hall, M. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2538.
- (17) Murphy, J. M.; Lawrence, J. D.; Kawamura, K.; Incarvito, C.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 13684.
- (18) Wei, C. S.; Jiménez-Hoyos, C. A.; Videa, M. F.; Hartwig, J. F.; Hall, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 3078.
- (19) Cho, S. H.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8157.
- (20) Kawamorita, S.; Miyazaki, T.; Iwai, T.; Ohmiya, H.; Sawamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 12924.
- (21) Cho, J.-Y.; Iverson, C. N.; Smith, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12868.
- (22) Boebel, T. A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7534.
- (23) Kawamorita, S.; Ohmiya, H.; Hara, K.; Fukuoka, A.; Sawamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 5058.
- (24) Ishiyama, T.; Isou, H.; Kikuchi, T.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 159.
- (25) Robbins, D. W.; Boebel, T. A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4068.
- (26) Kawamorita, S.; Miyazaki, T.; Ohmiya, H.; Iwai, T.; Sawamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 19310.
- (27) Ros, A.; Estepa, B.; López-Rodríguez, R.; Álvarez, E.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 11724.
- (28) Roosen, P. C.; Kallepalli, V. A.; Chattopadhyay, B.; Singleton, D. A.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Smith, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11350.
- (29) Roering, A. J.; Hale, L. V. A.; Squier, P. A.; Ringgold, M. A.; Wiederspan, E. R.; Clark, T. B. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3558.

(30) Ros, A.; López-Rodríguez, R.; Estepa, B.; Álvarez, E.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 4573.

(31) Crawford, K. M.; Ramseyer, T. R.; Daley, C. J. A.; Clark, T. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7589.

(32) Boller, T. M.; Murphy, J. M.; Hapke, M.; Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14263.

(33) C–H borylation of N_rN -dimethylbenzylamine in the absence of added ligand provided 89% conversion and a 98:2 selectivity of 1:2. The substrate is likely serving as the ligand upon C–H activation of N_rN -dimethylbenzylamine. See ref 31 for an example of a substrate serving a similar role in phosphine-directed C–H borylation.

- (34) Casey, C. P.; Whiteker, G. T. Isr. J. Chem. 1990, 30, 299.
- (35) van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; Reek, J. N. H.; Dierkes, P. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2741.
- (36) Jover, J.; Fey, N.; Harvey, J. N.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Owen-Smith, G. J. J.; Murray, P.; Hose, D. R. J.; Osborne, R.; Purdie, M. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 5302.
- (37) Freixa, Z.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Dalton Trans. 2003, 1890.
- (38) Vanchura, B. A., II; Preshlock, S. M.; Roosen, P. C.; Kallepalli,
- V. A.; Staples, R. J.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Singleton, D. A.; Smith, M. R., III. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7724.
- (39) Chotana, G. A.; Vanchura, B. A., II; Tse, M. K.; Staples, R. J.; Maleczka, R. E., Jr.; Smith, M. R., III. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 5731.
- (40) Seechurn, C. C. C. J.; Sivakumar, V.; Satoskar, D.; Colacot, T. J. Organometallics **2014**, 33, 3514.
- (41) See Supporing Information for details.