This article was downloaded by: [Fudan University] On: 11 May 2015, At: 20:55 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ganp20

# Three new triterpenoid saponins from llex pubescens

Ling Li<sup>a</sup>, Yu-Xin He<sup>a</sup>, Mei-Ling Gou<sup>a</sup> & Chun Dai<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Bioengineering College, Xihua University, Chengdu, 610039, China Published online: 08 Nov 2012.

To cite this article: Ling Li , Yu-Xin He , Mei-Ling Gou & Chun Dai (2012) Three new triterpenoid saponins from Ilex pubescens , Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 14:12, 1169-1174, DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2012.738674

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2012.738674</u>

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>



#### Three new triterpenoid saponins from Ilex pubescens

Ling Li, Yu-Xin He\*, Mei-Ling Gou and Chun Dai

Bioengineering College, Xihua University, Chengdu 610039, China (Received 24 June 2012; final version received 7 October 2012)

Three new triterpene saponins, ilexsaponins D–F (1–3), were isolated from the roots of *llex pubescens*. Their structures were elucidated as 3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl urs-12,18-diene-24,28-dioic acid 28-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl urs-12,18-diene-24,28-dioic acid (2), and 3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl-30-hydroxyurs-12,19-diene-24,28-dioic acid 28-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl-30-hydroxyurs-12,19-diene-24,28-dioic acid 28-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(3) on the basis of the chemical and spectroscopic evidence.

Keywords: Ilex pubescens; triterpene saponin; ilexsaponins D-F

#### 1. Introduction

The roots of *llex pubescens* Hook et Arn. (native name, Mao-Dong-Qing) are a traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) commonly used in South China for the treatment of cardiovascular disease and hypercholesterolemia [1]. Previous phytochemical investigation of its roots and leaves led to the isolation of glaberides, triterpene saponins, and phenolics, specifically vomifoliol [2–7]. As a part of our program searching for bioactive saponins from TCMs, we present in this report the isolation and structural elucidation of three new triterpene saponins (1–3) (Figure 1) from the roots of *l. pubescens*.

#### 2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. It showed positive Liebermann–Burchard and Molish reactions. The molecular formula was assigned as  $C_{47}H_{72}O_{19}$  on the basis of the negativeion HR-ESI-MS at m/z 939.4598 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>, confirmed by its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data (Table 1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated six methyl group signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.72, 1.17, 1.21, 1.08, 1.73 (s, 3H each), and 1.06 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz) correlated with the carbons at  $\delta_C$  24.8, 14.6, 18.7, 22.0, 19.5, and 18.6 in the HSQC spectrum, respectively. There were two carboxyl carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  174.7 and 180.6 and two pairs of olefinic carbon signals at  $\delta_C$  126.7, 138.8, 133.8, and 136.0 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. An olefinic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.71 (brt) correlated with the carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  126.7 in the HSQC spectrum (see Table 1) indicated the presence of an ursane-type triterpene aglycon. The UV absorbance at 225 nm suggested that compound 1 contains a heteroannular-conjugated diene system [8]. The second double bond located at C-18/C-19 was assigned by the interactions of the olefinic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.71 (brt,  $J = 3.0 \,\text{Hz}$ ), methyl protons H-29 ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 1.73) with the carbon signals C-18 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 133.8), and methyl protons H-29 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.73), H-30 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.06) with C-19 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  136.0) in the HMBC spectrum. In addition, the HMBC correlations of H-23 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.72), H-3

ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2012 Taylor & Francis http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2012.738674 http://www.tandfonline.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: heyuxin66@tom.com



Figure 1. The structures of compounds 1-3.

 $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.34)$  with C-24  $(\delta_{\rm C} 180.6)$  showed that the carboxyl carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  180.6 was located at C-24, therefore the other carboxyl carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  174.7 was attributed to C-28 (see Figure 2). After extensive NMR (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HMBC, and NOESY) spectral analysis (Table 1), the aglycon was established to be a  $3\beta$ -hydroxyurs-12, 18dien-24, 28-dioic acid [9]. ESI-MS showed the ion peaks at m/z 777 [M-H-162]<sup>-</sup>, 483  $[M-H-162-132-162]^{-}$ , attributable to the sequential losses of a hexose, pentose, and hexose residues. Acid hydrolysis and gas chromatography (GC) experiment of 1 showed that the sugar moieties are Larabinose and D-glucose [10]. H-1' ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.95) of the L-arabinose was correlated with the C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  88.9), and H-1<sup>"</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.16) of the terminal glucose was correlated with C-3' ( $\delta_C 81.1$ ) of L-arabinosyl in the HMBC spectrum. H-1<sup>*III*</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.28) of the D-glucose was correlated with the C-28 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  174.7) in the HMBC spectrum. The anomeric configuration of the L-arabinosyl and Dglucosyl moieties were  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, from the  ${}^{3}J_{1-2}$  coupling constant and NOESY experiment [10]. On the basis of this evidence, compound 1 was characterized as 3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl urs-12,18-diene-24,28-dioic acid 28-O-B-D-glucopyranoside, named ilexsaponin D.



Compound **3** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. It showed positive Liebermann–Burchard and Molish reactions. The molecular formula was assigned as  $C_{47}H_{72}O_{20}$  on the basis of the negativeion HR-ESI-MS at m/z 955.4539 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the aglycon part of **3** showed five methyl proton signals  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.67, 1.11, 1.19, 1.12, and 1.83 (s, 3H each), and one olefinic proton signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.72 (brt). It also had two pairs of olefinic carbon signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  128.1, 129.7, 131.1, and 137.6

	1			2	3	
Position	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$
1	39.2	1.03 m 1.81 m	39.1	1.00 m 1.82 m	39.7	1.06 m 1.70 m
2	28.3	1.21 m 2.00 m	28.7	1.20 m 2.00 m	28.2	1.98 m
3	88.9	3.34 dd (14.0, 4.0)	88.9	3.33 dd (14.0, 4. 0)	88.7	3.31 dd (14.0, 4.0)
4	48.2		48.7	~)	48.0	
5	57.1	1.04 m	57.0	1.07 m	57.0	1.06 m
6	20.8	2.00 m	20.8	1.98 m	20.7	1.99 m
		2.14 m		2.10 m		2.09 m
7	35.3	1.52 m 2.58 m	35.3	1.55 m 2.44 m	34.5	1.53 m
8	39.4		39.5		39.7	
9	47.6	1.52 m	47.4	1.55 m	47.8	1.57 m
10	37.7		37.7		37.8	
11	23.8	2.00 m	23.8	2.02 m	24.0	1.98 m
12	126.7	5.71 brt (3.0)	126.3	5.73 brt (3.0)	128.1	5.72 brt (3.0)
13	138.8		138.9		137.6	
14	45.0	1.05	45.1	1.24	43.7	1.00
15	28.9	1.25 m	28.9	1.24 m	28.8	1.23 m
16	25.4	2.42 m	25.6	2.41 m	22.6	2.22 m
16	35.4	1.50 m	35.6	1.49 m	23.6	2.03 m
17	40.0	2.33 m	40.0	2.33 m	17.2	
1/	49.9		49.9		47.5 51.9	2.62 .
10	133.0		133.7		JI.0 121 1	5.05 8
20	34.6	$2.14 \mathrm{m}$	34.7	$2.14 \mathrm{m}$	121.1	
20	26.8	2.14 m	26.8	2.14 m	24 1	2.56 m
21	20.0	2 07 m	20.0	2 07 m	24.4	2.30 111
22	31.0	1.68 m	31.2	1.68 m	33.0	1.85 m
		2.14 m		2.13 m		2.14 m
23	24.8	1.72 s	24.9	1.71 s	24.7	1.67 s
24	180.6		180.4		180.9	
25	14.6	1.17 s	14.5	1.18 s	14.4	1.11 s
26	18.7	1.21 s	18.8	1.21 s	18.3	1.19 s
27	22.0	1.08 s	22.1	1.09 s	22.2	1.12 s
28	174.7		180.6		176.2	
29	19.5	1.73 s	19.5	1.76 s	16.8	1.83 s
30	18.6	1.06 d (7.0)	18.7	1.07 d (7.0)	63.0	4.39, 4.46 d (6.5)
3-O-sugar	r-Ara					
1′	104.8	4.95 d (5.5)	105.0	4.96 d (5.5)	104.8	4.93 d (5.5)
2'	73.4	4.57 m	73.4	4.55 m	73.4	4.55 m
3'	81.1	4.23 m	81.3	4.23 m	81.1	4.20 m
4'	68.3	4.34 m	68.5	4.33 m	68.3	4.35 m
5'	64.9	3.76 m	64.7	3.76 m	64.9	3.74 m
CI		4.26 m		4.25 m		4.27 m
	106.0	5 16 1 (7 5)	106.2	5 00 1 (7.5)	106.0	5 11 1 (7 5)
1" 2//	100.0	5.10 d (7.5)	100.2	5.20 d (7.5)	100.0	5.11 d (7.5)
∠ 2″	70.4 70.1	4.07 m	10.1	4.07 m	70.4	4.05 m
5 ///	/ ð. l 71 5	4.1/m 4.21 m	70.3	4.10 m 4.22 m	/ ð. l 71 5	4.10 m
	79.1	4.51 III 3 80 m	79.2	4.55 III 2 82 m	79.1	+.32 III 2 77 m
5	/0.1	5.60 111	10.5	5.65 111	/0.1	5.77 111

Table 1.  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectral data for 1–3 (500 MHz for  $^{1}$ H and 125 MHz for  $^{13}$ C, in C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N,  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz).<sup>a</sup>

	1			2		3	
Position	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	
6″	62.5	4.38 m 4.41 m	62.6	4.38 m 4.42 m	62.5	4.37 m 4.42 m	
28- <i>O</i> -suga	ar-Glc						
1///	95.8	6.28 d (8.0)			95.8	6.35 d (8.0)	
2‴	74.1	4.18 t (8.5)			74.1	4.22 m	
3‴	78.8	4.25 t (8.9)			78.9	4.30 m	
4‴	71.1	4.31 t (9.2)			71.3	4.42 m	
5‴	79.2	4.00 m			79.0	4.12 m	
6///	62.2	4.37 m			62.4	4.41 m	
		4.42 m				4.54 m	

Table 1 – *continued* 

<sup>a</sup> The assignments were based upon <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY spectra.

in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. UV absorbance at 203 nm of 3 suggested that there was no conjugation between the two double bonds. The double bond was located at C-12/C-13 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  128.1 and 137.6) according to the characteristic proton signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.63 (1H, s, H-18) and carbon signal  $\delta_{\rm C}$  51.8 (C-18) [9]. The correlations of H-29 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.83) with C-19 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  131.1) and C-20 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.7) in the HMBC experiment suggested the second double bond was located at C-19/C-20. The hydroxymethyl C-30 was attached to C-20 based on the following HMBC correlations of H-30 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.39 and 4.46) with C-19 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 131.1), C-20 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.7), and C-21 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.4). In addition, the two carbonyls at C-24 and C-28 were assigned by the HMBC

correlations of H-23 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.67), H-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.31) with C-24 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  180.9) and H-18 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.63) with C-28 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  176.2). The aglycon moiety was further confirmed to be olean-12, 19-diene-24, 28-dioic acid by comparison with the literature data [9]. Acid hydrolysis of 3 also afforded L-arabinose and D-glucose. Detailed NMR spectral comparison of the sugar moiety for 3 with 1 (see Table 1) demonstrated that both compounds possess same sugar sequence. Consequently, compound 3 was elucidated to be 3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl(1  $\rightarrow$  3)- $\alpha$ -L-arabinoyranosyl-30-hydroxyurs-12,19diene-24,28-dioic acid 28-O-B-D-glucopyranoside, named ilexsaponin F.



Figure 2. Selected HMBC correlations for compounds 1 and 3.

#### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Spots were visualized by spraying 10%  $H_2SO_4$ -EtOH followed by heating. UV spectra were obtained on a TU-1901 spectrometer (Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 577 spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). NMR spectra were recorded on an Inova 500 spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA), operating at 500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. ESI-MS data were recorded on an MS Agilent 1100 series LC/ MSD Trap Mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and HR-ESI-MS data were obtained on a G1969A TOF-MS instrument (Agilent Technologies Inc.). Precoated silica gel GF254 plates (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Qingdao, China) were employed for thin layer chromatography. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co.) and macroporous resin  $D_{101}$  (pore size B 13– 14 nm, 26–60 mesh; Tianjin, China). High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation was carried out on an ODS column (YMC-pack ODS-A,  $250 \times 10$  mm, i.d. 5 µm, YMC, Kyoto, Japan) with an Alltech evaporative light scattering detector (Alltech, Dearfield, IL, USA). GC analysis was carried out on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) using a HP-5 capillary column  $(28 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm i.d.})$ , with an FID detector (detector temperature: 260°C; column temperature: 180°C; carrier gas: N<sub>2</sub>; flow rate: 40 ml/min).

#### 3.2 Plant material

The roots of *I. pubescens* were collected in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China, in June 2010, and identified by Prof. Y.-X. He, Xihua University. A voucher specimen (No. 20100810) has been deposited in the herbarium of Bioengineering College of Xihua University.

#### 3.3 Extraction and isolation

The roots of I. pubescens (5 kg) were refluxed two times with 70% (v/v) EtOH, each for 2 h. After concentrated in vacuo, the residue was suspended in water, and partitioned with ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol successively. The *n*-butanol fraction (114 g) was further chromatographed over a macroporous resin  $D_{101}$ column eluted initially with water, then with 30%, 50%, and 70% EtOH to give fractions A-C. Fraction B (34g) was subjected to a silica gel column using CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (90:10:0.1 -70:30:5) as an eluent to give fractions  $B_1-B_9$ . Fraction  $B_3$  (2.9 g) was subjected to ODS open column chromatography (MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O 40:60 to 80:20) to afford fractions  $B_{3-1}-B_{3-4}$ . Fraction  $B_{3-1}$ (600 mg) was subjected to prep-HPLC (MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 40:60, UV detection at 210 nm, affording **1** (18 mg,  $t_R$  16.7 min), **2** (12 mg, 20.7 min), and **3** (5 mg, 18.5 min), respectively.

#### 3.3.1 Ilexsaponin D (1)

White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{22} + 32$ (*c* = 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$ 225 nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3432, 1724, 1681. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 125 MHz) spectral data, see Table 1; ESI-MS: *m/z* 939 [M– H]<sup>-</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 939.4598 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>71</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, 939.4595).

#### 3.3.2 Ilexsaponin E (2)

White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{30} + 34$ (*c* = 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$ 225 nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3432, 1725, 1680. <sup>1</sup>H NMR(C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR(C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 125 MHz) spectral data, see Table 1; ESI-MS: m/z 777 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>; HR-ESI-MS m/z 777.4033 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>61</sub>O<sub>14</sub>, 777.4066).

#### 3.3.3 Ilexsaponin F (3)

White amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{30} + 40$ (*c* = 0.12, MeOH). UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$ 203 nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3432, 1725, 1683. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N, 125 MHz) spectral data, see Table 1; ESI-MS: *m/z* 955 [M–H]<sup>-</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 955.4539 [M–H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>71</sub>O<sub>20</sub>, 955.4544).

#### 3.4 Acid hydrolysis of 1-3

Each saponin (5 mg) was heated in 5 ml of 10% HCl-dioxane (1:1) at 80°C for 4 h. After the dioxane was removed, the solution was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \text{ ml} \times 3)$  to yield the aglycon and the sugar, respectively. The sugar components in the aqueous layer obtained after acid hydrolysis of 1-3 were analyzed by GC analysis of the methyl sugar peracetates. The aqueous layer was evaporated and dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (100 ml), 0.1 M L-cysteinemethyl ester hydrochloride (200 ml) was added, and the resultant was warmed at 60°C for 1h. The trimethylsilylation reagent HMDS-TMCS (hexamethyldisilazane-trimethylchlorosilane-pyridine, 2:1:10; Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium) was added and warmed at 60°C for 30 min. To the mixture, the thiazolidine derivatives were analyzed by GC for sugar identification. The retention times of L-arabinose  $(t_{\rm R},$ 5.32 min) and D-glucose ( $t_{\rm R}$ , 12.39 min) were confirmed by comparison with those of authentic standards [11].

#### Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Youth Fund of Sichuan Province Office of Education (08Z6012), the Chun Hui Project of Ministry of Education (Z2010103), and the Innovation Fund of Postgraduate, Xihua University (YCJJ201243).

#### References

- Jiangsu New Medical College, editors. *Directory of Chinese Materia Medica* (*Zhong Yao Da Ci*), (Shanghai Scientific and Technological Press, Shanghai, 1977), p. 441.
- [2] C.X. Zhang, C.Z. Lin, T.Q. Xiong, C.C. Zhu, J.Y. Yang, and Z.X. Zhao, *Fitoterapia* 81, 788 (2010).
- [3] K. Hidaka, M. Ito, Y. Matsuda, H. Kohda, K. Yamasaki, and J. Yamahara, *Phytochemistry* 26, 2023 (1987).
- [4] K. Hidaka, M. Ito, Y. Matsuda, H. Kohda, K. Yamasaki, J. Yamahara, T. Chisaka, Y. Kawakami, T. Sato, and K. Kagei, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 35, 524 (1987).
- [5] L.P. Lin, W. Qu, and J.Y. Liang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 22, 697 (2011).
- [6] F. Feng, M.X. Zhu, N. Xie, W.Y. Liu, D.J. Chen, and Q.D. You, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 10, 71 (2008).
- [7] Y.B. Zhou, J.H. Wang, X.M. Li, X.C. Fu, Z. Yan, Y.M. Zeng, and X. Li, *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* **10**, 827 (2008).
- [8] L. Tang, Y. Jiang, H.T. Chang, M.B. Zhao, P.F. Tu, J.R. Cui, and R.Q. Wang, *J. Nat. Prod.* 68, 1169 (2005).
- [9] S.X. Zhou, J.S. Yang, F.C. Liu, and P.F. Tu, Magn. Reson. Chem. 45, 179 (2007).
- [10] K. Nishimura, T. Miyase, and H. Noguchi, J. Nat. Prod. 62, 1128 (1999).
- [11] L. Tang, Y. Jiang, X.M. Tian, S.X. Zhou, and P.F. Tu, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 6, 554 (2009).