Influence of Branching on the Chiral Self-Assembly of Poly(phenylene ethynylene)

Joost Steverlynck, Pieter Leysen, Guy Koeckelberghs

Laboratory for Polymer Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Heverlee, Belgium Correspondence to: G. Koeckelberghs (E-mail: guy.koeckelberghs@chem.kuleuven.be)

Received 7 August 2014; accepted 9 October 2014; published online 00 Month 2014 DOI: 10.1002/pola.27443

ABSTRACT: In this contribution, we report the synthesis of chiral all-conjugated branched poly(phenylene ethynylenes) with a controlled amount of branching. Subsequently, the selfassembly of these PPEs is studied by means of UV-vis, fluorescence spectroscopy, and DSC and the influence of branching is investigated. Finally, CD-spectroscopy is used to study the influence of branching and self-assembly on the chiral expression of these polymers. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. **2014**, *00*, 000–000

KEYWORDS: branched; chiral; conjugated polymers; self-assembly; supramolecular structures

INTRODUCTION The properties of conjugated polymers do not only depend on their chemical structure, but to a large extent on their supramolecular organization. Until now mainly linear conjugated polymers have been studied. The reason is twofold. First, the desired opto-electronical properties for the current applications are mostly satisfied by these 1-D systems. Second, the synthesis of these branched systems is synthetically challenging. Nevertheless, branching affects the supramolecular organization of polymers and, as such, can lead to new properties which in turn can make new applications possible. For nonconjugated polymers branching has shown to result in a lower viscosity and globular structure, leading to applications as coating and drug delivery by encapsulation.¹ For conjugated polymers we could think of solar cell applications.² They are also very promising as active materials for light-emitting devices as they are more stable, have better solubility, and do not suffer as much from aggregate-based fluorescence quenching as their linear counterparts.³⁻¹¹ Some even show aggregationinduced emission.¹² Crosslinkable hyperbranched polymers are a solution for the solubility problem when preparing organic-processable multilayer devices.^{13,14} As they are fluorescent and can form a porous network they can be used as highly sensitive chemosensors.¹⁵ Another interesting property is the possible modification of end-groups allowing tunability of the optical properties.¹⁶ Although quite some hyperbranched conjugated polymers have been reported,¹⁷⁻²⁶ no series of all-conjugated branched polymers with a controlled amount of branching has been prepared and a systematic study on the influence of branching on the

supramolecular organization is lacking. Very recently the group of Luscombe reported the synthesis of branched P3HT using direct arylation in which the branching can be varied by adjusting the base and ligand.²⁷ Finally, hyperbranched PPE-PPV copolymers were polymerized by Kub et al.²⁸ In this article, we describe the synthesis of a series of branched PPE's (Fig. 1) and investigate the influence of branching on the self-assembly and chiral expression.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Monomer Synthesis

The synthesis of the "linear" monomers **1** and **3** (Scheme 1) started from hydroquinone, which was alkylated twice by the chiral (*S*)-dimethyloctylbromide.²⁹ Subsequent iodination renders monomer **1**. Monomer **3** is formed by reaction of monomer **1** with trimethylsilylacetylene followed by deprotection of the silyl group with TBAF.3H₂O.³⁰

The synthesis of the "branched" monomer **4** (Scheme 1) started from commercially available 2-iodo-dimethylterephtalate. This product was reduced to the dialcohol with DIBAL and without further purification oxidized with PCC to form 2-iodoterephtal-dehyde.³¹ The aldehyde functions were subsequently converted to alkyne functions using the Ohira-Bestmannreaction.

Polymer Synthesis

The polymers were prepared by means of the Sonogashira reaction. The "linear" **1** and **3** monomers were combined with the "branched" monomer in well determined quantities (Table 1). Iodobenzene (5) was added as a chain stopper.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

© 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



1



FIGURE 1 Structure of the polymers.

The polymerization was carried out in THF with Pd(PPh₃)₄ as catalyst, Et₃N as base and CuI as cocatalyst (Scheme 2). A chain stopper was used to limit the molar mass and crosslinking to obtain soluble material and a fixed degree of polymerization (DP) of 15. A similar DP is necessary to compare the different polymers. Iodobenzene is used and not phenylacetylene in order to avoid terminal acetylene groups and possible concomitant Glaser couplings after polymerization. In total, 5 polymers were synthesized, which varied in the amount of monomer 4 present in the feed (0, 2.5, 5, 10, and 20%), rendering polymers P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5, respectively. After synthesis these polymers are purified by Soxhlet extraction with methanol followed by chloroform. The chloroform fraction was concentrated and the polymer was precipitated in methanol. The yields of the chloroform-soluble fractions are depicted in Table 1. Roughly, the yield drops as the amount of 4 in the feed increases, which can be attributed to crosslinking resulting in an insoluble fraction that remained in the Soxhlet thimble.32

GPC and NMR

The dispersities and molar masses (Table 1) were determined via GPC against polystyrene standards and lay in the same range, making a comparison possible. In general, a decrease of molar mass with the degree of branching is observed, which on one hand is in line with a smaller hydrodynamic volume originating from branching, but can on the other hand also be attributed to the fact that only the chloroform-soluble fraction is measured.



SCHEME 1 Synthesis of the monomers.

Apart from the peak from the aromatic proton of the "linear" unit at 7 ppm,^{33,34} also additional peaks are observed, which can be attributed to the branching unit (if present) and terminal units (Fig. 2). A complete assignment of the aromatic peaks is shown in Figure 3 for **P5** using the ¹H NMR spectra of already reported model compounds (Supporting Information Fig. S6). Given the fact that iodobenzene is added as chain stopper, two possible end groups are present: either from **5** (signals g, h, and i) or from monomer **1** (signals e, and f). Note that no end-groups from **4** are found, which can be explained by its small presence. The fact that signals e and f diminish with increasing amount of branching is in line with this assignment. The branching unit has resonances at b, c, and d. The increase of b is clearly in line with increasing amount of **4** for **P1**-> **P5**. Since each

TABLE 1 Ratio of Monomers Used, Yields, Number-Averaged Molar Mass, Dispersity, Degree of Branching and DP

Polymer	1 (mmol)	3 (mmol)	4 (mmol)	5 (mmol)	Yield (%)	<i>M</i> _n (kg/mol) ^a	Ð	DP ^{b,c}	Degree of Branching ^c (%)
P1	0.250	0.250	0.000	0.0357	54	6.4	1.8	11	0
P2	0.244	0.244	0.013	0.0482	58	7.8	1.7	11	2.2
P3	0.238	0.238	0.025	0.0607	72	5.5	1.8	11	4.5
P4	0.225	0.225	0.050	0.0857	37	5.9	1.8	12	8.9
P5	0.200	0.200	0.100	0.135	14	5.3	1.7	12	12

^a Determined by GPC in THF toward poly(styrene) standard.

^b Degree of polymerization.

^c Determined by ¹H NMR.



FIGURE 2 ¹H-NMR spectra of P1-P5 for (A) aromatic and (B) aliphatic region in CDCl₃.

incorporation of 4 results in an additional end-group, an increase of the signals g, h, and i is expected for P1->P5. The amount of branching is then calculated from

% branching =
$$\frac{b}{a/2}$$

and is tabulated in Table 1. Apart from P5, the amount of branching is nicely reflected in the amount of 4 present in the feed. The deviation in case of P5 can be explained by crosslinking and the fact that only the soluble fraction is measured.

The DP can be calculated by dividing the total amount of units present by the number of chains. While the former equals the amount of inner units (either linear or branching units) and the amount of end-groups, the latter can be found from the number of end-groups as follows: a linear chain has two end-groups; therefore, the number of chains equals the amount of end-groups divided by 2. Since every branching unit increase the number of end-groups by one, the DP equals:

$$DP = \frac{a/2 + b + f + (g+i)/3}{1/2((g+i)/3 + f - b)}$$

From Table 1, it is clear that all polymers have a similar DP (11–12), a little lower than targeted.

UV-vis

The self-assembly behavior of **P1-P5** was first studied by UV-vis. For each polymer 10 different solutions were made

in chloroform adding more and more of the poor solvent methanol. The solvatochromism spectra are found in Supporting Information (Figs. S7–S11). Although no clear redshift was observed, aggregation was visible for **P1** by the appearance of an additional shoulder near 480 nm, which can be attributed to self-assembly and the presence of long-range order in the material (Fig. 4).³⁵ This shoulder is only found for the linear polymer and to a small extent also for **P2**. However, we should note that for **P2** not all chains are branched and this signal is possibly due to the stacking of those nonbranched chains.³⁶ The other polymers do not show this peak, implying that they do not possess long-range order.



FIGURE 3 Assignment of the aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum of P5.



JOURNAL OF POLYMER SCIENCE Chemistry



FIGURE 4 A: UV-vis spectra of P1-P5, (B) fluorescence spectra, and (C) CD spectra in 100% CHCl₃ and 90% in MeOH/10% in CHCl₃, the fluorescene spectra are corrected for absorption.

Fluorescence

A further investigation of the aggregation behavior was done by fluorescence spectroscopy with excitation at 440 nm. The materials are measured in pure chloroform, in which they are molecularly dissolved, and a mixture of chloroform/ methanol (90/10; Fig. 4). When the spectra in the mixture chloroform and methanol are compared to those in pure chloroform a decrease in intensity of a factor 10 is measured. In addition, an additional peak appears near 490 nm for **P1**, which is not found for the other polymers. This peak

has already been attributed in other (linear) PPEs to aggregation.³⁷ In the other polymers, this peak is absent or less pronounced. When we study the spectra in mixtures of chloroform/methanol more closely, a systematic decrease in intensity for lower degrees of branching is observed. Clearly, branching shields the polymer chains from each other, reducing the quenching of the fluorescence. Hence, these results confirm the results from UV-vis spectroscopy in the sense that branching complicates the aggregation and that even very small amounts of branching disrupts the long-range order.

CD-Spectroscopy

The presence of the chiral alkoxy side-chains allows to investigate the chiral expression.^{38,39} As for UV-vis, for each polymer 10 different solutions were made in chloroform adding more and more methanol (Supporting Information Figs. S7-S11). Although aggregation upon addition of a poor solvent was not visible in the UV-vis spectra, the CD-spectra of P1-P5 (Fig. 4) show clear bisignate CD-signals for all polymers. Bisignate Cotton effects result from chiral exciton coupling originating from chirally oriented polymer chains. When the CD-spectra for P1 are observed more into detail, a bisignate Cotton effect originating from chiral exciton coupling at the $\lambda_{\rm max}$ of the UV-vis spectrum with an additional monosignate Cotton-effect, at the wavelength of the shoulder in UV-vis. The latter, pointing at long-range order, is absent or much less pronounced in the other polymers, which is in agreement with the UV-vis spectra. By comparing the intensity of the bisignate Cotton effects in 90% MeOH for P1-P5, it is clear that branching in general does not impede chiral expression, but rather increases it. Maximum $\Delta \varepsilon$ values are calculated for P3 and P5. Although there is no clear trend between the branching degree and chiral expression, we nevertheless can conclude that chiral expression does not require long-range order. To the contrary, the introduction of branching, leading to the disruption of long-range order, can seemingly cause an increase of the chiral expression of the material. We should note that the chiral signal we analyze does not require long-range order as it is a bisignate Cottoneffect arising from exciton coupling between chiral oriented chains. While perhaps strange at first sight, it has already been found in other systems that molecular imperfections (regio-irregularity, achiral units, chiral additive), in chiral materials, can lead to an increase of the chiral expression.40-43

DSC

The results of the UV-vis and CD-experiments are further confirmed by DSC. While **P1** shows clear traces of melting and crystallization (Supporting Information Fig. S12), the other polymers did not melt nor crystallize. This is again in agreement with the earlier observations that branching disrupts long-range order.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a synthetic route for the synthesis of an all-conjugated PPE with a controllable degree



of branching. These polymers were made by a copolymerization of A_2 and B_2 monomers with an AB_2 monomer via Sonogashira couplings. Polymers with 2.5, 5, 10, and 20% branching monomer were synthesized. Subsequently, the self-assembly of these materials, correlated to their supramolecular structure, was studied by UV-vis -, CD-, fluorescence spectroscopy, and DSC. It was shown that in all cases selfassembly occurs upon addition of a poor solvent, even for a branching degree up to 20%. However, the introduction of the slightest amount of branching destroyed the long-range order in the supramolecular structures.

For the chiral expression studied by CD-spectroscopy it is found that indeed branching destroys long-range order, but that it does not suppress the chiral expression: the highest chiral response is even observed for the most branched material. This result is in agreement with earlier observations that the introduction of a certain amount of defects in a chiral material can improve the chiral expression.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Onderzoeksfonds K.U.Leuven/ Research Fund K.U.Leuven and the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO-Vlaanderen) for financial support. JS is grateful to IWT for a doctoral fellowship.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1 C. Gao, D. Yan, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2004, 29, 183-275.

2 J. Qiao, C. Yang, Q. He, F. Bai, Y. Li, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2004**, *92*, 1459–1466.

3 M.-H. Xu, L. Pu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6347-6350.

4 M. Lv, S. Li, J. J. Jasieniak, J. Hou, J. Zhu, Z. Tan, S. E. Watkins, Y. Li, X. Chen, *Adv. Mater. Weinheim* **2013**, *25*, 6889–6894.

5 Y. Tang, P. Shen, T. Ding, H. Huang, B. Zhao, S. Tan, *Eur. Polym. J.* **2010**, *46*, 2033–2041.

6 R. Wang, W.-Z. Wang, G.-Z. Yang, T. Liu, J. Yu, Y. Jiang, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 790–802.

7 P. Taranekar, Q. Qiao, H. Jiang, I. Ghiviriga, K. S. Schanze, J. R. Reynolds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8958–8959.

8 M. Vanjinathan, H.-C. Lin, A. S. Nasar, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.* 2012, *50*, 3806–3818.

9 Z. Li, S. Ye, Y. Liu, G. Yu, W. Wu, J. Qin, Z. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 9101–9108.

10 T. Kim, D.-K. Park, T. Ahn, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **2012**, *567*, 125–131.

11 Y. Han, M. Sun, Z. Fei, Z. Bo, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **2008**, *53*, 2770–2776.

12 J. Chen, H. Peng, C. C. W. Law, Y. Dong, J. W. Y. Lam, I. D. Williams, B. Z. Tang, *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 4319–4327.

13 G. K. Paul, J. Mwaura, A. a. Argun, P. Taranekar, J. R. Reynolds, *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 7789–7792.

14 D.-F. Tang, G.-A. Wen, X.-Y. Qi, H.-Y. Wang, B. Peng, W. Wei, W. Huang, *Polymer* **2007**, *48*, 4412–4418.

15 X. Wu, H. Li, B. Xu, H. Tong, L. Wang, *Polym. Chem.*, **2014**, *5*, 4521–4525.

16 L. Song, C. Tu, Y. Shi, F. Qiu, L. He, Y. Jiang, Q. Zhu, B. Zhu, D. Yan, X. Zhu, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2010**, *31*, 443–448.

17 T. V. Richter, C. Bühler, S. Ludwigs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 43–46.

- **18** J. Qu, M. Shiotsuki, N. Kobayashi, F. Sanda, T. Masuda, *Polymer* **2007**, *48*, 6481–6490.
- **19** M. Grigoras, L. Stafie, *High Perform. Polym.* **2008**, *21*, 304–314.
- **20** T. Lin, Q. He, F. Bai, L. Dai, *Thin Solid Films* **2000**, *363*, 122–125.
- **21** Y. H. Kim, O. W. Webster, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4592–4593.
- 22 Y. H. Kim, O. W. Webster, *Macromolecules* 1992, 25, 5561–5572.
- **23** C. C. W. Law, J. Chen, J. W. Y. Lam, H. Peng, B. Z. Tang, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.* **2004**, *14*, 39–51.
- **24** O. He, H. Huang, J. Yang, H. Lin, F. Bai, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2003**, *13*, 1085–1089.
- 25 J. Yao, D. Y. Son, Organometallics 1999, 18, 1736-1740.
- 26 H. Peng, J. W. Y. Lam, B. Z. Tang, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2005, 26, 673–677.
- 27 K. Okamoto, J. B. Housekeeper, F. E. Michael, C. K. Luscombe, *Polym. Chem.* 2013, *4*, 3499.
- 28 C. Kub, J. Tolosa, A. J. Zucchero, P. L. McGrier, C. Subramani, A. Khorasani, V. M. Rotello, U. H. F. Bunz, *Macro-molecules* 2010, *43*, 2124–2129.
- **29** G. Bidan, S. Guillerez, V. Sorokin, *Adv. Mater.* **1996**, *8*, 157–160.

- **30** A. Ajayaghosh, R. Varghese, S. Mahesh, V. K. Praveen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7729–7732.
- **31** Y. Wu, H. Guo, X. Zhang, T. D. James, J. Zhao, *Chemistry* **2011**, *17*, 7632–7644.
- 32 E. Hittinger, A. Kokil, C. Weder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 1808–1811.
- **33** V. Francke, H. J. Räder, Y. Geerts, K. Müllen, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **1998**, *19*, 275–281.
- **34** H. Li, D. R. Powell, R. K. Hayashi, R. West, *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 52–58.
- **35** S. Zahn, T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4225–4230.
- **36** 2,5% of 4, while degree of polymerization is only 11.
- 37 C. E. Halkyard, M. E. Rampey, L. Kloppenburg, S. L. Studer-Martinez, U. H. F. Bunz, *Macromolecules* 1998, *31*, 8655–8659.
- **38** R. Fiesel, U. Scherf, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **1998**, *19*, 427–431.
- 39 R. Fiesel, U. Scherf, Acta. Polym. 1998, 49, 445-449.
- 40 M. Verswyvel, F. Monnaie, G. Koeckelberghs, *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 9489–9498.
- **41** P. Willot, J. Steverlynck, D. Moerman, P. Leclère, R. Lazzaroni, G. Koeckelberghs, *Polym. Chem.* **2013**, *4*, 2662.
- 42 K. Watanabe, Y. Koyama, N. Suzuki, M. Fujiki, T. Nakano, Polym. Chem. 2014, 5, 712.
- **43** J. Liu, J. Zhang, S. Zhang, N. Suzuki, M. Fujiki, L. Wang, L. Li, W. Zhang, N. Zhou, X. Zhu, *Polym. Chem.* **2014**, *5*, 784.