



Preparation and reactivity of cyanocuprates containing alkylseleno and alkyltelluro groups as non-transferable ligands

Fabiano K. Zinn, Eduardo C. Ramos and João V. Comasseto*

Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Professor Lineu Prestes 748, 05508-900, Cx. P. 26077, CEP 05599-070 São Paulo, Brazil

Received 6 December 2000; revised 31 January 2001; accepted 1 February 2001


Abstract—Alkylseleno and alkyltelluro groups are efficient non-transferable ligands of cyanocuprates in 1,4-addition reactions to enones. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd.

Non-transferable ligands play a crucial role in the reactivity and selectivity of a number of transformations using lower- or higher-order cuprates.¹ Several ligands have been used for this end.² The easy access to these ligands is decisive when a choice for one of them is to be made. Among the first non-transferable ligands to be used are the organothiolate groups.³ In spite of the success of such ligands in organocopper chemistry, very few studies aiming to develop selenium analogs were reported.⁴ To our knowledge, no mention of the use of organotellurolates as non-transferable ligands was made in the literature. In one of the studies concerning the use of phenylselenolate as ligands, Back and co-workers demonstrated that by changing the phenylthiolate group by the phenylselenolate in a lower-order cuprate, the selectivity in the transfer of the ligands was dramatically changed. MeCu(SPh)Li reacts with *E*-2-phenylseleno-1-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)ethene transferring the PhS group, to give the β -thiovinylsulfone; the same *E*-selenovinylsulfone reacts with MeCu(SePh)Li to give the β -methylsulfone, by transfer of the methyl group.⁴ In view of these facts, we decided to investigate systematically the use of alkylselenolate and alkyltellurolate anions as non-transferable ligands of cyanocuprates.⁵


Lithium alkylselenolate (**1**)⁶ and alkyltellurolate (**2**)⁷ are easily prepared by reacting elemental selenium or tellurium with commercial alkyllithiums at room temperature using tetrahydrofuran as the solvent. Reactions of **1** or **2** with CuCN, followed by the addition of a second equivalent of alkyllithium **3**, gives a clear solution presumably containing the cuprate **4**, which on reaction with enones **5** gives the 1,4-addition product **6** in good

yields in the case of unhindered enones.⁸ Hindered enones give poor yields of **6** (Scheme 1 and Table 1).

The R group can be the same as R¹ or it can be different. We observed no significant change in yields by using *n*-BuY instead of *s*-BuY or *t*-BuY (Y = Se, Te) as the non-transferable ligand. In view of this fact, the *n*-BuY groups are the ligands of choice due to easier manipulation and lower cost of *n*-BuLi.






Scheme 1.




Scheme 2.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: jvcomass@iq.usp.br

Table 1. Yields of the 1,4-addition reactions to enones using RY (Y=Se, Te) as non-transferable ligands

R	R ¹								
		a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b
<i>n</i> -Bu	<i>n</i> -Bu	90	76	32 (89)	30 (98)	96	86	33 (87) (90 ^d)	31 (68) (96 ^d)
<i>s</i> -Bu	<i>s</i> -Bu	96	89	33 (76)	31 (98)	75	95	33 (59)	30 (78)
<i>n</i> -Bu	<i>s</i> -Bu	90	94	- (75)	- (63)	93	95	- (83)	- (58)
<i>t</i> -Bu	<i>t</i> -Bu	90	86	10 (40)	11 (35)	90	85	10 (45)	11 (28)
<i>n</i> -Bu	<i>t</i> -Bu	89	93	- (39)	- (36)	83	80	- (30) (41 ^d)	- (38) (42 ^d)

^aY = Se; ^bY = Te; ^cyields in parenthesis refer to the reaction in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O; ^dyields of the reaction using Me₃SiCl.

**Scheme 3.**

The yields of the 1,4-addition to hindered enones were improved by using BF₃·Et₂O as an additive, as shown in Scheme 2 and Table 1.⁹ Me₃SiCl is also effective to promote the 1,4-addition to hindered enones, as shown in Table 1 for the reaction of isophorone with four representative cuprates.

In only one case, when the group to be transferred was *t*-Bu, the yields were low. In all cases studied no transfer of the alkylseleno and alkyltelluro groups to enones was observed.

Cuprates **4** can be used to generate vinylic cyanocuprates **7** by transmetalation with vinylic tellurides **8** (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, we showed that the easily prepared lithium alkylselenolates and tellurolates are efficient non-transferable ligands for cyanocuprates in the reaction with enones.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank FAPESP and CNPq for support.

References

- (a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Sengupta, S. *Org. React.* **1992**, *41*, 135. It should be mentioned that a controversy about the structure of the cyanocuprates with a 2:1 stoichiometry does exist. Some authors postulate the composition of these cyanocuprates as higher-order cyanocuprates RR¹Cu(CN)Li₂; other authors postulate that the cyanide ion is not attached to copper and represent the cuprates formed by addition of two negatively charged species to CuCN as RR¹CuLi·LiCN. A good discussion about this matter can be found in: (b) Krause, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 75; (c) Nakamura, E.; Mori, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3750. In this paper we use the notation RR¹Cu(CN)Li₂ because this is the most widely used one in synthetic papers (see for example Ref. 2).
- Organocopper Reagents*; Taylor, R. J. K., Ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1994.
- Posner, G. H.; Whitten, C. E.; Sterling, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 7788.
- (a) Back, T. G.; Collins, S.; Krishma, M. V.; Law, K. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4258; (b) Back, T. G.; Bethell, R. J.; Parvez, M.; Welirli, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7908.
- For previous works of our group on tellurium and cuprate chemistry, see: Comasseto, J. V.; Barrietos-Astigarraga, R. E. *Aldrichim. Acta* **2000**, *33*, 66.

6. *Organoselenium Chemistry*; Back, T. G., Ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1999.
7. Petraghani, N. *Tellurium in Organic Synthesis*; Academic Press: London, 1994.
8. Typical procedure: In a two-necked 50 mL flask under nitrogen and magnetic stirring was placed elemental tellurium (511 mg, 4 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL). To this suspension at room temperature was added *n*-butyllithium (3.08 mL of a 1.3 M solution in hexane, 4 mmol). A yellow solution formed. This solution was transferred via cannula to a second two-necked 50 mL flask under nitrogen and magnetic stirring containing a suspension of CuCN (358 mg, 4 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at -78°C . The mixture was kept at this temperature for 15 minutes and then *t*-butyllithium (6.15 mL of a 0.65 M solution in hexane, 4 mmol) was added. The cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred until a clear solution formed. Then it was cooled again to -78°C and cyclohexenone (370 mg, 3.8 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to reach the room temperature and maintained under stirring for 1 h. A dark precipitate formed. The organic phase was diluted with $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ (3:1, 5 mL) and then with a 10% solution of sodium hypochlorite (3×10 mL). The organic phase was further washed with $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ solution until the blue color of the aqueous phase disappeared. The organic phase was dried with magnesium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was distilled in a Kugelrohr oven under vacuum. Yield of 3-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone: 490 mg (83%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 0.92 (s, 9H), 1.21–1.23 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.82–2.21 (m, 4H), 2.3–2.48 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 25.61, 26.17, 27.14, 32.68, 41.27, 43.61, 49.35, 212.60; LRMS m/z (relative intensity, %): 154.2 (M^+ , 16%), 139.2 (3%), 121.2 (3%), 98.2 (97%), 83 (42%), 57 (100%).
9. Typical procedure for the reaction using $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$: The same procedure described above for the preparation of the cyanocuprate **4** was followed. Then $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ (1.49 mL, 12 mmol) was added slowly at -78°C and the mixture was kept at this temperature for 15 minutes, giving an orange solution. Isophorone (498 mg, 3.6 mmol) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The work-up was identical to the one described above. Yield of 3,5,5-trimethyl-3-butylcyclohexanone: 610 mg (87%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 0.88 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.19–1.28 (m, 6H), 1.45–1.63 (m, 2H), 2.06–2.18 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3 , ppm): δ 14.20, 23.53, 26.21, 27.72, 30.93, 32.48, 36.15, 38.83, 44.79, 49.36, 53.32, 54.47, 213.10; LRMS m/z (relative intensity, %): 181.3 (1%), 139.3 (32%), 111.2 (3%), 97.2 (28%), 83.2 (100%), 55.0 (44%).