Et₂O (3:2 v/v): total yield 53%. The later crops each had mp 77-78°. A sample recrystallized twice from C_6H_6 -cyclohexane had mp 79-80°. Anal. ($C_{15}H_{24}N_2O_7$) C, H, N.

Diethyl Acetamido[4-(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)butyl]malonate (2b). Alkylation of the Na derivative of 1 with 3-(4-chlorobutyl)-2-oxazolidinone¹³ was carried out on a 0.614-mol scale as described for the preparation of 2a. The Norit treatment and filtration (silica gel mat) of an EtOAc solution of the crude residue (from removal of the DMAC) were performed twice. Following the removal of EtOAc, the orange oil was redissolved in EtOAc, and addition of ligroine (bp 30-60°) caused partial separation of crude 2b (85.2 g), mp 71-77°, which was recrystallized from C_6H_6 -cyclohexane to give pure 2b (69.6 g), mp 80-81°. The residue from evaporation of the EtOAc-ligroine filtrate was chromatographed on a silica gel column, and elution with EtOAc led to additional crops of crude product, which were recrystallized from C₆H₆-cyclohexane to give pure 2b (29.2 g): mp 81-83°; total yield 45%. An analytical sample (from C₆H₆-cyclohexane) had mp 81-82°. Anal. (C₁₆H₂₆N₂O₇) C, H, N.

Diethyl Acetamido[3-(2-bromoethylamino)propyl]malonate Hydrobromide (3a). A solution of 2a (25.0 g) in freshly prepared 30% dry HBr in AcOH solution (125 ml) was stirred at 25-30° for 43 hr. Addition of Et₂O (500 ml) precipitated 3a as a viscous gum, which was washed with three 500-ml portions of Et₂O by decantation. The remaining gum was extracted with portions of boiling EtOAc (4 l. total), and evaporation of the clarified EtOAc solution gave a crystalline residue. Recrystallization from MeCN-Et₂O gave pure 3a, mp 111-113°, in 63% yield (22.6 g). Anal. (C₁₄H₂₅BrN₂O₅·HBr) C, H, N.

Diethyl Acetamido[4-(2-bromoethylamino)butyl]malonate Hydrobromide (3b). A solution of 2b (99.1 g) in 30% dry HBr in AcOH solution (500 ml) was stirred at 25–30° for 72 hr and then added in a thin stream to stirred Et₂O (2.5 l.). The clear supernatant was removed by decantation from the gummy precipitate, which was stirred with three more 2.5-l. portions of Et₂O. The still-gummy residue was dissolved in boiling EtOAc (900 ml), and a small crop (5.1 g) of 3b separated from the cooled solution. The filtrate was evaporated, and the gummy residue was dissolved in EtOAc–EtOH solution (9:1, 750 ml). Evaporation of this solution gave a solid residue, which was combined with the small first crop and recrystallized from MeCN–Et₂O to give pure 3b, mp 147–149°, in 65% yield (85.4 g). Anal. (C₁₅H₂₇BrN₂O₅-HBr) C, H, Br, N.

S-2-[4-Acetamido-4,4-bis(ethoxycarbonyl)butylamino]ethyl Hydrogen Thiosulfate (5a). A mixture of 20.00 mmol each of $Tl_2S_2O_3$ (10.418 g) and 3a (9.244 g) in H_2O (35 ml) was stirred at 25-30° for 64 hr, filtered from TlBr, and evaporated to dryness (bath at 25-30°, final pressure <1 mm). The residual syrup was stirred with EtOH (250 ml); the solution was clarified by filtration and evaporated to dryness. The glassy residue was again dissolved in EtOH (300 ml), and the Norit-treated and filtered (Celite) solution was evaporated as above to give 5a as an amorphous, deliquescent, solidified foam, which was pulverized under Et₂O, collected under N2, and dried in vacuo (25-30°, P2O5): yield 77% (6.40 g); ir (KBr) 3400 (NH), 2980, 2830 (aliphatic CH), 1730 (ester C=O), 1660 (amide I), 1500 (amide II), 1190, 1015, 620 cm⁻¹ (SSO₃⁻); pmr (DMSO- d_6 -TMS) δ 1.15, 1.90, 0.8-2.2 (t, s, m, 13, CH_3CH_2 , $COCH_3$, $CCH_2CH_2CH_2$), 2.6-3.5 (m, 6, $CH_2NCH_2CH_2S$), 4.12 (q, 4, CH_3CH_2), 8.2 (s, 1, CONH), 8.0-8.6 (2, br s, NH2+). Anal. $(\tilde{C}_{14}H_{26}N_2O_8S_2)$ C, H, N, S. A 30.3-mmol run gave 5a in 87% yield (12.5 g); ir spectrum identical with that of analytical sample.

S-2-[5-Acetamido-5,5-bis(ethoxycarbonyl)pentylamino]ethyl Hydrogen Thiosulfate (5b). Treatment of 3b (28.57 g, 60.00 mmol) with an equimolar amount of $\text{Tl}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (31.25 g) in H_2O (100 ml) and subsequent work-up like that described for 5a gave deliquescent 5b as an amorphous glass in 96% yield (24.7 g): ir (KBr) 3380 (NH), 2980, 2870 (aliphatic CH), 1740 (ester C=-0). 1665 (amide I), 1515 (amide II), 1190, 1020, 625 cm⁻¹ (SSO₃⁻); pmr (D₂O-DSS) δ 1.23, 2.05, 0.9-2.4 (t, s, m, 15, CH₃CH₂, COCH₃, CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 3.1 (br t, 2, CH₂CH₂CH₂N), 3.2-3.7 (m, 4, NCH₂CH₂S), 4.27 (q, 4, CH₃CH₂). Anal. (C₁₅H₂₈N₂O₈S₂) C, H, N, S.

 N^{5} -(2-Mercaptoethyl)ornithine Dihydrochloride (6a). A solution of 5a (10.983 g, 26.50 mmol) in 6 N HCl (200 ml) was refluxed under N_{2} for 4.5 hr, cooled to $\sim 80^{\circ}$, treated with a solution of Ba(OAc)₂ (6.769 g, 26.50 mmol) in H₂O (50 ml), kept at $\sim 80^{\circ}$ for 30 min, cooled in an ice bath, and stored in a refrigerator overnight. The mixture was filtered from BaSO₄, and the filtrate was evaporated to near dryness. The remaining syrup was dissolved in H₂O (50 ml), and the solution was treated with Norit.

filtered (Celite), and evaporated to ~20 ml. The colorless solution was freeze-dried to give deliquescent 6a as a frothy glass, which was dried further in vacuo (25–30°, P₂O₅), broken up under Et₂O, collected under N₂, and redried as before: yield 97% (6.80 g); ir (KBr) 3300–2100 (NH₃+), 1960 (amino acid hydrochloride), 1740 (C=O), 1580 (NH₃+ deformation), 1200 cm $^{-1}$ (CO₂H deformation);† pmr (DMSO-d₆-TMS) δ 1.5–2.3 (br m, 4, CCH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.5–3.6 (br m, 6, CH₂NCH₂CH₂SH), 3.9 (br m, 1, CHNH), 9 (v br s, ~7, NH₃+, NH₂+, OH, SH; not observed separately because of exchanging). Anal. (C₇H₁₆N₂O₂S-2HCl-0.6H₂O) C, H, Cl, N, S; SH (by iodometric titration).

 N^6 -(2-Mercaptoethyl)lysine Dihydrochloride (6b). Hydrolysis of 5b (13.1 g) and subsequent treatment of the solution with Ba(OAc)₂ was carried out as described for the conversion of 5a to 6a. The filtered solution was evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness with filtrations at half-volume (to remove a small amount of crystalline BaCl₂) and at ~50 ml (to remove a trace of other insoluble material). The clear glassy residue was kept in vacuo over P₂O₅ for 66 hr, pulverized under Et₂O, collected under N₂, and dried in vacuo (25-30°, P₂O₅) to give deliquescent 6b in 70% yield (6.1 g): ir (KBr) 3300-2100 (NH₃+), 1980 (amino acid hydrochloride), 1735 (C=O), 1580 (NH₃+ deformation), 1200 cm⁻¹ (CO₂H deformation);† pmr (D₂O-DSS) δ 1.2-2.3 (m, 6, CCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂), 2.7-3.6 (m, 6, CH₂CH₂NCH₂CH₂S), 4.10 (t, 1, NCHCH₂). Anal. (C₈H₁₈N₂O₂S·2HCl·0.3H₂O) C, H, Cl, N; SH: calcd, 11.62; found, 11.18 (by jodometric titration).

Acknowledgment. This investigation was supported by the U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command through Contract No. DADA 17-69-C-9033. The authors are indebted to D. W. C. Coburn, Jr., and Mrs. Martha Thorpe for interpretation of spectral data.

References

- J. R. Piper, C. R. Stringfellow, Jr., R. D. Elliott, and T. P. Johnston, J. Med. Chem., 12, 236 (1969).
- (2) J. R. Piper, C. R. Stringfellow, Jr., and T. P. Johnston, J. Med. Chem., 12, 244 (1969).
- (3) D. W. Woolley, J. W. B. Hershey, and H. A. Jodlowski, J. Org. Chem., 28, 2012 (1963).
- (4) G. G. Blinova and E. G. Sochilin, J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 40, 2745 (1970).
- (5) K. H. Slotta and R. Behnisch, J. Prakt. Chem., 135, 225 (1932).
- (6) M. Servigne and E. Szarvasi, Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol., 36, 1093 (1954).
- (7) J. R. Piper, R. D. Elliott, C. R. Stringfellow, Jr., and T. P. Johnston, Chem. Ind. (London), 2010 (1966).
- (8) F. Cortese in "Organic Syntheses," Collect. Vol. II, A. H. Blatt, Ed., Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1943, p 91.
- (9) H. Z. Lecher and E. M. Hardy, J. Org. Chem., 20, 475 (1955)
- (10) R. J. Wineman, M. H. Gollis, J. C. James, and A. M. Pomponi, J. Org. Chem., 27, 4222 (1962).
- (11) M. H. Gollis, R. J. Wineman, and J. C. James, U. S. Patent 3,312,732 (1967).
- (12) D. L. Klayman, M. M. Grenan, and D. P. Jacobus, J. Med. Chem., 12, 510 (1969).
- (13) J. R. Piper, C. R. Stringfellow, Jr., and T. P. Johnston, J. Med. Chem., 14, 1212 (1971).
- (14) L. J. Bellamy, "The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules," 2nd ed, Wiley. New York, N. Y., 1958, pp 234-247.

† Cf. ref 14 for the interpretation of amino acid spectra.

Synthesis of dl-3-(Hydroxymethyl)tyrosine

Theodore S. T. Wang* and Julius A. Vida*

Kendall Company, Lexington, Massachusetts 02173. Received August 11, 1972

Dopa has been widely used in the treatment of Parkinson's disease. The efficacy of l-Dopa has been attributed to the penetration of l-Dopa and its metabolic conversion into dopamine in the extrapyramidal brain centers.^{1,2}

Scheme I

$$AcO \longrightarrow CH \longrightarrow CH_2OAc$$

$$CH_3$$

$$CH_3$$

$$AcO \longrightarrow CH \longrightarrow CCOOH$$

$$NHCOCH_3$$

$$AcO \longrightarrow CH_2OAc$$

$$CH_2OAc$$

$$CH_$$

Since dopamine cannot penetrate into the brain tissue, potentiation of *l*-Dopa therapy has been achieved by peripheral decarboxylase inhibitors preventing formation of dopamine before *l*-Dopa penetration can occur.³

Inactivation of dopamine in brain tissue occurs through metabolic breakdown by monoamine oxidase (MAO) or catechol O-methyltransferase (COMT) enzymes. As a result, potentiation of the central action of *l*-Dopa has been obtained by MAO and COMT inhibitors.^{4,5}

Recent reports⁶ indicate that replacement of the meta phenolic group of the catechol by related functions stable to COMT does not destroy β -adrenergic-stimulating activity displayed by selected catecholamines. Therefore, it occurred to us that 3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine, where a hydroxymethyl function stable to COMT replaces the meta phenolic group of Dopa, may possess greater and longer lasting anti-Parkinsonian activity than l-Dopa.†

Synthesis. The synthetic scheme used for the preparation of dl-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine (6) is outlined in Scheme I. Acetolysis of the chloromethyl aldehyde 1 to the acetoxymethylaldehyde 2 was followed by azlactone formation⁸ to produce compound 3. Hydrolysis⁹ of the azlactone 3 to the unsaturated carboxylic acid 4 was followed by hydrogenation to dl-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine triacetate (5). Finally, hydrolysis of the triacetate 5 produced dl-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine (6).

In another approach compound 7 obtained by azlactone formation from the aldehyde 2 could be converted by reductive cleavage¹⁰ into *dl*-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine (6).

Biochemical and Pharmacological Activities. The antitremorine effects were determined in male albino mice of the Charles River strain weighing 20-30 g each. Two doses of the test compounds were administered intraperitoneally to groups of ten mice 0.5 hr before intraperitoneal injection of tremorine (20 mg/kg). The fraction showing

lethality and prevention of tremors, as well as other effects on the CNS, is shown in Table I.

Compound 6 is not a substrate for Dopa decarboxylase as determined by the method of Sourkes¹¹ using Worthington (lot no. 25A) *l*-Dopa decarboxylase enzyme. Compound 6 was also tested for tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitory activity.

In vivo tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitors are known to produce sedation and can, therefore, be screened by observation. The injection of 1 mmol/kg of 6 into the tail vein of mice produced no sedation, no ptosis, and no piloerection. No significant effects could be observed when 3 mmol/kg was injected; these results, therefore, suggest that 6 produces no alteration of amine function through tyrosine hydroxylase inhibition.

In vitro testing of tyrosine hydroxylase 12 was made with bovine tyrosine hydroxylase and screened in triplicate at 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} , and $10^{-5}M$, using the method of Nagatsu. 13 After the appropriate quench and blank corrections were applied, the results shown in Table II were obtained.

As a conclusion, 6 does not act in vivo or in vitro as an inhibitor of tyrosine hydroxylase. The lack of gross effects at 3 mmol/kg in mice further suggests no significant interference with overall catecholamine biosynthesis or storage.

These results indicate that compound 6 is not likely to be useful in the treatment of Parkinson's disease. The same conclusion was reached by Atkinson, et al., 7 for l-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine.

Experimental Section

Microanalyses were within ±0.3% of the theoretical values as performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, Tenn. Melting points were obtained on a Fisher-Johns hot stage and are corrected. Ir spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 337 grating ir spectrophotometer. Nmr spectra were run on Varian A-60A and HA-100 spectrometers in (CD₃)₂SO with Me₄Si as internal reference. Uv spectra were recorded on a Bausch & Lomb spectronic 505 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were determined on a Hitachi RMU-6D double-focusing spectrometer at 70 eV. Type QIF

 $[\]dagger$ After our original manuscript was submitted for publication, the preparation of l-3-(hydroxymethyl)tyrosine was reported by an independent synthesis.

Table I

Compd	Dosage, mg/kg ip	Fraction showing preven- tion of tremors	Fraction showing lethality	Other effects
3	500	0/10	10/10	CNS depression
	1000	0/10	10/10	Writhing movements
7	500	9/10	3/10	
	1000	10/10	3/10	
6	500	0/10	3/10	
	1000	3/10	6/10	
dl-Dopa	500	0/10	5/10	
	1000	9/10	6/10	
l-Dopa	250	3/10	1/10	
•	500	10/10	5/10	

Table II

	M	$^{\%}_{ m control}$	% inhibna
l-Monoiodotyrosine	10-5	42	58
6	10-5	95	5
6	10-4	97	3
6	10 -3	102	

^aMeans of triplicate.

silica gel plates from Quantum Industries were used for tlc development. Ir, nmr, uv, mass spectra, and tlc were all appropriate.

4-Hydroxy-3-hydroxymethylbenzaldehyde Diacetate (2). A mixture of 1¹⁴ (1.7 g, 0.01 mol), KOAc (2 g, 0.2 mol), and AcOH (15 cc) was stirred at 60° for 5 hr and then at 25° for 16 hr. The solvent was evaporated to yield a solid, to which 100 g of ice-H₂O was added. The product was extracted into Et₂O, and the solvent was evaporated to yield crystals. Recrystalization from Et₂O provided 2 (1.1 g, 57% yield), mp 99-100°. Compound 2 gave a positive 2,4-DNP and negative FeCl₃ test. *Anal.* (C₁₂H₁₂O₅) C, H.

4-[4'-Hydroxy-3'-(hydroxymethyl)benzylidene]-2-methyl-2-oxazolin-5-one Diacetate (3). A mixture of 2 (23.6 g, 0.1 mol), acetylglycine (11.7 g, 0.1 mol), NaOAc (8.23 g, 0.1 mol), and Ac₂O (80 ml) was stirred at 110° for 1 hr and then poured into 500 g of ice-H₂O. The obtained solid was filtered and crystallized from EtOAc-Et₂O to give 3 (26 g, 81%), mp 116-118°. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₅NO₆) C, H, N.

4-[4'-Hydroxy-3'-(hydroxymethyl)benzylidene]-2-phenyl-2-oxazolin-5-one Diacetate (7). Compound 7 was prepared from 2 in the same way as described for the synthesis of compound 3, except the reaction time at 110° was extended for 6 hr. Obtained was 7 (28.1 g, 74%), mp 156-157°. Anal. (C₂₁H₁₇NO₆) C, H, N.

2-Acetamido(3-acetoxymethyl-4-acetoxy)cinnamic Acid (4). Compound 3 (12.2 g, 0.04 mol) in 75% aqueous Me₂CO (400 ml) was heated at reflux until a clear solution was obtained (approximately 6 hr). The solvent was evaporated to give a solid. Crystallization from THF-Et₂O gave 4 (10.4 g, 78%): mp 183-185°; tlc (Me₂CO-MeOH, 1:1) $R_{\rm f}$ 0.78. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₇NO₇) C, H, N.

dl-3-(Hydroxymethyl)tyrosine Triacetate (5). A solution of 4 (3.4 g, 0.01 mol) in 75% aqueous MeOH (80 ml) was hydrogenated at 25° over 10% Pd/C (350 mg) at an initial H₂ pressure of 50 lb/in.². When hydrogenation was complete the catalyst was filtered, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was crystallized from Me₂CO to give 5 (3.04 g, 91%): mp 157-158°; tlc (C_6H_6 -EtOAc-MeOH, 7:3:3) R_f 0.50. Anal. ($C_{16}H_{19}NO_7$) C, H, N.

dl-3-(Hydroxymethyl)tyrosine (6). Procedure A. A mixture of 5 (3.4 g, 0.01 mol) and 5 N HCl (100 ml) was stirred at reflux until a clear solution was obtained (approximately 5 hr). The solution was concentrated (to 20 ml) under reduced pressure, treated with activated charcoal, filtered, and kept at 0° for 2 days. The precipitated crystals were filtered and washed with EtOH and then with Me₂CO to yield the HCl salt of 6 (1.71 g, 71%), mp >300°. Anal. (C₁₀H₁₄NO₄Cl) C, H, N, Cl.

The HCl salt was dissolved in $\dot{H}_2\dot{O}$ (30 ml), dilute NH₄OH was added to pH 5.5, and the solution was concentrated. The precipitated crystals were filtered and washed with H₂O to give 6 (1.34 g, 64%): mp 290-294° dec; tlc (96% EtOH-34% NH₄OH, 7:3) R_f 0.85; tlc (n-BuOH-H₂O-HOAc-pyridine, 15:5:3:2) R_f 0.62. Com-

pound 6 gave a positive ninhydrin test: λ max (0.01 N HCl) 226 m μ (log ϵ 3.81) and 275 (3.58). Corresponding data for dl-Dopa: λ max (0.01 N HCl) 224 m μ (log ϵ 3.79) and 279 (3.40). Anal. (C₁₀H₁₃NO₄) C, H, N.

Procedure B. To a cooled mixture of 7 (7.6 g, 0.02 mol) and purified red P (4.65 g, 0.15 mol) in Ac_2O (60 ml) was added dropwise with stirring 55% HI (50 g, 0.2 mol). The mixture was refluxed for 1 hr and then cooled. The excess P was removed by filtration; the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a solid. The solid was partitioned between H_2O-Et_2O (400 ml, 1:1) and after separation the aqueous solution was adjusted to pH 6 with dilute NH₄OH. The solution was concentrated and the precipitated crystals were filtered and washed with H_2O , MeOH, and then Me_2CO to give 6 described in procedure A.

Acknowledgment. We wish to express our thanks to Dr. John Reinhard, Department of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Pharmacy, Northeastern University, Boston, Mass., for the antitremorine assay, Dr. Nicolas Zenker, University of Maryland, School of Pharmacy, for the tyrosine hydroxylase enzyme assay, and E. H. Gerry of Kendall Co. for the Dopa decarboxylase enzyme assay.

References

- (1) O. Hornykiewicz, Pharmacol. Rev., 18, 925 (1966).
- O. Hornykiewicz, Pharmakopsychiat. Neuro-Psychopharmakol., 1, 6 (1968).
- (3) G. C. Cotzias, P. S. Papavasiliou, and R. Gellene, N. Engl. J. Med., 280, 37 (1969).
- (4) A. Carlsson, M. Lindqvist, and T. Magnusson, Nature (London), 180, 1200 (1957).
- (5) A. Carlsson, M. Lindqvist, S. Fila-Hromadko, and H. Corrodi, Helv. Chim. Acta, 45, 270 (1962).
- (6) D. Hartley, D. Jack, L. H. C. Lunts, and A. C. Ritchie, *Nature (London)*, 219, 861 (1968).
- (7) M. Atkinson, D. Hartley, L. H. C. Lunts, and A. C. Ritchie, J. Med. Chem., 17, 248 (1974).
- (8) E. Erlenmeyer, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 275, 1 (1893).
- (9) S. I. Yamada, T. Fujii, and T. Shioiri, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 10, 680 (1962).
- (10) C. R. Harrington and W. McCartney, Biochem. J., 21, 852 (1927).
- (11) T. Sourkes, P. Heneage, and Y. Trano, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 40, 185 (1952).
- (12) B. N. Lutsky and N. Zenker, J. Med. Chem., 11, 1241 (1968).
- (13) T. Nagatsu, M. Levitt, and S. Undenfriend, Anal. Biochem., 9, 112 (1964).
- (14) S. J. Angyal, P. J. Morris, J. R. Tetaz, and J. G. Wilson, J. Chem. Soc., 2141 (1950).

Amino-Imino Tautomerism in the Antibiotic Formycin A as Studied by CNDO/2 Molecular Orbital Theory

Gerald P. Ceasar* and James J. Greene

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627. Received August 7, 1973

In a recent communication Krugh¹ reported that carbon-13 nmr studies of the nucleoside antibiotic formycin A (1) show that this molecule is involved in prototropic tautomeric equilibria. These results for formycin A, which is a cytotoxic adenosine analog, are particularly interesting since adenosine does not give any evidence of tautomerization in its ¹³C spectra and is known to exist in the amine form.²-³ In the present communication we show that CNDO/2 molecular orbital calculations indicate that, relative to adenine, there are significant changes in the stability of the amino and imino forms of formycin A and that this suggests a possible mechanism for the biological activity of this drug.

Using the Pople and Segal⁴ version of CNDO/2, we have computed the total ground-state energy of formycin