November 1991 SYNTHESIS 979

#### Asymmetric Synthesis of the C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> Fragment of Leucotrienes and Analogues

Guy Solladie,\* Chafiq Hamdouchi

Ecole Européenne des Hautes Eudes des Industries Chimiques (URA du CNRS 466), F-67008 Strasbourg, France

An asymmetric synthesis of the  $C_3-C_8$  fragment of leukotrienes and analogues using the aldol-type condensation of chiral sulfinyl ester is described. Thus, starting from (3S)-3-tert-butyldimethylsiloxy-1-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-5-trimethylsilyl-4-pentyne, the corresponding methyl (2Z,4S)-hexenoate, methyl (4R)-hexanoate, (2Z,4S)- and (2E,4S)-2-hexenal derivatives were prepared. Several molecules prepared during this work were shown to be important intermediates in the synthesis of various natural products.

Leukotriene  $B_4$  (LTB<sub>4</sub>, 1a) is an important metabolite of the 5-lipoxygenase arachidonic acid peroxidation pathway and is implicated as a mediator of inflammation.

Our retrosynthetic analysis of LTB<sub>4</sub> lead us to consider that the  $C_3-C_8$  chiral fragment could be prepared from the optically active synthon 2 readily accessible by an asymmetric aldol type condensation of chiral sulfinyl ester to propargylic aldehyde (Scheme 1). The presence of a primary hydroxylic group on carbon 3 should allow also the synthesis of the analogues 1b and 1c. Moreover, 2 is a convenient precursor of other natural products (Scheme 2).

The key step of the synthesis is the asymmetric aldol type condensation of tert-butyl (+)-(R)-p-tolylsulfinylacetate (5) to the propargylic aldehyde 4, (Scheme 1) a well documented reaction  $^{1-3}$  giving after cleavage of the sulfoxide the S-configuration at the created chiral hydroxylic center. An 85% enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined after desulfurization by NMR in presence of a chiral europium complex. The molecule 2 was finally obtained after protection of the hydroxylic function, reduction of the ester with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) and protection of the resulting hydroxy group.

Compound 2 was then easily desilylated<sup>4</sup> in high yield to give the intermediate 8 which is a common intermediate to several kinds of natural products (Scheme 2).

After carboxylation of the acetylenic anion with methyl chloroformate, followed by reduction of the triple bond to a *cis* double bond, we obtained the optically active molecule 10 which could be easily transformed into functionalized chiral butenolides.<sup>5</sup>

Complete hydrogenation of the triple bond in compound 9 gave 11, precursor of six-membered functionalized chiral lactones which could be used for insect pheromone syntheses.<sup>6</sup>

A synthetic intermediate similar to 8 was already used by Nicolaou in the synthesis of (12S,14E,10E)-12-hydroxy-5,8,14,10-eicosatetraenoic acid (12-HETE).<sup>4</sup>

Finally formylation of the molecule 8 gave compound 12 which was semi-hydrogenated to the *cis*-isomer 13, precursor of LTB<sub>4</sub> and analogues, or the *trans* isomer 14, precursor of all-*trans* LTB<sub>4</sub>. It is interesting to remark that hydrogenation of compound 9 with Lindlar catalyst

$$H = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{Et}_3 \text{N/THF} \\ 0^\circ - 25^\circ \text{C}, 16\text{h} \\ 80 \% \end{array}}_{\text{80 \%}} H = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OSiMe}_3 \\ \text{OSiMe}_3 \\ \text{-}78^\circ \text{C} \text{ to } 0^\circ \text{C}, 2\text{h} \\ 65 \% \end{array}}_{\text{65 \%}} \text{Me}_3 \text{Si} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ 25^\circ \text{C}, 3\text{h} \\ 79\% \end{array}}_{\text{70 \%}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ 25^\circ \text{C}, 3\text{h} \\ 79\% \end{array}}_{\text{70 \%}} \\ \text{Me}_3 \text{Si} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{80 \%} \end{array}}_{\text{80 \%}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{80 \%} \end{array}}_{\text{80 \%}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{Me}_3 \text{Si} \end{array}}_{\text{70 \%}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \text{THF/H}_2 \text{O} (9:1), 25^\circ \text{C}, 10\text{h} \\ \text{76 \%} \end{array}}_{\text{76 \%}} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{A} \\ \text{CO}_2 \text{Bu-}t \\ \text{A} \\$$

980 Papers SYNTHESIS

in benzene gave a cis double bond in presence of quinoline and a saturated compound without quinoline. Moreover, hydrogenation of the propargylic aldehyde 12 with the Lindlar catalyst gave a cis double bond (aldehyde 13) in tetrahydrofuran without quinoline and a trans double bond (aldehyde 14) in benzene in presence of quinoline.

The total synthesis of LTB<sub>4</sub> and all-trans LTB<sub>4</sub> from the intermediates 13 and 14 will shortly be reported.

All new compounds were characterized by full spectroscopic data as well as by microanalyses.

# *tert*-Butyl (3*R*)-3-Hydroxy-2-(*p*-tolylsulfinyl)-5-trimethylsilyl-4-pentynoate (6):

To a solution of sulfinyl ester 5 (15 g, 49 mmol) in THF is added at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  a solution of t-BuMgBr [prepared from t-BuBr (134 g, 980 mmol, 20 equiv) and Mg (23.8 g, 980 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (600 mL)]. After stirring at  $-78\,^{\circ}$  for 30 min, the aldehyde 4 (24.7 g, 196 mmol, 4 equiv) in THF (100 mL) is dropwise added. The mixture is then added at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 2 h. After adding a 5% HCl solution (300 mL) at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the organic phase is separated and the aqueous layer extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2×300 mL). The organic phases are washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2×200 mL), then with sat. aq NaCl, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent evaporated. The product is purified by flash chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane: 20/80); yield: 15.1 g (39.4 mmol, 80%), yellow solid.

 $R_f = 0.27-0.37$ , mixture of diastereoisomers (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 7:3). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v = 3600-3000 (OH), 2200 (C=C), 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = 0.17$  [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 1.45 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO], 2.43 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 3.55 (d, 1 H, J = 5.36 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>), 4.56 (d, 1 H, J = 5.36 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>), 7.5 (AA'BB', 4 H,  $J_{AB} = 8.04$  Hz,  $\Delta v = 52$  Hz, H<sub>arom</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.4 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>Ar), 27.7, 27.9 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO in two diastereoisomers], 60.58, 60.84 (C<sub>2</sub> in the two diastereoisomers), 75.1, 75.6 (C<sub>3</sub>), 83.87 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO], 92.28 (C<sub>5</sub>), 101.98 (C<sub>4</sub>), 124, 129, 138, 142 (C<sub>arom</sub>), 165.5 (CO<sub>2</sub>)

#### tert-Butyl (3S)-3-Hydroxy-5-trimethylsilyl-4-pentynoate (7):

The crude product 6 (2 g, 5.2 mmol) in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1, 500 mL) is treated with small amounts of aluminum amalgam (15.4 g,

570 mmol, 100 equiv). After stirring for 20 min, the mixture temperature must be maintained at 20 °C by cooling with an ice bath. After stirring at r.t. for 14 h, the mixture is filtered and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL). The organic solution is dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The product is purified by flash chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 30:70); yield: 9.7 g (3.9 mmol, 76%); R<sub>f</sub> = 0.27 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 20:80);  $[\alpha]_D - 10.5^\circ$  (c = 2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): v = 3600-3300 (OH), 2180 (C=C), 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = 0.17$  [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 1.48 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO], 2.65 (d, 2 H, J = 6.2 Hz, H2), 3.2 (d, 1 H,

The ee is determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR in presence of tris[3-(heptafluoropropylhydroxymethylene)-D-camphorato] europium(III) (ratio: 0.8) from the splitting of the *tert*-butyl signal ( $\Delta \delta$  = 12 Hz): ee = 85%. Compound 7 (85% ee) was used in the next step without further purification. An enantiomerically pure sample could be obtained by recrystallization.

J = 6.3 Hz, OH), 4.7 (q, 1 H, J = 6.2 Hz, H3).

All the compounds prepared below, 2, 8-14, have the same enantiomeric purity (85%).

#### (3.5)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-1-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-5-trimethylsilyl-4-pentyne (2):

1. Compound 7 (6.2 g, 25.6 mmol) is dissolved in DMF (100 mL) in the presence of imidazole (6.92 g, 101.6 mmol, 4 equiv) and t-BuMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl (8.42 g, 55.9 mmol, 2.2 equiv). After stirring at r.t. for 20 h, the mixture is hydrolyzed with a solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (500 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×200 mL). The organic layers are washed with sat. aq NaCl (500 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The crude product is purified by chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 3:97); yield: 8.4 g (23.6 mmol, 92%);  $[\alpha]_D - 40^\circ$  (c = 1.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 2160, 1710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS, 200 MHz):  $\delta = 0.16$ , [s, 15 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 0.9 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 2.6 (AB part of ABX, 2 H,  $J_{AB} = 15$  Hz,  $J_{AX} = 8$  Hz,  $J_{BX} = 5$  Hz,  $\Delta v = 54$  Hz, H2), 4.8 (X part, 1 H,  $J_{AX} = 8$  Hz,  $J_{BX} = 5$  Hz, H3).

2. To the preceeding silylated product (5 g, 14 mmol) in THF (100 mL) is dropwise added at 0  $^{\circ}$ C a DIBAL-H solution (1 M in toluene, 42 mL, 42 mmol, 3 equiv). After stirring at 0  $^{\circ}$ C for 90 min, the mixture is treated at 0  $^{\circ}$ C with MeOH (2.5 mL), then with EtOAc (250 mL) and sat aq sodium tartrate (250 mL). After separation the

November 1991 SYNTHESIS 981

aqueous phase is extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 250 \text{ mL}$ ). The organic layers are washed with sat. aq NaCl (300 mL), dried and evaporated. The product is then purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:9); yield: 3.85 g (13.43 mmol, 96%);  $R_f = 0.3$  (EtOAc/hexane; 10:90); [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{22} - 49^\circ$  (c = 0.5, CHCl $_3$ ).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): v = 3600-3300, 2100 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS, 200 MHz):  $\delta$  = 0.15, 0.17 [2 s, 15 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 0.9 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 1.9 (m, 2 H, H<sub>2</sub>), 3.8 (m, 2 H, H1), 4.62 (X from ABX, 1 H,  $J_{AX}$  = 6.5 Hz,  $J_{BX}$  = 5 Hz, H3). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = -4.5, -3.8 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si], -0.2 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 18.6 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CSi], 26 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CSi], 40. 8 (C<sub>2</sub>), 60.44 (C<sub>1</sub>), 63 (C<sub>3</sub>), 90.33 (C<sub>5</sub>, 107.2 (C<sub>4</sub>).

3. The preceeding alcohol (3.75 g, 13.1 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (200 mL) with 2-methoxyethoxymethyl chloride (45 mL, 39 mmol, 3 equiv) and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>EtN (6.85 mL, 39 mmol, 3 equiv) is stirred at r.t. for 3 h. Then NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (5 g/10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O) is added to the mixture. After extraction with Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) and filtration over silica gel (Et<sub>2</sub>O as eluent), the solvent is evaporated and the product purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexane; 9:91); yield: 4.8 g (12.8 mmol, 98%); R<sub>f</sub> = 0.34 (EtOAc, hexane; 10:90);  $[\alpha]_D^{22} - 22^\circ$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 2180 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.16 [s, 15 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si], 0.9 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 1.96 (m, 2 H, H2), 3.4 (s, 3 H, H9), 3.54 and 3.72 (m, 6 H, H7, H8, H1), 4.52 (X part of ABX, 1 H,  $J_{AX} = J_{BX} = 6.5$  Hz, H3).

## (3.5)-3-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-1-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-4-pentyne (8):

Compound 2 (4 g, 10.7 mmol) is treated with KCN (4.9 g, 75 mmol, 7 equiv) and AgNO<sub>3</sub> (7.27 g, 42.8 mmol, 4 equiv) in EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, 80 mL). After stirring for 10 h at r.t., and evaporation of EtOH, H<sub>2</sub>O is added (100 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×75 mL). The organic layers are washed with sat. aq NaCl (150 mL), dried and evaporated. The product is purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:9); yield: 2.94 g (9.72 mmol, 91%);  $R_f = 0.46$  (EtOAc/hexane, 2:5);  $[\alpha]_D - 16^\circ$  (c = 0.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 3310 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS:  $\delta$  = 0.15, 0.16 [2s, 6H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CSi], 0.9 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si), 1.97 (m, 2 H, H<sub>2</sub>), 2.4 (d, 1 H,  $J_{5-3}$  = 2.1 Hz, H<sub>5</sub>), 3.4 (s, 3 H, H9), 3.56–3.76 (m, 6 H, H1, H7, H8), 4.54 (X part of ABX, 1 H,  $J_{AX}$  =  $J_{BX}$  = 6.4 Hz splitted by  $J_{5-3}$  = 2.1 Hz, H3), 4.71 (s, 2 H, H6).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = -4.6$ , -4.1 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CSi], 18.61 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CSi], 26.2 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CSi], 39.13 (C<sub>2</sub>), 59.4 (C<sub>3</sub>), 60.24 (C<sub>9</sub>), 64.26 (C<sub>1</sub>), 67.22 (C<sub>8</sub>), 72.27 (C<sub>7</sub>), 72.92 (C<sub>6</sub>), 96.0 (C<sub>4</sub>).

### Methyl-(4S)-4-tert-Butyldimethylsyloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-2-hexynoate (9):

Compound 8 (300 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (20 mL) is treated at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  with BuLi (1.5 M in hexane, 1 mL) methyl chloroformate (155 mL, 2 mmol, 2 equiv) is added and the mixture is stirred at  $-40\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 1 h. Hydrolysis with sat. aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (0.5 g in 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O), Et<sub>2</sub>O extraction (50 mL), solvent evaporation and chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 15:85) gave the ester 9; yield: 350 mg (0.97 mmol, 97%); oily product;  $R_f = 0.32$  (EtOAc/hexane, 2:8);  $[\alpha]_D - 17^{\circ}$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.11, 0.16 (2 s, 6 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.9 (s, 9 H, t-BuSi), 2.01 (m, 2 H, H5), 3.4 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.52, 3.72 (2 m, 6 H, H6, H8, H9), 3.77 (s, 3 H, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 4.65 (X part of ABX, 1 H,  $J_{AX} = J_{BX} = 6.5$  Hz, H4), 4.7 (AB, 2 H, H7).

## Methyl (2Z,4S)-4-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxy-methoxy)-2-hexenoate (10):

The propargylic ester 9 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol) is dissolved in benzene (20 mL) in the presence of Lindlar catalyst (100 mg) and quinoline (10  $\mu$ L) and H<sub>2</sub>. After stirring for 2 h at r.t., the solution is filtrated on Celite, the solvent evaporated and the product purified by chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 15:85); yield: 96 mg (0.26 mmol, 93%), oil:  $[\alpha]_D + 7.5^\circ$  (c = 1.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 1720 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = 0.013$ , 0.055 (2 s, 6 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.88 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 1.8 (m, 2 H, H5), 3.4 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.54–3.71 (2 m, 6 H, H6, H8, H9), 3.72 (s, 3 H, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 4.7 (AB, 2 H, H7), 5.42 (m, 1 H, H4), 5.7 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2-3} = 11.7$  Hz,  $J_{2-4} = 1.4$  Hz, H2), 6.2 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{3-2} = 11.7$  Hz,  $J_{3-4} = 8$  Hz, H3).

#### Methyl-(4R)-4-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)hexanoate (11):

The propargylic ester 9 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol) in benzene (20 mL) is treated with Lindlar catalyst (100 mg) and  $H_2$ . After stirring at r.t. for 15 min, the mixture is filtered on Celite, the solvent evaporated and the crude product purified by chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 2:8); yield: 89 mg (0.24 mmol, 87%), oil;  $R_f = 0.28$  (EtOAc/hexane, 2:8).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 1760 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = 0.02$  (s, 6 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.86 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 1.7–1.9 (m, 4 H, H3, H5), 2.4 (t, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, H2), 3.35 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.6–3.8 (m, 6 H, H6, H8, H9), 3.75 (s, 3 H, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.9 (m, 1 H, H4), 4.7 (s, 2 H, H7).

### (4S)-4-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-2-hexynal (12):

Compound 8 (1 g, 3.3 mmol) in THF (15 mL) is treated at 0 °C with a 1 M solution of EtMgBr in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL, 5 mmol, 1.5 equiv). After stirring for 1 h at 0 °C, N-formylpiperidine (0.57 g, 5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in THF (5 mL) is added and stirring maintained for 1 h. After hydrolysis with a 5% HCl solution (10 mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O extraction (2 × 20 mL), the organic layers are washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 mL) and with sat. aq NaCl (20 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the crude product is purified by chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 15:85); yield: 820 mg (248 mmol, 75%); R<sub>f</sub> = 0.31; (EtOAc/hexane, 2:8); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> - 15° (c= 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>):  $v = 1670, 2200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.09, 0.14 (2 s, 6 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.89 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 2.01 (dd, 2 H, J = 6 Hz, J = 12.5 Hz, H5), 3.38 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.5, 3.75 (m, 6 H, H6, H8, H9), 4.69 (s, 2 H, H7), 4.72 (t, 1 H, J = 6 Hz, H4).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta = -4.5$ , -3.98 (Me<sub>2</sub>Si), 18.5 (*t*-BuSi), 26.3 (*t*-BuSi), 39 (C<sub>5</sub>), 59.66 (C<sub>4</sub>), 60.5 (C<sub>10</sub>), 64 (C<sub>6</sub>), 67 (C<sub>9</sub>), 72 (C<sub>8</sub>), 85 (C<sub>2</sub>), 95 (C<sub>7</sub>), 97 (C<sub>3</sub>).

## (2Z4S) 4-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-2-hexenal (13):

The propargylic aldehyde 12 (105 mg, 0.32 mmol) in THF (10 mL) is treated with Lindlar catalyst (50 mg) and  $H_2$  for 2 h at r.t. After filtration on Celite and solvent evaporation, the crude product is purified by chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 4:6); yield: 76 mg (0.23 mmol, 71 %), oil,  $R_f = 0.37$  (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 4:6);  $[\alpha]_D + 20^{\circ}$  (c = 0.84, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.02, 0.06 (2 s, 6 H, Me<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.88 (s, 9 H, *I*-BuSi), 1.8 (m, 2 H, H5), 3.37 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.55–3.66 (m, 6 H, H6, H9, H8), 4.68 (s, 3 H, H7), 5.2 (m, 1 H, H4), 5.9 (A part of ABX,  $J_{AB} = J_{3-2} = 11.4$  Hz,  $J_{AX} = J_{2-4} = 1.08$  Hz,  $J_{2-1} = 7.68$  Hz, H2), 6.5 (B part of ABX, 1 H,  $J_{AB} = J_{3-2} = 11.4$  Hz,  $J_{BX} = J_{3-4} = 8.7$  Hz, H3), 10.1 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1-2} = 7.68$  Hz, H1).

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS:  $\delta = -4.96$ , -4.53 (Me<sub>2</sub>Si), 18 (*t*-BuSi), 25.62 (*t*-BuSi), 38.09 (C<sub>5</sub>), 58.9 (C<sub>4</sub>), 63.4 (C<sub>6</sub>), 65.6 (C<sub>10</sub>), 66.8 (C<sub>9</sub>), 71.65 (C<sub>8</sub>), 95.5 (C<sub>7</sub>), 128.1 (C<sub>3</sub>), 153.9 (C<sub>2</sub>), 190.9 (C<sub>1</sub>).

## (2E,4S) 4-tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy-6-(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-2-hexenal (14):

The propargylic aldehyde 12 (120 mg, 0.36 mmol) in benzene (15 mL) is treated with Lindlar catalyst (60 mg), quinoline (10  $\mu$ L) and H<sub>2</sub> for 2 h at r.t. After filtration on Celite and solvent evaporation, the crude product is purified by chromatography (silica gel, Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 5:5); yield: 97 mg (0.29 mmol, 81 %), oil, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.21 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane, 5:5). E,Z mixtures were also observed in a few cases with the same experimental conditions.

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 0.02, 0.06 (2 s, 6 H, Me<sub>2</sub>Si), 0.9 (s, 9 H, *t*-BuSi), 3.4 (s, 3 H, H10), 3.5-3.7 (m, 6 H, H6, H8, H9), 4.55 (m, 1 H, H4), 4.7 (s, 3 H, H7), 6.25 (A part of ABX,  $J_{AB} = J_{3-2} = 15$  Hz,  $J_{AX} = J_{2-4} = 1.1$  Hz,  $J_{2-1} = 7.5$  Hz, H2), 6.85 (B part of ABX,  $J_{AB} = J_{3-2} = 15$  Hz,  $J_{BX} = J_{3-4} = 4.5$  Hz, H3), 9.6 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1-2} = 7.5$  Hz, H1).

Financial support from L'OREAL is gratefully acknowledged.

Received: 12 November 1990; revised: 3 May 1991

- (1) Mioskowski, C.; Solladié, G. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 227.
- (2) Solladié, G. Synthesis 1981, 185.
- (3) Solladié, G.; Frechou, C.; Demailly, G. Nouv. J. Chim. 1985, 9, 21.
- (4) Nicolaou, K.C.; Ladduwahetty, T.; Taffer, I.M.; Zipkinn, R.E. Synthesis 1986, 344.
- (5) Vigneron, J.P.; Blanchard, J.M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21 1739.
- (6) Pirkle, W.; Adams, P.E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2169. Solladié, G.; Matloubi-Moghadam, F. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47 91