Achiral Co-Catalyst Induced Switches in Catalytic Asymmetric Reactions on Racemic Mixtures (RRM): From Stereodivergent RRM to Stereoconvergent Deracemization by Combination of Hydrogen Bond Donating and Chiral Amine Catalysts

Guangning Ma,^a Shuangzheng Lin,^b Ismail Ibrahem,^a Grzegorz Kubik,^b Leifeng Liu,^{c,d} Junliang Sun,^{c,d} and Armando Córdova^{a,b,c,*}

Received: April 25, 2012; Revised: July 31, 2012; Published online: October 5, 2012

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201200357.

Abstract: A stereochemical divergent approach for the highly enantioselective synthesis of distinct bicyclic products with multiple stereocenters from a racemate using a single chiral catalyst is disclosed. It is based on switches of the overall reaction pathways in the chiral amine-catalyzed cascade reactions between racemic γ -nitro ketones and α,β -unsaturated aldehydes using different achiral co-catalysts. The utility of the method is exemplified by the highly diasteroselective switch and stereoconvergent deracemization process by combination of chiral amine and achiral hydrogen-bond-donating catalysts.

Keywords: cascade reactions; deracemization; dynamic asymmetric catalysis; hydrogen-bond donor; organocatalysis; reactions on racemic mixtures; stereodivergence

Chiral small molecules with multiple stereocenters are essential for life on earth and the betterment of human health. A striking aspect of nature is that chiral molecules (e.g., sugars) with several stereocenters are made as single enantiomers (e.g., D-glucose).^[1-3] In contrast, to achieve similar levels of selectivity in one-pot by chemical synthesis is a very challenging task since a molecule containing *n* number of chiral centers can form 2^n possible stereoisomers. Chemical research is addressing this challenge and one growing area is the development of catalytic asymmetric multi-component and cascade reactions that include advantages of reducing synthetic steps and other green chemistry parameters.^[4-7] Despite these and other advances in asymmetric catalysis,^[7] during the last 50 years industrial processes often have to deal with stereomeric mixtures. Consequently, enzymatic or chemical resolution is applied where 50% (racemate) or more (diastereomeric mixtures) of the starting material is disposed.^[8] In order to improve kinetic resolutions (KR), the less reactive enantiomer can be removed by a parallel reaction to yield a different product that can be readily separated.^[8c,9] If this process is carried out by a single chiral reagent it is called "divergent reactions on a racemic mixture" (divergent RRM)^[9] where a variation is the parallel kinetic resolution (PKR) in which two complementary chiral reagents are employed to afford distinct products (Scheme 1, a).^[8c] Thus, a diastereo- and enantio-(stereo)divergent RRM or PKR can give four possible products (the four enantiomers of the two products) but in 50% theoretical yield. To overcome the limitations of KR and allow for deracemization (the complete transformation of a racemate into a single stereoisomeric product) different protocols have been developed. Among the most popular are dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR) and dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT, Scheme 1, b) that allow for the synthesis of two possible products (the two enantiomers of the product) in 100% theoretical yield.^[10,11]



^a Department of Natural Sciences, Engineering and Mathematics, Mid Sweden University, SE-851 70 Sundsvall, Sweden Fax: +(46) 8-154908; e-mail: acordova@organ.su.se or armando.cordova@miun.se

^b Department of Organic Chemistry, The Arrhenius Laboratory, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

^c Berzelii Center EXSELENT on Porous Materials, The Arrhenius Laboratory, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

^d Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, The Arrhenius Laboratory, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

a) catalytic divergent reaction on racemic mixture

b) dynamic kinetic transformation type IV (de-epimerization and de-racemization of enantiomers)



Scheme 1. a) RRM. R = R-enantiomer. S = S-enantiomer. b) Simplified DYKAT type IV. E_{SR} and E_{RS} are the two enantiomers of the starting racemate.^[10a]

From a stereochemical divergent strategy perspective,^[12,13] it would be highly appealing to be able to switch between the above described systems since it could allow for the synthesis of distinct products from a racemate using a single chiral catalyst. For example, if the overall process and diastereoselectivity of a stereodivergent RRM were switched to a stereoconvergent deracemization, six diffrent products (the six enantiomers of the three products) would be obtained. Herein, we disclose that this systems strategy is indeed possible by the synergistic action of an achiral catalyst.

We initially began our studies of finding a suitable model system. In this context, the catalytic asymmetric cascade transformation on racemic γ -nitro ketone **1a** with cinnamic aldehyde **2a** could in theory deliver polyfunctionalized [4.4.0] bicyclic compounds **3** with 6 chiral centers as a mixture of 64 different possible stereoisomers **3** and dehydrated products **4** (Scheme 2). As a consequence, this type of reaction has to date only been performed on enantiopure (*S*,*R*)-**1a** with a chiral amine as the catalyst to give the bicyclic compound **3a** together with a minor unidentified diastereoisomer.^[14,15] The use of supramolecular interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonding) is very important for controlling the reaction outcome and selectivity of dynamic processes.^[16] These types of interactions are also a powerful activation mode in asymmetric catalysis.^[17,18] In this context, we recently found that the generation of an achiral hydrogen bond-donating network could switch the chemoselectivity of a chiral amine-catalyzed one-pot three-component reaction.^[18j] Thus, we believed that the kinetics of the cascade transfromation depicted in Scheme 2 should be different in the presence of a suitable achiral hydrogen bond-donating co-catalyst. In addition, we envisioned that the achiral non-covalent hydrogen bond interactions could induce a diastereoselective switch in the transition state of the cascade transformation. For example, redirecting the equatorial γ -nitro group of 1 in transisition state I to an axial position as in transition state **II** by the hydrogen bond-donating catalyst (Figure 1).

At the onset of our catalyst and conditions screening (Supporting Information), we discovered that the reaction between racemic **1a** and **2a** in the presence of a chiral amine catalyst **5** delivered bicyclic compounds **3a** and *ent*-**4a**^{II} as the major diastereoisomers (Table 1). Thus, this transformation is a formal stereodivergent RRM. We also found that the reaction was accelerated by the co-catalysis of an achiral acid and amine (entry 1 *vs.* entry 2; the reaction with only chiral amine **5a** as the catalyst gave less than 50% conversion after 24 h so the products were not isolat-



Scheme 2. Some of the possible pathways of the for catalytic asymmetric transformations on racemic *syn*-1a. The possible pathways of the *anti*-1a are not shown.

2866 asc.wiley-vch.de



Figure 1. Possible transition states I and II.

ed). The optimal conditions to perform the stereodivergent RRMs were use of the combination of chiral protected diarylprolinols **5**,^[19] 4-nitrobenzoic acid and DIPEA as the co-catalysts in toluene at room temperature (Table 1).

Advanced > Synthesis &

Catalysis

Compounds 3a-3g and ent-4a^{II}-ent-4g^{II} were obtained with good to high drs and high ers, respectively (entries 2-10). Furthermore, bicyclic compounds 3 were readily separated from bicyclic compounds ent- 4^{II} by silica-gel column chromatography. The ratio of 3 to ent-4^{II} was slightly higher than 50:50 demonstrating that γ -nitro ketone enantiomers (S,R)-1 reacted faster than enantiomers (R,S)-1 under these reaction conditions. The use of (R)-5a as the catalyst gave the opposite enantiomers *ent-3* and 4^{II}, respectively (entry 11). The reactions on racemic γ -nitro ketones 1 showed that it should be possible to synthesize predominantly ent-4^{II} when starting with enantioenriched (R,S)-1. Indeed performing the reaction with (R,S)-1a as the starting material (96.5:3.5 er) gave nearly enantiomerically pure *ent*- $4a^{II}$ in 61% yield (entry 12). Having discovered this chiral amine-catalyzed stereodivergent RRM process, we began to investigate whether we could convert it to a stereoconvergent de-

Table 1. Examples of catalytic RRM of rac-1.^[a]



Entry	y R	R ¹		Time (h)	Pro	Products		'ield (%) ^[b]	Ratio ^[c]		dr ^[c]		<i>er</i> ^[d]	
			Cat.) 3	ent-4"	3	ent-4 ^{II}	(3+3')/(<i>ent</i> -4 ^{II} + <i>ent</i> -4 ^{II}	-4 ^{III}) 3:3 ^I	ent- 4^{II}:ent -4	. ^{III} 3	3 ¹	ent-4 ^{II}
1 ^[e]	Ph	Ph	5a	48	3a	ent-4a ^{II}	49	21	66:34	71:29	77:23	95:5	n.d.	99:1
2	Ph	Ph	5a	24	3a	ent-4a ^{II}	50	32	58:42	80:20	78:22	95:5	98.5:1.5	99.5:0.5
3	Ph	Ph	5b	24	3a	ent- 4a^{ll}	43	25	63:37	72:28	78:22	92:8	98.5:1.5	99.5:0.5
4	Ph	Ph	5c	24	3a	ent- 4a^{ll}	28	15	79:21	79:21	79:21	96:4	99.5:0.5	99.5:0.5
5	4-BrC ₆ H₄	Ph	5a	22	3b	ent-4b ^{II}	56	37	60:40	61:39	80:20	98.5:1.5	98.5:1.5	99.5:0.5
6	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	Ph	5a	23	3c	ent-4c ^{II}	56	34	62:38	62:38	81:19	98.5:1.5	98:2	>99.5:0.5
7	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Ph	5a	32	3d	ent-4d ^{II}	51	33	60:40	72:28	79:21	90:10	99.5:0.5	99.5:0.5
8	Ph 4	4-NO ₂ C ₆	H₄ 5a	29	3e	ent- 4e^{ll}	46	29	61:39	86:14	94:6	95:5	98.5:1.5	>99.5:0.5
9	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	4-NO ₂ C ₆	H₄ 5a	23	3f	ent-4f ^{ll}	67	23	75:25	79:21	91:9	91:9	99:1	>99.5:0.5
10	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	4-NO ₂ C ₆	H₄ 5a	36	3g	ent-4g ^{II}	55	36	60:40	78:22	92:8	96:4	99.5:0.5	>99.5:0.5
11	Ph	Ph	ent -5a	24	ent-3a	4a ^{ll}	48	31	60:40	74:26	79:21	95:5	99:1	99.5:0.5
12 ^[f]	Ph	Ph	5a	48	3a'	ent- 4a^{II}	11	61	18:82	18:82	79:21	61:39	n.d.	>99.5:0.5

[a] A mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), enal 2 (0.4 mmol), 5 (20 mol%) 4-NO₂C₆H₄CO₂H (20 mol%) and DIPEA (25 mol%) in toluene (0.4 mL) was stirred at room temperature for the time shown.

^[b] The isolated yields of pure 3 and *ent*- $\hat{4}^{II}$ after silica-gel column chromatography.

^[c] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

^[d] Determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis (see Supporting Information).

^[e] No acid and base additive.

^[f] The reaction was performed with (R,S)-1a (96.5:3.5 er) as the starting material. DIPEA = N,N-diisopropylethylamine.



Scheme 3. ^[a] **5b**, 6 h; ^[b] **5a**, 16 h; ^[c] **5b**, 4 h; ^[d] **5b**, 5 h; ^[e] **5a**, 10 h; ^[f] **5b**, 13 h; ^[g] **5a**, 2 h; ^[h] **5a**, 60 h, **1f** [>95:5 dr, 71:29 er (*S*,*S*-**1f** major enantiomer)]; ^[i] **5a**, 4 h, **1e** [>95:5 dr, 70.5:29.5 er (*S*,*R*-**1e** major enantiomer)]; ^[i] ent-**5b**, 8 h.

racemization using a hydrogen bond-donating co-catalyst. We found that achiral hydrogen bond-donating co-catalysts 6 accelerated the chiral amine 5-catalyzed cascade transformation on racemic 1a. To our delight, the overall system converted from a RRM to a dynamic deracemization process when thiourea derivatives were used as the co-catalysts (Supporting Information and Scheme 3). In particular, the use of Schreiner's thiourea derivative $6a^{[17c]}$ in combination with diarylprolinols **5a** or **5b** induced a diastereoselective switch in the cascade transformation to form $3a^{I}$ instead of **3a** as the major diastereoisomer (Scheme 3). Thus, we decided to probe the scope of this deracemization process for a set of γ -nitro ketones 1 with enals 2 using 5a or 5b as the chiral amine catalyst and 6a as the hydrogen bond-donating catalyst in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 3).

The synergistic co-catalysis was highly stereoselective and enabled the isolation of bicyclic compounds $3a^{I}-3m^{I}$ in high yields. Thus, the thiourea 6a was essential in assisting the dynamic conversion of enantiomer (*R*,*S*)-1 to the fast reacting enantiomer (*S*,*R*)-1 as well as inducing the diastereomeric switch from 3 to **3¹** (Scheme 4, TS **IV**). The reaction was also readily performed on a gram-scale on racemic **1a** to give the corresponding **3a¹** with 84:16 *dr* and 96:4 *er*. We also investigated the co-catalyzed stereoselective reaction on scalemic mixtures of (S,S)-**1f** (71:29 *er*) and (S,R)-**1e** (70.5:29.5 *er*) and the corresponding products **3n¹** and **3o¹** were isolated in high yields, *dr*s and *ers*, respectively. The co-catalytic stereoconvergent deracemizations with *ent*-**5a** or *ent*-**5b** as the chiral amine catalysts gave as expected the opposite enantiomer *ent*-**3¹**. In attempts to fill the other quadrants of stereochemical space, the co-catalytic asymmetric cascade transformation on racemic mixtures of the *anti*-isomer **1a** was investigated (Eq. [1]).

The reactions were highly stereoselective and the corresponding bicyclic *ent*- 3^{IV} were obtained in high yields with >95:5 *dr* and 95:5 *er*, respectively. Thus, we were able to synthesize different stereoisomers and products (e.g., 3, 3^{I} , *ent*- 4^{II} and *ent*- 3^{IV}) with high *ers* by chiral amine 5-catalyzed asymmetric cascade recations on racemic *syn*- or *anti*-1, respectively. The relative stereochemistries of 3, 3^{I} , *ent*- 4^{II} and *ent*- 3^{IV} were determined by NOE experiments and X-



Scheme 4. Proposed reaction pathway for the formation of 3^{I} .

ray analyses. The absolute stereochemistry of compounds 3, 3^{I} and 4^{II} were confirmed by the X-ray analysis of 3b (1R, 2S, 3S, 4R, 4aS, 8aS),3b¹ (1R, 2S, 3R, 4R, 4aS, 8aS) and $ent-4b^{II}$ (2R, 3R, 4S, 4aR), respectively.^[20] The analyses revealed that the stereochemistry was the same for all compounds at the C-1 and C-2 positions which was controlled by the (S)-5 catalyst. The absolute stereochemistries of compounds ent- 3^{iv} were confirmed by these experiments and the X-ray analysis of ent- $3b^{IV}$ (1R,2S,3R,4R,4aR,8aS).^[20] The ability of chiral amine 5a or Schreiner thiourea **6a** to epimerize and racemize (S,R)-**1a** or (R,S)-**1a**, respectively, was examined next. None of these catalysts could catalyze these processes alone (see the Supporting Information). However, in combination a significant loss of both the dr and the er of both (S,R)-1a and (R,S)-1a was observed with the latter epimerizing and racemizing at a higher rate. Thus, the slow reacting enantiomer of the cascade reaction (R,S)-1a was converted to the fast reacting enantiomer (S,R)-1a by the essential synergistic non-covalent self-assembled bifunctional catalysis (Scheme 4). However, product 1a was not formed when 2-(nitrovinyl)benzene was reacted with cyclohexanone in the presence of co-catalysts 5a and thiourea 6a. HR-MS and ¹H NMR analysis established that no detectable covalent intermedi-

ates between **6a** and **1a** were formed during this process. Based on our experimental results, ¹H NMR, Xray analyses, and HR-MS analyses, we propose the following mechanistic reasoning to account for the stereoconvergent deracemization of racemic *syn-***1** to stereoisomer **3¹** (Scheme 4). The catalytic cascade cycle starts with hydrogen bond-donating **6a**-accelerated iminium intermediate **III** formation between chiral amine **5** and enals **2**. The bulky chiral group efficiently shields the *Re*-face of **III**. Next, of all the possible stereoisomers of **1** the fast reacting enantiomer (*S*,*R*)-**1** will perform a nucleophilic *Si*-facial conjugate attack on **III** to form enamine intermediate **IV** *via* transition state **II**, which involves hydrogen bond activation by co-catalyst **6a**.

The subsequent cascade intramolecular 6-exo-trig aldol reaction at the Re-face of the keto moiety of IV results in intermediate V. Subsequent hydrolysis regenerates the chiral catalyst 5 and delivers 3^I. In parallel to this catalytic proposed cycle, the achiral hydrogen bond-donating catalyst 6a together with chiral amine 5 co-catalyze the dynamic conversion of enantiomer (R,S)-1 to (S,R)-1 so that all of the starting racemic mixture is converted to 3^{I} (deracemization). The presence of intermediates III, IV and V was experimentally confirmed by HR-MS analysis^[21] on the crude reaction mixture. With respect to the formation of stereoisomers $ent-4^{II}$ and $ent-3^{IV}$, we propose transition states VI and VII to account for the enantioselective conjugate attack of (R,S)-1 and (R,R)-1 to iminium intermediate **III**, respectively (Figure 2).

In summary, we have disclosed a stereochemical divergent approach to distinct products with multiple stereocenters from a racemate using a single chiral catalyst. It is based on switches of the overall system in catalytic asymmetric reactions on racemic mixtures using achiral co-catalysts. As demonstrated by the highly diastereoselective switch in a catalytic asymmetric RRM to a stereoconvergent deracemization by



Figure 2. Possible transition states VI and VII.

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

the combination of chiral amine and achiral hydrogen bond-donating catalysts. The racemization and the iminium-enamine-activated asymmetric cascade transformation processes were both accelerated by the non-covalent hydrogen-bond activation. In fact, enzymes use the same type of activation modes (hydrogen bond-donation, iminium and enamine activation) as the disclosed primitive small molecule cooperative catalysis system to bring stereochemical "order" in their biosynthesis of complex molecules essential for life. Beyond nature, the presented approach accomplished stereochemical diversification with a single chiral catalyst by achiral co-catalyst-induced switches in the overall reaction system. Further mechanistic investigations to understand, control and eventually design other such processes are ongoing in our laboratories.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Co-Catalytic Deracemization Reaction (Scheme 3)

To an 8-mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added organocatalyst 5a or 5b (0.02 mmol, 20 mol%), enal 2 (0.2 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), Schreiner's thiourea 6a (10.0 mg, 0.02 mmol, 20 mol%) and toluene (0.2 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 10 min, the racemic y-nitro ketone rac-1 (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added and the mixture was vigorously stirred. The reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR analysis. After complete consumption (>95%) of γ -nitro ketone 1, CH₂Cl₂ (5.0 mL) was added to the vial. Next, 400~500 µL of this solution were added to a vial followed by removal of the solvent under reduced pressure. The resulting pale yellow solid was dissolved in 400 µL CDCl₃. The ratio of **3**:3^I was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Next, all of this solution was combined with the remaining CH_2Cl_2 solution and the pure compounds 3^{I} were obtained by silica-gel column chromatography (pentane/ethyl acetate = 8/1 - 3/1).

General Procedure for the Gram-Scale Reaction

To a 16-mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added organocatalyst **5b** (298 mg, 0.8 mmol, 20 mol%), enal **2a** (1.02 mL, 8.1 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), Schreiner's thiourea **6a** (400 mg, 0.8 mmol, 20 mol%) and toluene (8.0 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 10 min, the racemic γ -nitro ketone *rac*-**1a** (1.0 g, 4.05 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added to the vial in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. The ratio of **3a¹/3a** = 84:16 was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. The pure compounds **3a¹** and **3a** were obtained by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/ethyl acetate = 8:1~3:1), to afford **3a¹** (yield: 940 mg, 61%, >95:5 *dr*, 96:4 *er*) as white solids.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the European Union, Sunsvall Municipality and Swedish National Research Council for financial support. The Berzelii Center EXSELENT is financially supported by VR and the Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems (VINNOVA).

References

- [1] L. Streyer, *Biochemistry*, 3rd edn., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1988.
- [2] a) A. Fehrst, *Enzyme Structure and Mechanism*, 2nd edn., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, **1985**;
 b) K. Faber, *Biotransformations in Organic Synthesis*, 6th edn., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, **2011**.
- [3] a) O. Meyerhof, K. Lohman, *Biochem, Z.* 1934, 271, 89;
 b) M. D. Bednarski, E. S. Simon, N. Bischofberger, E. D. Fessner, M. J. Kim, W. Lees, T. Saito, H. Waldmann, G. M. Whitesides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, 111, 627;
 c) A. Heine, G. Desantis, J. G. Luz, M. Mitchell, C.-H. Wong, I. A. Wilson, *Science* 2001, 294, 369;
 d) T. D. Machajewski, C.-H. Wong, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, 112, 1406; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 1352.
- [4] a) L. F. Tietze, G. Brasche, K. Gericke, Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006, p 672; b) L. F. Tietze, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115; c) K. C. Nicolaou, D. J. Edmonds, P. G. Bulger, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7292; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7134; d) H. Guo, J. Ma, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 362; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 354; e) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 2143; f) J. Zhu, H. Bienayme, Multicomponent Reactions, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005.
- [5] For reviews concerning the development of catalytic asymmetric multi-component and cascade reactions. see: a) D. J. Ramón, M. Yus, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1628; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1602; b) L. F. Tietze, A. Dürfert, in: Catalytic Asymmetric Conjugate Reactions, (Ed.: A. Córdova), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010, p 321. Metal-free: c) D. Enders, C. Grondal, M. R. M. Hüttl, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1590; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570; d) X. Yu, W. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2037; e) A. Córdova, Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 102; f) A. N. Alba, X. Companyo, M. Viciano, R. Rios, Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 13, 1432.
- [6] a) P. T. Anastas, J. C. Warner, *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000, p 135; b) P. A. Clarke, S. Santos, W. H. C. Martin, *Green Chem.* 2007, 9, 438.
- [7] a) E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto, (Eds.), Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, **1999**; b) H. B. Kagan, Historical Perspective, in: Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis, (Eds.: E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Chap. 2, **1999**; c) A. H. Hoveyda, in: Stimulating Concepts in Chemistry, (Eds.: F. J. Stoddard, M. Shibasaki, F. Vögtle), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**; d) M. S. Taylor, E. N. Jacobsen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **2004**, 101, 5368.

- [8] For non-enzymatic reactions, see: a) H. B. Kagan, J. C. Fiaud, *Top. Stereochem.* 1988, *18*, 249; b) M. Breuer, K. Ditrich, T. Habicher, B. Hauer, M. Kessler, R. Stuermer, T. Zelinski, *Angew. Chem.* 2004, *116*, 806; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, *43*, 788; c) E. Vedejs, M. Jure, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, *117*, 4040; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 3974; d) H. Pellissier, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011, *353*, 1613. For enzymatic reactions, see: e) C. J. Sih, S.-H. Wu, *Top. Stereochem.* 1989, *19*, 63; f) A. M. Klibanov, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1990, *23*, 114; g) A. Steinreiber, K. Faber, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2001, *5*, 552.
- [9] a) H. B. Kagan, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 2449; b) R. R. Kumar, H. B. Kagan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2010, 352, 231;
 c) L. C. Miller, R. Sarpong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 4550. For a recent organocatalytic example, see: d) S. Sternativo, A. Calandriello, F. Costantino, L. Testaferri, M. Tiecco, F. Marini, *Angew. Chem.* 2011, 123, 9554; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 9382.
- [10] a) J. Steinreiber, K. Faber, H. Griengl, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8060. For organocatalytic versions, see: b) H. Pellissier, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 659.
- [11] For a prebiotic scenario based on DYKAT see: a) E. Reyes, A. Córdova, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 6605;
 b) J. Casas, M. Engqvist, I. Ibrahem, B. Kaynak A. Córdova, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, 117, 1367; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 1343.
- [12] For catalytic asymmetric diastereodivergent deracemization with different chiral ligand on Pd see: M. Luparia, M. T. Oliveira, D. Audisio, R. Goddard, N. Maulide, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 12840; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12631.
- [13] For enantiodivergent approaches with a single catalyst see: a) M. P. Sibi, M. Liu, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2011, 15, 719; b) M. Bartok, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 1663. For two recent metal-free examples see: c) J. Wang, J. Chen, C. W. Kee, C.-H. Tan, *Angew. Chem.* 2012, 124, 2432; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, 51, 2382; d) S. A. Moteki, J. Han, S. Arimitsu, M. Akakura, K. Nakayama, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem.* 2012, 124, 1213; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, 51, 1187.
- [14] a) S. Anwar, H. J. Chang, K. Chen, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2200. For the first example of this type of cascade approach to a monocyclic system see: b) D. Enders, M. R. M. Huttl, C. Grondal, G. Raabe, Nature 2006, 441, 861.
- [15] For selected reviews on the application of chiral pyrrolidine derivatives as catalysts see: a) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5138; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5248; b) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann, B. List, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471; c) A. Erkkilä, I. Majander, P. M. Pihko, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5416; d) A. Berkessel, H. Gröger Asymmetric Organocatalysis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005. e) In synergy with other catalysts, see: A. E. Allen, D. W. C. Mac-Millan, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 633.
- [16] a) J.-M. Lehn, Science 1993, 260, 176; b) J.-M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry. Concepts and Perspectives, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1995; c) J.-M. Lehn, Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 1347; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 1304.
- [17] For selected examples. see: a) Y. Huang, A. K. Unni, A. N. Thadini, V. H. Rawal, *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 146; b) P.

Vachal, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10012; c) Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1187; d) P. M. Pihko, Hydrogen Bonding in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009; e) X. Yu, W. Wang, Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 516.

[18] For pioneering work of improving the stereoselectivity of catalytic reactions involving enamine activation. see:
a) T. J. Peelen, Y. Chi, S. H. Gellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11598; b) Y. Chi, S. H. Gellman, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4253. For a review. see: c) X. Companyo, M. Vicanio, R. Rios, Mini-Rev. Org. Chem. 2010, 7, 1. For selected examples. see: d) Y. Zhou, Z. Shan, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9510; e) T. Mandal, C.-G. Zhao, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 7828; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7714; f) O. Reis, S. Eymur, B. Reis, A. S. Demir, Chem. Commun. 2009, 1088; g) X. Companyo, G. Valero, L. Crovetto, A. Moyano, R. Rios. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 6564; h) Y. Wang, R-G. Han, Y.-L. Zhao, S. Yang, P.-Fei Xu, D. J. Dixon, Angew. Chem.

2009, 121, 10018; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9834;
i) G. Ma, I. Ibrahem, A. Bartoszewicz, A. Córdova, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3114. For work on improving the selectivity of catalytic reactions involving iminium activationm see: j) S. Lin, L. Deiana, G.-L. Zhao, J. Sun, A. Córdova, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7766; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7624; k) H. Rahaman, Á. Madarász, I. Pápai, P. M. Pihko, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 6247; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6123.
[19] A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 922.

- [20] CCDC 867271, CCDC 867272, CCDC 867273 and CCDC 868291 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre *via* www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [21] C. A. Marquez, F. Fabbretti, J. O. Metzger, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7040; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6915.