

γ - and β -Peptide Foldamers from Common Multifaceted Building Blocks: Synthesis and Structural Characterization

Mothukuri Ganesh Kumar and Hosahudya N. Gopi*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune 411008, India

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Structural characterization of 3,4-disubstituted γ -peptide and 2,3disubstituted β -peptide foldamers derived from common multifaceted β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids and the chemical transformation of the β -nitromethyl group in γ peptides into various functional derivatives are reported. The $\gamma^{3,4}$ -oligomers and α,γ hybrid peptides showed characteristic C₁₄-and C₁₂-helical conformations in single crystals. Further, the new 2,3-disubstituted acyclic β -peptide showed the C₆-helical conformation despite the poor geometry of H-bonds.

he relationship between a well-defined structure and function of proteins inspire the creation of foldamers from non-natural building blocks.¹ Among them, the most widely studied foldamers are constructed from β - and γ -amino acid subunits.² The oligomers of β - and γ -amino acids displayed a variety of helical structures with different H-bond pseudocycles. The remarkable helical structures and side-chain projections of β - and $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -hybrid peptides have been exploited in designing inhibitors for various protein-protein interactions,³ antimicrobials,⁴ and biomaterials.⁵ Despite the excellent biological activities of γ -amino acids such as pregabalin⁶ and gabapentin,⁷ the biological activities of γ - and hybrid γ -peptides have yet to be explored. Nevertheless, several bacteria are reported to produce poly- γ -glutamate, which allowed bacteria to survive at high salt concentrations and was also suspected of playing a role in virulence.⁸ In the course of our investigation on structure and reactivity of α_{β} -unsaturated γ -amino acids, we recently reported the synthesis of β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids through highly diastereoselective Michael addition of nitromethane.⁹ The literature search revealed that the alkyl nitro group can be transformed into a variety of functional groups including amines, carboxylic acids, aldehydes, ketones, alkenes, oximes, hydroxyl amines, 1,3-dipolar addition products, etc. under mild conditions.¹⁰ With these multifaceted properties of alkyl nitro groups, we anticipated that the β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids may serve as excellent building blocks to construct 3,4-disubstituted γ peptide foldamers, 2,3-disubstituted β -peptide foldamers, as well as intermediates to introduce various chemical modifications on foldamers. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, peptides with alkyl nitro group functionality have not been systematically investigated to date. The notable properties of alkyl nitro groups encouraged us to investigate their structural and functional properties in peptides. Herein, we report the synthesis and

structural characterization of homooligomers (P1–P3), heterooligomers (P4 and P5) of β -nitromethyl $\gamma^{3,4}$ -peptide foldamers, mild transformation of the β -nitromethyl group in $\gamma^{3,4}$ -peptides into the corresponding peptide acid (P6), amine (P7), and 1,3diploar cycloaddition product (P8), transformation of β nitromethyl γ -amino acids into 2,3-disubstituted β -amino acids, and the crystal conformation of the 2,3-disubstituted β -peptide foldamer (P9). The structural characterization, chemical diversity, and mild chemistry reported here can be further explored to build novel functional foldamers.

To begin with, the β -nitromethyl-substituted γ -amino acids (**2a** and **2b**) were synthesized starting from (E)- α , β -unsaturated γ -amino esters (**1a** and **1b**) through highly diastereoselective Michael addition of nitromethane in the presence of DBU as shown in Scheme 1. The major *anti* addition products were isolated in excellent yields after the column chromatography and subjected for the construction of peptides and chemical transformations. In order to understand the conformations of β -nitromethyl $\gamma^{3,4}$ - peptides, we synthesized homooligomers (**P1**–**P3**) and heterooligomers (**P4** and **P5**) in solution-phase

Scheme 1. Synthesis of N-Boc- β -Nitromethyl-Substituted γ -Amino Esters



Received: August 4, 2015

synthesis using standard DCC/HOBt coupling conditions. The sequences of the peptides are shown in Scheme 2. The

Scheme 2. Sequences of $\gamma^{3,4}$ -Peptides and α , $\gamma^{3,4}$ -Hybrid Peptides Derived from the Major *Anti*-Isomers



deprotection of *N*-Boc and ethyl esters was carried out using TFA and NaOH, respectively. We adopted stepwise couplings from the C- to N-terminus to avoid unexpected impurities during the hydrolysis of peptide esters. All pure peptides were subjected to growth of X-ray quality crystals in various solvent combinations to understand their unambiguous conformations. In contrast to the γ^{4-} , $\gamma^{2,4-}$, $\gamma^{3,3}$, $\gamma^{4,4-}$, and $\gamma^{2,3,4}$ -peptides,¹¹ the conformations of $\gamma^{3,4}$ -peptides have not been systematically investigated. We speculated greater helical propensity from $\gamma^{3,4-}$ peptides due to the favorable *gauche* interactions of side chains similar to the tetrasubstituted ethanes.¹²

Single-crystal structures of **P1**, **P2**, and **P3** are shown in Figure 1. Analysis of the crystal structure of **P1** reveals that it adopted a





helical type of conformation with a single nine-membered Hbond between Boc-amide CO and the NH of C-terminal residue $(i \rightarrow i+2)$, resembling the γ -turn in α -peptides.¹³ Similar types of 9-helices have also been observed in the homooligomers of gabapentin, however, with mirror image torsional values.¹⁴ The torsional variables of γ -amino acid derivatives were analyzed by introducing two new additional variables $\theta_1(N-C^{\gamma}-C^{\beta}-C^{\alpha})$ and $\theta_2(C^{\gamma}-C^{\beta}-C^{\alpha}-C')$ along with ϕ (C' $-N-C^{\gamma}-C^{\beta})$ and ψ (C^{α}- $C^{\beta}-C'-N$).¹⁴ The torsional values are tabulated in the Supporting Information (Table S1). Inspection of the crystal structure reveals that the β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids adopted the g^+ , g^+ ($\theta_1 \approx \theta_2 \approx \sim 60^\circ$) backbone conformations along the $C^{\gamma}-C^{\beta}$ and $C^{\beta}-C^{\alpha}$ bonds. Intriguingly, the extension of dipeptide to tri (P2) and tetrapeptide (P3) leads to (P)-14helical conformations similar to those of the γ^4 -peptides.^{11a} The helical conformation in P2 and P3 is stabilized by one and two intramolecular H-bonds between the i and i+3 residues, respectively. The H-bond parameters are tabulated in the Supporting Information (Tables S4-S6 and S8). The helical structure in P2 is stabilized by the 14-membered H-bond between the Boc amide CO (*i*) and the NH of the C-terminal γ residue (i+3), while P3 structure is stabilized by two intramolecular 14-membered H-bonds between Boc amide CO (i) and NH of $\gamma^{3,4}$ Val3 (*i*+3) and between the Val1 CO (*i*) and $\gamma^{3,4}$ Val4 NH (i+3). Though there is a possibility to attain C₉-helices similar to the P1, both P2 and P3 attained the C_{14} -helix conformation. Crystal structure analysis of P2 and P3 reveal that except the C-terminal $\gamma^{3,4}$ -residue, the other $\gamma^{3,4}$ -residues adopted g^+ , g^+ conformations. The directionality and the pattern of Hbonding $(i \rightarrow i+3)$ observed in the $\gamma^{3,4}$ - tri and tetrapeptides resemble the 3_{10} -helix in α -peptides as well as 14-helix of γ^4 peptides.^{15,11a} The torsional angles of P2 and P3 are given in Tables S3 and S7, respectively. Careful analysis of the intramolecular H-bonds in P1-P3 revealed that the H-bond distance is relatively larger in the 14-helix compared to the Cohelix. Overall, these novel $\gamma^{3,4}$ -disubstituted amino acids favor the helical conformation even in simple dipeptides.

These results motivated us to design P4 and P5 to understand the conformations of $\gamma^{3,4}$ -amino acids in 1:1 α,γ -hybrid peptides. The sequences of the peptides are shown in Scheme 2. Peptide P4 consists of both $\gamma^{3,4}$ and γ^4 -amino acids along with Aib, while P5 composed of alternating $\gamma^{3,4}$ amino acids. Peptides were synthesized in solution-phase chemistry and pure peptides were subjected to crystallization. Single crystals of P4 obtained from the methanol solution gave the X-ray structure as shown in the Figure 2A. The 12-helical structure of P4 is stabilized by three intramolecular H-bonds between i and i+3 residues similar to the other α,γ -hybrid peptides.¹⁶ Both γ^4 -Phe and $\gamma^{3,4}$ -Phe adopted required g^+ , g^+ conformations along $C^{\gamma} - C^{\beta}$ and $C^{\beta} - C^{\alpha}$ bonds to accommodate into the 12-helix. The H-bond parameters and torsion angles are tabulated in the Supporting Information (Tables S9-S12). Enormous efforts have been made to crystallize P5 in various solvent combinations; however, it gave single crystals only in 2-propanol solution. The X-ray structure of P5 is shown in Figure 2A. The 12-helical conformation of P5 is stabilized by two 12-membered H-bonds. Analysis reveals that instead of participating into the canonical intramolecular Hbonding the terminal amide NH is involved in strong intermolecular H-bonding with the solvent 2-propanol. In contrast to P4, the nitro and the CO groups of $\gamma^{3,4}$ Phe2 in P5 are involved in intermolecular H-bonding with NH of the $\gamma^{3,4}$ Phe2 and Boc-amide NH of the other helix. These intermolecular interactions lead to the arrangement of 12-helices into a spectacular right-handed superhelix with the pore diameter of 7.3 Å. Solvent 2-propanol occupied the helical groove of the super helix in the crystal packing, suggesting its important role in peptide crystallization. A well-organized helical assembly of P5 is shown in Figures 2B. The tubular arrangement of the hybrid 12helix, P5, resembles the arrangement of β -sheets in β -helix, however, through noncovalent interactions.¹⁷ Recently, the tubular porous organic and peptide structures have attracted considerable attention due to their applications in the separation science, catalysis, and nanobiotechnology.¹⁸ We hypothesize that the tubular architecture of P5 may serve as a new template to design soft biomaterials. In contrast, the P4 12- helix with single

Organic Letters



Figure 2. (A) X-ray structures of α , $\gamma^{3,4}$ -hybrid tetrapeptide 12-helices **P4** and **P5**. (B) Hierarchical assembly of α , $\gamma^{3,4}$ -hybrid 12-helix **P5** into right-handed superhelix along the *b*-axis and top view of the ordered self-organization of **P5** (along the *c*-axis).

nitro amino acid did not show helical pores in single crystals, suggesting the requirement of two nitro groups for the hierarchical tubular assembly. Overall the structural analysis of homo- and heterooligomers of β -nitromethyl-substituted γ -amino acids suggested that they readily adopt helical conformations and follow the trend of other γ -peptides. In addition, helical pores observed in **P5** offer the glimpse of potential of β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids and laid the foundation for further investigation.

To verify whether these nitropeptides can undergo organic transformations similar to the alkyl nitro groups,¹⁰ we subjected **P4** to various organic transformations (Scheme 3).The nitro group in **P4** was transformed to corresponding peptide carboxylic acid (**P6**) using simple oxidation mediated by the mixture of NaNO₂ and acetic acid in DMSO.¹⁹ Further, the nitro group of **P4** was reduced to corresponding amine using catalytic

Scheme 3. Organic Transformations of Hybrid Peptide P4 to P6, P7, and P8



hydrogenation²⁰ in the presence of 20% Pd/C under hydrogen atmosphere and the free amine was subsequently protected with Cbz-group (P7). The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition product P8 from P4 was achieved by the treatment of phenylacetylene in the presence of phenyl isocyanate and triethylamine under mild conditions.²¹ All hybrid peptide derivatives were isolated in good yields after purification and characterized (see the Supporting Information). These results suggested that the nitro group on peptides can be transformed into various functional derivatives.

The transformation of β -nitromethyl to the corresponding carboxylic acid was further explored in simple amino acids to derive new 2,3-disubstituted β -amino acids (Scheme 4).¹⁹ The









Figure 3. X-ray structure of β -peptide **P9** C₆-helix (side chains omitted for clarity).

peptide adopted a helical screwtype conformation with 6memberd H-bonds. Even though extensive theoretical calculations suggested stable C₆-helical conformation in β -peptides,²² they are scarcely studied in single crystals. However, C₆-helical conformations of β -peptides have been reported using cyclic β amino acids in solution.²³ The torsion angles and H-bond parameters of **P9** are tabulated in the Supporting Information. It is worth mentioning that β -nitromethyl γ -amino acids can be transformed into novel 2,3-disubstituted β -amino acids.

In conclusion, we have presented the synthesis, conformational analysis, and chemical diversity of novel β -nitromethanesubstituted γ -amino acid homo-oligomers and α,γ -hybrid peptides. In addition, β -nitromethyl-substituted γ -amino acids were used to construct 2,3-disubstituted β -peptide foldamers. Both $\gamma^{3,4}$ and $\alpha,\gamma^{3,4}$ -hybrid peptides showed characteristic C_{14} and C_{12} -helical conformations, respectively. Additionally, the 2,3-disubstituted β -peptide derived from the nitro amino acids showed a notable C_6 -helix signature. Overall, the single-crystal conformations of the $\gamma^{3,4}$ -peptides, the self-organized helical pore from the $\alpha,\gamma^{3,4}$ -hybrid 12-helix, and the transformation of alkyl nitro group into a variety of functional groups reported here can be utilized further for the construction of functional foldamers and peptidomimetics. ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02263.

Experimental procedures, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, mass spectra, and CCDC numbers (PDF) Crystallographic data for P1–P5 and P9 (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: hn.gopi@iiserpune.ac.in.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.G.K. is thankful to CSIR-India for research fellowship.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Hecht, S.; Huc, I. Foldamers: Structure, Properties and Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007. (b) Guichard, G.; Huc, I. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5933. (c) Hill, D. J.; Mio, M. J.; Prince, R. B.; Hughes, T. S.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3893. (d) Cummings, C. G.; Hamilton, A. D. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2010, 14, 341. (e) Zhang, D.-W.; Zhao, X.; Hou, J.-L.; Li, Z.-T. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5271.

(2) (a) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Bierbaum, D. J. Chem. Biodiversity 2004, 1, 1111. (b) Horne, W. S.; Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1399. (c) Goodman, C. M.; Choi, S.; Shandler, S.; DeGrado, W. F. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2007, 3, 252. (d) Vasudev, P. G.; Chatterjee, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 657. (e) Seebach, D.; Gardiner, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1366. (f) Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 173. (g) Bouillère, F.; Thètiot-Laurent, S.; Kouklovsky, C.; Alezra, V. Amino Acids 2011, 41, 687. (h) Pilsl, L. K. A.; Reiser, O. Amino Acids 2011, 41, 709. (i) Sharma, G V. M.; Chandramouli, N.; Choudhary, M.; Nagendar, P.; Ramakrishna, K. V. S.; Kunwar, A. C.; Schramm, P.; Hofmann, H. - J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17335. (j) Hanessian, S.; Luo, X.; Schaum, R.; Michnick, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8569. (k) Cheng, R. P.; Gellman, S. H.; DeGrado, W. F. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3219. (1) Venkatraman, J.; Shankaramma, S. C.; Balaram, P. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3131. (m) Li, X.; Yang, D. Chem. Commun. 2006, 3367. (n) Martinek, T. A.; Fulop, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 687. (o) Reinert, Z. E.; Horne, W. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 8796.

(3) (a) English, E. P.; Chumanov, R. S.; Gellman, S. H.; Compton, T. J. Biol. Chem. 2005, 281, 2661. (b) Horne, W. S.; Johnson, L. M.; Ketas, T. J.; Klasse, P. J.; Lu, M.; Moore, J. P.; Gellman, S. H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106, 14751. (c) Horne, W. S.; Price, J. L.; Gellman, S. H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2008, 105, 9151. (d) Imamura, Y.; Watanabe, N.; Umezawa, N.; Iwatsubo, T.; Kato, N.; Tomita, T.; Higuchi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7353. (e) Stephens, O. M.; Kim, S.; Welch, B. D.; Hodsdon, M. E.; Kay, M. S.; Schepartz, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13126. (f) Kritzer, J. A.; Lear, J. D.; Hodsdon, M. E.; Schepartz, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9468. (g) Lee, E. F.; Sadowsky, J. D.; Smith, B. J.; Czabotar, P. E.; Peterson-Kaufman, K. J.; Colman, P. M.; Gellman, S. H.; Fairlie, W. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4318.

(4) (a) Hamuro, Y.; Schneider, J. P.; DeGrado, W. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 12200. (b) Karlsson, A. J.; Pomerantz, W. C.; Weisblum, B.; Gellman, S. H.; Palecek, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12630. (c) Karlsson, A. J.; Pomerantz, W. C.; Neilsen, K. J.; Gellman, S. H.; Palecek, S. P. ACS Chem. Biol. 2009, 4, 567. (d) Liu, R.; Chen, X.; Falk, S. P.; Masters, K. S.; Weisblum, B.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2183.

(5) (a) Martinek, T. A.; Hetenyi, A.; Fulop, L.; Mandity, I. M.; Toth, G. K.; Dekany, I.; Fulop, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2396. (b) Celis, S.; Nolis, P.; Illa, O.; Branchadell, V.; Ortuno, R. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2839. (c) Segman-Magidovich, S.; Lee, M.; Vaiser, V.; Struth,

Letter

B.; Gellman, S. H.; Rapaport, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14857. (d) Garcia-Fandiño, R. G.; Amorin, M.; Castedo, L.; Granja, J. R. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3280. (e) Kim, J.; Kwon, S.; Kim, S. H.; Lee, C. K.; Lee, J. H.; Cho, S. J.; Lee, H. S.; Ihee, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20573. (f) Kwon, S.; Shin, H. S.; Gong, J.; Eom, J.-H.; Jeon, A.; Yoo, S. H.; Chung, I. S.; Cho, S. J.; Lee, H.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17618. (6) Sills, G. J. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2006, 6, 108.

(7) Silverman, R. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3500.

(8) Candela, T.; Fouet, A. Mol. Microbiol. 2006, 60, 1091.

(9) Ganesh Kumar, M.; Mali, S. M.; Gopi, H. N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 803.

(10) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; Fiorini, D.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 933.

(11) (a) Basuroy, K.; Dinesh, B.; Reddy, M. B. M.; Chandrappa, S.; Raghothama, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4866. (b) Vasudev, P. G.; Chatterjee, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1628. (c) Seebach, D.; Brenner, M.; Rueping, M.; Jaun, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2002, 8, 573. (d) Jadhav, S. V.; Gopi, H. N. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9179.

(12) Brownstein, S.; Dunogues, J.; Lindsay, D.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2073.

(13) (a) Matthews, B. W. Macromolecules 1972, 5, 818. (b) Milner-White, E. J. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 216, 385.

(14) Vasudev, P. G.; Shamala, N.; Ananda, K.; Balaram, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4972.

(15) (a) Barlow, D. J.; Thornton, J. M. J. Mol. Biol. 1988, 201, 601. (b) Karle, I. L.; Balaram, P. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 6747.

(16) (a) Bandyopadhyay, A.; Gopi, H. N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2770. (b) Bandyopadhyay, A.; Jadhav, S. V.; Gopi, H. N. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7170.

(17) (a) Leinala, E. K.; Davies, P. L.; Jia, Z. Structure 2002, 10, 619. (b) Jurnak, F.; Yoder, M. D.; Pickergill, R.; Jenkins, J. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 1994, 4, 802.

(18) (a) Bong, D. T.; Clark, T. D.; Granja, J. R.; Ghadiri, M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 988. (b) Shimizu, T.; Masuda, M.; Minamikawa, H. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1401. (c) Percec, V.; Dulcey, A. E.; Balagurusamy, V. S. K.; Miura, Y.; Smidrkal, J.; Peterca, M.; Nummelin, S.; Edlund, U.; Hudson, S. D.; Heiney, P. A.; Duan, H.; Magonov, S. N.; Vinogradov, S. A. Nature 2004, 430, 764. (d) Yan, X.; Zhu, P.; Li, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1877. (e) Kim, J. H.; Lee, M.; Lee, J. S.; Park, C. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 517. (f) Montenegro, J.; Ghadiri, M. R.; Granja, J. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2955. (g) Jadhav, S. V.; Misra, R.; Singh, S. K.; Gopi, H. N. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 16256. (19) (a) Saville-Stones, E. A.; Lindell, S. D. Synlett 1991, 1991, 591.

(b) Matt, C.; Wagner, A.; Mioskowski, C. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 234.

(20) Figueras, F.; Coq, B. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2001, 173, 223.

(21) Duffy, J. L.; Kurth, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3783.

(22) Gunther, R.; Hofmann, H. J. Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 2149. (23) (a) Rua, F.; Boussert, S.; Parella, T.; Diez-Perez, I.; Branchadell, V.; Giralt, E.; Ortuno, R. M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3643. (b) Torres, E.; Gorrea, E.; Burusco, K. K.; Da Silva, E.; Nolis, P.; Rua, F.; Boussert, S.; Diez-Perez, I.; Dannenberg, S.; Izquierdo, S.; Giralt, E.; Jaime, C.; Branchadell, V.; Ortuno, R. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 564.