Infrared Intensities as a Quantitative Measure of Intramolecular Interactions. Part XXVI.¹ Variable Resonance Behaviour by Alkyl and Substituted Alkyl Groups

By Trevor J. Broxton, David G. Cameron, and Ronald D. Topsom,* Department of Organic Chemistry, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, 3083, Australia

Alan R. Katritzky,* School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NOR 88C

It is shown that long chain and some bridgehead alkyl substituents (such as adamantan-1-yl and bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl) have greater resonance interaction with a benzene ring than methyl groups. Such substituents are also shown to be more polarisable as the electron demand of the π -system is changed. The neopentyl group shows different behaviour. The failure of adamantanyl and bicyclo-octanyl substituents to show significant enhancement of donation in the solvolysis of alkyl-substituted cumyl chlorides is thus ascribed to solvation. Studies on the resonance interaction and polarisability of some halogeno-substituted alkyl groups provide clarification of the present controversy as to their mode of action.

INTEREST continues in the electronic effects of alkyl groups. Since our previous work,² a short review ³ has appeared covering recent gas phase and theoretical results. Measurements on the gas phase acidity and basicity of alcohols,^{4,5} amines,⁶ and toluenes ⁷ suggest that the order of stabilisation of both positive and negative charge follows the order neopentyl > $\mathrm{Bu^{t}} \sim$ n-pentyl ~ $Bu^n > Pr^i > Pr^n > Et > Me > H$. Calculations have suggested a similar effect in the stabilisation of benzenium ions, although this is apparently not observed experimentally.8 Other calculations 9,10 supported the order cited above, except 9 that n-butyl and n-pentyl were close to n-propyl rather than to t-butyl. Various explanations have been proposed for these orders, and solvation effects have been suggested ¹¹ to be the main factor affecting the order for reactions in solution.

However little work has been done on the longer straight-chain alkyl groups to see if they are indeed more polarisable than methyl or ethyl groups. Some years ago Berliner¹² investigated the rates of bromination of various alkyl benzenes and suggested the possibility of some additional effect involving bending the alkyl substituent back and through-space interaction with the benzene ring.

The neopentyl group has long been regarded as having ¹ Part XXV, R. T. C. Brownlee, D. G. Cameron, R. D. Topsom,

A. R. Katritzky, and A. F. Pozharsky, preceding paper. ² T. J. Broxton, L. W. Deady, A. R. Katritzky, A. Liu, and

R. D. Topsom, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 6845.
 ³ J. F. Sebastian, J. Chem. Educ., 1971, 48, 97.
 ⁴ J. I. Braumann and L. K. Blair, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970,

92, 5986.

⁵ D. K. Bohme, E. Lee-Ruff and L. B. Young, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 4608.

J. I. Braumann and L. K. Blair, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 3911; J. I. Braumann, J. M. Riveros, and L. K. Blair, ibid., p. 3914.

⁷ J. I. Braumann and L. K. Blair, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, **93**, 4315.

⁸ G. A. Olah, R. H. Schlosberg, R. D. Porter, Y. K. Mo, D. P.

¹⁰ K. B. Hermann, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 2034.
⁹ R. B. Hermann, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5298.
¹⁰ W. J. Hehre and J. A. Pople, Tetrahedron Letters, 1970, 2959;
J. R. Grunwell and J. F. Sebastian, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 4387;
H. Kollmar and H. O. Smith, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1970,

9, 462; Theor. Chim. Acta, 1971, 20, 65. ¹¹ J. W. Larsen, P. A. Bovis, and D. B. Glass, Tetrahedron Letters, 1971, 1629; L. M. Stock and M. R. Wasielewski, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 1002.

¹² E. Berliner and F. Berliner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 1195.

anomalous properties.¹³ It has been suggested ¹⁴ that part of its enhanced effectiveness in electronic transitions results from a polarisation across space of the terminal methyl group which overhangs the side of the benzene ring, but this explanation is difficult to reconcile with recent u.v. work ¹⁵ on the deuteriated substituent.

Interest also continues ¹⁶ in the adamantane system, and its reactivity at the bridgehead position has been the subject of experimental work¹⁷ and theoretical¹⁸ calculations. The adamantan-1-yl group has been claimed ¹⁹ to be a relatively strong electron donor. Its size should effectively prevent solvation near the attachment site in 1-phenyladamantane. The bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl substituent is similar. It has been much used as an aliphatic model for a benzene ring in both theoretical²⁰ and experimental studies,²¹ and the possibility of the transfer of electrons though the octyl cage has been examined ²² in 1,4-diphenylbicyclo[2.2.2]octane radicals. We recently reported 23 that the σ values for the adamantan-1-yl and bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl substituents are virtually unaffected by electron demand and suggested that this observation was related to steric inhibition of solvation.

We have earlier shown ²⁴ that the resonance interaction

E. Berliner and F. Berliner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 222; M. Montagne, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1970, 347.
 W. M. Schubert, R. B. Murphy, and J. Robins, Tetrahedron,

1962, 17, 199.

¹⁵ W. M. Schubert, R. B. Murphy, and J. Robins, J. Org. Chem., 1970, **35**, 951. ¹⁶ V. V. Sebostyanova, M. M. Krayushkin, and A. G. Yur-

chenko, Russ. Chem. Rev., 1970, **39**, 817. ¹⁷ R. C. Bingham and P. von R. Schleyer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,

1971, **93**, 3189; D. N. Neill, K. C. Kolwyck, and F. L. Weitl, *ibid.*, 1970, **92**, 7300.

¹⁸ H. Fujimoto, Y. Kitagawa, H. Hao, and K. Fukui, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 1970, **43**, 52; T. F. W. McKillop and B. C. Webster, *Tetrahedron*, 1970, **26**, 1879.

¹⁹ F. N. Stepanov and N. L. Dovgan, J. Org. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 1970, **6**, 1635.

²⁰ R. T. C. Brownlee and R. W. Taft, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, **92**, 7007; R. B. Hermann, *ibid.*, 1969, **91**, 3152.

²¹ See, for example, G. L. Anderson and L. M. Stock, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6804 and references therein.

22 H. E. Zimmerman and R. D. McKelvey, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, **93**, 3638.

²³ T. J. Broxton, G. Capper, L. W. Deady, A. Lenko, and R. D. Topsom, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, 1972, 1237.
²⁴ R. T. C. Brownlee, R. E. J. Hutchinson, A. R. Katritzky, T. T. Tidwell, and R. D. Topsom, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, 90, 1757.

of a substituent with a benzene ring, as measured by its $\sigma^{\circ}_{\rm R}$ constant, could be ascertained through measurements of the intensity of the ν_8 (ν_{16} in Herzberg's notation) absorption of the appropriate monosubstituted benzene. The appropriate equation is (1), and the

 π -system under various conditions of electron demand. At the same time we show that our previous conclusions,² derived from a study of a restricted range of these compounds with the earlier equation, are still justified. We extended the study to include series of *para*-substituted

TABLE 1

Intensities $(A/l \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ for the v_8 vibrations of some *para*-disubstituted benzenes (dilute solutions in carbon tetrachloride)

						Ref. to
	Substituents	v/cm ⁻¹	A - 170	M.p. [B.p.] (°C)	Lit. m.p. [b.p.] (°C)	prep.
OMe	Bu	1613, 1584	1598	[220-224]	[120 at 19 mmHg] "	Text
	Pentyl	1613, 1584	1510	240 - 244	124 at 14 mmHg] b	Text
	Neopentyl	1611, 1582	1722	220 - 230	[103—104 at 9 mmHg] °	d
	Bicyclo-octan-1-yl	1621, 1580	1820	8687	- 01	Text
	Adamantan-1-yl	1613, 1607, 1580	1743	7677		Text
COMe	Ме	1608, 1588, 1574	2626	[226-227]	[225 at 736 mmHg] ^e	f
	Et	1608, 1571	2728	230-235	[236] g	f
	Bu	1607, 1572	2631	[266-270]	$[100-103 \text{ at } 3 \text{ mmHg}]^{h}$	i
	Pentyl	1607, 1573	2642	282-286	$121 - 123 \text{ at } 3 \text{ mmHg}^{h}$	i
	Neopentyl	1608, 1570	2180	240-250	[105-106 at 10 mmHg] *	i
	\Pr^i	1608, 1573, 1596	2615	234-238	[252-254]	i
	But	1607, 1576, 1564	2805	258-262	[136-138 at 20 mmHg] m	i
	Bicyclo-octan-1-yl	1604, 1562	4041	8890	88—90 j	i
	Adamantan-1-yl	1607, 1573	3402	105-107	105—107 j	i
CF_{a}	NMe ₂	1619, 1572	8339	6970	70.5 n	n
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	OMe	1617, 1591	4880	[166-168]	[168·6] °	Text
	F	1615, 1607	3110			Þ
	C1	1611, 1584	2040			þ
	Br	1603, 1587	1980			þ
CCl ₃	OMe	1606, 1582	4335	[88 at 0·1 mmHg]	[98 at 1 mmHg] <i>q</i>	q. r
	\mathbf{F}	1602, 1590, 1574	2123	[100-102 at 20 mmHg]	[93.7 at 14 mmHg] *	¹ a
	Cl	1594, 1575	758		- OI	f
	Br		783	35 - 36	39-40 '	ũ
	Me	1613, 1588	364	43	46 a	q, v
	CO_2Me	1609, 1577	602	55	5960 w	<i>w</i> . <i>x</i>
	NO ₂	1608, 1600	930	41 - 42	46—47 ¥	v
CH ₂ Cl	OMe	1611, 1586	2590			z
-	Me	1618, 1580	87	[8090 at 20 mmHg]	$[92-94 \text{ at } 20 \text{ mmHg}]^{aa}$	bb
	CO ₂ Me	1615, 1578	1156	33	39	Text
CHCl ₂	OMe	1611, 1588	4470	26 - 27	$[120-121 \text{ at } 8 \text{ mmHg}]^{dd}$	е

HCl₂ OMe 1611, 1588 4470 26-27 [120-121 at 8 mmHg] ^{dd} e
^a A. Klages, Ber., 1904, **37**, 3987. ^b S. Skraup and F. Nieten, Ber., 1924, **57**, 1294. ^c E. Spath. Monatsh., 1913, **34**, 1965.
^d E. Rothstein and R. W. Saville, J. Chem. Soc., 1949, 1950. ^e C. R. Noller and R. Adams, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1924, **46**, 1889.
^f Commercial sample purified before use. ^g A. Klages and G. Lickroth, Ber., 1899, **32**, 1549. ^h H. A. Bruson and O. Stein, U.S.P. 2,004,069 (Chem. Abs., 1995, **29**, 4773). ^j Ref. 23. ^k E. F. Rogers, H. D. Brown, I. M. Rasmussen, and R. E. Heal, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1953, **75**, 2991. ⁱ O. Widman, Ber., 1888, **21**, 2224. ^m A. Verley, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1898, **19(3)**, 67. ⁿ J. D. Roberts, R. L. Webb, and E. A. McElhill, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, **72**, 408. ^o E. T. McBee, R. O. Bolt, P. J. Graham, and R. F. Tebbe, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1947, **69**, 947. ^p Commercial sample checked for purity by g.l.c. and i.r. ^g R. Mayer and S. Sheithauer, Chem. Ber., 1965, **98**, 829. ^e R. W. Bost and O. L. Shealy, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1951, **73**, 25. ^e H. Freiser, M. E. Hobbs, and P. M. Gross, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1949, **71**, 111. ⁱ B. F. Malichenko and L. M. Yagupolskii, Zhur. obshchei Khim., 1964, **34**, 1235. ^w A. L. Henne and M. S. Newman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1938, **60**, 1697. ^e R. W. Bost and W. J. Mattox, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1930, **52**, 332.
^w L. M. Yagupolskii and B. F. Malichenko, Zhur. obshchei Khim., 1962, **32**, 3035. ^e D. H. Hey and J. Peters, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, **79**.
^y A. Burawoy and E. Spinner, J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 257. ^s Sample supplied by Dr. L. W. Deady and checked for purity by g.l.c., i.r., and n.m.r. ^{aa} I. S. Bengelsdorf, J. Org. Chem., 1958, **23**, 242. ^{bb} M. S. Kharasch and H. C. Brown, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1939, **61**, 2142. ^{ee} W. S. Emerson and R. A. Heimsch, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, **72**, 5152. ^{dd} J. Hine and R. J. Rosscup, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, **82**, 6115. ^{ee} H. Schmidt,

resonance interaction in *para*-disubstituted benzenes is

$$A_{\rm mono} = 17,600 (\sigma^{\circ}_{\rm R})^2 + 100 \tag{1}$$

described by equation (2), where λ is indicative of any

$$A_{para} = 15,000 [\sigma^{\circ}_{\rm R}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{\rm R}(2) + \lambda]^2 + 170 \quad (2)$$

change of interaction of the substituents resulting from the presence of the second group. This formula represents an improvement ¹ over an earlier one which used ²⁵ a constant of 11,800 rather than 15,000.

We have therefore prepared a series of alkylbenzenes, *p*-methoxyalkylbenzenes, and *p*-acetylalkylbenzenes and measured the intensities of their v_8 bands in order to study the interaction of the alkyl groups with the benzylidyne trifluorides and trichlorides because of the considerable recent interest in such groups (see later).

EXPERIMENTAL

Table 1 lists the v_8 intensity values for *p*-substituted alkylbenzenes, determined for the first time or redetermined in this work. New values for certain monosubstituted compounds are given in Table 2. *m*-Chlorobenzylidyne trichloride (v 1601 and 1578 cm⁻¹; A 1357 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻²) and *m*-chlorobenzyl chloride (v 1592 cm⁻¹; A 1050 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻²), were purified from commercial samples.

p-n-Butyl- and p-n-pentyl-anisoles were prepared via the reactions of anisaldehyde with the appropriate alkylmagnesium bromides followed by replacement of the ²⁵ P. J. Q. English, A. R. Katritzky, T. T. Tidwell, and R. D. Topsom, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, **90**, 1767.

J.C.S. Perkin II

hydroxy-group with a chlorine atom and subsequent reduction with lithium aluminium hydride. They were purified by preparative g.l.c. (5 ft $\times \frac{1}{2}$ in 10% Carbowax 20 M on Chromosorb W; 190°).

p-(Bicyclo[2.2.2]octan-1-yl)anisole, m.p. 86-87° (Found: C, 83·1; H, 9·5. C₁₅H₂₀O requires C, 83·3; H, 9·3%), was prepared by the reaction 26 of anisole with 1-bromobicyclo-[2.2.2]octane²⁷ in the presence of anhydrous zinc chloride. The product was sublimed at 120° and 10 mmHg; p-(adamantan-1-yl)anisole, m.p. 76-77° (Found: C, 84·1; Ĥ, 9.2. C₁₇H₂₂O requires C, 84.3; H, 9.1%), was similarly prepared from anisole and 1-bromoadamantane.

and octyl than by methyl and ethyl groups. The adamantanyl and bicyclo-octanyl groups are also significantly stronger donors than the simpler alkyl groups, a result earlier 24 noted for the cyclopropyl substituent. At the other extreme the neopentyl group appears to be the weakest resonance donor of all alkyl groups so far investigated.

We made measurements on the series of p-methoxyand p-acetyl-alkylbenzenes in order to look at the effect on typical alkyl groups of changing the electron demand of the attached system. Our earlier work²

TABLE 2

Intensities $(A/l \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ for the v_8 vibration of some monosubstituted benzenes

Substituent	v	A - 100	σ° _B	M.p. [B.p.] (°C)	Lit. m.p. [b.p.] (°C)	Ref. to prep.
3u	1603, 1584	248	-0.119			а
Pentvl	1604, 1584	268	-0.123	[196-200]	[198202] b	с
Detvl	1604, 1584	355	-0.141	[149—151 at 20 mmHg]	[9597 at 0.5 mmHg] 4	d
Neopentyl	1609, 1601, 1584	134	-0.087	[180-182]	[185—186] •	e
Bicyclo-octan-1-yl	1611, 1598, 1580	504	-0.169	ັ 78—79 ⁻	7880 f	f
Adamantan-1-yl	1603, 1598, 1580	382	-0.147	7880	82 f	f
CH, CHMe,	1604, 1595, 1584	237	-0.116	[168 - 170]	[172] •	°c
$CH_2 \cdot CH[CH_2]_2$	1605, 1584	261	-0.125			a

⁶ Commercial sample checked for purity by g.l.c., i.r., and n.m.r. ^b H. Gilman and J. Robinson, Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. 11, 1943, p. 47. ^c H. Gilman and A. H. Haubein, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1944, **66**, 1515. ^d F. W. Gray, J. F. Gerecht, and I. J. Krems, J. Org. Chem., 1955, **20**, 511. ^e A. Bygden, Ber., 1912, **45**, 3479. ^f Ref. 23. ^g I. Ramadane, Uch. Rizhsk. Pditekhn. Inst. Khim. Fah., 1959, 2, 49.

TABLE 3

Effective σ values for *para*-substituted alkylbenzenes

	p-RC ₆ H ₄ OMe			p-RC ₆ H ₄ COMe				
R	σ° _B	$\left(\frac{A-170}{15,000}\right)$	$[\sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(2)]$	Δ	$\left(\frac{A-170}{15,000}\right)^{4}$	$[\sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(2)]$	Δ	ΣΔ
Me	0.10	0.30 a	0.33	-0.03	0·42 b	0.32	0.10	0.07
Et	0.10	0.33 a	0.32	0.01	0·43 b	0.32	0.11	0.12
Bu	0.12	0·33 b	0.31	0.02	0·42 b	0.33	0.09	0.11
Pentyl	0.125	0.32 0	0.31	0.01	0·44 b	0.33	0.11	0.12
Neopentyl	0.085	0.34 0	0.34	0.00	0.38 0	0.31	0.07	0.07
Pri	0.112	()·33 a	0.31	0.05	0.42 0	0.33	0.09	0.11
Cyclopropyl	0.175	0·29 a	0.25	0.04	0.52 %	0.39	0.13	0.17
Bu ^t	0.125	0.33 a	0.30	0.30	0.44 0	0.34	0.10	0.13
Bicyclo-octan-1-yl	0.17	0.35 b	0.26	0.09	0.52 5	0.39	0.13	0.22
Adamantan-1-yl	0.145	0·34 b	0.28	0.06	0.48 0	0.37	0.11	0.17

• Value from ref. 2. ^b This paper.

p-Trifluoromethylanisole was prepared from p-trifluoromethyl chlorobenzene by treatment for 5 h with sodium methoxide in 4:1 (v/v) dimethyl sulphoxide-methanol at 100°.

The i.r. intensities (A in 1 mol⁻¹ cm⁻²) were measured for dilute solutions in carbon tetrachloride as previously described.²⁴ The reproducibility in $(A - 170)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ values was ± 1 for A values greater than 400 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻², but greater for smaller intensities; in such cases the actual values are also less accurate because of uncertainty in the value of the overtone correction.

DISCUSSION

In Table 2 we report new σ°_{R} values obtained for alkyl substituents. Comparison with σ°_{R} values previously obtained for other alkyl groups (Table 3) shows that there is some evidence for greater resonance donation by the longer straight-chain alkyl groups such as pentyl

* A similar trend is found in the result reported in ref. 2 for p-alkyl-NN-dimethylanilines.

showed that alkyl groups are polarisable in this respect, a result supported by other recent work mentioned above. Table 3 lists values of $[(A - 170)/15,000]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $[\sigma^{\circ}_{R}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{R}(2)]$ and the difference (Δ) between these quantities.

There are small but definite trends. In the paraalkylanisoles there is greater resonance interaction for the bridgehead and cyclopropyl groups than for tertiary and secondary alkyl groups, which in turn show slightly greater interaction than primary alkyl groups.* The same is true for the *para*-alkylacetophenones and this is clearly indicated by comparing the $\Sigma\Delta$ values of the series. The order of polarisability is Me, neopentyl <Et, Bu, pentyl, Prⁱ < Bu^t < cyclopropyl, adamantan-1-yl < bicyclo-octan-1-yl, confirming and extending our previous² conclusions on some of these substituents. This change of polarisability is unlikely to result from

H. F. Reinhardt, J. Org. Chem., 1962, 27, 3258.
 Z. Suzuki and K. Morita, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 31.

changes in the interaction of the OMe or COMe groups with the π -system since the alkyl groups all have similar electronic effects of the same direction. It must therefore indicate changes in alkyl group– π -system interaction brought about by the electron-donating and electrondemanding nature of the π -system in the anisoles and acetophenones, respectively. This tendency for greater polarisability in the order shown fits in with the theoretical results discussed in the introduction and with other recent evidence.28 The low polarisability of the neopentyl group remains a puzzle.

These results also confirm that the failure 23 of adamantan-1-yl and bicyclo-octan-1-yl substituents to show significantly enhanced σ values in the solvolysis of the corresponding 2-aryl-2-chloropropanes is a result of steric inhibition of solvation rather than of any inherent electronic feature.

Halogeno-substituted Methyl Groups.-The electronic effects of such substituents, particularly the trifluoromethyl group, have recently attracted considerable attention. There has been controversy 29,30 as to their mode of interaction and suggestions have been advanced for halogen hyperconjugation,³¹ $p-\pi$ interaction ³² and π -electron induction.^{30,33} The first of these possibilities involves the movement of electron density from the π -orbitals of, say, a benzene ring into the σ -orbitals of the carbon-halogen bond. In valence-bond terminology we can write canonical forms of type (A). The second possibility allows for interaction of the unshared pelectrons of the halogen atoms with the π -system of the



ring; the third considers the movement of π -electron density in the ring caused by the polar nature of the σ -electron system of the substituent. (It is, however, not always clear what is meant by π -inductive effects.) ³⁴

Investigation of the 19F shifts in meta- and parafluoro-substituted halogenotoluenes has given 32,35,36 the following σ°_{R} values: CF₃, +0.10; CCl₃, +0.03; CHCl₂, +0.02; CH₂Cl, -0.03. We earlier reported ²⁴ a value of 0.11 for CF₃. The use of i.r. intensities for metasubstituted derivatives allows ³⁷ a more accurate estima-

* A Values determined by T. J. Broxton as m-ClC₆H₄CH₂Cl, A 1357; m-ClC₆H₄CCl₃, A 1050 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻², for dilute solutions in carbon tetrachloride.

O. Exner and V. Vsetecka, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1972, 4613.
 W. A. Sheppard and C. M. Sharts, 'Organic Fluorine Chem-

 istry,' Benjamin, New York, 1969, p. 35.
 ³⁰ D. Holtz, Chem. Rev., 1971, 71, 139.
 ³¹ J. Hine, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 3239 and references therein.

³² W. A. Sheppard, *Tetrahedron*, 1971, **27**, 945; *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 2410.
 ³³ M. J. S. Dewar, 'Hyperconjugation,' Ronald Press, New

York, 1962.

tion of low σ°_{R} values than can be obtained from monosubstituted benzenes. The appropriate formula ³⁷ is (3).

$$A = 19,000 [\sigma^{\circ}_{R}(1)^{2} + \sigma^{\circ}_{R}(2)^{2} + \sigma^{\circ}_{R}(1)\sigma^{\circ}_{R}(2)] + 340$$
 (3)

Use of this formula for *meta*-substituted chlorobenzenes gives * values of 0.065 for CCl₃ and -0.026 for CH₂Cl, in good agreement with the above. Theoretical studies of electron densities in halogenomethylbenzenes have been interpreted 35 as evidence for important hyperconjugative contributions. However, measurement of dipole moments of substituted benzylidyne trifluorides led to the recent conclusion ³⁸ that π -electron induction was the major factor (but see also ref. 39).

TABLE 4

Resonance interactions in para-substituted halogenoalkylbenzenes $(A - 170 \text{ in } 1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$; measured in carbon tetrachloride)

			$(A - 170)^{\frac{1}{2}}$		
Subst	ituent	A-170 °	(15,000)	$[\sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}(2)]$	Δ •
CF_3	NMe.	8339	0.75	0.65	0.11
•	OMe [°]	4880	0.57	0.53	0.05
	\mathbf{F}	3110	0.46	0.45	0.01
	Cl	2040	0.37	0.33	0.04
	\mathbf{Br}	1980	0.36	0.34	0.03
	CN	۵ 180 C	0.11	0.02	-0.09
	COMe	263 °	0.13	-0.11	-0.02
	SOM ₂ e	145 °	0.10	0.04	-0.06
	NO ₂	44 0 d,e	0.12	-0.06	-0.11
CC1 ₃	OMe	4335	0.54	0.46	0.08
-	\mathbf{F}	2123	0.38	0.37	0.01
	Cl	758	0.22	0.25	-0.02
	Br	783	0.23	0.26	-0.03
	Me	364	0.16	0.13	0.03
	CO ₂ Me	602	0.20	-0.13	-0.07
	NO_2	930 °	0.25	-0.14	-0.11
CH ₂ Cl	OMe	2590	0.42	0.40	0.02
-	Cl	310 d	0.14	0.19	-0.05
	Me	87	0.08	0.07	0.01
	CO ₂ Me	1156	0.28	-0.19	-0.09
CHCl ₂	OMe	4470	0.55	0.45	0.10

^e This paper unless otherwise specified. ^b Defined as positive if electron movement is towards halogenoalkyl substituent. ^e Ref. 35. ^d Ref. 1. ^e These results may be subject to some error arising from interaction with the nearby v_{NO_2} band but this should be limited with the substituents involved; see ref. 1.

Table 4 shows that considerable changes in polarisation occur in series of para-substituted benzylidyne trifluorides, benzylidyne trichlorides, and benzyl chlorides as the electronic nature of the *para*-substituent is changed. There are sufficient results to allow the determination of a $K_{\rm A}$ value of 0.08 ± 0.01 for the CF₃ substituent by ¹ plotting $[(A - 170)/15,000]^{\frac{1}{2}} - [\sigma^{\circ}_{R}(1) - \sigma^{\circ}_{R}(2)]$ values against

34 A. R. Katritzky and R. D. Topsom, J. Chem. Educ., 1971, 48, 427. ³⁵ E. T. McBee, I. Serfaty, and T. Hodgins, J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,

1971, 93, 5711.

³⁶ G. P. Syrova, Yu. N. Sheinker, V. F. Bystrov, N. V. Kon-dratenko, and L. M. Yagupol'skii, *Reakts. spos. org. Soedinenii*, 1969, 6, 380.

³⁷ A. R. Katritzky, M. V. Sinnott, T. T. Tidwell, and R. D.

Topsom, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, **91**, 628. ³⁸ J. D. Hepworth, J. A. Hudson, D. A. Ibbitson, and G. Hallas, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 1905.

39 K. E. Calderbank and R. K. Pierens, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 293.

J.S.C. Perkin II

 $(\sigma^+ - \sigma^\circ)$ for the second substituent. A statistical analysis of i.r. results from *para*-disubstituted benzenes showed ¹ that a satisfactory treatment is obtained if the resonance interaction of each group is allowed to be affected by the total (inductive and resonance) electronic effects of the other group. The effect could thus be made up of (*a*) a change in the halogenomethyl- π -system interaction (hyperconjugation) caused by the second substituent; (*b*) a change in the interaction between the π -system of the ring and the second substituent caused by the overall electron-withdrawing nature of the halogenomethyl substituent.

The first effect is important since the results for compounds with strongly electron-withdrawing substituents can only be explained if the CF_3 and CCl_3 groups are induced to become virtual resonance-electron donors. This effect must also be significantly greater than effect (b), which would tend to reduce the electron-withdrawing ability of the second substituent. The results for the two series do not allow us to establish whether effect (b) is of significance; it could be a minor contributor to the results. Possible evidence for this second effect is, however, available from dipole moment results ³⁸ which were otherwise explained by the authors. Thus it is known that the dipole moment in *para*-dimethylaminobenzylidyne trifluoride is 0.65 D greater than expected from vector addition of the results from the corresponding monosubstituted compounds. The finding that the interaction moment in *meta*-dimethylaminobenzylidyne trifluoride was 0.30 D greater than calculated led to the suggestion ³⁸ that π -induction was the major mechanism of electron interaction in both compounds. This π induction was apparently visualised in the sense of a polarisation of the ring π -system caused by the polar nature of the CF_3 group, leading, in turn, to an increased interaction between the NMe_2 group and the π -system. However, we feel that the effect in the *meta*-compound is probably an induced increase in the interaction between the dimethylamino-group and the π -system caused directly through space by the polar nature of the CF_3 substituent. The dimethylamino-group is the most polarisable of the common substituents¹ and we will shortly publish ⁴⁰ results on model systems to establish this field-induced resonance interaction of a remote substituent.

[3/1195 Received, 8th June, 1973]

⁴⁰ T. J. Broxton, G. Butt, R. Liu, L. H. Teo, and R. D. Topsom, *J.C.S.Perkin II*, in the press.