277. Transition Metal Complexes with Bidentate Ligands Spanning trans-Positions. VI[1]). The Preparation of Some Diaryl- and Dialkyl Derivatives of 2,11-Bis(phosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene

by **Pramesh N. Kapoor** and **Luigi M. Venanzi**

Laboratorium für anorganische Chemie, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

(4.X.77)

Summary

The preparation of the ditertiary phosphines 2,11-bis(di-m-tolylphosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1b**), 2,11-bis(di-p-anisylphosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1c**), 2,11-bis(di-m-trifluoromethylphenylphosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1d**), 2,11-bis(dicyclohexylphosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1e**) and 2,11-bis[di-(t-butyl)phosphinomethyl]benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1f**), by a combination of synthetic routes is described.

Introduction. – In earlier publications [1] the preparation of the ditertiary phosphine 2,11-bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (**1a**) and some of its coordination chemistry were described. The development of this study required the preparation of complexes of analogous phosphines having different electronic and steric properties and/or different solubility characteristics. This paper describes the preparation of ligands **1b** to **1f**.


1a	X = Ph_2P-
1b	X = $(m\text{-}CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2P-$
1c	X = $(p\text{-}CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2P-$
1d	X = $(m\text{-}CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2P-$
1e	X = $(C_6H_{11})_2P-$
1f	X = $t\text{-}Bu_2P-$
1g	X = Br

≡ $XCH_2 \sim CH_2X$

Results and discussion. – The new ligands were prepared using a combination of the reactions shown in the *Scheme*. Relevant comments to these reactions are:

Reaction 1. The cleavage of a P–C bond in $(m\text{-}CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_3P$, using sodium in liquid ammonia [2], followed by hydrolysis, gave $(m\text{-}CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PH$ in good yield. The same reaction using $(m\text{-}CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_3P$, however, led only to the partial recovery of starting material.

---

[1])   Part V: See [1].

Scheme

R$_3$P $\xrightarrow{\text{Reaction 1}}$ R$_2$PH (3) $\longleftarrow$ R$_2$PCl

(i) (ii)

Reaction 2 (ii)

Reaction 3 (iii) Reaction 4 (iv)

R$_2$P(O)H (2)

R$_2$PCH$_2 \sim$ CH$_2$PR$_2$ (1)

Reaction 8 (viii)

Reaction 5 (v)

Reaction 6 (vi) Reaction 7 (vii)

(EtO)$_2$P(O)H

R$_2$P(O)CH$_2 \sim$ CH$_2$P(O)R$_2$ (4) $\xleftarrow{\text{Reaction 9}}$ R$_2$POR'

(ix)

(i) Na in liq. NH$_3$ followed by hydrolysis. (ii) LiAlH$_4$ in Et$_2$O. (iii) *n*-BuLi in THF followed by **1g**. (iv) **1g** in *iso*-BuC(O)Me followed by NaOH. (v) RMgBr followed by HCl. (vi) *n*-BuLi in THF followed by **1g** in THF. (vii) SiHCl$_3$ and *n*-Bu$_3$N in MeCN. (viii) R'O$^-$ in R'OH. (ix) **1g**.

Reaction 2. Compounds of the type R$_2$P(O)X have been reduced to corresponding secondary phosphines using a variety of reducing agents, the most common being LiAlH$_4$ [3]. This reagent was successfully used for the reduction of (*m*-CF$_3 \cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)$_2$P(O)H to **3d**. However, from the LiAlH$_4$ reduction of (*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)$_2$P(O)H no secondary phosphine was formed and only small amounts of (*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)$_2$PCH$_3$ could be isolated. This type of reaction has been observed previously [3], *e.g.*, the reduction of Ph(*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)P(O)H gave a mixture of Ph(*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)PH and Ph(*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)PCH$_3$. However, (*p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$)$_2$P(O)H was not reduced by Li[AlH(O–*t*Bu)$_3$] in refluxing THF and its reaction with Si$_2$Cl$_6$ in benzene gave several products which were not investigated further.

Reaction 3. This is the standard method of preparation of ligand **1a** [4] and was successfully used for the preparation of ligands **1b** and **1d**. When R$_2$PH (R = C$_6$H$_{11}$ and *t*-Bu) were used in this reaction it was shown by ^{31}P-NMR. spectroscopy that mixtures of products were formed. The desired compounds **1e** and **1f** were present in significant amounts but could not be conveniently isolated.

Reaction 4. This reaction has been extensively used for the preparation of tertiary phosphines containing *t*-butyl groups [5]. Ligand **1f** was obtained by this method in *ca.* 30% yield. Preliminary results indicate that ligand **1e** can also be obtained by this reaction.

Reaction 5. This appears to be one of the most convenient methods for the selective introduction of two R-groups onto a phosphorus atom [6]. It was used to obtain intermediates **2c, 2d** and **2e**.

Reaction 6. Hays [6] reports the successful preparation of tertiary phosphine oxides by the reaction of alkyl halides on R$_2$P(O)MgX obtained *in situ* by reaction 5. Better results were obtained by starting from pure R$_2$P(O)H, adding *n*-BuLi followed by **1g**. While this reaction gave the desired product when R was *p*-CH$_3$O $\cdot$ C$_6$H$_4$, when R was C$_6$H$_{11}$ a complex mixture of products, containing some **4e**, was obtained.

Reaction 7. Although the reduction of tertiary phosphine oxides to the corresponding phosphines can be carried out using a variety of reagents [7], difficulties were encountered in reducing ditertiary bis-phosphine oxides. Thus, $Ph_2P(O)CH_2\sim CH_2P(O)Ph_2$ was not reduced by $SiHCl_3$, in benzene solution in the presence of p-$CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4NEt_2$, while Si_2Cl_6 in $CHCl_3$ gave only incomplete reduction. The best reducing system for compounds of type **4** proved to be $SiHCl_3$ and n-Bu_3N in acetonitrile [8].

Reaction 8. Compounds of the type $R_2P(OR')$ are generally prepared by reaction of R_2PCl and $R'OH$ in the presence of a nitrogen base and it is stated that the use of sodium alkoxides is less satisfactory [9]. We find that while $(C_6H_{11})_2P(O$-iso Pr$)$ can be obtained from $(C_6H_{11})_2PCl$, iso-PrOH and Et_3N, the preparation of t-$Bu_2P(O$-iso Pr$)$ requires the action of iso-PrONa on t-Bu_2PCl.

Reaction 9. This reaction [10] provides a very convenient method of preparation for compounds of type **4** from $R_2P(O$-iso Pr$)$ and **1g** even when R = t-Bu.

The analytical and NMR. data for the new compounds characterized are given in *Tables 1* and *2* respectively.

Table 1. *Analytical Data for Ditertiary Phosphines and Their Precursors*

	Compound		C (%)	H (%)	P (%)	Mol. Wt.
1b	$(m$-$CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PCH_2\sim CH_2P(m$-$CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2$	Found	84.78	6.15	8.94	668
		Calcd.	84.71	6.22	9.11	681
1c	$(p$-$CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2PCH_2\sim CH_2P(p$-$CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2$	Found	77.27	5.80	8.34	794
		Calcd.	77.40	5.68	8.32	745
1d	$(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PCH_2\sim CH_2P(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2$	Found	64.40	3.34	7.22	877
		Calcd.	64.30	3.37	6.91	897
1e	$(C_6H_{11})_2PCH_2\sim CH_2P(C_6H_{11})_2$	Found	81.31	9.03	9.45	629
		Calcd.	81.44	9.00	9.56	649
1f	$(t$-Bu$)_2PCH_2\sim CH_2P(t$-Bu$)_2$	Found	79.36	9.29	11.28	537
		Calcd.	79.37	9.25	11.38	545
2c	$(p$-$CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2P(O)H$	Found	64.01	5.78	11.73	
		Calcd.	64.14	5.73	11.83	
2d	$(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2P(O)H$	Found	49.75	2.69	9.23	
		Calcd.	49.72	2.66	9.17	
2e	$(C_6H_{11})_2P(O)H$	Found	66.36	10.61		
		Calcd.	67.25	10.84		
3d	$(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PH$	Found	52.21	2.91	9.53	
		Calcd.	52.19	2.80	9.62	
4b	$(m$-$CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2P(O)CH_2$	Found	77.86	5.41		
	$\sim CH_2P(O)(m$-$CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2 \cdot 0.25\,CHCl_3$	Calcd.	78.03	5.73		
4c	$(p$-$CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2P(O)CH_2\sim CH_2P(O)(p$-$CH_3O \cdot C_6H_4)_2$	Found	74.26	5.46	8.12	
		Calcd.	72.21	5.44	7.98	
4d	$(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2P(O)CH_2\sim CH_2P(O)(m$-$CF_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2$	Found	62.08	3.29	6.84	
		Calcd.	62.08	3.26	6.68	
4e	$(C_6H_{11})_2P(O)CH_2\sim CH_2P(O)(C_6H_{11})_2$	Found	77.05	8.55	8.97	
		Calcd.	77.61	8.59	9.10	
4f	$(t$-Bu$)_2P(O)CH_2\sim CH_2P(O)(t$-Bu$)_2$	Found	75.06	8.74	10.64	
		Calcd.	74.96	8.74	10.75	

Table 2. *NMR. Parameters for Ditertiary Phosphines and Their Precursors*

Compound	δ 1,12[a] [ppm]	δCH_2 [ppm]	δCH_3 [ppm]	$\delta = P-H$ [ppm]	$^1J(^{31}P,^1H)$[b] [Hz]	$^2J(^{31}P,^1H)$ [Hz]	$\delta ^{31}P$[c] [ppm]
1b	8.92(s)	3.70(s)	2.19(s)				− 9.0
1c	8.94(s)	3.63(s)	3.74(s)				− 11.6
1d	9.15(s)	3.75(s)					− 8.6
1e	9.11(s)	3.16(s)					+ 3.8
1f	9.12(s)	3.20(d)	1.20(d)[d]			3.36	+ 35.6
2c			3.78(s)	8.03(d)	475.6		+ 20.5
2d				8.24(d)	492.7		+ 17.8
2e				6.22(d)	433.7		+ 49.5
3d				5.36(d)	219.7		− 40.9
4b	9.41(s)	4.12(d)	2.17(s)			13.80	+ 30.2
4c	9.34(s)	4.05(d)	3.74(s)			13.73	+ 30.3
4d	9.41(s)	4.30(d)				13.20	+ 28.8
4e	9.45(s)	3.52(d)				11.90	+ 49.2
4f	9.60(s)	3.68(d)	1.25(d)[e]			10.68	+ 48.4
5e			1.11(d)[f]				+ 138.5
5f			[g]				+ 150.7

[a]) Shift of protons at positions 1 and 12 of the benzo[c]phenanthrene ring.

[b]) For H directly bonded to P.

[c]) Relative to an external H_3PO_4 standard; shifts to higher fields are denoted as negative and those to lower fields as positive.

[d]) $^3J(^{31}P,^1H) = 12.29$ Hz.

[e]) $^3J(^{31}P,^1H) = 13.12$ Hz.

[f]) $\delta_{CH} = 3.68$ ppm (doublet of septets); $^3J(^1H,^1H) = 6.10$ Hz; $^3J(^{31}P,^1H) = 9.33$ Hz.

[g]) $\delta_{CH_3(t\text{-Bu})} = 1.09(d)$ ppm; $^3J(^{31}P,^1H_{(t\text{-Bu})}) = 12.29$ Hz; $\delta_{CH_2(i\text{-Pr})} = 1.22(d)$ ppm; $^3J(^1H_{CH},{}^1H_{CH_3(i\text{-Pr})}) = 6.10$ Hz; $\delta_{CH} = 3.83$ ppm (doublet of septets); $^3J(^{31}P,^1H) = 1.22$ Hz.

Experimental Part

Melting points were determined using a *Büchi* melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ^1H- and ^{31}P-NMR. spectra were recorded on a *Bruker* HX 90 FT spectrometer at frequencies of 90.00 and 36.43 MHz respectively, the ^2H-resonance of the deuterated solvent being used as internal lock. ^1H-chemical shifts are in ppm with respect to an internal TMS standard whilst the ^{31}P-chemical shifts are in ppm relative to an external H_3PO_4-standard. Shifts to higher fields are denoted as negative and those to lower fields as positive. ^{31}P-NMR. spectra were obtained under conditions of broad-band ^1H-noise decoupling. Elemental analyses and molecular weight determinations were performed by the *Microanalytical Laboratory* of the ETH Zürich. All preparations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in dried and de-oxygenated solvents.

1b. – $(m\text{-}CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PLi$ was prepared by the addition of 9.0 ml of a 1.67M solution (15.03 mmol) of n-BuLi in hexane to a stirred solution of 3.1 g (14.47 mmol) of $(m\text{-}CH_3 \cdot C_6H_4)_2PH$ [2] in 15 ml THF which had been cooled to 0°. The resulting orange-brown solution was stirred for 1 h at RT., cooled to 0° and treated with a solution of 3.0 g (7.24 mmol) **1g** in 10 ml THF. The resulting light yellow solution was stirred for 2 h at RT. and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 30 ml CHCl$_3$ and the solution washed with 3×20 ml water. The organic layer was dried over MgSO$_4$ and the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was washed first with methanol and then with light petroleum (40-60°) leaving a spongy solid which, after drying i.V., gave the product (3.3 g, 67%) as pale yellow powder. This became oily at 140° and melted to a clear liquid from 195 to 200°.

1c. – 6.8 g (5.0 ml, 50.1 mmol) SiHCl$_3$ and 9.1 g (7 ml, 49.0 mmol) n-Bu$_3$N were added to a suspension of 2.0 g (2.57 mmol) **4c** in 100 ml CH$_3$CN. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h and then left overnight

at RT. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the waxy residue dissolved in 100 ml CHCl₃. The solution was washed successively with 80 ml 30% NaOH-solution and 2×100 ml water and dried over MgSO₄. The yellow oil obtained after evaporation of the solvent was washed with light petroleum (30–60°) and the residual yellow solid was recrystallized from benzene/light petroleum. The product (1.1 g, 57%) melted over the range 145–148°.

1d. – It was prepared and purified as described for compound **1b**. 4.0 g (12.4 mmol) **3d**, 6.1 ml of a 2.04M solution of n-BuLi (12.4 mmol) and 2.57 g (6.2 mmol) **1g** gave, after drying i.V., a red-brown spongy solid which was recrystallised from MeOH giving 1.7 g (30%) of the white crystalline product with m.p. 109–110°.

1e. – It was prepared and purified as described for **1c**. 3.0 g **4e** gave a brown solid which was purified by washing with acetone and recrystallization from benzene/ethanol. The white crystalline product (1.7 g, 60%) had a m.p. 196–199°.

1f. – 1.4 g (9.6 mmol) t-Bu₂PH [11] was added to a hot solution of 2.0 g (4.83 mmol) **1g** in 40 ml isobutyl methyl ketone. The mixture was refluxed for 6 h and then left overnight. The liquid was decanted, the residue dissolved in 20 ml ethanol and treated with 20 ml 5% NaOH solution. The solvent was decanted from the oily precipitate which was dissolved in 40 ml CHCl₃. This solution was washed 3 times with 30 ml water, the organic layer dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residual spongy solid was recrystallized from ethanol/benzene giving 0.9 g (30%) of the white crystalline product with m.p. 208–210°.

2c. – 19.6 g (76.03 mmol) (EtO)₂P(O)H was added slowly to the *Grignard* reagent prepared from 10.5 g (431.89 m-atoms) Mg and 80.0 g (467.72 mmol) p-CH₃ · C₆H₄ · Br in 250 ml ether which had been cooled to 0°. The very viscous solution was refluxed for 2 h and a waxy solid collected at the bottom of the flask. This mixture was hydrolysed with 200 ml 10% HCl-solution followed by 100 ml of water. The ether layer was separated and the residue was extracted with 6 50-ml portions of C₆H₆. The solid residue was then dissolved in ethanol and this solution extracted with a mixture of C₆H₆ and H₂O. The combined ether and benzene extracts were dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent evaporated i.V. The pale yellow crude product was purified by recrystallization from acetone/ether and gave 26.6 g (70%) of product with m.p. 115–116° and $\tilde{v}_{P-H} = 2340$ cm⁻¹.

2d. – It was prepared as described for **2c** from 10.0 g (72.4 mmol) (EtO)₂P(O)H, 49.0 g (192.1 mmol) m-CF₃ · C₆H₄ · Br and 5.4 g (222.1 m-atoms) Mg and recrystallised from Et₂O by cooling the solution to −60°. The white crystalline product (22.0 g, 90%) had m.p. 62° and $\tilde{v}_{P-H} = 2380$ cm⁻¹.

2e. – It was prepared as described for **2c** from 20.0 g (144.82 mmol) (EtO)₂P(O)H, 85.2 g (522.50 mmol) C₆H₁₁Br and 12.6 g (518.26 m-atoms) Mg and recrystallized from hexane. The white solid (18.2 g, 59%) had m.p. 71–72° (Lit. [12]: 73–75°).

3d. – 20.0 g (59.1 mmol) **2d** and 4 g (105.2 mmol) LiAlH₄ in 200 ml Et₂O were refluxed for 15 h. The red-brown solution was cooled to 0° and hydrolysed by the successive addition of 4 ml water, 4 ml 15% NaOH-solution and 12 ml water. The organic layer was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄ and fractionally distilled, the product being collected at 90–92°/0.4 Torr. Yield 10.5 g (54%). $\tilde{v}_{P-H} = 2290$ cm⁻¹.

4b. – 10 ml of 30% H₂O₂ was gradually added to 1 g of crude **1b** in 30 ml acetone²) at 0°. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight and the solid which had separated was filtered off, dissolved in 20 ml CHCl₃ and chromatographed over alumina using hexane/CHCl₃ 1:1 as eluant. Evaporation of the eluate left a yellow oil which was dissolved in a minimum of ethanol and cooled to −5°. 0.3 g of white crystalline product of m.p. 232–234° were thus obtained.

4c. – 2.0 g n-BuLi (31.2 mmol, 20 ml of a 1.56M solution in hexane) was gradually added to a tepid solution of 8.0 g (30.5 mmol) **2c** in 120 ml THF. The dark yellow solution was left at RT. for 2 h and treated with 6.4 g **1g** in 40 ml THF. The solution was refluxed for 2 h, left overnight and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. A residue-solution in CHCl₃ was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated again. The crude product was recrystallized from CHCl₃/hexane. The crystalline product (8.0 g, 67%) had m.p. 315–322°.

---

²) The use of H₂O₂ in acetone solution mentioned in this preparation *should be avoided. Such a mixture is potentially explosive* and becomes particularly dangerous after evaporation of the solvent. During the course of subsequent work on a related compound a violent explosion of the oily residue occurred after the acetone had been evaporated. It was later discovered that the explosive nature of H₂O₂/acetone mixtures had been observed elsewhere, e.g., see *A. D. Brewer*, Chem. in Britain, *1975*, 355.

4d. – It was prepared as described for **4b**. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure (*see Footnote to preparation of* **4b**) and the oily residue purified by chromatography over alumina using hexane/chloroform mixtures. The waxy solid thus obtained was recrystallized from ethanol by cooling the solution to −5°. 1 g crude **1b** gave 0.7 g of product with m.p. 204–205°.

4e. – 2.0 g (4.83 mmol) **1g** and 2.5 g (9.76 mmol) $(C_6H_{11})_2P(O\text{-}iso\,Pr)$ were heated together at 140–160° for 2 h, the *iso*-PrBr formed being allowed to distil off. The reaction mixture was then heated to 160–170° for 1 h, cooled and washed with 100 ml Et_2O. The white residual solid was recrystallized from $CHCl_3$/hexane giving 2.7 g (83%) of product melting from 318 to 322°.

4f. – It was prepared and purified as **4e**. 3.6 g (17.56 mmol) $t\text{-}Bu_2P(O\text{-}iso\,Pr)$ and 2.5 g (6 mmol) **1g** gave 2.4 g (68.6%) of product melting over the range 270–273°.

$(C_6H_{11})_2P(O$-iso$Pr)$ (**5e**). – 18.0 g (77.4 mmol) $(C_6H_{11})_2PCl$, 4.7 g (77.4 mmol) *iso*-PrOH and 7.8 g (77.1 mmol) Et_3N in 50 ml benzene were refluxed for 4 h. After cooling, the solid formed was filtered off and the solution fractionally distilled. The product (15.4 g, 78%) was collected at 110–115°/1.0 Torr and was characterized through its ^{1}H-NMR. spectrum.

t-$Bu_2P(O$-iso$Pr)$ (**5f**). – A solution of *iso*-PrONa, prepared by adding 1.2 g (52.1 m-atoms) Na to 20 ml *iso*-PrOH, was gradually added to 8.9 g (49.3 mmol) $t\text{-}Bu_2PCl$ in 20 ml benzene. The mixture was refluxed for 14 h, cooled down and the solid filtered off. The solution was fractionally distilled and the product (4.5 g, 43%) was collected at 50°/1.5 Torr. It was characterized through its ^{1}H-NMR. spectrum.

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

[1] *F. Bachechi, L. Zambonelli & L. M. Venanzi*, Helv. 60, 2815 (1977), and references quoted therein.
[2] *L. Maier*, 'Primary, Secondary and Tertiary Phosphines', in 'Organic Phosphorus Compounds', Eds. G. M. Kosolapoff and L. Maier, Wiley-Interscience, N.Y., Vol. 1, p. 23, 1972.
[3] *L. Maier*, loc. cit., pp. 17–20.
[4] *N. J. DeStefano, D. K. Johnson, R. M. Lane & L. M. Venanzi*, Helv. 59, 2674 (1976).
[5] *L. Maier*, loc. cit., pp. 37–38.
[6] *H. R. Hays*, J. org. Chemistry 33, 3690 (1968).
[7] *L. Maier*, loc. cit., pp. 45–46.
[8] *W. S. Knowles, M. J. Sabacky, B. D. Vineyard & D. J. Weinkauff*, J. Amer. chem. Soc. 97, 2567 (1975).
[9] *G. M. Kosolapoff*, 'Organophosphorus Compounds', Wiley, N.Y., 1950, p. 139.
[10] *R. G. Harvey & E. R. De Sombre*, 'The Michaelis-Arbuzov Reaction', in 'Topics in Phosphorus Chemistry', Eds. M. Grayson and E. J. Griffith, Interscience, N.Y. 1964, Vol. 1, pp. 57–111.
[11] *L. Maier*, loc. cit., p. 115.
[12] *M. Epstein & S. A. Buckler*, Tetrahedron 18, 1231 (1962).