CrystEngComm

Accepted Manuscript

CrystEngComm

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

Volume 12 | Number 5 | May 2010 | Pages 1317–1658

RSC Publishing

HOT ARTICLE
Hall et al.
Alginate-mediated routes to the selective synthesis of complex metal oxide nanostructures

HIGHLIGHT
Long
pH effect on the assembly of metal–organic architectures

COMMUNICATION
Wang, Su et al.
Ionothermal synthesis of a new open-framework zinc phosphate NIS-3 with low framework density

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

Journal Name

CrystEngComm

ARTICLE TYPE

Hydrogen bonding interactions and supramolecular networks of pyridine-aryl based thiosemicarbazides and their Zn(II) complexes

Komala Pandurangan, Jonathan A. Kitchen, Thomas McCabe and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson*

The synthesis of five pyridyl derived thiosemicarbazides, **1-5**, is presented. All five were formed in a single step from 2-hydrazinopyridine with commercially available isothiocyanates using microwave assisted synthesis. Compounds **1-4** were structurally characterised by single crystal diffraction analysis, and showed extended supramolecular hydrogen bonding arrays. Furthermore, the Zn(II) complexes **6** and **7** have been prepared using ligands **1** and **5**, and structurally characterised, again showing elegant assemblies of
10 metal-supramolecular structures with solvent assessible channels, demonstrating that these building units are excellent candidates for use in supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering.

Introduction

The investigation of self-assembly processes in supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering between '*purely*' organic
15 molecules (*i.e.* not metal directed systems such as in MOF$_S$) has become an active area of research in recent times.[1] Such systems rely mainly on short contact van der Waals, hydrogen bonding,[2] π-π interactions,[3] as well as C-H---π interctions.[4] The interactions between hydrogen bonding donors and organic
20 anions has also been explored for the formation of such self-assembly systems.[5] Hydrogen bonding is a particularly powerful building motif for use in crystal engineering[6] and a great variety of hydrogen bonding donors/acceptors exists, making them a particularly good choice for the construction of
25 self-assemblies. Hydrogen bonds provide unique directionality, they are usually easily introduced into structures and their numbers can be varied through simple design; all of which can facilitate the formation of highly stable host-guest complexes (or conjugates) as recently demonstrated by Leigh and Hunter,
30 *et al.*[7a] using arrays of four/ hydrogen bonding donors/acceptors within single aromatic structures.[7] Functional groups such as amines, amides, ureas and thioureas,[8-12] have all been explored as hydrogen bonding donors in this manner. In the past, we have investigated their use in the development of
35 charge neutral receptors for anions.[13,14] As part of this work, we developed thiosemicarbazide and semicarbazide based 1,8-naphthlimide structures[5c] and showed that these were excellent luminescent and colorimetric sensors for anions in buffered aqueous or organic solutions.[15] Of these structures, the
40 thiosemicarbazides also gave rise to highly ordered honeycomb solid state structures, formed through hydrogen bonding interactions and weak F---F bonds, that were porous, with channels filled with disordered counter ions and solvent molecules. With the view of extending our investigation into
45 the use of such charge neutral hydrogen bonding motifs in anion recognition, sensing and as potential novel building blocks for the generation of networks and polymers, we have designed various families of amido-thiourea[16] and thiosemicarbazide based structures. Herein, we present the
50 results from the structural investigations of the thiosemicarbazide based structures **1-5**, Scheme 1. These are formed by condensing 2-hydrazinopyridine with various isothiocyanates, giving rise to pyridine structures that have the potential to generate ordered hydrogen bonded supramolecular
55 structures; but to our surprise, their use in crystal engineering and supramolecular chemistry in general, has received limited attention to date.[17] Thiosemicarbazides have been used to generate coordination networks using transition metal ions in the past,[18-22] most notably by Burrow *et al.*[18-20] Given that **1-5**
60 all possess one or more pyridine ligands, we extended our investigation into studying the complexation of **1-5** with transition metal ions; with the view of capitalising on the dual functionality of these structures.[23] Hence, we also report herein, the structural characterisation of two such Zn(II) complexes,
65 where the combination of metal coordination and hydrogen bonding results in extensive supramolecular networks, possessing porous channels.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of 1-5

70 Thiosemicarbazides **1-5** were synthesised by reacting equimolar ratios of 2-hydrazino-pyridine and substituted isothiocyanates; 4-nitrophenyl (**1**), 4-fluorophenyl (**2**), 2,6-dimethylphenyl (**3**), 3-pyridyl (**4**) and 4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl

Scheme 1: Synthesis of compounds **1-5** used in the current study.

(**5**), in acetonitrile under microwave irradiation (Scheme 1). **1** was isolated as a yellow powder while **2-5** were colourless powders. All compounds were fully characterised using standard analytical techniques (see experimental section). In

5 addition, X-ray quality single crystals were obtained of **1–4** and the low temperature (106(2) – 133(2) K) X-ray structures determined. Unfortunately despite many attempts utilising different solvents, solvent mixtures and crystallisation methods, X-ray quality crystals of **5** were not obtained; but its structural

10 connectivity was later demined as part of a Zn(II) complex (**7**).

Structural Analysis of 1-4
Single crystals of **1-4** were grown by the slow evaporation of acetonitrile at 6- 12°C and resulted in large block like crystals

15 of **1** (red), **2** (colourless), and **3** (colourless) and large yellow plates of **4** (Table 1). Compound **1** crystallised in the chiral monoclinic space group P*c* and contained one molecule in the asymmetric unit; compound **2** crystallised in the triclinic space group P-1 and contained two molecules in the asymmetric unit;

20 compound **3** crystallised in the chiral orthorhombic space group Pbca with one molecule in the asymmetric unit; and compound **4** crystallised in the chiral monoclinic spacegroup P2$_1$ and contained two molecules in the asymmetric unit. The structures were all crystallised under the same conditions, contained no


Fig 1. Perspective view of **1**. Hydrogen atom and other NH protons are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level.

25 interstitial solvent molecules, a feature that makes structural comparisons much more straightforward.

The *thiourea motif* of these thiosemicarbazides, adopts in all four structures the *anti*-conformation a common occurrence in thiourea systems. All C=S bond distances are consistent with

30 those expected for thio urea moieties [1.681(17) Å – 1.695(2) Å] as are the bond lengths angles of all other functional groups. Another common feature of these systems is the twisting out of plane of the pyridyl group *i.e*, the thiosemicarbazide C(5)-N(2)-N(3)-C(6) dihedral angle in each compound is *ca*. 100 Å (Table

35 2).

Table 2: Selected bond length and bond angles of **1-4.**

	Bond Length of C=S (Å)	Mean plane Angle (Å)	Dihedral angle, (Å) C(5)-N(2)-N(3)-C(6)
1	1.681(17)	43.20(7)	124.9(2)
2^a	1.695(2) and 1.695(2)	48.31(8) and 42.82(9)	106.6(2)
3	1.6842(17)	83.53(5)	119.0(2)
4^a	1.693(2) and 1.683(2)	80.27(7) and 82.57(7)	101.0(2)

^{a} Asymmetric units of **2** and **4** contain two molecules.

Fig 2. View of H-bonding in thiourea **1**. (N(3)···N(1), (N(2)···S(1), N(4)···O(2) interactions are shown in black dotted bonds.

40 Analysis of the mean plane angles formed between the thiourea portion of the thiosemicarbazide (N3, C6, N4, and S1) and the substituted phenyl ring reveals that the *para*-substituted systems of **1** and **2** are more in plane (43.20(7) Å and 48.31(8) Å respectively) than the di-*ortho* substituted pyridyl systems **3**

45 and pyridyl substituted **4** (83.53(5) Å and 80.57(7) Å respectively).

Analysis of the hydrogen bonding interactions involving the relatively acidic thiosemicarbazide protons were undertaken in order to ascertain what effect, if any, the differing substitution

50 patterns had on the packing of the molecules. Three distinct intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions were observed in **1**, involving all of the N-H protons (Fig. 2) of the thiosemicarbazide. The molecules form a staggered chain *via* interactions between H(3X) and the pyridyl nitrogen atom,

55 N(1) on an adjacent molecule [(N(3)···N(1)' = 2.993(2) Å and <(N(3)-H(3X)···N(1)') = 173.8°], (Fig. 2 and Table 3) and between the sulphur atom, S(1), and proton of N(2), [(N(2)···S(1)' = 3.421(2) Å and <(N(2)-H(2X)···S(1)') = 172.8°] (Fig. 2 and Table 3). The third interaction involves

60 H(4X) and the nitro oxygen atom, O(2), on a symmetry generated adjacent molecule, linking together the chains to form a network with [N(4)···O(2) = 3.059(2)' Å and <(N(4)-


Fig 3. Network arrangement of **1** formed through intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions.

Journal Name

CrystEngComm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Fig. 4. Perspective view of **2**. Hydrogen atoms other than NH protons omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level.

H(4X)···O(2)') = 151.3°], Fig 2. These three hydrogen bonding interactions between neighbouring urea molecules generate the supramolecular 3D network arrangement as depicted in Fig. 3.

5 The crystal structure of the *p*-fluoro substituted derivative **2** is shown in Fig. 4. In **2**, two crystallographically independent molecules dimerise through complementary hydrogen bonding interactions between the thiosemicarbazide protons H(4X) and H(24X) and the pyridyl nitrogen atoms N(1) and N(21);
10 [N(4)···N(21)' =2.861(3) Å and <(N(4)-H(4X)···N(21)') = 145(3)°; N(24)···N(1)' = 2.892(3) Å and <(N(24)-H(24X)···N(1)') = 149(2)°]. These dimers are then extended along the crystallographic *a* axis through further complementary hydrogen bonding interactions between
15 thiosemicarbazide protons H(3X) and H(23X) and the sulphur atoms S(1) and S(21) on adjacent molecules [N(3)···S(21) = 3.329(2) Å and <(N(3)-H(3X)···S(21)) = 165(2)°; N(23)···S(1) = 3.414(2) Å and <(N(23)-H(23X)···S(1) = 170(2)°]. These hydrogen bonding interactions result in the formation of a 1D
20 polymeric chain, where strands of this polymer are linked though hydrogen bonding interactions between the amino proton H(22X) and sulphur atom S(1); [N(22)···S(1) = 3.303(2) Å and <(N(22)-H(22X)···S(1)) = 146(2)°] These interactions lead to the polymeric network shown in Fig. 5, in contrast to
25 the network arrangement of **1**. Unlike the structure of **1**, the H-bonding does not involve the phenyl substituent, i.e. the


Fig 5. Hydrogen bonded polymeric chain of **2**. N(4)···N(21); N(24)···N(1) are shown in red dotted bonds and N(3)···S(21) and N(22)···S(1) black dotted bonds.


Fig 6. Perspective view of **3**. Hydrogen atoms other than NH protons omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level.

fluorine atoms is not involved in H-bonding.

The molecular structure of the dimethylphenyl analogue **3** crystallises in the orthorhombic space group Pbca and is shown
30 in Fig. 6, where it can be seen that **3** exhibits two distinct intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions. The strongest between N(3) and the pyridyl nitrogen atoms on a neighbouring molecule (N(1)) [N(3)···N(1)' = 2.973(2) Å and <(N(3)-H(3X)···N(1)') = 172 (3)°] and a weaker interaction between
35 hydrazino amine N(2) part of the thiosemicarbazide and the sulphur atom (S(1)') on a neighbouring molecule [N(2)···S(1)'


Fig 7. Hydrogen bonded polymeric chain of **3** viewed down the *c*-axis. N(3)···N(1), N(2)···S(1), interactions are shown in black and red bonds respectively.

= 3.301(2) Å and <(N(2)-H(2X)···S(1)') = 161(3)°]. The result of these hydrogen bonding interactions is a one dimensional


Fig 8. Perspective view of **4**. Hydrogen atom and other NH protons are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level.

View Article Online

Fig 9. Hydrogen bonding interactions in thiourea **4**, N(1)-N(24) and N(21)-N(4); N(3)···S(21) and N(23)···S(1) interactions are shown in black and red dotted bonds respectively.

polymeric chain, Fig. 7.

The inclusion of second pyridyl functionality into the thiosemicarbazide family was also investigated as it was thought that the extra hydrogen bond acceptor would alter the
5 hydrogen bonding and therefore the packing interactions. The crystal structure of **4** with pyridyl functionality is shown in Fig. 8. The presence of the extra pyridyl ring in **4** contributes to additional hydrogen bonding unlike **1-3** with phenyl based substituents, and **4** exhibits six distinct intermolecular hydrogen
10 bonding interactions, Fig. 9.

The molecules form 1D hydrogen bonded chains through four hydrogen bonds in a similar manner to the H-bonding pattern in **2**. Dimers of molecules are formed through interactions between the sulphur atoms S(21) and S(1) and NH
15 protons of N(3) and N(23) on an adjacent thiosemicarbazide molecule [N(3)···S(21)' = 3.274(2) Å and <(N(3)-H(3X)···S(21)')=166°; N(23)···S(1)' = 3.320(2) Å, <(N(23)-H(23X)···S(1)') = 157°] respectively. These dimers are then linked into the aforementioned 1D chain though two more
20 hydrogen bonding interactions between the NH protons of N(4) and N(24) and the 2-pyridyl nitrogen atoms of N(1) and N(21) on adjacent molecules [N(4)···N(21)' = 2.972(2) Å and <(N(4)-H(4X)···N(21)')= 149°; N(24)···N(1)' = 2.989(2) Å, <(N(24)-H(24X)···N(1)') = 158°] (Fig.9). These 1D chains are then
25 cross linked to other symmetry generated chains *via* the 3-pyridyl nitrogen atoms and the proton on an adjacent thiosemicarbazide molecule [N(2)···N(5)' = 2.955(3) Å and <(N(2)-H(2X)···N(5)')= 172°; N(22)···N(25)' = 2.937(3) Å,

<(N(22)-H(22X)···N(25)') = 170°]. Detailed hydrogen bonding
30 parameters are given in Table 3.

Complexation studies with Zinc(II)

Having investigated the hydrogen bonding properties of **1-4**, we next set out to investigate their potential use in metallo-supramolecular chemistry. Complexation reactions were
35 carried out between all five ligands and $Zn(NO_3)_2$ by stirring at reflux in acetonitrile. Despite many attempts to obtain single crystals for all five ligand systems, X-ray quality crystals were only obtained from reactions using ligands **1** and **5** by the slow evaporation of DMF and acetonitrile respectively. Structural


Fig 10. View of crystal structures of [Zn(**1**)$_2$]·DMF (top) and [Zn(**5**)$_2$](bottom). Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability.

40 analysis revealed neutral, mononuclear complexes of formulae [Zn(**1**)$_2$]·DMF (**6**) and [Zn(**5**)$_2$] (**7**). The crystal structures of both Zn(II) complexes are shown in Fig. 10.

Both complexes crystallised in the orthorhombic space group Pnna and contain half of the complex in the asymmetric unit
45 with the Zn(II) located on a two-fold rotation axis. Within the asymmetric unit the Zn(II) is coordinated by one, deprotonated nitrogen atom N(2), and the sulphur atom S(1) to give a five membered chelate ring. The two-fold axis generates the other half of the complexes giving the Zn(II) a tetrahedral geometry
50 and an overall N_2S_2 coordination environment. Bond lengths and angles are consistent with those expected for tetrahedral Zn(II) complexes of this coordination environment. [27, 28]

In both **6** and **7** the pyridine nitrogen atom N(1) is protonated, rather than the thiourea nitrogen atom N(3), a
55 feature likely arising from the highly acidic thiourea nitrogen atom involved in the chelate ring. In a similar fashion to the structures of ligands **1 – 4**, the Zn(II) complexes **6** and **7** both exhibit inter molecular hydrogen bonding that results in the formation of extended coordination polymeric structures. In
60 contrast to the ligand packing interactions, both **6** and **7** pack in such a way that they form channels within the structure (Fig. 11). In the case of complex **6**, the disordered DMF solvent

Table 3: Hydrogen bonding parameters for **1-4**.

	D-H...A	d(H...A)	d(D...A)	<(DHA)
1	N(2)-H(2X)...S(1)[a]	2.53	3.421(2)	172.8
	N(3)-H(3X)...N(1)[b]	2.19	2.992(2)	173.8
	N(4)-H(4X)...O(2)[c]	2.26	3.059(2)	151.3
2	N(3)-H(3X)...S(21)	2.47	3.329(2)	165
	N(4)-H(4X)...N(21)[d]	2.12	2.861(3)	145
	N(22)-H(22X)...S(1)[e]	2.51	3.303(2)	146
	N(23)-H(23X)...S(1)	2.56	3.414(2)	170
	N(24)-H(24X)...N(1)[f]	2.13	2.892(3)	149
3	N(2)-H(2X)...S(1)[g]	2.44	3.301(14)	161.0
	N(3)-H(3X)...N(1)[h]	2.08	2.972(19)	172.6
4	N(2)-H(2X)...N(5)[i]	2.10	2.955(3)	172
	N(3)-H(3X)...S(21)[j]	2.34	3.274(2)	166
	N(4)-H(4X)...N(21)[i]	2.17	2.972(2)	149
	N(22)-H(22X)...N(25)[k]	1.99	2.937(3)	170
	N(23)-H(23X)...S(1)[l]	2.52	3.320(2)	157
	N(24)-H(24X)...N(1)[m]	2.17	2.989(2)	158

Symmetry equivalents used: [a] x,-y+1,z+1/2, [b] x,-y+1,z-1/2 , [c] x+1,-y,z+1/2, [d] x-1,y,z, [e] -x+1,-y+1,- z, [f] x+1,y,z, [g] x-1/2,y,-z+1/2, [h] x+1/2,y,-z+1/2, [i] -x+1,y+1/2,-z+2, [j] -x+1,y+1/2,-z+1, [k] -x,y-1/2,-z+1, [l] -x+1,y-1/2,-z+1, [m] -x+1,y-1/2,-z+2 .

Journal Name

ARTICLE TYPE

Fig 11. Spacefill representations of the packing of **6** (top) and **7** (bottom) showing the solvent accessible channels.

molecule resides within the cavity of these channels. In contrast, no solvent molecules were found within the channels of **7**. Thermal stability of the zinc complex **6** was studied by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). The thermogram of **6**
5 showed a gradual weight loss ~10% (20- 220ºC)[30] which corresponds to the loss of one N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF) from the pores of the crystalline **6**, **(**Supporting information Fig S1 and S2**)**.

Each of the complexes display the same hydrogen bonding
10 pattern in that the protonated pyridine nitrogen atom N(1) hydrogen bonds to the phenyl substituent on a neighbouring molecule (NO$_2$ or CF$_3$ for **6** and **7** respectively) giving rise to an extended 1D chain [for **6** N(1)···O(1)' = 2.928(5) Å and

Table 4. Calculated values of cavity volumes for solvent accessible surface of [Zn(**1**)$_2$] and [Zn(**5**)$_2$], Fig 14.

	[Zn(**1**)$_2$] [a]	[Zn(**5**)$_2$]
Probe radius (Å)	Void volume (Å^3)	Void volume (Å^3)
1.7	23.14 (0.7)	3.07 (0.1)[b]
1.6	34.76 (1.1)	8.22 (0.3)[b]
1.5	51.67 (1.7)	17.37 (0.5)
1.4	70.14 (2.2)	28.66 (0.9)
1.3	91.82 (2.9)	44.42 (1.4)
1.2	118.80 (3.8)	64.13 (2.0)

[a] Squeeze command was used to remove the disordered DMF for analysis use only. [b] Channels are not continuous.

a)

b)

Fig 12. View of intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions in [Zn(**1**)$_2$]·DMF (**6**). a) N-H···O interactions in **6**. b) N-H···S interactions in **6**.

<(N(1)-H(1X)···O(1)') = 137°; for **7** N(1)···F(2)' = 3.046(7) Å
15 and <(N(1)-H(1X)···F(2)') = 138°] (Fig. 12 and 13). These chains are then linked to neighbouring chains though hydrogen bonds involving the coordinated sulphur atom S(1) and the NH thiosemicarbazide proton of N(4) on a neighbouring molecule [for **6** N(4)···S(1)' = 3.417(4) Å and <(N(4)-H(4X)···S(1)') =
20 165°; for **7** N(4)···S(1)' = 3.445(6) Å and <(N(4)-H(4X)···S(1)') = 174°]. The result of these intermolecular

hydrogen bonding interactions is the formation of the aforementioned channels.
25 Analysis of the void space of the channels of **6** and **7** was carried out using MERCURY and the voids appear to adopt channels through the crystal lattice where the solvent accessible surface is continuous to a probe radius of 1.2-1.7 Å for **6** and 1.2-1.5 Å for **7** (see Table 4, Fig 14 a-d). In both **6** and **7**, the
30 voids form interconnected infinite channels that might

a)

b)

Fig 13. View of intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions in [Zn(**5**)$_2$] (**7**). a) N-H···F interactions in **7**. b) N-H···S interactions in **7**.

View Article Online

Fig 14. Void analyses of zinc(II) complexes **6** and **7**. a) Continuous contact surfaces generated using a probe radius of 1.8 Å in **6**. b) Continuous solvent accessible voids generated using a probe radius of 1.5 Å in **6**. c) Continuous contact surfaces generated using a probe radius of 1.5 Å in **7**. d) Continuous solvent accessible surface generated using a probe radius of 1.5 Å . Solvent accessible voids are continuous and can participate in solvent inclusion.

potentially allow for uptake of small molecules such as H_2, CH_4 etc.[31] The solvent accessible void in the asymmetric unit of **6** is occupied by a disordered DMF molecule and the void may not be stable to removal of DMF.

Whilst the void analysis of both **6** and **7** indicates that the crystals might be porous, we are hesitant to describe them as such without further experimental data (solvent uptake and crystal stability under vacuum).[31,32] Nonetheless these are encouraging results and further investigations into the porosity are on-going. Research is on-going in our group to incorporate new donor-acceptor functional groups into the ligand design and subsequently prepare and study the d-metal ion complexes and their host-guest interactions.

Photo luminescent properties of 7

Both the Zinc complexes **6** and **7** have been investigated for their photoluminescent properties. Complex **7** with a *p*-CF$_3$ group exhibits blue luminescence (λmax = 440 nm) when excited at 290 nm, Fig 15.[33] Conversely, thiosemicarbazide ligand **5** does not exhibit luminescent properties suggesting that the photoluminescence arises from ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT). Zinc complex **6** with *p*-nitro group does not exhibit any luminescent property, Fig S3. We are in the process

however, in investigating these luminescent properties further.


Fig 15. Excitation and emission spectra of [Zn(**5**)$_2$], **7** in CH$_3$CN; Inset image shows luminescent [Zn(**5**)$_2$], **7.**

Conclusions

In this article, we have presented the synthesis and structural characterisation of thiosemicarbazide based ligands which contain a variety of aromatic substituents (4-nitrophenyl (**1**), 4-

Journal Name

ARTICLE TYPE

fluorophenyl (**2**), 2,6-dimethylphenyl (**3**), 3-pyridyl (**4**) and 4-trifluoromethyl (**5**). These ligands are all rich in hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and as such the solid state packing interactions were complex in nature and significantly
5 influenced by the nature of the substituents. The introduction of hydrogen bond acceptors to the substituents allows the compounds to form extended networks whereas **2**, which has no additional acceptors (other than the sulphur and thiosemicarbazide/pyridine nitrogen atoms) forms a simple 1D
10 chain. All of the structures **1 – 4** formed well packed systems with no porous networks; however, the incorporation of Zn(II) resulted in porous, hydrogen bonded networks. Complexes formed only with ligands **1** and **5** *via* coordination to the sulphur atom and the hydrazino nitrogen atom of the
15 thiosemicarbazide functionality to give neutral *bis* ligand
30

complexes of general formulae, [Zn(**L**)$_2$] (**6** and **7**). The large channels formed through extended H-bonding interactions are of sufficient size to include solvent molecules,[29] and although no solvent was occupying the channels in **7**, a disordered DMF
20 molecule was located in the pores of **6**. These porous Zn(II) networks represent our first step towards the development of systems that might act as storage compounds, or indeed Zn(II) based luminescent sensing materials where inclusion of a guest molecule within the pores might modulate the
25 fluorescent/luminescent properties of the Zn(II) centre.

In summary, we have developed a structurally simple family of hydrogen bonding donors that possess an additional one or two metal coordination sites. We demonstrated that while the

Table 1: Crystal structure data for thiosemicarbazides analogues **1-4,** [Zn(**1**)$_2$]·DMF, **6** and [Zn(**5**)$_2$] **7**.

	1	2	3	4	6 [Zn(1)$_2$]·DMF	7 [Zn(5)$_2$]
CCDC deposition number	906518	906515	906516	906517	906513	906514
Temperature	106(2) K	108(2) K	108(2) K	133(2) K	100(2) K	100(2) K
Formula	$C_{12}H_{11}N_5O_2S$	$C_{12}H_{11}FN_4S$	$C_{14}H_{16}N_4S$	$C_{11}H_{11}N_5S$	$C_{27}H_{27}N_{11}O_5S_2Zn$	$C_{26}H_{20}N_8F_6S_2Zn$
Molecular weight	289.32	262.31	272.37	245.3	715.09	687.99
Crystal size (mm)	0.43 x 0.35 x 0.26	0.54 x 0.31 x 0.23	0.44 x 0.34 x 0.25	0.60 x 0.25 x 0.25	0.07 x 0.06 x 0.05	0.08 x 0.07 x 0.07
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pc	P-1	pbca	P2(1)	Pnna	Pnna
a, b, c (Å)	a = 7.0476(14)	a = 9.928(2)	a = 8.7107(8)	a = 8.6158(17)	a = 16.9576(9)	a = 17.0484(5)
	b = 9.2828(19)	b = 11.123(2)	b = 16.0126(15)	b = 14.713(3)	b = 13.3003(5)	b = 13.4943(5)
	c = 10.069(2)	c = 12.860(3)	c = 20.772(2)	c = 9.833(2)	c = 13.7986(6)	c = 13.7277(4)
α, β, γ (°)	a= 90°	α = 74.09(3)°	α = 90°	α = 90°	α = 90°	α = 90°
	b= 105.45(3)°	β = 89.89(3)°	β = 90°	β = 111.82(3)°	β = 90°	β = 90°
	γ = 90°	γ = 65.90(3)°	γ = 90°	γ = 90°	γ = 90°	γ = 90°
Volume (Å)	634.9(2)	1236.8(4)	2897.2(5)	1157.2(4)	3112.2(2)	3158.14(16)
Z	2	4	8	4	4	4
Dc(calcd) (mg/m^3)	1.513	1.409	1.249	1.406	1.526	1.447
μ (mm^{-1})	0.265	0.261	0.216	0.263	2.840	2.907
F(000)	300	544	1152	512	1472	1392
Collected reflns	4606	8664	15239	8636	10478	11662
Independent reflns	1903 [R(int) = 0.0133]	4139 [R(int) = 0.0274]	2555 [R(int) = 0.0264]	3639[R(int) = 0.0149]	2599[R(int) = 0.0410]	2664[R(int) = 0.0411]
Goof on F^2	1.046	1.139	1.028	1.070	1.118	1.047
R_1 [I>2σ(I)]	R1 = 0.0193	R1 = 0.0426	R1 = 0.0373	R1 = 0.0238	R1 = 0.0411	R1 = 0.0316
	wR2 = 0.0517	wR2 =0.0917	wR2 = 0.0948	wR2 = 0.0595	wR2 = 0.1046	wR2 = 0.0858

ARTICLE TYPE

thiosemicarbazides **1-4** all gave rise to extensive inter-molecular hydrogen bonding interactions, they can also be employed in the formation of H-bonded coordination networks with Zn(II). We are in the process of further evaluating the 5 application of these and related systems based on the thiosemicarbazide structure for use in supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering.

Experimental

10 All chemical were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Fluka chemical company and used without purification. Synthesis of **1 - 5** were carried out in 10–20 mL Biotage Microwave Vials in a Biotage Initiator Eight EXP microwave reactor. Column 15 chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230 – 40 mesh ASTM). Melting points were recorded on an Electro thermal IA9000 digital melting point apparatus. Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer spectrum one FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a universal ATR sampling 20 accessory. ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker DPX-400 instrument. The samples were dissolved in DMSO-d_6 and spectra were recorded in a 5-mm NMR tube. Chemical shifts are reported relative to tetramethylsilane and coupling constants are given in Hertz. The elemental analysis 25 for C, H and N was performed on an Exeter analytical CE-450 Elemental analyzer in University College Dublin. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded on a Mass Lynx NT V 3.4 on a Waters 600 controller. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on Perkin Elmer Pyrus 1 TGA equipped with an 30 ultra-micro balance with a sensitivity of 0.1microgram. The temperature range is from 20-700 □C with a scan rate 10 ºC/min. X-ray data (Table 1) were collected on either a Rigaku Saturn 724 CCD Diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) or a Bruker 35 Apex2 Duo using a high intensity Cu-Kα radiation source (λ = 1.54178 Å). The data sets from the Rigaku Saturn-724 diffractometer were collected using Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Data integration, reduction and correction for absorption and polarisation effects were all performed using 40 Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Space group determination, was obtained using Crystalstructure ver.3.8 software. Datasets collected on the Bruker Apex2 Duo were processed using Bruker APEXv2011.8-0 software. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined against all F^2 data 45 (SHELXL-97).[34] All H-atoms, except for N-H protons, were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with d(CH$_{aro}$) =0.95 Å, Uiso = 1.2Ueq (C) for aromatic and 0.98 Å, Uiso = 1.2Ueq (C) for CH$_3$. N-H protons were found from the difference map and either freely refined or fixed to the attached 50 atoms with U_H = 1.2U_N.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of ligands 1-5

Pyridyl amine (1mmol) was treated with the appropriate isothiocyanate (1.1mmol) in 20ml acetonitrile. Reactions were 55 carried out in a microwave reactor for 40 mins at 100^{0}C. The resulting reaction mixture was gravity filtered and slow evaporation of the solvents yielded amorphous powers and

crystalline samples in the case of **1**, **3** and **4.** Thiourea **5** was 60 obtained as pale yellow coloured powder after column chromatography. Thioureas **1-5** were recrystallised using hot acetonitrile.

1: Red crystals were obtained from acetonitrile. Yield =180mg (68%). ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 10.31 (s, 1H), 10.13 (s, 65 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.20-8.14 (m, 3H), 7.90-8.06 (m, 2H), 7.68-7.20 (m, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), and 6.66 (d, 1H, J=8Hz). ^{13}C NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 180.92, 158.88, 147.69, 145.78, 143.06, 137.86, 124.15, 123.52, 116.06 and 107.51. IR (cm^{-1}): 3293.9, 3153.2, 2915.6, 1591.8, 1544.9, 1448.9, 1499.6, 1329.4, 70 1268.9, 1109.8, 991.5, 857.9 and 744.8. HRMS calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$N$_5$O$_2$S = 290.0712; Found mass = 290.0712. Elemental analysis calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{11}$N$_5$O$_2$S: C, 49.82; H, 3.83; N, 24.21. Found: C, 49.83; H, 3.85; N, 24.33. Melting point: 169.1-169.3$^°$C.

75

2: Trituration was performed to remove trace amounts of an impurity from the white coloured powder. White crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of acetonitrile. Yield = 142mg (59.1%). ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 9.88 (s, 1H), 9.75 (s, 80 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.16 (m, 1H), 7.66-7.62 (m, 1H), 7.52-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.10 (m, 2H), 6.84-6.81 (m, 1H), 6.66-6.64 (m, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 182.02, 160.94, 159.64, 158.54, 148.11, 138.24, 136.05, 127.96, 116.20, 115.03, 114.80 and 107.63. IR (cm^{-1}): 3146.7, 2942, 1603, 1579, 1542.5, 85 1507.6, 1412.3, 1444.2, 1412.3, 1351.5, 1289.3, 1262.4, 1215.6, 1149.9, 1126.9, 1087.0, 992.7, 925.2, 835.5, 819.8, 766.4 and 732.3. HRMS calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{12}$N$_4$FS = 263.0767, Found = 263.0766. C$_{12}$H$_{11}$FN$_4$S: C, 54.95; H, 4.23; N, 21.36. Found: C, 54.50; H, 4.10; N, 21.07; Melting point: 162.5-90 162.7$^°$C.

3: Slow evaporation of the reaction mixture for ~16h yielded white coloured crystals. Yield = 152mg (60.9%). ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 9.61 (s, 1H), 9.44 (s, 1H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 95 8.13-8.14 (d, 1H, J =4Hz), 7.65 (t, J = 8Hz, 1H), 7.01-7.08 (m, 3H), 6.81 (t, J = 8Hz, 1H), 6.67-6.69 (d, J= 8Hz, 1H) and 2.14 (s, 6H).^{13}C NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 181.82, 159.47, 147.64, 137.69, 137.18, 136.36, 127.43, 126.55, 115.49, 106.77 and 18.06. IR (cm^{-1}): 3289.5, 3138.3, 2920.0, 1583.45, 100 1506.93, 1451.8, 1300.6, 1255.1, 1122.7, 1081.4, 996.6, 931.2, 812.2, 769.8 and 738.9. HRMS calcd. for C$_{14}$H$_{17}$N$_4$S = 273.1174, Found mass =273.1161. Elemental analysis calcd. for C$_{14}$H$_{16}$N$_4$S: C, 54.50; H, 4.10; N, 21.07; Found: C, 54.95; H, 4.23; N, 21.36. Melting point: 193.1-193.4$^°$C.

105 **4**: Pale yellow crystals. Yield = 210 mg (93%). ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 10.06 (s, 1H), 9.94 (s, 1H), 8.62 (s, 2H), 8.31 (d, 1H, J = 4Hz), 8.16 (d, 1H, J = 8.4Hz), 7.94 (d, 1H, J= 7.5Hz), 7.65 (t, 1H, J= 14.8Hz), 7.35 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.83 (t, 1H, J= 12Hz), 6.66 (d, 1H, J=8Hz). ^{13}C NMR (400MHz, 110 DMSO-d_6): 182.27, 159.49, 148.14, 147.23, 145.97, 138.34, 136.53, 133.44, 123.19, 116.37 and 107.74. IR (cm^{-1}): 3137.05, 2962.72, 1601.82, 1579.18, 1515.91, 1547.26, 1453.72, 1424.70, 1287.84, 1265.78, 1233.48, 1192.07, 1087.79, 1027.28, 825.64, 770.91 and 707.96. Elemental analysis calcd.

ARTICLE TYPE

for $C_{11}H_{11}N_5S$: C, 53.86; H, 4.52; N, 28.55; Found: C, 53.82; H, 4.33; N, 28.59. HRMS calcd. for $C_{11}H_{10}N_5S$: 244.0665, Found mass: 244.0657. Melting point: 188.6 – 188.9°C.

5: Pale yellow amorphous powder: Yield: 74.2 % (212mg). ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 10.14 (S, 1H), 9.97 (S, 1H), 8.63 (S, 1H), 8.15 (S, 1H), 7.85 (d, 2H, J= 8 Hz), 7.66 (d, 3H, J=12Hz), 6.84 (s, 1H) and 6.66 (d, 1H, J= 8 Hz). ^{13}C NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6): 181.14, 159.01, 147.68, 143.06, 137.84, 125.72, 125.08, 124.89, 124.40, 123.01, 115.93 and 107.35. HRMS calcd. for $C_{13}H_{12}N_4F_3S$: 313.0735. Found: 313.0723. Melting point: 184.7- 184.9□ C. IR (cm^{-1}): 3136.10, 2923.2, 1600.7, 1585.3, 1523.5, 1505.1, 1451.1, 1321.8, 1294.6, 1164.8, 1102.3, 1064.5, 992.3, 845.5, 791.4, 776.7, 731.4, 658.0.

Synthesis of Zn(II) complexes 6 and 7

Synthesis of 6: To a well stirred solution of thiourea **1** (0.16mmol) in acetonitrile was added $Zn(NO_3)_2$ (0.16mmol). On the addition of the Zn(II) salt, a red colouration appeared and the solution was heated to reflux for 16h. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate left to evaporate over 24 hours. Micro crystalline **6** was isolated after the slow evaporation of acetonitrile. These crystals were not good enough for diffraction analysis. The microcrystalline **6** was dissolved in DMF which on slow evaporation yielded X-ray quality red crystals of **6** over a 24-48h period. 61% (w.r.t ligand **1**). HRMS calcd. for $C_{24}H_{19}N_{10}O_4S_2Zn$: 639.0324. Found: 639.0333. ^{1}H NMR (600MHz, DMSO-d_6): 10.97 (d, 1H, J=6Hz), 9.58 (S, 2H), 8.15 (d, J=12Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J=6Hz, 2H), 7.53 (t, 1H, J= 12Hz), 7.3-7.27 (m, 1H), 6.63 (d, 1H, J=6Hz) and 6.63 (t, 1H, J=12Hz). Elemental analysis calcd. for $C_{27}H_{27}N_{11}O_5S_2Zn$: C, 45.35; H, 3.81; N, 21.55; Found: C, 45.02; H, 3.06; N, 21.38. IR (cm^{-1}): 3257.7, 1665.0, 1637.7, 1525.8, 1560.5, 1586.9, 1495.2, 1470.7, 1315.9, 1297.2, 1261.8, 1158.5, 1059.1, 992.6, 846.7, 736.04 and 675.6.

Synthesis of 7: To a well stirred solution of **5** (0.16mmol) in acetonitrile, was added $Zn(NO_3)_2$ (0.16mmol). As soon as the addition of the Zn(II) salt a yellow coloration was observed and the solution was heated to reflux. After 16h, the reaction mixture was filtered and left to slow evaporation over a 24 h period. This yielded X-ray quality yellow crystals of **7** (33mg, 30%, w.r.t ligand **5**). HRMS calcd. for $C_{26}H_{19}N_8F_6S_2Zn$: 685.0370. Found: 685.0374. IR (cm^{-1}): 3256.7, 1639.60, 1590.96, 1492.39, 1406.85, 1314.56, 1256.99, 1163.75, 1095.73, 1054.05, 982.01, 814.90 and 746.71.

Acknowledgements

Notes and references

School of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin and Trinity Biomedical Science Institute (TBSI), University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland.
E-mail:gunnlaut@tcd.ie
Fax: +353 1 671 2826; Tel: +353 1 896 3459.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CCDC 906513-906518. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1   a) J. T. Lenthall, J. A. Foster, K. M. Anderson, M. R. Probert, J. A. K. Howard, J. W. Steed, *CrystEngComm.*, 2011, **13**, 3202-3212; b) J. W. Steed, *Chem. Commun*, 2011, **47**, 1379-1383; c) J. A. Foster, M. O. M. Piepenbrock, G. O. Lloyd, N. Clarke, J. A. K. Howard, J. W. Steed, *Nature Chem.* 2010, **2**, 1037-1043; d) R. Custelcean, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 295-307; e) C. Caltagirone, G.W. Bates, P.A. Gale and M.E. Light, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 61-63 f) L. A. Estroff and A. D. Hamilton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1201–1217.

2   a) T. Steiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 48-76; b) G. R. Desiraju, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, **35**, 565-573.c) V. R. Pedireddi, S. Chatterjee, A. Ranganathan, and C. N. R. Rao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1997, **119**, 10867-10868; d) C. B. Aakeroy and D. J. Salmon, *CrystEngComm.*, 2005, **7**, 439-448; e) E. Bosch, *CrystEngComm.*, 2007, **9**, 191-198; f) L.S. Evans, P.A. Gale, M.E. Light and R. Quesada, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 965-967

3   a) C. A. Hunter and J. K. M. Sanders, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 5525-5534; b) C. A. Hunter, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1994, **23**, 101-109; c) C. Janiak, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 3885-3896.

4   a) C. Janiak, S. Temizdemir, S. Dechert, W. Deck, F. Girgsdies, J. Heinze, Mario J. Kolm, Tobias G. Scharmann and Oliver M. Zipffel, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 1229-1241; b) E. R. T. Tiekink and J. Zukerman-Schpector, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6623-6625; c) M. Nishio, Y. Umezawa, K. Honda, S. Tsuboyama and H. Suezawa, *CrystEngComm.*, 2009, **11**, 1757-1788.

5   a) P. A. Gale and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3595-3596; b) A.-F. Li, J.-H. Wang, F. Wang and Y.-B. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3729-3745; c) R. M. Duke, E. B. Veale, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3936; d) J. R. Hiscock, P. A. Gale, N. Lalaoui, M. E. Light and N. J. Wells, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, **10**, 7780-7788; e) P.A. Gale, J.R. Hiscock, N. Lalaoui, M.E. Light, N.J. Wells and M. Wenzel, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, **10**, 5909-5915; f) G. O. Lloyd, and J. W. Steed, *Nature Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 437-442; g) C. B. Aakeroy, S. V. Panikkattu, B. DeHaven, J. Desper, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, **12**, 2579-2587; h) R. Custelcean, D. Jiang, B. P. Hay, W. Luo and B. Gu, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2008, **8**, 1905-1915.

6   a) G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.,* 1995, **34**, 2311-2327; b) M. Wenger and J. Bernstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7966-796, c) C. B. Aakeroy and K. R. Seddon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1993, **22**, 397-407; d) G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8342-8356; e) C. B. Aakeroy, N. R. Champness and C. Janiak, *CrystEngComm.*, 2010, **12**, 22-43; f) P. Byrne, G. O. Lloyd, L. Applegarth, K. M. Anderson, N. Clarke and J. W. Steed, *New. J. Chem.* 2010, **34**, 2261–2274; g)

7   a) B. A. Blight, C. A. Hunter, D. A. Leigh, H. Mcnab, H and P. I. T. Thomson, *Nature Chem.*, **2011**, 3, 244-248; b) P. S. Corbin, S. C. Zimmerman, P. A. Thiessen, N. A. Hawryluk, and T. J. Murray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, **123**, 10475-10488.

8   a) K. Kumamoto, Y. Misawa, S. Tokita, Y. Kubo and H. Kotsuki, *Tet. Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 1035-1038; b) J. T. Lenthall, J. A. Foster, K. M. Anderson, M. R. Probert, J. A. K. Howard and J. W. Steed, *CrystEngComm.*, 2011, **13**, 3202-3212.

9   a) T. K. Venkatachalam, S. Qazi, P. Samuel and F. M. Uckun, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **11**, 1095-1105; b) T. K. Venkatachalam and F. M. Uckun, *Syn. Commun.*, 2004, **34**, 2451-2461.

10   a) F. W. Bell, A. S. Cantrell, M. Hoegberg, S. R. Jaskunas, N. G. Johansson, C. L. Jordan, M. D. Kinnick, P. Lind and J. M. Morin, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, **38**, 4929-4936; b) S. B. Tsogoeva, M. J.

View Article Online

Hateley, D. A. Yalalov, K. Meindl, C. Weckbecker and K. Huthmacher, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **13**, 5680-5685.

11   a) W.-X. Liu, R. Yang, A.-F. Li, Z. Li, Y.-F. Gao, X.-X. Luo, Y.-B. Ruan and Y.-B. Jiang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 4021-4028; b) Z. Li, F.-Y. Wu, L. Guo, A.-F. Li and Y.-B. Jiang, *J. Phys. Chem B.*, 2008, **112**, 7071-7079; c) F.-Y. Wu, Z. Li, L. Guo, X. Wang, M.-H. Lin, Y.-F. Zhao and Y.-B. Jiang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 624-630; d) S. Rashdan, M. E. Light and J. D. Kilburn, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4578-4580; e) S. Rossi, G. M. Kyne, D. L. Turner, N. J. Wells and J. D. Kilburn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 4233-4236.

12   Y. Takemoto, *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2005, **3**, 4299-4306; b) M. P. Lalonde, Y. Chen and E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 6366-6370. c) S. B. Tsogoeva and S. Wei, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 1451-1453.

13   a) E. B. Veale, G. M. Tocci, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2009, **7**, 3447. b) C. M. G. dos Santos and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Dalton Trans.,* 2009, 4712. c) E. B. Veale and T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Org. Chem.,* 2008, **73**, 8073. d) C. M. G. dos Santos, T. McCabe, and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2007, **48**, 3135. e) J. A. Kitchen, E. M. Boyle and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **381**, 236-242; f) F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 1894; g) E. Quinlan, S. E. Matthews, T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Org. Chem.,* 2007, **72**, 7497.

14   F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 1894-1902; b) E. B. Veale, G. M. Tocci, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 3447-3454; c) E. M. Boyle, T. McCabe and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2010, **22**, 586-597; d) R. M. Duke and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Tet. Lett.* 2007, **48**, 8043-8047; e) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. P. Davis, J. E. O'Brien and M. Glynn, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 48-56.e) T. Gunnlaugsson, M. Glynn, G. M. Tocci (née Hussey), P. E. Kruger and F. M. Pfeffer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.,* 2006, **250**, 3094; f) C. M. G. dos Santos, T. McCabe, G. W. Watson, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Org. Chem.,* 2008, **73**, 9235.

15   a) T. Gunnlaugsson, P. E. Kruger, P. Jensen, J. Tierney, H. D. P. Ali and M. G. Hussey, *J. Org. Chem.,* 2005, **70**, 10875; b) H. D. P. Ali, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *New. J. Chem.,* 2008, **32**, 1153.

16   a) R. M. Duke, T. McCabe, W. Schmitt, and T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 3115−3126; b) R. M. Duke, J. E. O'Brien, T. McCabe and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 4089.

17   a) R. Alonso, E. Bermejo, R. Carballo, A. Castineiras and T. Perez, *J. Mol. Structure*, 2002, **606**, 155-173; b) A. Saxena and R. Pike, *J. Chem. Crys.*, 2007, **37**, 755-764; c) H. Sun, H. Mei, G. An, J. Han and Y. Pan, *Cryst EngComm.*, 2011, **13**, 734-737;

18   a) A. D. Burrows, S. Menzer, D. Michael P. Mingos, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1997, 4237-4240; b) G. V. Romanenko, L. I. Myachina, and S. V. Larionov, *J. Struc. Chem.,* 2001, **42**, 322-325; c) J. E. V. Babb, A. D. Burrows, R. W. Harrington, M. F. Mahon, *Polyhedron*, 2003, **22**, 673-686; d) M. T. Allen, A. D. Burrows, M. F. Mahon, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 215-221.

19   a) A. D. Burrows, R. W. Harrington, M. F. Mahon, S. J. Teat, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 766-776; b) A. D. Burrows, R. W. Harrington and M. F. Mahon, *Crys. Eng. Comm.*, 2000, **2**, 77-81.

20   A. D. Burrows, R. W. Harrington, M. F. Mahon, S. J. Teat, *Crys EngComm*, 2002, **4**, 813-822.

21   a) F. A. Saad, N. J. Buurma, A. J. Amoroso, J. C. Knight and B. M. Kariuki, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 4608-4617; b) G. Li, D.-J. Che, Z.-F. Li, Y. Zhu and D.-P. Zou, *New J. Chem.*, 2002, **26**, 1629-1633.

22   a) G. G. Sadikov, A. S. Antsyshkina, T. V. Koksharova, V. S. Sergienko, S. V. Kurando and I. S. Gritsenko, *Crystallography Reports*, 2012, **57**, 528–540; b) L. J. Wei, H. L. Gao and J. Z. Cui, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon*, 2012, **187**, 1101–1108 c) S. B. Novakovic, G. A. Bogdanovic, B. Fraisse, N. E. Ghermani, N. Bouhmaida, and A. S. D. Bire, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 2007, **111**, 13492-13505.

23   a) L. Sreenivas Reddy, Srinivas Basavoju, Venu R. Vangala, and Ashwini Nangia, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 161-173; b) D. R. Turner, B. Smith, E. C. Spencer, A. E. Goeta, I. R. Evans, D. A. Tocher, J. A. K. Howarda and J. W. Steed, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 90-98; c) N. Qureshi, D. S. Yufit, J.A. K. Howard and J. W. Steed, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5708–5714; d) P. Blondeau, A.van der Lee and M. Barboiu, *Inorg. Chem*, 2005, **44**, 5649-5653; e) R. W. Troff, R. Hovorka, T. Weilandt, A. Lützen, M. Cetina, M. Nieger, D. Lentz, K. Rissanen and C. A. Schalley, *Dalton Trans*, 2012, **41**, 8410–8420;

24   J. T. Lenthall, J. A. Foster, K. M. Anderson, M. R. Probert, J. A. K. Howard and J. W. Steed, *CrysEngComm.*, 2011, **13**, 3202-3212.

25   A. Saxena and R. D. Pike, *J. Chem. Crys.,* 2007, **37**, 755.

26   S. Rashdan, M. E. Light and J. D. Kilburn, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4578-4580.

27   S. I. Orysyk, V.V. Bon a, O.O. Obolentseva, Yu. L. Zborovskii, V.V. Orysyk, V. I. Pekhnyo,V. I. Staninets , V. M. Vovk, *Inorg. Chim. Acta,* 2012, **382**, 127-138.

28   a) X. Shen, X. Shi, B. Kang, Y. Liu, Y. Tong, H. Jiang and K. Chen, *Polyhedron* , 1998, **17**, 4049-4058; b). M. Martinez-Calvo, M. J. Romero, R. Pedrido, A. M. Gonzalez-Noya, G. Zaragoza and M. R. Bermejo, *Dalton Transactions*, 2012, **41**, 13395-13404.

29   a) K. D. M. Harris, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1997, **26**, 279-289; b) K. D. M. Harris, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2007, **19**, 47-53; c) B. A. Palmer, B. M. Kariuki, V. K. Muppidi, C. E. Hughes and K. D. M. Harris, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 3760-3762.

30   Roberts, J. M.; Fini, B. M.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Scheidt, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **2012**, *134*, 3334.

31   a) R. V. Afonso, J. Durão, A. Mendes, A. M. Damas and L. Gales, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 3034-3036. b) W. Yang, A. Greenaway, R. Lin, R. Matsuda, A. J. Blake, C. Wilson, W. Lewis, P. Hubberstey, S. Kitagawa, N. R. Champness and M. Schroder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14457-14469. c) A. Comotti, S. Bracco, G. Distefano and P. Sozzani, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 284-286.

32   a) J. Tian, P. K. Thallapally and B. P. McGrail, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 1909-1919. b) L. J. Barbour, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 1163-1168.

33   W. Xu, F. Jiang, Y. Zhou, K. Xiong, L. Chen, M. Yang, R. Feng and M. Hong, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 7737-7745.

34   G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. A*, 2008, **A64**, 112.