Hidden Symmetry

Enantioselective Total Synthesis of Amphidinolide F**

Subham Mahapatra and Rich G. Carter*

Over 30 members of the diverse amphidinolide family of biologically active macrolides have been isolated from the dinoflagellate *Amphidinium* sp.^[1] From this family, amphidinolides C $(1-2)^{[2]}$ and F(3)^[3] are among the most complex and densely functionalized members (Scheme 1).^[4] These natural



Scheme 1. Structurally complex amphidinolide natural products.

products 1-3 contain eleven stereogenic centers embedded within a 25-membered macrolactone including two transdisposed tetrahydrofuran ring systems, a 1,4-diketone motif, and a highly substituted diene moiety at C9-C11. In addition to the sizable structural challenges present in 1-3, these macrolides have shown significant cytotoxic activity.^[2,3] Consequently, compounds 1-3 have attracted considerable synthetic attention from numerous laboratories,^[5] including our own.^[6] Despite these sizable endeavors,^[5,6] neither amphidinolide C nor amphidinolide F have been successfully synthesized in the more than 20 years since their isolation. It should be noted that the stereochemical assignment of compound 3 is based on analogy to compound 1 and isolation from the same organism. Herein, we disclose the first total synthesis of amphidinolide F (3), and thus confirm both the absolute and relative stereochemistry of the natural product.

Our initial disconnection in the retrosynthesis involved cleavage of the macrolactone linkage at C1 to provide ketone 4 (Scheme 2). This ketone 4 should be accessible from sulfone

 [*] S. Mahapatra, Prof. Dr. R. G. Carter Chemistry Department, Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331 (USA)
 E-mail: rich.carter@oregonstate.edu

[**] Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (GM63723) and Oregon State University (Harris and Shoemaker Fellowships for SM). Prof. Takaaki Kubota and Jun'ichi Kobayashi (Hokkaido University) are acknowledged for providing a copy of the ¹H NMR spectra for compound 3, and Prof. Max Deinzer and Jeff Morré (OSU) for mass spectra data. Dong Su is acknowledged for assistance in the synthesis of additional amounts of early building block fragment 11 and Somdev Banerjee for synthesis of known iodide 12. Finally, the authors are grateful to Prof. James D. White (OSU) and Dr. Roger Hanselmann (Rib-X Pharmaceuticals) for their helpful discussions

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201203935.

5 and iodide **6** through an umpolung strategy,^[7] involving a sulfone alkylation/oxidative desulfurization sequence,^[6a,8] which would mask the otherwise challenging 1,4-dicarbonyl functionality. We noticed considerable "hidden" symmetry within the tetrahydrofuran (THF) portions of fragments **5** and **6**. Specifically, the C1–C8 and the C18–C25 portions contain nearly identical functionalization, oxidation states, and stereochemistry. This observation led us to propose that compounds **5** and **6** might be accessible via common intermediate **7**. Ketone **7** should provide access to over half of the carbon backbone of the macrocycle as well as the majority of the stereochemistry present in amphidinolide F.

Synthesis of common intermediate 7 is shown in Scheme 3. Starting from known alcohol 8.^[9] oxidation and Ohira-Bestmann reaction^[10] cleanly provided alkyne 10. Removal of the benzylidine acetal under acidic conditions followed by protection and Sonogashira cross-coupling provided enyne 13. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation gave diol 14 in excellent yield and diastereoselectivity.^[11] Building on the work from Gagosz^[12] and Krause,^[13] we had hoped to use a gold-catalyzed cyclization to generate enol ether 16. The presence of the 1,2-diol moiety complicates any cyclization conditions, as both furan and pyran formation might be possible. Unfortunately, all attempts to facilitate this transformation under Au catalysis failed to generate the desired product. Fortunately, we found that AgBF₄^[14] nicely provided desired dihydrofuran 16 in good yield and complete stereoselectivity (d.r. > 20:1). This transformation was routinely performed on 5-gram scale and provided sufficient quantities



Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of amphidinolide F. EE = ethoxyethyl, Piv = pivaloyl, TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsily, TES = triethylsilyl.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1-5

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

🕅 WILEY 盾





Scheme 3. Synthesis of common intermediate. Bz = benzyl, CSA = 10-camphorsulfonic acid, DMAP=4-dimethylaminopyridine, DMSO=dimethyl sulfoxide, 2,6-Lut=2,6-lutidine, Pyr=pyridine, Tf=trifluoromethylsulfonyl.

of **16**, which might serve as a building block for a variety of *trans*-disposed furan-containing natural products. Subsequent silyl protection and removal of the enol benzoate with MeLi·LiBr^[15] produced common intermediate **7**.

Synthesis of the C1-C14 subunit is shown in Scheme 4. We had hoped to directly trap the enolate derived from ketone 7 with methyl iodide to generate the C4-methyl derivative 20; however, the stereochemistry at C6 appeared to be the dominant stereocontrolling element in the alkylation and resulted in the undesired C4-methyl stereochemistry. Fortunately, we were able to exploit this directing effect to our advantage through hydrogenation of the exo-methylene compound 19 using Wilkinson's catalyst to provide the correct stereochemical combination 20. Deoxygenation of the carbonyl group at C5 followed by deprotection and oxidation at C8 generated aldehyde 22. Next, we required the stereoselective addition of a 2-metallo-1,3-diene species to asilyloxy aldehyde 22. Precursor 27 was prepared in four steps from previously reported iodide 24^[6a] through a regioselective hydrostannylation of enyne 25. This regiochemistry is counter to what is typically observed with most Pd-catalyzed hydrostannylations.^[16] Treatment of iodide 27 with *n*BuLi followed by addition to aldehyde 22 provided the C8-C9 coupled material in good yield and reasonable diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 3:1).^[17] We had been concerned that the organolithium species might undergo 1,3-metallotropic shifts^[18] to generate allenvl metallo species as well as scramble the E/Z olefin geometry at C10-C11; however, we did not see evidence of



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the C1–C14 subunit. AIBN = azobisisobutyronitrile, DMP = Dess–Martin periodinane, DMPU = 1,3-dimethyl-3,4,5,6tetrahydro-2(1*H*)-pyrimidinone, Im = imidazole, LDA = lithium diisopropylamide, TMS = trimethylsilyl.

this rearrangement occurring under the reaction conditions. Generation of a related vinyllithium species via a hydrazone using Shapiro conditions led to extensive decomposition. After silylation at C8, incorporation of the iodide at C14 through a two-step sequence provided fragment 6.

The construction of the second major fragment was also accomplished with common intermediate 7 (Scheme 5). As before, deoxygenation at C22 easily provided tetrahydrofuran 28. Removal of the pivolate at C18 followed by oxidation generated aldehyde 29. Addition of the organolithium species derived from known iodide 30^[19] provided secondary alcohols 31 and 32 as an inseparable mixture of stereoisomers. We initially had hoped to convert the alcohol at C18 into its corresponding dimethyl ketal by oxidation followed by ketalization under Noyori conditions. This approach had proven productive in our prior model system.^[6] While the oxidation was effective, we were never able to ketalize the corresponding ketone under a diverse array of conditions. Consequently, we chose protection of alcohol(s) 31 and/or 32 as its/their ethoxyethyl (EE) ether as a viable alternative. While we believe that both C18 alcohols 31 and 32 are viable compounds for the synthetic sequence, we proceeded forward with C18-S isomer $31^{[17]}$ for practicality reasons, including simplification of NMR spectra. Oxidation of the mixture of 31 and 32 with TPAP and NMO followed by reduction with lithium tri-sec-butylborohydride (L-Selectride) gave C18-S

www.angewandte.org

2

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

K These are not the final page numbers!

key α -oxy aldehyde 35. We

had initially planned to

exploit Julia-Kocienski-Bla-

kemore olefination^[20] of this

aldehyde with the known PT

sulfone;^[21] however, this reac-

tion showed a preference for

the undesired cis alkene.

While alternate, multi-step

solutions have been devel-

oped to circumvent this prob-

lem,^[5c,j] we continued to look

for a direct solution. Fortu-

nately, use of the Vedejs-type

tributyl phosphonium salt

desired E alkene 37 in good

selectivity (97% yield, E:Z =

11:1). Exchange of the silvl

protecting groups at C24 pro-

total synthesis of amphidino-

lide F is shown in Scheme 6.

The key coupling of the major

fragments was accomplished by treatment of sulfone **5** with

The completion of the

vided C15-C29 fragment 5.

generated

cleanly



Scheme 5. Synthesis of the C15–C29 subunit. Bn = benzyl, IPA = isopropyl alcohol, NMO = 4-methylmorpholine-*N*-oxide, TPAP = tetra-*n*-propylammonium perruthenate, PPTS = pyridinium toluene-*p*-sulfonate, TBAF = tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride.

isomer **31** in high distereoselectivity (**31:32** = 15:1, 85% yield over two steps). After EE protection of alcohol **31**, debenzylation, and incorporation of the sulfone moiety at C15 provided compound **34**. Selective deprotection of the primary TBS ether using HF·Pyr followed by Swern oxidation gave

LHMDS and HMPA followed by the addition of alkyl halide **6**, smoothly forming C14–C15 coupled material **38**.^[6a] The nucleophilicity of sulfone carbanions was instrumental in the success of this challenging coupling between an α -branched alkyl iodide and an α -branched nucleophile.^[23] Next, oxida-

36^[22]



Scheme 6. Total synthesis of amphidinolide F. brsm = based on recovered starting material, HMPA = hexamethylphosphoramide, LAH = lithium aluminum hydride, LHMDS = lithium hexamethyldisilazanide.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1-5

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!

Angewandte Communications

tive desulfurization was accomplished using LDA/DMPU followed by treatment with Davis' oxaziridine to provide desired ketone 4 along with the Piv-deprotected ketone 39 in a combined 65% yield (94% brsm). While this type of oxidation has been known for some time,^[24] it is only recently starting to gain attention as a viable method for the incorporation of carbonyl moieties in synthesis.^[6,8] Interestingly, the Davis oxaziridine proved superior to our previous TMSOOTMS conditions.^[6a, 8a] Both compounds 4 and 39 were easily converted to seco acid 42. In contrast to our synthesis of amphidinolide B,^[25] macrolactonization proved to be an effective way for construction of cyclized product 43, with Yamaguchi conditions^[26] being optimum. Next, careful deprotection at C18 under aqueous acidic conditions followed by oxidation resulted in the sensitive C15,C18 diketone. Finally, global desilylation using Et₃N·3HF^[27] provided synthetic amphidindolide F (3), which matched the reported isolation data (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, $[\alpha]_{D}$).^[3]

In summary, the total synthesis of amphidinolide F has been accomplished in 34 steps (longest linear sequence). Highlights of the synthetic sequence include a silver-catalyzed dihydrofuran formation, use of common intermediate **7** to access both the C1–C8 and C18–C25 fragments, regioselective hydrostannylation of enyne **25**, diasteroselective addition of a 2-lithio-1,3-diene species to aldehyde **22**, and the sulfone alkylation/oxidative desulfurization sequence to couple the major subunits and incorporate the carbonyl moiety at C15.

Received: May 22, 2012 Published online:

Keywords: asymmetric synthesis · diene · macrolide · silver catalysis · umpolung

- a) J. Kobayashi, M. Tsuda, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2004, 21, 77–93; b) J. Kobayashi, J. Antibiot. 2008, 61, 271–284.
- [2] a) J. Kobayashi, M. Ishibashi, N. R. Wälchli, H. Nakamura, T. Yamasu, Y. Hirata, T. Sasaki, Y. Ohizumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 490–494; b) T. Kubota, M. Tsuda, J. Kobayashi, *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1363–1366; c) T. Kubota, Y. Sakuma, M. Tsuda, J. Kobayashi, *Mar. Drugs* **2004**, *2*, 83–87; d) T. Kubota, A. Suzuki, M. Yamada, S. Baba, J. Kobayashi, *Heterocycles* **2010**, *82*, 333–338.
- [3] J. Kobayashi, M. Tsuda, M. Ishibashi, H. Shigemori, T. Yamasu, H. Hirota, T. Sasaki, J. Antibiot. 1991, 44, 1259–1261.
- [4] T. Kubota, J. Kobayashi, J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 451-460.
- [5] a) H. Ishiyama, M. Ishibashi, J. Kobayashi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1996, 44, 1819–1822; b) T. Kubota, M. Tsuda, J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 1613–1625; c) J. B. Shotwell, W. R. Roush, *Org. Lett.* 2004, 6, 3865–3868; d) D. K. Mohapatra, H. Rahaman, M. S. Chorghade, M. K. Gurjar, *Synlett* 2007, 567–570; e) R. H. Bates, J. B. Shotwell, W. R. Roush, *Org. Lett.* 2008, 10, 4343–4346; f) A. Armstrong, C. Pyrkotis, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 3325–3328; g) M. P. Paudyal, N. P. Rath, C. D. Spilling, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 2954–2957; h) L. Ferrié, B. Figadère, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 4976–4979; i) S. Roy, C. D. Spilling, *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 572–575; k) D. A. Fischer, D. R. Williams, R. De, R. M. Fultz, A. Morales-Ramos, D. Rodriguez-Reyes, 243st National American Chemical Society Meeting, San Diego, CA, March 25–29, 2012, ORGN-756.

- [6] a) S. Mahapatra, R. G. Carter, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 4582-4585; b) S. Mahapatra, R. G. Carter, 241st National American Chemical Society Meeting, Anaheim, CA, March 27-31, 2011, ORGN-295; c) S. Mahapatra, R. G. Carter, 2011 Northwest Regional American Chemical Society Meeting, Portland, OR, June 26-30, 2011, NORM-261.
- [7] D. Seebach, Angew. Chem. 1979, 91, 259–278; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 239–258.
- [8] a) X.-T. Zhou, R. G. Carter, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1819–1822; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1787–1790; b) A. C. Bonaparte, M. P. Betush, B. M. Panseri, D. J. Mastarone, R. K. Murphy, S. S. Murphree, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1447–1449.
- [9] a) O. Flögel, M. G. O. Amombo, H.-U. Reißig, G. Zahn, I. Brüdgam, H. Hartl, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 1405–1415; b) B. Herradon, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1991, *2*, 191–194.
- [10] a) S. Ohira, Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 561-564; b) S. Müller, B. Liepold, G. J. Roth, J. Bestmann, Synlett 1996, 521-522; See also: c) W. R. F. Goundry, J. E. Baldwin, V. Lee, Tetrehedron 2003, 59, 1719-1729; d) M. Kitamura, M. Tokynaga, R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2931-2932.
- [11] Diastereoselectivity was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of compounds 14, 16, and 17, which showed a single diastereomer (d.r. > 20:1).
- [12] A. Buzas, F. Istrate, F. Gagosz, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1957-1959.
- [13] F. Volz, N. Krause, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 1519-1521.
- [14] Y. Shigemasa, M. Yasui, S. Ohrai, M. Sakaki, H. Sashiwa, H. Saimoto, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 910–912.
- [15] M. Turks, P. Vogel, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 435-437.
- [16] a) This reactivity was first noted by Smith et al. as an unwanted side reaction in their synthesis of rapamycin: A. B. Smith III, S. M. Condon, J. A. McCauley, J. L. Leazer, Jr., J. W. Leahy, R. E. Maleczka, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 962–973; for alternative routes to similar stannanes, see: b) A. C. Oehlschlager, M. W. Hutzinger, R. Aksela, S. Sharma, S. M. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 165–168; c) F. Suzenet, E. Blart, J.-P. Quintard, Synlett 1998, 879–881; d) A. K. Mandal, J. R. Schneekloth, Jr., K. Kuramochi, C. M. Crews, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 427– 430.
- [17] The stereochemistry was established by advanced Mosher ester method. See the Supporting Information for details. I. Ohtani, T. Kusumi, Y. Kashman, H. Kakisawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092–4096.
- [18] R. W. Hoffmann, A. Polachowski, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1998, 4, 1724– 1730.
- [19] a) J. D. White, M. Kawaski, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5292-5300;
 b) B. G. Vong, S. Abraham, A. X. Xiang, E. A. Theodorakis, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1617-1620; c) D. J. Kopecky, S. D. Rychnovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8420-8421.
- [20] a) P. J. Kocienski, A. Bell, P. R. Blakemore, *Synlett* 2000, 365 366; b) P. R. Blakemore, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1 2002, 2563–2585.
- [21] D. Takano, T. Nagamitsu, H. Ui, K. Shiomi, Y. Yamaguchi, R. Masuma, I. Kuwajima, S. Omura, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2289–2291.
- [22] a) E. Vedejs, C. F. Marth, R. Ruggeri, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3940–3948; b) R. Tamura, K. Saegusa, M. Kakihana, D. Oda, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2723–2728.
- [23] H. Fuwa, Y. Okamura, H. Natsugari, *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 5341–5352.
- [24] J. R. Hwu, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4432-4433.
- [25] L. Lu, W. Zhang, R. G. Carter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7253-7255.
- [26] J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, H. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989–1993.
- [27] J. R. Dunetz, L. D. Julian, J. S. Newcom, W. R. Roush, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16407-16416.

www.angewandte.org

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

Communications

Hidden Symmetry

S. Mahapatra, R. G. Carter*

Amphidinolide F

G. Carter* _____

Enantioselective Total Synthesis of

Sulfone alkylation / oxidative desulfurization 15 18 0 Vedejs olefination H 0 H H 0 24 25 Felkin-controlled dieny-Li addition Felkin-controlled

A common-intermediate approach is utilized in the total synthesis of amphidinolide F (see scheme, left) to access both the C1–C8 and the C18–C25 portions of the macrolide. A silver-catalyzed rearrangement/cyclization was employed to construct the two tetrahydrofuran rings. A Felkin-controlled, dienyl lithium addition to an α -chiral aldehyde incorporated both the C9–C11 diene and the alcohol at C8. An umpolung sulfone alkylation/oxidative desulfurization sequence is employed to couple the two moieties.