

A pH-Sensitive Lasso-Based Rotaxane Molecular Switch

Caroline Clavel, Camille Romuald, Emile Brabet, and Frédéric Coutrot*^[a]

Abstract: The synthesis of a pH-sensitive two-station [1]rotaxane molecular switch by self-entanglement of a noninterlocked hermaphrodite molecule, containing an anilinium and triazole moieties, is reported. The anilinium was chosen as the best template for the macrocycle benzometaphenylene[25]crown-8 (BMP25C8) and allowed the self-entanglement of the molecule. The equilibrium between the hermaphrodite molecule and the pseudo[1]rotaxane was studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy: the best conditions of self-entanglement were found in the less polar solvent CD_2Cl_2 and at high dilution. The triazole moiety was then benzylated to afford a benzyltriazolium moiety, which then played a dual role. On one hand, it acts as a bulky gate to trap the BMP25C8, thus to avoid any

Keywords: crown complexes • lasso • molecular switch • self-entanglement • rotaxanes self-disentanglement of the molecular architecture. On another hand, it acts as a second molecular station for the macrocycle. At acidic pH, the BMP25C8 resides around the best anilinium molecular station, displaying the lasso [1]rotaxane in a loosened conformation. The deprotonation of the anilinium molecular station triggers the shuttling of the BMP25C8 around the triazolium moiety, therefore tightening the lasso.

Introduction

Stimuli-responsive multicomponent molecular machines have been the subject of many efforts during the past decades, especially in designing new interlocked molecular architectures, new sites of interactions and in improving the efficiency of template-synthesis. Interlocked architectures, such as rotaxanes,^[1] catenanes,^[2] foldaxanes,^[3] molecular muscles,^[4] molecular turnstiles,^[5] molecular jump-rope,^[6] molecular springs,^[7] and so on, have been synthesized and utilized as molecular machines by controlling the movement of one of the different interlocked components upon a specific stimulus. Among these structures, pseudo[1]rotaxanes, which consist of a macrocycle covalently linked to a molecular axle that can be threaded or not in a reversible manner, have been rather intensively studied.^[8] On the contrary, the synthesis of [1]rotaxanes, in which no equilibrium takes place with their non-interlocked analogues, have been the subject of only a few reports.^[9] To the best of our knowl-

[a] Dr. C. Clavel, Dr. C. Romuald, E. Brabet, Dr. F. Coutrot Supramolecular Machines and ARchitectures Team (SMART) Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron (IBMM) –UMR CNRS 5247 Université Montpellier 2 et 1 place Eugène Bataillon, case courrier 1706 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5 (France) Fax: (+33)4-67-63-10-46 E-mail: frederic.coutrot@univ-montp2.fr

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201203597.

edge, no lasso molecular-machine, based on a [1]rotaxane molecular architecture and exhibiting a large amplitude movement, has been reported to date. However, new lasso-type structures with changeable conformations triggered by external stimuli appear to be appealing targets, especially because the properties of a molecule are well known to rely tremendously on its topology.^[10] Bioactive molecules found in nature, like lasso-peptides, demonstrate the utility of such an interlocked lasso architecture. Indeed, the natural lasso-peptides, which consist of 16–21 amino acid residues, share a lasso structure that is responsible for the biological activity as a receptor antagonist or an enzymatic inhibitor.^[11]

As part of our study devoted to the synthesis of interlocked molecular machines, we were interested in the synthesis of lasso-based molecular architectures. With this aim, we recently reported the synthesis of a unique pH-sensitive double-lasso rota-macrocycle from an ends-activated [c2]daisy chain building-block.^[6] Here we present the synthesis of a new mono-lasso-based molecular switch by using a self-entanglement strategy^[12] of a "hermaphrodite" molecule; this molecule is composed of a benzometaphenylene[25]crown-8 (BMP25C8) macrocyclic head and a molecular tail containing an anilinium template moiety for the BMP25C8 and terminated by a bulky di-tert-butylphenyl extremity. In the smaller dibenzo[24]crown-8 macrocycle (DB24C8), the triethylene glycol substituents are in ortho positions to each other on the aromatic rings, so that DB24C8 interacts perfectly with the ammonium moieties. On the contrary, the BMP25C8 displays a bigger cavity holding the tail and the glycol substituents of one aromatic ring in the *meta* position to each other. Even though the affinity of the anilinium template^[13] for the BMP25C8 appeared to be weaker than for the DB24C8, interlocking was

2982

FULL PAPER

possible in some specific conditions by self-entanglement of the non-interlocked hermaphrodite molecule including the anilinium template and a triazole moiety. The subsequent benzylation of the triazole allowed, at the same time, for the creation of a second site of interactions for the BMP25C8 and for the trapping of the lasso structure by hindering the axle between the anilinium template and the BMP25C8. Variations in pH were then envisaged to obtain a tightened or a loosened lasso.

Results and Discussion

The uncomplexed thread **3** was almost quantitatively prepared from the previously synthesized macrocycle azide **1** and the *N*-Boc protected aniline alkyne **2** by using the copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen alkyne–azide 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition in the presence of Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (1 equiv) and 2,6-lutidine (0.1 equiv)^[14] (Scheme 1). The subsequent deprotection of the *N*-Boc moiety in an acidic medium revealed the



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the lasso-based molecular switch 5 and its non-interlocked analogue 5u.

anilinium moiety, which could then freely interact with the BMP25C8 macrocycle. This was achieved by using a solution of hydrochloric acid in diethyl ether, followed by a counteranion exchange, and led, after silica gel chromatographic column, to a mixture of uncomplexed thread **4u** and pseudo[1]rotaxane **4** in 93 % yield. The presence of the bulky di*tert*-butyl anilinium extremity prevented the tail of the molecule to thread the macrocycle. However, the *meta* substitution of the aromatic ring of the macrocycle allowed for its rotation around the two carbon–oxygen σ bonds (blue arrows in Scheme 1), causing the self-entanglement of the compound. Interestingly, this self-entanglement equilibrium between **4** and **4u**, could be displaced either upon variation in solvent polarity or concentration.

The ratio **4/4u** was easily determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; because the equilibrium between pseudo[1]rotaxane **4** and uncomplexed thread **4u** appeared to be slow on the NMR timescale, one family of ¹H NMR signals for each compound was detected (Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2). The

Table 1. Ratio between [1]rotaxane 4 and uncomplexed thread 4u depending on both concentration and solvent.

<i>С</i> (4/4u) [м] ^[а]	[D ₆]DMSO	CD ₃ OD	CD ₃ CN	CD_2Cl_2
5×10^{-2}	-	-	_	23:77
5×10^{-3}	0:100	4:96	14:86	36:64
5×10^{-4}	-	-	-	45:55
[]]]		ITTAD (D.)		

[a] Based on the integration of the ¹H NMR signal corresponding to H₁₀.

formation of the pseudo[1]rotaxane **4** proved to be dependent on the solvent polarity (Figure 1). Unsurprisingly, in the more polar $[D_6]DMSO$ solvent (Figure 1a), no self-entanglement was observed, due to the high solvation of the anilinium template by DMSO, preventing from any kind of interactions between the BMP25C8 and the anilinium template. Traces of pseudo[1]rotaxane **4** were detected in CD₃OD (Figure 1b), whereas the amount of interlocked compound **4** is no longer negligible in CD₃CN (Figure 1c) and significant in the less polar solvent CD₂Cl₂ (Figure 1d).

Variation in the concentration in CD₂Cl₂ was then realized to determine the best parameters for the self-entanglement of 4. The ¹H NMR experiments at three concentrations are reported in Figure 2. It appeared that the formation of the pseudo[1]rotaxane 4 proved also to be concentration-dependent. The best experimental conditions of lasso formation were found at the lowest concentration and in the less polar solvent (i.e., CD_2Cl_2 at 5×10^{-4} M; Table 1). The pseudo[1]rotaxane was generated in a 45% ratio, which is less than the complexation that could be observed with the smaller DB24C8 macrocycle, in optimized conditions, by using the usual di-component threading method.^[15] The meta substitutions of one aromatic ring of the BMP25C8, which cause a bigger cavity of the macrocycle and orientate the two phenoxy-oxygen atoms outside its cavity, should account for the lowering of the hydrogen-bonding interactions between the BMP25C8 and the anilinium. However, and contrary to the smaller macrocycle, here it could take ad-

www.chemeurj.org



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra (600 MHz, 298 K) of a mixture of compounds **4/4u** at 5×10^{-3} m in: a) [D₆]DMSO, b) CD₃OD, c) CD₃CN, and d) CD₂Cl₂. The lettering and numbering correspond to the proton assignments indicated in Scheme 1. The green color corresponds to the lasso compound **4**, whereas the orange color corresponds to compound **4u**.

vantage of the bigger size of the cavity to self-entangle the structure.

Incorporation of a bulky gate^[16] in the thread was subsequently realized to trap the macrocycle around the thread and to prevent the structure from any self-disentanglement. This was achieved by benzylation of the triazole moiety, with the additional aim to create a second molecular station for the BMP25C8. To favor the formation of the lasso structure, the triazole moiety was benzylated at a very low concentration ($<5 \times 10^{-4}$ M) by slowly adding a solution of 4/4 u in CH₂Cl₂ over a period of 24 h to a very large excess of benzyl bromide dissolved in dichloromethane. After stirring for a further 48 h, benzyl triazoliums 5 and 5u were recovered separately after chromatographic columns in yields of 27 and 38%, respectively. No self-disentanglement of the lasso structure 5 towards thread 5u was noticed by NMR spectroscopy, regardless of the solvent used, demonstrating the role of stopper of the benzyl side-chain for the



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra (600 MHz, 298 K) of compounds 4/4u in CD_2Cl_2 at: a) 5×10^{-2} M, b) 5×10^{-3} M, and c) 5×10^{-4} M. The lettering and numbering correspond to the proton assignments indicated in Scheme 1. The green color corresponds to the lasso compound 4, whereas the orange color corresponds to compound 4u.

BMP25C8. This was also demonstrated by synthesizing $5\mathbf{u}$ in 84% yield from the *N*-Boc thread **3**. By using this multistep synthetic strategy, no self-entanglement could be possible as long as compound **3** contains no template moiety for BMP25C8. Unmasking the anilinium template after the benzylation of the triazole did not cause any self-entanglement, signifying the absence of any equilibrium between $5\mathbf{u}$ and 5. Nevertheless, conversion of [1]rotaxane 5 to a mixture of pseudo[1]rotaxane 4 and thread $4\mathbf{u}$ could be easily achieved by hydrogenolysis.

Variations in pH were then envisaged to tighten and loosen the lasso structure **5** (Scheme 2). At acidic pH, the BMP25C8 resides around the best anilinium molecular sta-



Scheme 2. Actuation of the lasso-based molecular switch 5/6 by variation of pH.



Figure 3. ¹H NMR spectra (600 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K) of: a) the protonated non-interlocked thread **5u**; b) the protonated lasso-based compound **5**; c) the deprotonated lasso-based compound **6**; d) the deprotonated non-interlocked thread **6u**. The coloring, lettering, and numbering correspond to the proton assignments indicated in Scheme 2.

tion. This was confirmed by the direct comparison between the ¹H NMR spectra of the non-interlocked thread 5u and the [1]rotaxane 5 (Figure 3, a and b).

In the ¹H NMR spectrum of [1]rotaxane **5**, the NMR signals of the methylenic hydrogens H_{C-H} and the aromatic hydrogens H_{A-B} of the BMP25C8 are split, revealing the interlocked architecture. Indeed, in this structure, they are facing the two non-symmetrical extremities of the encircled molecular axle. The hydrogen H_I belonging to the BMP25C8 is importantly shifted upfield ($\Delta \delta = -0.75$ ppm) in the rotaxane **5**, as it experiences the shielding effect of the anilinium aromatic ring. This is consistent with the localization of the macrocycle around the anilinium station. It is also corroborated by the appearance of the ¹H NMR signal for the hydrogens H_{16} at very high chemical shift ($\delta = 8.64$ ppm) in **5**, and by the downfield shift of hydrogens H_{15} ($\Delta \delta = 0.27$ ppm) caused by their interaction through hydrogen bonds with the oxygen atoms of the crown ether. Furthermore, H_{13} and in a

FULL PAPER

lesser extent H_{10-12} are all shifted upfield (respectively $\Delta \delta = -0.45$ and -0.16; -0.20; -0.24 ppm), because they experience the shielding effect of the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8. Eventually, an unexpected slight downfield shift of H_8 was observed in **5** ($\Delta \delta = 0.17$ ppm), which could be attributed to an interaction by hydrogen bonding between H_8 and the oxygen atom of the amide moiety. This interaction is certainly allowed by the spatial proximity of the two atoms, due to the bent structure.

After the deprotonation of [1]rotaxane 5, the BMP25C8 moves from its initial localization and tightens the lasso structure. It is noteworthy that absolutely no uncomplexed thread 6u was observed after deprotonation, which corroborates the already discussed fact that no self-disentanglement can be possible when a benzyl gate is covalently linked to the triazolium moiety. The new deprotonated tightened lasso structure can be explained by the direct comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of [1]rotaxanes 5 and 6. (Figure 3, b and c) Obviously, the signals corresponding to hydrogens H₁₅, H₁₈, and H₂₀ are all shifted upfield since they all undergo the deprotonation of the anilinium station and the displacement of the macrocycle. Although we expected to observe a downfield shift of the triazolium hydrogen H₈, as already mentioned by us with DB24C8 in [2]rotaxane architectures,^[13b] an upfield shift of H_8 ($\Delta \delta = -0.38$ ppm) was instead detected. Actually, we suggest that the bigger BMP25C8 macrocycle does not allow any efficient hydrogen bond with the triazolium moiety, due to the meta substitution of the aromatic ring, which displays the two phenoxy groups of the crown ether too far away from the inside BMP25C8 cavity. Moreover, the two catechol alkoxy groups (i.e., in the ortho position) of the other aromatic ring of the BMP25C8 cannot interact through a hydrogen bond with H_8 because the benzyl group held by the triazolium can only be situated outside the lasso cavity due to its bulkiness, which is not compatible with a close spatial arrangement between H₈ and these oxygen atoms. Hydrogen H₈ rather experiences a shielding effect of the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8, with no more hydrogen bonding with the oxygen atom of the amide. At the same time, whereas hydrogens $H_{\rm h}$ $H_{\rm A}$, $H_{\rm B}$ belonging to the BMP25C8 experience a shielding effect of the benzyltriazolium moiety (respectively $\Delta \delta = -0.37, -0.12$ and -0.17 ppm), hydrogen H_I is deshielded ($\Delta \delta = 0.60$ ppm) since it no longer experiences the strong shielding effect of the aniline extremity. In 6, the shuttling of the BMP25C8 toward the triazolium moiety is corroborated by the fact that the benzylic hydrogens H₂₆ are shielded by the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8 ($\Delta \delta = -0.42$ ppm). The same trend is observed for hydrogens H₅, H₆, and H₇ ($\Delta \delta = -0.14$, -0.26, and -0.38 ppm, respectively). Meanwhile, displacement of the BMP25C8 toward the triazolium site causes a deshielding of hydrogens H₁₁-H₁₄ (respectively $\Delta \delta = 0.34$, 0.50, 0.71, and 0.18 ppm).

The direct comparison between the ¹H NMR spectra of the tightened lasso-based [1]rotaxane **6** and of its uncomplexed analogue **6u** corroborates the localization of the BMP25C8. (Figure 3, c and d) In the [1]rotaxane **6**, the

www.chemeurj.org

chemical shifts of hydrogens H_{5-8} are more or less shifted upfield ($\Delta \delta = -0.20, -0.16, -0.34$ and -0.12 ppm, respectively) due to their localization in the shielding cavity of the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8. At the same time, the chemical shift of hydrogens H_J, and in a lesser extent H_J, are shifted upfield ($\Delta \delta = -0.37$ and -0.09 ppm, respectively) due to their localization in the shielding cavity of the benzyltriazolium unit. Eventually, the benzyl hydrogens H₂₆ are rather importantly shielded ($\Delta \delta = -0.36$ ppm) by the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8 in lasso compound 6.

All these observations in relation to the tightening or the loosening of the lasso upon pH variation were confirmed by ROE spectroscopy on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer (600 MHz) (Figures 4 and 5).

The ROESY ¹H NMR experiment of the protonated lasso 5 is reported in Figure 4. The correlation peaks, which are highlighted by blue-cyan frames, reveal the localization of the BMP25C8 around the anilinium station. In particular, the correlation peaks observed between respectively the hydrogens H_{13} , H_{14} , H_{15} , H_{18} , and the methylenic hydrogens H_{C-H} of the BMP25C8 indicate the localization of the

BMP25C8 around the anilinium site. The same spatial proximity is observed for hydrogens H₂ and H₈ pointing out the looped backbone, which displays these two hydrogens face to face. At the same time, no correlation peaks are observed between the methylenic hydrogens H_{H-C} of the BMP25C8 with the triazolium part of the molecular lasso.

By comparison with 5, the 2D-ROESY ¹H NMR spectrum appears very different for the deprotonated lasso 6 and demonstrates the shuttling of the BMP25 C8 around the triazolium moiety triggering the tightening of the lasso. Indeed, the new correlation peaks observed now respectively concern the hydrogens H₁₀, H₁₁, H₁₂ (belonging to the alkyl chain), H_{26} and H_8 (belonging to the triazolium site) with the methylenic hydrogens H_{C-H} of the BMP25C8. Similarly, the hydrogens H_{B} and H_{L} belonging to the aromatic rings of the BMP25C8, are spatially close to the hydrogen H_7 in 6. All these observations are supported by the position of hydrogen H_I of the BMP25C8, which was correlated with H_{18} in 5, and with H_{10} , H_{11} , and H_{12} in 6.

Conclusion

We have described a straightforward synthesis of a lassobased [1]rotaxane from a hermaphrodite non-interlocked precursor. Formation of an intermediate interlocked pseudo[1]rotaxane occurred by selfentanglement through the rotation of a meta-substituted aromatic ring of the BMP25C8 around two σ-bonds. The best conditions to obtain these pseudo[1]rotaxanes were found to be in the non-polar solvent dichloromethane and at the highest dilution. Although the anilinium group is not a very good template moiety for the large size of the BMP25C8, the pseudo[1]rotaxane could be detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy in a 45% ratio. Furthermore, benzylation of the triazole enabled us to trap the macrocycle and isolate the [1]rotaxane molecular architecture. Therefore, the benzyltriazolium played the dual role of molecular station and gate for the BMP25C8: on one hand it interacts with the BMP25C8, whereas on the other hand, it avoids any disassembly of the interlocked structure. At acidic pH, the lasso



J/13

www.chemeurj.org © 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

15/13

-15/12

10/13

010/12

- 2

3

5

- 6

7

8

f1 (ppm)

2986 -



Figure 5. ¹H NMR ROESY experiment (600 MHz, 298 K, CD₂Cl₂) of the deprotonated [1]rotaxane 6. The coloring, lettering, and numbering correspond to the proton assignments indicated in Scheme 2.

displayed a loosened shape in which the BMP25C8 is localized around the anilinium molecular station. After deprotonation of the anilinium, the macrocycle shuttles around the triazolium station, thus tightening the lasso structure.^[17] To the best of our knowledge, the molecular switch **5/6** constitutes the first example of a pH-sensitive mono-lasso structure. An extension to the peptidic series by incorporating amino acid residues in the backbone of such a lasso molecular switch is in progress: less or more bending of the peptide should give rise to the modulation of the bio-properties of an active peptidic sequence depending on the pH stimulus.

Experimental Section

General: All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of argon unless otherwise indicated. All reagents were purchased from Aldrich and were used as received without further purification. The preparations and characterizations of the macrocycle **1** and the threads **5u** and **6u** are described in the Supporting Information. The *N*-carbamoylated aniline alkyne **2** has been synthesized according to the experimental procedure previously described by Coutrot et al.^[18] Dichloromethane was distilled over P₂O₅ and was degassed by bubbling Ar gas for 20 min. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates. Compounds were visualized by dipping the plates in an etha-

FULL PAPER

nolic solution of 10% sulphuric acid, ninhydrine, or KMNO4, followed by heating. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX-400 and Bruker Avance III spectrometers (at 400.13 MHz or 600.13 MHz. and 100.62 MHz or 150.95 MHz, respectively). The chemical shifts of the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra are given by using CHCl₃, CH₃CN or CH2Cl2 as references (for 1H spectrum: 7.27, 1.94, and 5.32 ppm, respectively, and for ¹³C spectrum: 77.00 ppm, 118.26 ppm and 54 ppm, respectively). Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: s (singlet), br (broad), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and mass spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF Micro (water) apparatus.

Compound 3: Cu(CH₂CN)₄PF₄ (746 mg, 2 mmol, 1 equiv) and 2,6-lutidine (23 µL, 0.2 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added successively to a solution of the azido macrocycle 1 (1.233 g, 2 mmol, 1 equiv) and the alkyne 2 (911 mg, 2.2 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 24 h at RT. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude product was directly purified by chromatography on a silica gel column (solvent gradient elution CH2Cl2/acetone 80:20 to 70:30) to give compound 3 (2.03 g, 98%) as a white foam. $R_{\rm f} 0.40$ $(CH_2Cl_2/acetone = 80:20);$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K): $\delta = 7.49$ (s,

1 H, H₈), 7.29 (t, 1 H, ${}^{4}J_{H20-H18}$ =1.6 Hz, H₂₀), 7.04–6.99 (m, 3 H, H₁₈ H₁), 6.95–6.85 (m, 6 H, H_A H_B H_J), 6.77 (t, 1 H, ${}^{4}J_{H1-HJ}$ =2.1 Hz, H₁), 4.27 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{H7-H6}$ =7.1 Hz, H₇), 4.21–4.13 (m, 4 H, H_H), 4.10–4.02 (m, 4 H, H_C), 3.80–3.68 (m, 8 H, H_D H_G), 3.62 (s, 8 H, H_E H_F), 3.64–3.55 (m, 2 H, H₁₅), 3.32–3.23 (m, 2 H, H₂), 2.66–2.53 (br t, 2 H, H₁₀), 1.89–1.76 (m, 2 H, H₆), 1.63–1.42 (m, 6 H, H₃ H₁₁ H₁₄), 1.39 (s, 9 H, H₂₅), 1.29 (s, 9 H, H₂₂), 1.36–1.23 ppm (m, 8 H, H₄ H₅ H₁₂ H₁₂); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃CN, 298 K): δ =167.5 (C_K), 161.1, 149.6, and 138.3 (C_{IV aron BMP25C8}), 155.6 (C₂₃), 152.2 (C₁₉), 143.3 (C₁₇), 122.5, 122.4, and 115.2 (C₈ C₁₈ C_A C_B), 120.7 (C₂₀), 107.4 (C_J), 106.3 (C_I), 80.2 (C₂₄), 71.4 and 71.3 (C_E C_F), 70.5 and 70.3 (C_D G_G), 69.3 and 69.2 (C_C C_H), 51.4 (C₇), 50.7 (C₁₅), 40.3 (C₂), 35.6 (C₂₁), 31.7 (C₂₂), 30.6 (C₆), 28.7 (C₂₅), 30.0, 29.5, 29.1, 27.1, 26.9, and 26.8 (C₃ C₄ C₅ C₁₀ C₁₁ C₁₂ C₁₃ C₁₄); HRMS (ESI): [*M*+H]⁺ calcd for C₅₈H₈₈N₅O₁₁: 1030.6480, found: 1030.6470.

Pseudo[1]rotaxane 4 and thread 4u from 3: A solution of HCl 1 m in Et₂O (20 mL, 20 mmol, 11 equiv) was added to a solution of compound **3** (1.814 g, 1.76 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min before being evaporated to give a yellow foam. The solid was diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL). NH₄PF₆ (717 mg, 4.4 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and H₂O milliQ (20 mL) were added to this solution and the biphasic solution was stirred vigorously for 20 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3) and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give compounds **4/4u** (1.748 g, 93%) as a white foam. R_f 0.60 (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 70:30). HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₅₃H₈₀N₅O₉: 930.5956 [*M*+H]⁺; found: 930.5938.

Thread 4u from 5: Pd/C (10%, 20 mg) and 2 drops of NEt₃ were added to a solution of rotaxane 5 (20 mg, 0.015 mmol, 1 equiv) in EtOH (5 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT under H_2 for 24 h.

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org

CHEMISTRY

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

Uncomplexed form 4u: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 5.10⁻² M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 7.47$ (s, 1 H, H₈), 7.45 (s, 1 H, H₂₀), 7.30 (s, 2 H, H₁₈), 6.92–6.87 (m, 4 H, $H_A H_B$), 6.87 (br t, 1 H, H_I), 6.83 (d, 2 H, ${}^4J_{HJ-HI} = 1.9$ Hz, H_J), 6.74 (br t, 1 H, H₁), 4.33 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{H7-H6} = 6.6$ Hz, H₇), 4.13–4.10 (m, 4 H, H_H), 4.10– $4.07 (m, 4H, H_C), 3.79-3.73 (m, 8H, H_D H_G), 3.66 (s, 8H, H_E H_F), 3.37 (t, t)$ 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H15-H14}} = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H}_{15}$), 3.31 (q, 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H2-H3}} = {}^{3}J_{\text{H2-H1}} = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H}_{2}$), 2.67 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{H10-H11} = 7$ Hz, H₁₀), 1.94–1.84 (m, 2 H, H₆), 1.81–1.74 (m, 2H, H₁₄), 1.65-1.59 (m, 2H, H₁₁), 1.58-1.52 (m, 2H, H₃), 1.44-1.37 (m, 2H, H_{13}), 1.35–1.24 (m, 6H, H_4 H_5 H_{12}), 1.29 ppm (s, 18H, H_{22}); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, 5.10^{-2} M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 168.6(C_K)$, 160.9, 149.1 and 137.0 (C $_{\rm IV\ arom\ BMP25C8}$), 154.2 (C $_{\rm 19}$), 147.5 (C $_{\rm 17}$), 129.5 or 128.7 (C₉), 123.0 (C₂₀), 122.5 (C₈), 122.3 and 115.2 (C_A C_B), 116.4 (C₁₈), 107.2 (C_J), 106.5 (C_I), 71.1 and 71.0 (C_E C_F), 70.5 and 69.9 (C_D C_G), 69.1 and 68.9 (C_C C_H), 53.2 (C₁₅), 50.9 (C₇), 40.6 (C₂), 35.6 (C₂₁), 31.5 (C₂₂), 30.3 (C_6) , 29.5 (C_3) , 29.3 (C_{11}) , 28.2 (C_{12}) , 26.6 (C_{14}) , 26.7 and 26.3 $(C_4 C_5)$, 26.1 (C₁₃), 25.1 ppm (C₁₀).

Pseudo[1]rotaxane 4: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 5.10⁻² M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 8.65$ (br s, 2H, H₁₆), 7.53 (s, 1H, H₂₀), 7.52 (s, 1H, H₈), 7.42 (s, 2H, H_{18}), 6.97–6.93 and 6.90–6.86 (2 m, 4 H, H_A H_B), 6.94 (d, 2 H, ${}^4J_{HJ-HI}$ = 2 Hz, H_J), 6.68 (br t, 1 H, H₁), 6.20 (br t, 1 H, H₁), 4.37-4.34 (m, 2 H, H₇), 4.42-4.14 and 4.06-4.02 (2 m, 8 H, H_H H_C), 3.98-3.93, 3.85-3.81, and 3.79-3.63 (3 m, 8H, $H_{\rm D}$ $H_{\rm G}),$ 3.79–3.63 and 3.60–3.49 (2 m, 8H, $H_{\rm E}$ $H_{\rm F}),$ 3.60– 3.54 (m, 2H, H₁₅), 3.19 (q, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H2-H3} = {}^{3}J_{H2-H1} = 6.4$ Hz, H₂), 2.43 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H10-H11} = 8$ Hz, H₁₀), 1.94–1.87 (m, 2 H, H₆), 1.63–1.56 (m, 2 H, H₁₄), 1.43– 1.37 (m, 2H, H_3), 1.35–1.24 (m, 4H, $H_5 H_{11}$), 1.29 (s, 18H, H_{22}), 1.13–1.07 (m, 2H, H₄), 1.07–1.01 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 0.91–0.84 ppm (m, 2H, H₁₃); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, 5.10^{-2} M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 167.3(C_K)$, 160.1, 148.2, 137.8, and 137.2 ($C_{IV\;arom\;BMP25C8}$), 154.2 (C_{19}), 135.6 (C_{17}), 129.5 or 128.7 (C9), 124.9 (C20), 122.8 and 113.2 (CA CB), 122.3 (C8), 117.3 (C18), 107.6 (C_I), 106.4 (C_J), 72.1 and 71.3 (C_E $C_F),\,70.8$ (C_D $C_G),\,69.0$ and 68.7 $(C_C C_H)$, 52.3 (C_{15}) , 50.9 (C_7) , 39.9 (C_2) , 35.7 (C_{21}) , 31.6 (C_{22}) , 29.9 and 29.1 (C3 C11), 29.4 (C12), 28.8 (C6), 27.7 (C14), 26.2 (C13), 25.8 (C5), 25.6 (C₁₀), 25.4 ppm (C₄).

[1]Rotaxane 5: A solution of compound 4 (300 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1 equiv) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise over 24 h to a solution of benzyl bromide (139 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (418 mL). The mixture was stirred for further 72 h at RT. CH₂Cl₂ was then evaporated and the excess of benzyl bromide was removed by filtration on a silica gel column (solvent gradient elution CH2Cl2 to CH2Cl2/MeOH 95:5). The remaining solid (290 mg) was then diluted in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). To this solution was added NH₄PF₆ (189 mg, 1.16 mmol, 5 equiv) and H₂O milliQ (10 mL): the biphasic solution was stirred vigorously for 30 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude was purified successively by chromatography on a silica gel column (CH_2Cl_2/ MeOH 99:1) and LH20 sephadex column (CH2Cl2/MeOH 50:50) to give [1]rotaxane 5 (96 mg, 27%) and deprotonated thread 6u (123 mg, 38%) as white foams. R_f 0.52 (CH₂Cl₂/Acetone 70/30); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 5.10^{-3} M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 8.64$ (br s, 2H, H₁₆), 8.47 (s, 1H, H₈), 7.52 (s, 1H, H₂₀), 7.47-7.43 (m, 3H, H₂₉ H₃₀), 7.42 (s, 2H, H₁₈), 7.35-7.30 (m, 2H, H₂₈), 6.97–6.92 and 6.90–6.85 (2 m, 4H, H_A H_B), 6.87 (s, 2H, H_J), 6.43 (br t, 1 H, H₁), 6.15 (s, 1 H, H₁), 5.70 (s, 2 H, H₂₆), 4.60 (t, 2 H, ${}^{3}J_{H7-}$ $_{\rm H6}\!=\!5.6$ Hz, H_7), 4.25–4.14 and 4.08–4.02 (2 m, 8 H, H_H H_C), 3.99–3.93 and 3.86-3.77 and 3.77-3.69 (3 m, 8 H, H_D H_G), 3.77-3.69 and 3.63-3.55 and 3.55–3.49 (3 m, 8H, H_E H_F), 3.55–3.49 (m, 2H, H_{15}), 3.27–3.20 (m, 2H, H_2), 2.58 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H10-H11} = 8$ Hz, H_{10}), 2.18–2.20 (m, 2H, H_6), 1.62–1.54 (m, 2H, H₁₄), 1.57-1.50 (m, 2H, H₃), 1.46-1.39 (m, 2H, H₁₁), 1.40-1.33 (m, 2H, H₅), 1.34-1.29 (m, 2H, H₄), 1.29 (s, 18H, H₂₂), 1.12-1.04 (m, 2H, $H_{12}), \ 0.91\text{--}0.82 \ ppm \ (m, \ 2 \ H, \ H_{13}); \ ^{13}C \ NMR \ (150 \ MHz, \ 5.10^{-2} \ m \ in$ CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 167.9$ (C_K), 160.8, 160.1, 149.5, 147.5, and 138.6 $(C_{\rm IV-arom\ BMP25C8}),\,154.2$ $(C_{19}),\,145.8$ $(C_{9}),\,135.6$ $(C_{17}),\,131.8$ $(C_{27}),\,130.1$ and 130.0 (C₂₉ C₃₀), 128.9 (C₂₈), 128.8 (C₈), 124.9 (C₂₀), 122.7, 122.1, 115.4, and 113.2 (C_A C_B), 117.3 (C_{18}), 107.5 (C_I), 106.2 (C_J), 72.2, 71.4, and 71.3 (C_E C_F), 70.8, 70.7, 70.4, and 70.2 (C_D C_G), 69.3, 68.9, and 68.6 (C_C , C_H), 55.4 (C₂₆), 54.8 (C₇), 52.4 (C₁₅), 39.3 (C₂), 35.7 (C₂₁), 31.6 (C₂₂), 29.1 (C₁₂), 28.8 (C_3) , 28.0 (C_6) , 27.6 (C_{14}) , 27.0 (C_{11}) , 26.3 (C_{13}) , 25.0 (C_4) , 24.6 (C_5) ,

24.0 ppm (C₁₀); HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{60}H_{87}F_6N_5O_9P$: 1166.6095 $[\emph{M}-PF_6]^+,$ found: 1166.6146.

[1]rotaxane 6: A solution of the [1]rotaxane 5 (52 mg, 0.040 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was washed with an aqueous solution of NaOH 1 M (2×5 mL). After extraction of the aqueous layer with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4 and evaporated to obtain the deprotonated [1]rotaxane 6 (43 mg, 94%) as a white foam. R_f 0.50 (CH₂Cl₂/Acetone 70:30); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, 5.10⁻³ M in CD_2Cl_2 , 298 K): $\delta = 8.09$ (s, 1 H, H₈), 7.49–7.39 (m, 3 H, H₂₉ H₃₀), 7.07– 7.02 (m, 2H, H₂₈), 6.85-6.79 and 6.72-6.67 (2 m, 4H, H_A H_B), 6.75 (s, 1H, H₂₀), 6.66 (s, 2H, H₁), 6.56 (s, 1H, H₁), 6.50 (s, 2H, H₁₈), 6.37 (br t, 1H, H₁), 5.28 (s, 2H, H₂₆), 4.22 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H7-H6} = 6$ Hz, H₇), 4.25–4.10 and 3.95– 3.87 and 3.87–3.81 (3 m, 8H, $\rm H_{H}$ $\rm H_{C}),$ 4.09–4.04 and 3.94–3.88 and 3.70– 3.63 (3 m, 8H, $H_{\rm D}$ $H_{\rm G}),$ 3.76–3.69 and 3.69–3.63 and 3.61–3.56 (3 m, 8H, $H_E H_F$), 3.43–3.37 (m, 2H, H₂), 3.22 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H15-H14} = 6.9$ Hz, H₁₅), 2.59 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H10-H11} = 7.9$ Hz, H₁₀), 1.91–1.85 (m, 2H, H₆), 1.80–1.72 (m, 4H, H₁₁ H₁₄), 1.66-1.59 (m, 2H, H₃), 1.60-1.55 (m, 4H, H₁₂ H₁₃), 1.39-1.32 (m, 2H, H₄), 1.29 (s, 18H, H₂₂), 1.25–1.19 ppm (m, 2H, H₅); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, 5.10^{-2} M in CD₂Cl₂, 298 K): $\delta = 166.6$ (C_K), 160.8, 160.4, 148.8, and 137.4 (C_{IV-arom BMP25C8}), 152.2 (C₁₉), 149.6 and 149.0 (C_{IV-arom BMP25C8} and C17), 146.6 (C9), 132.4 (C27), 129.8 and 129.7 (C29 C30), 129.0 (C8), 128.7 (C₂₈), 122.2, 122.1, and 114.1 (C_A C_B), 112.0 (C₂₀), 107.9 (C₁₈), 107.6 (C_I), 104.8 (C_J), 71.7, 71.5, 71.4, 71.3, and 71.2 (C_E C_F), 70.5, 70.4, and 70.0 (C_D C_G), 69.5, 68.9, and 68.6 (C_C , C_H), 54.8 (C_7), 54.0 (C_{26}), 44.6 (C15), 40.5 (C2), 35.3 (C21), 31.8 (C22), 30.3 (C14), 30.1, 28.0, and 27.9 (C6 C12 C13), 28.1 (C3), 27.1 (C4), 26.7 (C5), 26.3 (C11), 23.5 (C10); HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{60}H_{87}F_6N_5O_9P$: 1166.6156 $[M+H]^+$; found: 1166.6146.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer for PhD financial support.

- For some recent examples, see: a) V. Blanco, A. Carlone, K. D. Hänni, D. A. Leigh, B. Lewandowski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5166-5169; b) A. Fernandes, A. Viterisi, F. Coutrot, S. Potok, D. A. Leigh, V. Aucagne, S. Papot, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6565-6569; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6443-6447; c) A. Joosten, Y. Trolez, J. P. Collin, V. Heitz, J. P. Sauvage, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1802-1809; d) J. C. Barnes, A. C. Fahrenbach, S. M. Dyar, M. Frasconi, M. A. Giesener, Z. Zhu, Z. Liu, K. J. Hartlieb, R. Carmieli, M. R. Wasielewski, J. F. Stoddart, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2012, 109, 11546-11551.
- [2] For some recent examples, see: a) C. Wang, D. Cao, A. C. Fahrenbach, L. Fang, M. A. Olson, D. C. Friedman, S. Basu, S. K. Dey, Y. Y. Botros, J. F. Stoddart, J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2012, 25, 544–552; b) S. M. Goldup, D. A. Leigh, T. Long, P. R. McGonigal, M. D. Symes, J. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15924–15929; c) J. F. Ayme, J. Lux, J. P. Sauvage, A. Sour, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5565–5573; d) Z. Zhu, A. C. Fahrenbach, H. Li, J. C. Barnes, Z. Liu, S. M. Dyar, H. Zhang, J. Lei, R. Carmieli, A. A. Sarjeant, C. L. Stern, M. R. Wasielewski, J. F. Stoddart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11709–11720; e) A. C. Fahrenbach, K. J. Hartlieb, C.-H. Sue, C. J. Bruns, G. Barin, S. Basu, M. A. Olson, Y. Y. Botros, A. Bagabas, N. H. Khdary, J. F. Stoddart, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9141–9143.
- [3] a) Y. Ferrand, Q. Gan, B. Kauffmann, H. Jiang, I. Huc, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7714–7717; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7572– 7575; b) Q. Gan, Y. Ferrand, C. Bao, B. Kauffmann, A. Grélard, H. Jiang, I. Huc, Science 2011, 331, 1172–1175.
- [4] a) F. Coutrot, C. Romuald, E. Busseron, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3741–3744; b) C. Romuald, E. Busseron, F. Coutrot, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6516–6531; c) S. Bonnet, J. P. Collin, M. Koizumi, P. Mobian, J. P. Sauvage, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 1239–1250; d) J. P. Collin, V. Heitz, S. Bonnet, J. P. Sauvage, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2005, 8,

2988 -

1063–1074; e) M. C. Jimenez-Molero, C. Dietrich-Buchecker, J. P. Sauvage, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 1456–1466; f) B. X. Colasson, C. Dietrich-Buchecker, M. C. Jimenez-Molero, J. P. Sauvage, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2002, *15*, 476–483; g) J. P. Collin, C. Dietrich-Buchecker, P. Gavina, M. C. Jimenez-Molero, J. P. Sauvage, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2001, *34*, 477–487; h) M. C. Jiménez, C. Dietrich-Buchecker, J. P. Sauvage, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, *112*, 3422–3425; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 3284–3287; i) J. Wu, K. C. F. Leung, D. Benitez, J. Y. Han, S. J. Cantrill, L. Fang, J. F. Stoddart, *Angew. Chem.* 2008, *120*, 7580–7584; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, *47*, 7470–7474; j) R. E. Dawson, S. F. Lincoln, C. J. Easton, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 3980–3982.

- [5] a) T. Lang, E. Graf, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 10419–10426; b) A. Guenet, E. Graf, N. Kyritsakas, L. Allouche, M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 2935–2937; c) A. Guenet, E. Graf, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 6443–6452; d) T. Lang, E. Graf, N. Kyritsakas, M. W. Hosseini, *Dalton Trans.* 2011, *40*, 3517–3523.
- [6] C. Romuald, A. Arda, C. Clavel, J. Jimenez-Barbero, F. Coutrot, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 1851–1857.
- [7] Z. Zhang, C. Han, G. Yu, F. Huang, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3026–3031.
- [8] a) V. Balzani, P. Ceroni, A. Credi, M. Gomez-Lopez, C. Hamers, J. F. Stoddart, R. Wolf, *New J. Chem.* 2001, 25, 25–31; b) K. Yamauchi, A. Miyawaki, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi, A. Harada, *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 1284–1286; c) K. Yamauchi, A. Miyawaki, Y. Takashima, H. Yamaguchi, A. Harada, *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 75, 1040–1046; d) P.R. Ashton, R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, S. E. Boyd, A. Credi, M. T. Gandolfi, M. Gomez-Lopez, S. Iqbal, D. Philp, J. A. Preece, L. Prodi, H. G. Ricketts, J. F. Stoddart, M. S. Tolley, M. Venturi, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1997, *3*, 152–170; e) N. L. Strutt, H. Zhang, M.A. Giesener, J. Lei, J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 1647–1649.

FULL PAPER

- [9] a) K. Hiratani, M. Kaneyama, Y. Nagawa, E. Koyama, M. Kanesato, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13568–13569; b) X. Ma, D. Qu, F. Ji, Q. Wang, L. Zhu, Y. Xu, H. Tian, Chem. Commun. 2007, 1409– 1411; c) C. Gao, X. Ma, Q. Zhang, Q. Wang, D. Qu, H. Tian, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 1126–1132; d) H. Onagi, C. J. Blake, C. J. Easton, S. F. Lincoln, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 5978–5988.
- [10] E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh, F. Zerbetto, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 72– 196; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 72–191.
- [11] a) M. O. Maksimov, S. J. Pan, A. J. Link, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2012**, *29*, 996–1006; b) T. A. Knappe, U. Linne, S. Zirah, S. Rebuffat, X. Xie, M. A. Marahiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 11446–11454.
- [12] Z. Xue, M. F. Mayer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3274-3276.
- [13] a) S. J. Vella, J. Tiburcio, S. J. Loeb, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 4752–4754; b) F. Coutrot, E. Busseron, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 4784–4787; c) S. J. Loeb, J. Tiburcio, S. J. Vella, *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 4923–4926.
- [14] a) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 2056–2075; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2004–2021; b) C. W. Tornoe, C. Christensen, M. Meldal, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3057–3064.
- [15] F. Coutrot, E. Busseron, J. L. Montero, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 753-756.
- [16] J. V. Hernandez, E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh, *Science* 2004, 306, 1532– 1537.
- [17] Based on a CPK model, the estimated lengths of the pH-sensitive molecular switch measured between the turn and the anilinium stopper in the loosened 5 and in the tightened 6 conformations, are 18 and 24 Å, respectively.
- [18] E. Busseron, C. Romuald, F. Coutrot, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10062–10073.

Received: October 9, 2012 Published online: January 23, 2013