REMOTE HALOGENATION: SOME MODEL STUDIES AND SPECIFIC DEGRADATION OF THE

CHOLESTEROL, SITOSTEROL, AND CAMPESTEROL SIDE CHAIN

Peter Weizel*, Kurt Hobert, Aranka Ponty, Dirk Neunert, Harald Klein

Fakultät für Chemie der Ruhr-Universität Bochum Postfach 102148, D-4630 Bochum (FRG)

Tsenka Milkova

Institute of Organic Chemistry Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 1113 Sofia (Bulgaria)

Abstract - 1) Two high-yield procedures for the conversion of 1 to 4 are reported. 2) 11 was degraded to 34 in good overall yield via 17, 20, 26, 31, 29, and 30. Similarly, 34 was obtained from 12 and 13.

(Received in Germany 1 March 1985)

Remote functionalization was introduced by Breslow 1 and by Baldwin 2 as a powerful new tool for the regioselective functionalization of tertiary hydrocarbon centers in the steroid nucleus. Usually, a reagent, catalyst or template group is complexed or covalently linked to a position of the substrate far away from the reaction site. Site-specificity is governed by proximity effects. For example, reaction of 1 with a chlorinating agent such as iodobenzene dichlorlde under free radical conditions followed by KOH treatment leads to the olefin 3 in up to 55% yield. ³ It is assumed that first intermediate 2 is formed. For geometrical reasons 14α -H is the only tertiary hydrogen that can be intramolecularly abstracted by the radical grouping of 2. A carbon radical is formed which reacts in the last step of the chain reaction to produce 5. In this particular case due to its instability 5 was neither isolated nor identified but was immediately transferred into 3. These so-called template-controlled radical-relay chlorinations have found a number of elegant synthetic applications. ^{3,4}

In this paper we report some new results on remote chlorInation of model compound 1 and detail an efficient side-chain degradation of sterols 11, 12, and 13 in which remote chlorination is one of the key steps. 5

Model studies

To a solution of 1 in CH_2CI_2 which was irradiated with a sunlamp at -50 to -30°C (argon atmosphere) a solution of iodobenzene dichloride was added dropwise. When the reaction was finished (after about 11 min) usual work-up and chromatography gave 4 (80%), and traces of 6. Notable features of this experiment are that (i) the yield is much higher than that reported by Breslow and coworkers, ³ (ii) base treatment is unnecessary to obtain an olefin, (iii) the ester group which protects the 3-OH function is retained under these experimental conditions. There remains, however, one disadvantage, namely



6

that iodobenzene has to be prepared in a separate step. We tried, therefore, to find simpler chlorinating reagents for the radical relay reaction. tert-Butyl hypochlorite, trichloromethanesulfonyl chloride, and iodine trichloride were tried without success. ⁶ The use of sulfuryl dichloride will be described below. Cl_2 in the presence of complexing solvents such as diphenyl ether, diethyl ether, dioxane, quinoline, thiophene, bis(tert-butyl)sulfide, or sulfur dichloride was too unselective. ⁶ On the other hand, in agreement with Russell's classical work, ⁷ when 1 was submitted to the radical relay chlorination with Cl_2 in carbon disulfide at -60°C under very carefully controlled conditions (see Experimental) 4 was obtained in excellent yield (77%) along with a small amount of allyl chloride **6**. Under these experimental conditions evidence for the intermediate formation of chloro compound 5 was obtained for the first time. A 1 H NMR spectrum taken at the end of the chlorination reaction at -50°C did not show the olefinic proton signal of 4. This signal slowly appeared at room temperature and under the conditions of the working-up procedure.

4-Androstene-3,17-dione (34) from Cholesterol (11), B-Sitosterol (12) and Campesterol (13) by Chemical Degradation.

For many years diosgenin, deoxycholic acid, and stigmasterol served as the most important raw materials for the partial synthesis of steroid hormones. ⁸ Recently, economic processes have been developed for the microbial conversion of abundant naturally occurring sterols such as cholesterol (11), β -sitosterol (12), and campesterol (13) into useful steroid hormone intermediates such as 4-androstene-3,17-dione (34). ^{9,10} An analogous degradation of the saturated hydrocarbon side chain by conventional chemical methods can be accomplished only with unacceptably low yields even after protection of the Δ^5 double bond. ¹¹ We have now developed an efficient chemical method for this degradation which is based on the remote halogenation methodology. One of the main problems is, of course, to preserve the Δ^5 double bond or an equivalent of it throughout the degradation procedure. Site-specific introduction of a Δ^{16} double bond into <u>saturated</u> steroids has been achieved by Breslow ³ and Baldwin, ² respectively, using remote functionalization processes with the "reagent" group attached either to the 3- or the 7-position. For example, 7 was transformed into 8 by the Breslow group. 10 was obtained from 8 in <u>three</u> steps and could in turn be degraded to androsterone acetate (9).³

desired degradation of sterols 11, 12 and 13. By moving the p-iodophenylacetate attachment from C-3 as in 1 to C-5 displaces the position of attack from 14-H (as discussed above, see 2) to 17-H as desired. ¹² Furthermore, the functional group at C-5 serves as a protective group for the J^5 double bond.





Accordingly, acetates 14 and 15/16 (obtained from a commercial 6:4 mixture of 12 and 13) were transformed to the 5α -hydroxy compounds 17 and 18/19, respectively, in an overall yield of 74% by

transformed to the 5 α -hydroxy compounds 17 and 18/19, respectively, in an overall yield of 74% by sensitized photooxygenation 13 followed by catalytic hydrogenation of the intermediate (5 lpha -hydroperoxy-7-ene) allylic hydroperoxides. Because of its simplicity, this method for the conversion of Δ^5 unsaturated into 5 α -hydroxy steroids compares favourably with the standard procedure via the 5 α , 6α epoxide. ¹⁴ Esterification of the tertiary OH-group in **17** and **18/19**, respectively, caused more difficulties than anticipated. After much experimentation it was found that a modification of the Oppenauer method ¹⁵ (refluxing a toluene solution of the alcohol with a 3-fold excess of 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride and calciumhydride in the presence of a catalytical amount of tetra-n-butylammonium iodide) gave the desired esters 20 and 21/22 in about 80% yield. Irradiation of a CCI $_{a}$ solution of 20 in the presence of sulfuryl chloride and azobis (isobutyronitrile) at -10°C with UV light (Philips HPK 125, pyrex filter) for 150 min or of a CHCl₂ solution of 20 and freshly prepared iodobenzene dichloride at 20°C with a 300 W sun lamp for 5 min furnished the desired 17lpha -chloro compound **26.** After chromatographic separation the somewhat unstable 26 was obtained in 53% yield. When 26 was heated in pyridine solution only the 16-olefin 23 was formed. This finding is consistent with Breslow's report 3 that the 17-chloro compounds obtained from 7 (with various R-groups) react with hot alkali exclusively to olefins 8. As already discussed, from these olefins the side chain can be degraded only in a sequence of several steps. To our delight, we found that treatment of 26 with 1,5-diazabicyclo [5.4.0]undecene-5 (DBU) at 90°C gave a 4:1 mixture of the desired 17(20)-olefin 31 16 and of 23 18 . When the chlorination and the elimination step were performed without purification of the intermediate 26 the overall yield of the 4:1 mixture of 31 and 23 was 64%. Simple ozonolysis of this mixture of olefins in CH_CI_/methanol solution followed by trimethylphosphite workup gave 17-ketone 29 quantitatively (based on 31). By the same sequence of reactions the mixture of 21 and 22 was degraded to 29. Heating of a THF solution of 29 with aqueous 0.15 molar lithium hydroxide ¹⁹ under reflux for 4h furnished 30 by clean hydrolysis of the acetate group at C-3 in 86% yield. Finally, pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation ²⁰ and brief treatment of the resulting 3-ketone in THF solution with 0.15 molar aqueous lithium hydroxide at 20°C provided 34 in quantitative yield.

In conclusion, sterols 11, 12, and 13 can be chemically degraded to 34 in good yield by a simple sequence of reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL

General

Usual work-up means partioning the reaction mixture between water and an organic solvent (given in parenthesis), drying the combined organic solutions over Na_2SO_4 and removal of solvent by distillation in vacuo using a rotatory evaporator. The instrumentation used was: ¹H NMR: WP 80 (Bruker); WH-250 (Bruker); IR: Perkin Elmer 257 and 681; MS: MAT-731 and MAT-CH-5 (Varian); LC: Medium pressure chromatography using 31.0 cm x 2.5 cm glass tubes, silica gel 60 Merck (40-63 μ m), Duramat pump (CfG).

<u>5 α -Cholest-14-ene-3 α -yl 4-iodophenylacetate (4).</u>

a) A solution of 1 (50 mg, 0.08 mmol) in absolute CH_2CI_2 (8 ml) was deoxygenated with argon (30 min). Then, under argon at -50 to -30°C, the solution was exposed to the light of a sun lamp (Osram Ultra-Vitralux, placed in 5 cm distance of the reaction flask) for 13 min. During this time, a solution of iodobenzene dichloride (49.0 mg, 0.18 mmol) in absolute CH_2CI_2 (30 ml) was added dropwise. After additional irradiation for 2 min the solvent was evaporated. LC (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 150:1) furnished 4 (40.2 mg, 80%) and traces (tic) of 6.

b) To a 0.6 molar solution of Cl_2 in CCl_4 (0.79 mi) was added precooled (-60°C) CS_2 (40 ml). This mixture was added dropwise within 1.5 min to an irradiated solution (sun lamp) of 1 (200 mg, 0.32 mmol) in CS_2 (25 ml) at -60°C. Irradiation was continued for 2 min. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue taken up in ether (20 ml). The solution was washed with 10% NaHSO₃ solution and satura -

ted NaHCO₃ solution (2x2 ml) before drying and solvent evaporation. The very difficult separation of 1, 4, and 6 and other by-products was performed by (i) LC (silica gel (170 g), petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 150:1) and (ii) LC (silica gel impregnated with 10% $AgNO_3$ (100 g), petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 150:1, then 20:1) and gave 4 (154.4 mg, 77%), 1 (21 mg, 10%), and 6 (2 mg, 1%).

c) 1 (50 mg, 0.79 mmol) was chlorinated as described under b). Part of the reaction mixture was diluted with precooled CDCl_3 (-50°C). The ¹H NMR spectrum (80 MHz) at -50°C featured a 0:1 ratio of integrals around $\delta = 5.2$ (olefinic H) and 5.0 (38-H). When the reaction mixture (without working-up) was allowed to stand at room temperature for 15 h, this ratio was 1:4.6. Similarly, 50 mg of 1 were chlorinated as described under b), and ¹H NMR spectra were taken after each step of the working-up and purification procedure (see above). The ratio of the integrals at $\delta = 5.2$ and 5.0 became gradually larger and was 1:1 at the end of the isolation procedure. The isolated yield of 4 was 70% in this experiment.

M.p. of 4: 83-85°C (from ethanol).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.80 - 0.91$ (methyl signals), 3.54 (s, $-CO-CH_2$ -Ar), 5.03 (m, $W_{1/2} = 7Hz$, 38-H), 5.20 (m, $W_{1/2} = 5.5$ Hz, 15-H), 7.05 and 7.66 aromatic H's). - IR: 1725 cm⁻¹ (CO).- MS: m/z (%)= 630 (10, M.), 255 (100).- (Found C 66.74, H 8.17. Calc for $C_{35}H_{51}0_2$ I (630.7): C 66.65, H 8.15).

<u> 15ξ -Chloro-5 α -cholest-8(14)-ene-3 α -yl 4-iodophenylacetate (8).</u>

A solution of 4 (50 mg, 0.79 mmol) in absolute CH_2CI_2 (10 ml) was deoxygenated with argon (30 min). Then, at -30°C the solution was exposed to the light of a sun lamp (see above). Within 4 min iodobenzene dichloride (28.5 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added and photolysis continued for 4 min. Work-up (CH_2CI_2) and LC (petroleum ether - ethyl acetate 50:1) provided 6 (41.3 mg, 80%).- M.p. 146-148°C (from ethanol).- IR (CCI_4): 1725 cm⁻¹ (CO).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCI_3): δ = 0.86-0.93 (methyl signals), 3.58 (s, -CO-CH_2-Ar), 4.60 (X-part of an ABX system, $J_{AX} + J_{BX} = 10.7$ Hz, 15-H), 5.02 (m, $W_{1/2} = 7$ Hz, 38-H), 7.05 and 7.66 (aromatic H's).- MS: m/z (%) = 664 (1, M⁺), 57 (100).- Found 664.2582 (MS). Calc for $C_{35}H_{50}O_2CII$: 664.2541).

5 α -Stigmastane-36,5-diol 3-acetate (18) and 5 α -Campestane-36,5-diol 3-acetate (19).

Commercial situaterol (Aldrich, 6:4 mixture of B-situaterol (12) and campesterol (13), ratio determined by GC) was acetylated (acetic anhydride-pyridine) to give a mixture of 15 and 16. A solution of 15/ 16 (210.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) in CH_2CI_2 (700 ml) and dry pyridine (10 ml) was irradiated with a 1000 W halogen lamp (Osram Studio SLV 1000) while oxygen was continously bubbled through the solution. After 14 h at 15°C, the solvent was removed at 25°C. The residue in ethyl acetate (200 ml) containing Pt (20 mg PtO₂, H₂O) was stirred under H₂ at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 10 h, the mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated. LC (petroleum ether - ethyl acetate 5:1) furnished a mixture of 18 and 19 (137.8 mg, 63%). In some runs, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the products still showed olefinic proton signals and the hydrogenation reaction had to be repeated at this stage.

¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.66 (s, CH₃-18), 1.00 (s, CH₃-19), 2.00 (s, 3B-OAc), 4.92-5.48 (m, 3 α -H).- MS: m/z (%) = 474 (2, M. of 18, C₃₁H₅₄O₃), 460 (1, M. of 19, C₃₀H₅₂O₃), 396 (100).

5a-Cholestane-38,5-diol 3-acetate (17).

The same procedure as described above was used. Yield: 76%, m.p. 185°C (from acetone), lit.¹⁴ m.p. 185°C.

5 α -Stigmastane-38,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl)acetate (21) and 5 α -Campestane-38,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl)acetate (22).

To a mixture of **18/19** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol), calcium hydride (50 mg, 1.2 mmol), tetra-n-butylammonium iodide (catalytic amount), and absolute toluene (5 ml) a solution of freshly distilled 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride (177 mg, 0.63 mmol) in absolute toluene (1.5 ml) was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at reflux temperature. Over a period of 28 h portions of 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride and calcium hydride were added (1. 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride (177 mg, 0.63 mmol) in toluene (1.5 ml) and calcium hydride (40 mg, 1 mmol), 2. 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride (118 mg, 0.42 mmol) in toluene (1 ml) and calcium hydride (30 mg, 0.7 mmol), 3. 4-iodophenylacetyl chloride (57 mg, 0.2 mmol) in toluene (0.5 ml)). After 30 h the mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into ice-cooled 5% NaHCO₃ solution. After stirring for 30 min work-up (CH₂Cl₂) and LC (petroleum etherethyl acetate 5:1) furnished a mixture of **21** and **22** (121 mg, 80%).- ¹ H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 0.60 (s, CH₃-18), 0.97 (s, CH₃-19), 2.00 (s, 3B-OAc), 3.51, 3.62 (AB system |J_Ag| = 16 Hz, -COCH₂-Ar), 4.55-5.03 (m, 3 α -H), 7.05, 7.65 (aromatic H's).- MS: no molecular ion, 492 (1), 462 (2), 397 (12), 396 (18), 383 (10), 382 (15), 262 (79), 229 (42), 217 (100).

5a-Cholestane-3B,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl) acetate (20).

Esterification of 11, as described above for the preparation of 21/22, gave 20 in 74% yield; m.p. 170° C (from petroleum ether).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.59$ (s, CH₃-18); 0.92 (s, CH₃-19); 2.00 (s, 3B-OAc); 3.62, 3.51 (AB system, $|J_{AB}| = 16$ Hz, $-CO-CH_2Ar$); 4.48-5.00 (m, 3α -H); 7.06, 7.68 (aromatic H's).- MS: m/z (%)= 688 (0.03, M.), 369 (94), 368 (100), 262 (51), 217 (61).- (Found C 64.30, H 8.10. Calc for $C_{37}H_{55}O_4I$ (690.7): C 64.34, H 8.03).

<u>17-Chloro-5 α -cholestane-36,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl) acetate (26).</u>

a) A solution of 20 (80.1 mg, 0.12 mmol), azobis(isobutyronitrile) (59 mg), SO_2Cl_2 (117.5 mg, 0.87 mmol) in dry CCl_4 was deoxygenated with a stream of argon and at -10°C exposed to UV-light (Philips HPK 125, pyrex filter) for 150 min. After addition of CH_2Cl_2 the organic solution was washed with ice-cold 5% $Na_2S_2O_3$ solution, ice-cold 5% $NaHCO_3$ solution and ice-cold water. Drying, solvent evaporation (at 20°C), and LC (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 10:1) provided **26** (49.9 mg, 59%).

b) A solution of **20** (96 mg, 0.14 mmol) and freshly prepared iodobenzene dichloride (163.8 mg, 0.60 mmol) in $CHCl_3$ (100 ml spectrophotometric grade) was deoxygenated with a stream of argon. Photolysis was carried out at 20°C using a 300 W sun lamp (Osram Ultra-Vitralux) placed in 5 cm distance of the reaction flask. At the appearence of a slight plnk colour the reaction stopped. Working up and LC as described above furnished **26** (58.4 mg, 58%). M.p. 162°C (from CH_2Cl_2 -petroleum ether).-

¹H NMR (80 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.70$ (s, CH_3-18), 0.88 (s, CH_3-19), 1.93 (s, 3B-OAc), 3.50 (narrow m, $-CO-CH_2Ar$), 4.38-4.93 (m, 3α -H), 7.00, 7.68 (aromatic H's).- MS: m/z (%)= 688 (4, $C_{37}H_{54}O_4CII-HCI$), 673 (7), 603 (12), 575 (35), 351 (77), 313 (70), 281 (25), 262 (25), 53 (100), 217 (40).

5a-Cholest-16-ene-3B,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl)acetate (23).

Using the iodobenzene dichloride procedure described above 20 (13.3 mg, 0.02 mmol) was transformed into 26. After working-up dry pyridine (100 µl) was added and the mixture stirred under argon at 135°C for 45 min. LC(Merck LiChroprep RP-8, methanol-water 100:1) gave 23 (11.5 mg, 87%, based on 20).- M.p. 124-126°C (from acetone-water).- ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.65$ (s, CH₃-18), 0.81 (d, J = 8Hz, CH₃-26 and CH₃-27), 0.94 (s, CH₃-19), 2.00 (s, 3B-OAc), 3.60 (narrow m, -CO-CH₂Ar), 4.82 (m, 3 α -H), 7.17, 7.79 (aromatic H's).- MS: m/z(%) = 688 (6, M⁴), 253 (100).- (Found 688.2957 (MS). Calc for C₃₇H₅₃O₄I : 688.2974).

Preparation of a 4:1 mixture of 31 and 23 from 20.

In three runs 49.8 mg (0.07 mmol), 18.6 mg (0.03 mmol), and 10.0 mg (0.01 mmol) of **20** were transformed into **26** (iodobenzene dichloride procedure). After work-up the products were combined and treated with DBU (142 µl). The resulting mixture was stirred under argon for 150 min at 90°C. Aqueous work-up (CHCl₃) and LC (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 10:1) furnished a 4:1 mixture (¹H NMR, integration of 3Q-H and 16-H signals at δ =4.83 and δ = 5.25, respectively) of **31** and **23** (49.9 mg, 64 %, based on **20**).

504-Cholest-17(20)-ene-36,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl)acetate (31).

An analytical sample was obtained by careful chromatographic separation of a 4:1 mixture of **31** and **23** (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 10:1).- M.p. 149-152°C (from acetone-water).- ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.73$ (s, CH₃-18), 0.85 (d, J = 8Hz, CH₃-26, CH₃-27), 0.92 (s, CH₃-19), 2.00 (s, 3B-OAc), 3.54 and 3.61 (AB system, J_{AB} = 15Hz, -CO-CH₂-Ar), 4.83 (m, 3 α -H), 7.18 and 7.80 (aromatic H's).-MS: m/z (%) = 688 (11, M⁺), 281 (100).- (Found C 64.58, H 7.73. Calc for C₃₇H₅₃O₄| (688.7): C 64.52, H 7.76).

Preparation of a 4:1 mixture of 32/33 and 24/25 from 21/22.

A mixture of 21 and 22 was transformed into a 4:1 mixture (¹H NMR) of 32/33 and 24/25 in 53% overall yield using the procedure described for the preparation of 31/23 from 20. ¹H NMR (80 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 1.94$ (s, 38-OAc), 3.50 (narrow m, $-CO-CH_2Ar$), 4.70 (m, 3α -H), 5.25 (m, 16-H), 7.00 and 7.60 (aromatic H's).- MS: m/z (%) = 716 (1, M.⁺ of 32 and 24, $C_{39}H_{57}O_4I$), 702 (1, M.⁺ of 33 and 25, $C_{38}H_{55}O_4I$), 217 (100).

17-Oxo-5α -androstane-36,5-diol 3-acetate 5-(4-iodophenyl)acetate (29).

A 4:1 mixture of 31 and 23 (26.7 mg, 0.04 mmol) dissolved in 1:1 CH_2CI_2 -methanol (absolute, 6 ml) was ozonized at -78°C. When a blue colour persisted, excess ozone was removed with a stream of oxygen. Trimethyl phosphite (0.33 ml) was added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 90 mln. Evaporation of the solvent and LC (petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 5:1) provided 29 (17.6 mg, 100%, based on 31). - Using the same procedure, the above mixture of 32/33 and 24/25 was transformed into 29.- M.p. 149°C (from petroleum ether).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCI₃): δ =0.73 (s, CH₃-18), 0.90 (s, CH₃-19), 1.93 (s, 38-OAc), 3.50 (narrow m, -CO-CH₂Ar), 4.43-4.93 (m, 3 α -H), 6.95 and 7.55 aromatic H's).- MS: m/z (%) = 592 (1, M.¹), 81 (100).- (Found C 58.87, H 6.42. Calc for C₂₉H₃₇O₅I (592.5): C 58.78, H 6.29).

4-Androstene-3,17-dione (34).

To a solution of 29 (13.1 mg, 0.02 mmol) in THF (1 ml) was added 0.15 molar aqueous LiOH (0.2 ml). The mixture was refluxed under argon for 4 h, then cooled to room temperature and neutralized by careful addition of dilute H_2SO_4 . After work-up (CH_2CI_2) pure 30 (10.5 mg, 86%) was obtained, ¹H NMR (80 MHz, $CDCI_3$): $\delta = 0.73$ (s, CH_3-18), 0.87 (s, CH_3-19), 3.43 (narrow m, $-CO-CH_2Ar$), 3.59 (m, 3α -H), 6.94 and 7.56 (aromatic H's).- To a solution of the above sample of 30 (5.2 mg, 0.01 mmol) in dry CH_2CI_2 (0.5 ml) was added pyridinium chlorochromate (4.0 mg, 0.02 mmol) and sodium acetate (1.5 mg). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Dry diethyl ether (1 ml) was added and the suspension filtered through a pad of silica gel (elution with ethyl acetate). The solvent was evaporated and the residue taken up in THF (0.5 ml) and 0.15 molar aqueous LIOH (0.1 ml). After 5 min at room temperature, water was added. Work-up (CH_2CI_2) furnished pure 34 (2.8 mg, 100%).- M.p. 171°C (from CH_2CI_2 -petroleum ether). Mixed m.p. with an authentic sample: 171°C.

Acknowledgements - We thank Dr.D.Müller and Dr.E.Baranowska for the mass spectra, and H.J.Hartmann for the NMR spectra. Financial support by the Minister für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes.

- Review: R.Breslow, Acc.Chem.Res. 13, 170 (1980); for new work see R.Breslow and D.Heyer, Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 5039 (1983), and references cited therein.
- 2) J.E.Baldwin, A.K.Bhatnagar, and R.W.Harper, Chem.Commun. 659 (1970).
- R.Breslow, R.J.Corcoran, B.B.Snider, R.J.Doll, P.L.Khanna, and R.Kaleya, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 99, 905 (1977).
- R.Wiechert, U.Kerb, M.Stahnke, and P.E.Schulze, Angew.Chem 93, 89 (1981), Angew.Chem, Int.Ed. Engl. 20, 88 (1981).
- Preliminary communication: P.Welzel, K.Hobert, A.Ponty, and T.Milkova, Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 3199 (1983).
- 6) D.Neunert, Diploma Thesis, Bochum 1984.
- 7) G.A.Russell, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 80, 4987 (1958), and references cited therein.
- 8) D.Onken and D.Onken, Die Pharmazie 35, 193 (1980).
- 9) Y.Fujimoto, Ch.-S.Chen, Z.Szeleczky, D.Di Tullio, and Ch.J.Sih, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 104, 4718 (1982), and references cited therein.
- Reviews: Ch.K.A.Martin, Adv.Appl.Microbiol. 22, 29 (1977); K.Kieslich, Bull.Soc.Chim.Fr.II, 2 (1980).
- Rodd's Chemistry of Carbon Compounds, vol. 11, part D, p. 83, 257, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Comp., Amsterdam 1970.
- 12) see footnote 20 in ref. 3.
- G.O.Schenk, K.Gollnik, and O.A.Neumüller, Liebigs Ann.Chem. 603, 46 (1957); M.J.Kulig and L.L.Smith, J.Org.Chem. 38, 3639 (1973).
- 14) M.Lj.Mihailović, L.J.Lorenc, V.Pavolocić, and J.Kalvoda, Tetrahedron 33, 441 (1977).
- R.V.Oppenauer, Monatsh.Chem. 97, 62 (1966); R.V.Coombs, J.Koletar, R.P.Hanna, and H.Mah, J.Chem.Soc.Perkin Trans. 1, 792 (1975).
- 16) Probably a mixture of stereoisomers.¹⁷
- 17) R.Breslow and U.Maitra, Tetrahedron Lett. 25, 5843 (1984).
- 18) For some further examples see ref. ¹⁷
- 19) E.J.Corey, K.Narasaka, and M.Shibasaki, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 98, 6417 (1976).
- 20) Review: G.Piancatelli, A.Scettri, and M.D.Auria, Synthesis 245 (1982).