FISEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

Discovery and SAR of a novel series of non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAMs based on an aryl glycine sulfonamide scaffold

Alice L. Rodriguez ^{a,b}, Ya Zhou ^{a,b,c}, Richard Williams ^{a,b}, C. David Weaver ^{a,e}, Paige N. Vinson ^{a,b,c}, Eric S. Dawson ^{a,b,c}, Thomas Steckler ^f, Hilde Lavreysen ^f, Claire Mackie ^g, José M. Bartolomé ^f, Gregor J. Macdonald ^f, J. Scott Daniels ^{a,b,c}, Colleen M. Niswender ^{a,b,c}, Carrie K. Jones ^{a,b,c}, P. Jeffrey Conn ^{a,b,c}, Craig W. Lindsley ^{a,b,c,d}, Shaun R. Stauffer ^{a,b,c,d,*}

^a Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^b Vanderbilt Center for Neuroscience Drug Discovery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^c Vanderbilt Specialized Chemistry Center for Probe Development (MLPCN), Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^d Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^e Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

^fNeuroscience, Janssen Research and Development, Beerse, Belgium

^g CREATe ADME/Tox, Janssen Research and Development, Beerse, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Herein we report the discovery and SAR of a novel series of non-MPEP site metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGlu₅) positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) based on an aryl glycine sulfonamide scaffold. This series represents a rare non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAM chemotype.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Article history: Received 27 July 2012 Revised 12 October 2012 Accepted 15 October 2012 Available online 23 October 2012

Keywords:

Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGlu₅) Positive allosteric modulator (PAM) Non-MPEP

Allosteric modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 (mGlu₅), with positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) is an increasingly popular approach for selective receptor activation.^{1–5} Targeting NMDA hypofunction,⁶ as opposed to classical hyperdopaminergia,7 mGlu₅ PAMs have provided robust preclinical validation in multiple schizophrenia and cognition models.⁸⁻¹⁵ Recently, mGlu₅ PAMs have been reported representing diverse chemotypes (Fig. 1);¹⁶ however, the majority of these, such as **1–6**, bind at the MPEP (an mGlu₅ negative allosteric modulator, or NAM) binding site, $^{1-5,8-14}$ and in vivo efficacy has yet to be demonstrated for a non-MPEP site PAM, such as **7**^{17,18} or **8**.¹⁹ Moreover, a new phenomenon has emerged where very subtle structural changes, that is, a 'molecular switch', to multiple MPEP-site PAMs can modulate either the mode of pharmacology (PAM to NAM) or mGlu subtype selectivity, raising concerns over the pharmacology of metabolites in vivo.^{11,13,20-22} Importantly, this phenomenon of 'molecular switches' has not been observed with the two known non-MPEP site PAM chemotypes, CPPHA (**7**)^{17,18} and VU0357121 (**8**),¹⁹ though the SAR has proven to be far steeper than the MPEP site congeners. Thus, our lab has been focused on the identification and optimization of additional non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAMs to ascertain the presence or absence of 'molecular switches', and to determine if non-MPEP site ligands afford the same in vivo efficacy profile as MPEP-site PAMs.

Herein, we describe the synthesis and SAR of a novel series of potent, non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAMs based on an aryl glycine sulfonamide scaffold identified in a functional HTS.¹²

A high-throughput functional screen, employing a triple-add calcium mobilization assay of 160,000 compounds, identified 1400 confirmed mGlu₅ PAMs, and over 60 with EC₅₀s below 500 nM in our high-expressing rat mGlu₅ HTS cell line.¹² From this, we identified VU0034403 (**9**), an unprecedented mGlu₅ PAM chemotype with potent (rat EC₅₀ = 98 nM, 48% Glu Max, 7.9-fold shift) ago-PAM activity (Fig. 2 top panel), and amenable to chemical optimization via an iterative library approach. Significantly, **9** was selective versus the other mGluRs (mGlu_{1-4,6-8} >10 μ M), and afforded minimal displacement of [³H]-methoxyPEPy ($K_i > 10 \ \mu$ M, Fig. 2 bottom panel), suggesting that **9** binds at a site distinct from the MPEP site.

Synthesis of first generation analogs **13** proceeded smoothly through a five step process (Scheme 1) surveying diversity at the

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: shaun.stauffer@vanderbilt.edu (S.R. Stauffer).

⁰⁹⁶⁰⁻⁸⁹⁴X/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.10.068

MPEP Site



Figure 1. Representative MPEP site (1-6) and non-MPEP site (7 and 8) mGlu₅ PAMs.



Figure 2. Top panel: Structure of non-MPEP mGlu₅ PAM HTS hit VU0034403 (9) and the chemical optimization plan leading to analogs **10**. Bottom panel: Radioligand displacement assay $[{}^{3}H]$ -methoxyPEPy using VU0034403 and MPEP.

Eastern amide moiety. Beginning with 2-Cl aniline **11**, sulfonylation delivered **12** in quantitative yield. Alkylation of **12** with methyl bromoacetate provided ester **13**, which was saponified to give acid **14**. A two-step amide coupling procedure, employing a diverse collection of amines (aromatic, benzylic, 1°, 2°, aliphatic, with basic and acidic moieties) generated analogs **15** in isolated yields ranging from 26% to 68%.

While it was important to employ the high expressing rat mGlu₅ cell line in the HTS to ensure we identified even weak mGlu₅



Scheme 1. Library synthesis of analogs **15**. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeSO₂Cl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 99%, (b) (i) NaH, DMF, (ii) methyl bromoacetate, DMF, 94–98%, (c) LiOH, MeOH, THF, 99%, (d) PS-HOBt, HATU, 2,6-lutidine, DMF, RR'NH, CH₂Cl₂, 26–68%. All library compounds were purified by mass-directed prep LC where required.

PAMs, the chemical optimization program transitioned to human mGlu₅ cell lines with expression levels more closely aligned with native expression.^{12,23} Potency was maintained for freshly prepared **9** in the rat cell-line while maximum efficacy increased (rat $EC_{50} = 78$ nM, 74% Glu Max), and Table 1 shows data for selected analogs **15** in both rat and human mGlu₅ cell lines. Against the human receptor, the potency of HTS lead **9** was tenfold less potent relative to the rat receptor cell line with an EC_{50} of 780 nM.

Similar to the non-MPEP PAM CPPHA (7), SAR was extremely flat, with this first generation library affording very few active PAMs, and a ~threefold difference between rat and human potency. Of the \sim 50 analogs tested, only four showed good PAM activity, and these are all closely related phenyl 15a (rat EC₅₀ = 72 nM, 61% Glu Max), and fluoro-pyridine congeners 15b-d (rat $EC_{50}s$ 1.7–4.5 $\mu M,\, 64–72\%$ Glu Max). Basic and acidic analogs were inactive, as were 3° amide congeners including a constrained indoline. In general cyclic aliphatic amides were weakly active and acyclic amides were inactive. Like 9, 15a possessed strong allosteric agonist activity on rat mGlu₅ (an ago-PAM) which precluded the determination of an accurate fold shift measurement; however, the fold-shift is estimated to be minimal (\sim 1.2). Within this series no ago-PAM activity was detected in the lower expressing human mGlu₅ cell line. Based upon previous studies wherein receptor expression level was found to impact allosteric agonist activity²³ we believe this phenomenon to be expression level dependent rather than due to a species difference. While 15a possessed the potency, selectivity (mGlu_{1-4.6-8} >10 μ M) and free fraction (rat plasma protein binding, $F_u = 0.13$) required for in vivo studies in rats for a non-MPEP PAM ([³H]-methoxyPEPy $K_i > 10 \mu$ M), **15a** suffered poor metabolic stability (≤4% remaining after 15 min).²⁴ The pyridyl congener 15b displayed an equivalent extent of plasma protein binding (rat $F_u = 0.17$, human $F_u = 0.09$) and improved metabolic stability in rat liver microsomes (39% remaining after 15 min); however, the rat mGlu₅ potency was not optimal for in vivo studies.

The next library iteration surveyed branching at the α -position with alkyl substituents. Analogs were easily prepared (Scheme 2), beginning with alkylation of **12** using the appropriate ethylbro-moacetate (Me, Et, and n-Pr branched) and saponification to afford acid intermediates **16a–c**. Amide formation was performed as previously described to generate racemic analogs **17**. The methyl derivative **17a** was active (rat EC₅₀ = 68 nM), but displayed diminished efficacy (43% Glu Max). Larger alkyl groups (Et **17b** and n-Pr **17c**) were weak (**17b** rat EC₅₀ = 3.3 µM) or inactive (**17c** rat EC₅₀ > 10 µM).

Based on these data, we then introduced a cyclic constraint between the α -position and the 2-Cl moiety to generate a racemic

Table 1

Structures and activities of analogs 15



Compd	R	rmGlu ₅ pEC _{50/} EC ₅₀	% Glu Max	hmGlu ₅ pEC _{50/} EC ₅₀ ^a	% Glu Max
9	, por Cl	7.11 0.08	74	6.11 0.78	63
15a	Parts -	7.14 0.07	61	5.86 1.38	77
15b	F F	5.43 3.72	66	NT	NT
15c	F N	5.76 1.74	64	<5.0 >10	42
15d	est the second s	5.35 4.47	72	<5.0 >10	35

^a pEC₅₀ are the average of three independent determinations and represent a coefficient of variation (CV) <0.1, EC₅₀ units are in μ M; NT = not tested.



Scheme 2. Library synthesis of analogs **17**. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, RBr, DMF, 17-quant.% (b) LiOH, MeOH, THF, 99%, (c) PS-HOBt, HATU, 2,6-lutidine, DMF, (e) m-ClPhNH₂, CH₂Cl₂, 73%. All library compounds were purified by mass-directed prep LC where required.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of racemic tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative 19. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeSO₂Cl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 99%, (b) LiOH, MeOH, THF, 99%, (d) PS-HOBt, HATU, 2,6-lutidine, DMF, (e) *m*-ClPhNH₂, CH₂Cl₂, 74%.

tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative **19** (Scheme 3). In the high expressing HTS rat mGlu₅ cell line, this provided a moderately potent and efficacious PAM (EC₅₀ = 1.0 μ M, 60% Glu Max). Based on the commercial availability of enantiopure (*R*)- and (*S*)-**18**, subsequent libraries (consisting of 80 analogs) explored stereochemistry as well as diversity at both the sulfonamide and the amide moieties following the chemistry outlined in Scheme 3. Enantioselective mGlu₅ potentiation was observed, with the (*S*)-enantiomer generally >10-fold more potent than the (*R*)-enantiomer. Overall little improvement in potency was observed, SAR remained relatively flat, and metabolic stability remained an issue. Figure 3 highlights representative racemic and (*S*)-enantiomer analogs **20–23**. Although efficacy would appear to be overall improved within



Figure 3. Structures of non-MPEP mGlu₅ PAM (*S*)-tetrahydroisoquinoline analogs **20–22**.

the tetrahydroisoquinoline series as illustrated by these examples (68–78%), they displayed weak potency in the micromolar range.

In parallel, additional libraries were focused on identifying alternative aryl R_1 moieties (Fig. 2) and non-aromatic amides R_2 in an attempt to improve metabolic stability while maintaining acceptable potency and efficacy following the routes outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. Similar to CPPHA **7**, SAR was shallow, with only ~10% of compounds assayed displaying mGlu₅ PAM activity. Of these, VU0400100 (**24**), an analog with an additional 5-CF₃ on the western 2-ClPh ring and an eastern cyclopropyl methyl amide, was studied extensively (Fig. 4). PAM **24** was moderately potent on both human and rat mGlu₅, did not displace [³H]-methoxyPEPy,



rmGlu₅ EC₅₀ = 398 nM, 61% glu max hmGlu₅ EC₅₀ = 4.5 µM, 70% glu max $[^{3}H]$ -methoxyPEPy (K_i > 30 μ M) mGlu_{1-4 6-8} > 10 μM in vitro CL_{HEP} (h, r): 15, 53 mL/min/kg plasma F_u (human, rat): 0.10, 0.13 rat brain $F_u = 0.10$

Figure 4. Structure, pharmacological, and DMPK profile of VU0400100, 24, a non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAM.

was highly selective versus the other mGlus and displayed significantly reduced plasma protein binding (rat, human) and nonspecific binding ($F_{\rm u}$, 0.10) in rat brain homogenate binding. Moreover, 24 lacked significant activity in a Ricerca radioligand binding panel of 68 GPCRs, ion channels and transporters (<50% inhibition at 10 μ M) and **24** was devoid of functional activity in a panel of ion channels relevant to cardiovascular safety (hERG, Ca, and Na, $IC_{50} > 10 \,\mu\text{M}$).

Consistent with the in vitro predicted clearance (Fig. 4), rat pharmacokinetics indicated 24 suffered extensive first-pass hepatic metabolism ($E_{\rm H}$, 0.98) following an oral administration, as significantly lower exposure was observed in systemic supply relative to hepatic portal vein blood supply (AUC_{HPV}:AUC_{plasma}, 52). Exposures were improved with intraperitoneal or subcutaneous dosing routes, achieving CNS exposure relative to the systemic circulation (AUC_{brain}:AUC_{plasma}, 0.4) sufficient to suggest brain penetration is possible for this class of non-MPEP based mGlu₅ PAMs.

Currently, in vitro studies are underway to establish if the pan-P450 inactivator, 1-aminobenzotriazole (ABT), will have an impact on the clearance of 24 in rat microsomes.²⁵ If sufficient reduction of P450-mediated clearance of 24 is observed in vitro, it may be possible to enhance exposure in vivo and establish proof-of-concept in rat behavioral models with a non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAM by administering 24 to rats that receive an oral dose of ABT.

It is interesting to note that to our knowledge this is a rare example of a chemical series of mGlu₅ PAMs containing a sulfonamide moiety. Analogs of 9 wherein the sulfonamide was replaced with N-alkyl, N-aryl or a N-acetyl moiety were devoid of PAM activity. These observations prompted us to replace the amide moiety in the known mGlu₅ MPEP-site PAM series 4 and 5 (Fig. 1) with sulfonamides (Fig. 5). While potency was diminished at least an order of magnitude relative to the direct amide counterpart scaffolds (4 and 5, Fig. 1),^{12,15} the sulfonamide congeners 25 and 26 were weak to moderately active PAMs in the high expressing rat cell line, suggesting additional avenues for optimization. Within the human cell line the acetylene PAM 25 was inactive and the Addex analog 26 a weak PAM. Competition binding studies using membranes isolated from rmGlu₅ demonstrated no displacement of [³H]-methoxyPEPy with up to 30 µM 25 or 26. Although more potent analogs are needed to establish an allosteric binding site for



hmGlu₅ > 10 μ M, glu max = 43%

Figure 5. Structures and activities of representative MPEP-site mGlu₅ PAMs with the amide moiety replaced with the analogous sulfonamide linker.



Figure 6. Suflex-Sim overlay of CPPHA (7, grey surface, ball and stick) and 15a (gold surface, capped sticks).

these hybrid PAMs, the activity observed suggests that it may be possible to identify additional sulfonamide containing mGlu₅ PAMs.

In addition to the above exercise preparing sulfonamide hybrids using known MPEP-site mGlu₅ PAM scaffolds we have also undertaken a computational evaluation of low energy. ligand-based conformational ensembles of MPEP and non-MPEP ligands. Mutual flexible low energy shape-based alignment of sulfonamide 15a with CPPHA (7) using the Surflex-Sim algorithm suggests a preferred fit for CPPHA (7) versus other MPEP modulators (Fig. 6).²⁶ Studies are ongoing to develop a comprehensive model based upon this approach as an entry point to identify additional novel non-MPEP modulators.

Significantly, this is only the third known non-MPEP site mGlu₅ PAM chemotype, and like CPPHA (7) and VU0357121 (8), SAR was steeper than that of MPEP site PAMs. Importantly, no 'molecular switches' were observed within this non-MPEP site series.¹⁶ While DMPK properties currently preclude in vivo studies, key PAMs within this series are valuable tools for detailed in vitro pharmacological and electrophysiology studies. VU0400100 (24) has now been declared MLPCN probe ML332 and is freely available upon request.²⁷ Additional studies and refinements are in progress and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by grants from the NIH (NS031373, MH062646, and MH89870) and from an industry sponsored contract from Johnson & Johnson. Vanderbilt is a member of the MLPCN and houses the Vanderbilt Specialized Chemistry Center for Accelerated Probe Development (U54MH084659). The authors thank Daryl F. Venable and Kiran K. Gogi for technical assistance.

References and notes

- Williams, D. L., Jr.; Lindsley, C. W. Curr. Topics Med. Chem. 2005, 5, 825.
- Lindsley, C. W.; Wolkenberg, S. E.; Shipe, W.; Williams, D. L., Jr. Curr. Opin. Drug 2. Disc. Dev. 2005, 8, 449.

- 3. Conn, P. J.; Christopolous, A.; Lindsley, C. W. Nat. Rev. Drug Disc. 2009, 8, 41.
- 4. Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W.; Jones, C. Trends Pharm. Sci. 2009, 30, 25.
- Nicoletti, F.; Bockaert, J.; Collingridge, G. L.; Conn, P. J.; Ferraguti, F.; Schoepp, D. D.; Wroblewski, J. T.; Pin, J. P. Neuropharmacology 2011, 60, 1017.
- Lindsley, C. W.; Shipe, W. D.; Wolkenberg, S. E.; Theberge, C. R.; Williams, D. L., Jr.; Sur, C.; Kinney, G. G. Curr. Topics Med. Chem. 2006, 8, 771.
- 7. Seeman, P.; Lee, T.; Chau-Wong, M.; Wong, K. Nature 1976, 261, 717.
- O'Brien, J. A.; Lemaire, W.; Wittmann, M.; Jacobson, M. A.; Ha, S. N.; Lindsley, C. W.; Sur, C.; Pettibone, D. J.; Conn, J.; Williams, D. L. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2003, 64, 731.
- Lindsley, C. W.; Wisnoski, D. D.; Leister, W. H.; O'Brien, J. A.; Lemiare, W.; Williams, D. L., Jr.; Burno, M.; Sur, C.; Kinney, G. G.; Pettibone, D. J.; Miller, P. R.; Smith, S.; Duggan, M. E.; Hartman, G. D.; Conn, P. J.; Huff, J. R. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 5825.
- Kinney, G. G.; O'Brien; Lemaire, W.; Burno, M.; Bickel, D. J.; Clements, M. K.; Wisnoski, D. D.; Lindsley, C. W.; Tiller, P. R.; Smith, S.; Jacobson, M. A.; Sur, C.; Duggan, M. E.; Pettibone, D. J.; Williams, D. W., Jr. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2005, 313, 199.
- Sharma, S.; Kedrowski, J.; Rood, J. M.; Smith, R. L.; Jones, C. K.; Rodriguez, A. L.; Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 4103.
- Rodriguez, A. L.; Grier, M. D.; Jones, C. K.; Herman, E. J.; Kane, A. S.; Smith, R. L.; Williams, R.; Zhou, Y.; Marlo, J. E.; Days, E. L.; Blatt, T. N.; Jadhav, S.; Menon, U.; Vinson, P. N.; Rook, J. M.; Stauffer, S. R.; Niswender, C. M.; Lindsley, C. W.; Weaver, C. D.; Conn, P. J. *Mol. Pharm.* **2010**, *78*, 1105.
- Zhou, Y.; Manka, J.; Rodriguez, A. L.; Weaver, C. D.; Jones, C. K.; Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W.; Stauffer, S. R. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 433.
- Xiong, H.; Brugel, T. A.; Balestra, M.; Brown, D. G.; Brush, K. A.; Hightower, C.; Hinkley, L.; Hoesch, V.; Kang, J.; Koether, G. M.; McCauley, J. P., Jr.; McLaren, F. M.; Panko, L. M.; Simpson, T. R.; Smith, R. W.; Woods, J. M.; Brockel, B.; Chhajlani, V.; Gadient, R. A.; Spear, N.; Sygowski, L. A.; Zhang, M.; Arora, J.; Breysse, N.; Wilson, J. M.; Isaac, M.; Slassi, A.; King, M. M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 7381.
- Liu, F.; Grauer, S.; Kelley, C.; Navarra, R.; Graf, R.; Zhang, G.; Atkinson, P. J.; Popiolek, M.; Wantuch, C.; Khawaja, X.; Smith, D.; Olsen, M.; Kouranova, E.; Lai,

M.; Pruthi, F.; Pulcicchio, C.; Day, M.; Gilbert, A.; Pausch, M. H.; Brandon, N. J.; Beyer, C. E.; Comery, T. A.; Logue, S.; Rosenzweig-Lipson, S.; Marquis, K. L. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **2008**, 327, 827.

- 16. Stauffer, S. R. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2011, 2, 450.
- O'Brien, J. A.; Lemaire, W.; Wittmann, M.; Jacobson, M. A.; Ha, S. N.; Wisnoski, D. D.; Lindsley, C. W.; Schaffhauser, H. J.; Sur, C.; Duggan, M. E.; Pettibone, D. J.; Conn, J.; Williams, D. L. J. *Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2004**, *309*, 568.
- Zhao, Z.; Wisnoski, D. D.; O'Brien, J. A.; Lemiare, W.; Williams, D. L., Jr.; Jacobson, M. A.; Wittman, M.; Ha, S.; Schaffhauser, H.; Sur, C.; Pettibone, D. J.; Duggan, M. E.; Conn, P. J.; Hartman, G. D.; Lindsley, C. W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, 17, 1386.
- Hammond, A. S.; Rodriguez, A. L.; Niswender, C. M.; Lindsley, C. W.; Conn, P. J. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2010, 1, 702.
- Sharma, S.; Rodriguez, A.; Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4098.
- Wood, M. R.; Hopkins, C. R.; Brogan, J. T.; Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W. Biochemistry 2011, 50, 2403.
- Lamb, J. A.; Engers, D. W.; Niswender, C. M.; Venable, D.; Conn, P. J.; Lindsley, C. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 2711.
- Noetzel, M. J.; Rook, J. M.; Vinson, P. N.; Cho, H. P.; Days, E.; Zhou, Y.; Rodriguez, A. L.; Lavreysen, H.; Stauffer, S. R.; Niswender, C. M.; Xiang, Z.; Daniels, J. S.; Lindsley, C. W.; Weaver, C. D.; Conn, P. J. Mol. Pharm. 2012, 81, 120.
- 24. See Ref. 12 for a description of in vitro DMPK assay methods (plasma protein binding, metabolic stability).
- Balani, S. K.; Zhu, T.; Yang, T. J.; Liu, Z.; He, B.; Lee, F. W. Drug Metab. Dispos. 2002, 30, 1059.
- Analysis and surface figure generated using SybylX v1.2 on a Linux workstation. For Suflex Sim background see: Jain, A. N. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 947.
- For information on the MLPCN and information on how to request probe compounds, such ML332, see: http://mli.nih.gov/mli/mlpcn/.