Diastereoselective SmI_2 mediated cascade radical cyclisations of methylenecyclopropane derivatives—syntheses of paeonilactone B and 6-epi-paeonilactone A

PERKIN

Raymond J. Boffey, William G. Whittingham and Jeremy D. Kilburn *a

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK SO17 1BJ

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 27th November 2000, Accepted 17th January 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th February 2001

The SmI₂ mediated cascade cyclisations of several methylenecyclopropyl ketones have been examined and found to proceed with high diastereoselectivity, which is critically dependent on the presence of HMPA in the reaction. In one case the radical species at the end of the cascade sequence underwent an unexpected and highly stereoselective dimerisation. The cascade methodology has been applied to a short synthesis of (\pm)-paeonilactone B and of (\pm)-6-epi-paeonilactone A.

Cascade radical cyclisation reactions have proved to be very popular as a synthetic strategy as they allow the construction of several C-C bonds in one step and can provide elegant synthetic routes to complex polycyclic compounds and natural products.1 Cascade reactions, initiated in particular by the versatile lanthanide reagent SmI₂, have also been a focus of recent attention. The versatility of SmI2 is emphasised by the fact that it can be used to generate both carbon centred radicals and carbanions (by a further one-electron reduction of the radical species) and several cascade sequences utilising combinations of both of these aspects have been described.3 Cascade processes, initiated by SmI₂, and using exclusively radical intermediates⁴ are, however, complicated—and potentially limited—by the fact that each radical intermediate can undergo competitive reduction to the corresponding organosamarium species, which may then effectively terminate the intended cascade sequence. The rate constant for reduction of a primary alkyl radical to give an organosamarium species in THF has been estimated as $5 \times 10^5 - 7 \times 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (depending on HMPA concentration).5 Thus with SmI₂ solutions of 0.1 M, a radical cyclisation will need to have a rate constant $\geq 5 \times 10^4 \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to compete effectively with the alternative reduction pathway.

In previous work we have developed radical cascade sequences involving methylenecyclopropane derivatives, which have provided novel routes to a range of bicyclic ⁶ and spirocyclic compounds. ⁷ The key step in these cascade sequences has been the 5-exo cyclisation of a methylenecyclopropylpropyl radical 1, followed by rapid 'endo' opening of the resulting cyclopropylmethyl radical 2 to give methylenecyclohexyl radicals 3 which can then be used in further bond-forming steps (Scheme 1).

In order to extend the scope of this chemistry we chose to investigate the 5-exo cyclisation of methylenecyclopropyl ketyl radicals, and in particular sought to use this chemistry for the synthesis of the paeonilactone family of natural products. In so doing we wished to explore the potential for using SmI₂ in such

DOI: 10.1039/b009513n

cyclisations and particularly to investigate whether it could be used to mediate radical cascade sequences efficiently. In this paper we wish to report full details of this study which has led to short syntheses of paeonilactone B and 6-epi-paeonilactone A, as well as uncovering an unusual stereoselective dimerisation at the end of a radical cascade sequence.⁸

The paeonilactones A (4), B (5) and C (6) are all constituents

of the paeony root (the root of *Paeonia albiflora* Pallas) which has been used extensively in Chinese and Japanese medicines for the treatment of pain. Apart from their analgesic properties, the high density of stereocentres and oxygen functionality around the cyclohexane nucleus has made the paeonilactone family challenging synthetic targets. 10

A retrosynthetic analysis of paeonilactone B (Scheme 2)

suggested that the *cis*-fused bicyclic methylenecyclohexane 7 could be prepared by a 5-exo cyclisation of methylenecyclohexyl radical 8 onto a pendant alkyne, and 8 could, in

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 487-496

^b Syngenta, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, Bracknell, Berkshire, UK RG42 6EY

enecyclopropane unit with subsequent 'endo' ring opening of 9. Whether such a sequence would prove to be diastereoselective and provide the correct relative stereochemistry of the tertiary alcohol required for the natural product was one of the key aspects of the proposed investigation.

The synthesis of paeonilactone B began with addition of lithiated methylenecyclopropane 11 to aldehyde 12, to produce the desired alcohols as a readily separable mixture of diastereoisomers 13 and 14 (Scheme 3). The relative stereochemistry for

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (i) BuLi, THF, -78 °C; (ii) 12; (iii) NaH, DMPU, THF; (iv) HC≡CCH₂Br; (v) TsOH, acetone, H₂O.

the two diastereoisomers was established by X-ray crystallographic structure analysis of the p-nitrobenzoate ester 15 derived from alcohol 14.12 Alkylation of the alcohols gave the corresponding propargyl ethers,‡ and subsequent ketal deprotection provided the two diastereomeric cyclisation precursors, 16 and 17 respectively, in essentially quantitative yield.

Treatment of ketone 16 with SmI₂, under standard conditions 13 (slow addition of 16 to 2.2 equiv. SmI2, ButOH, HMPA, THF, 0 °C) gave the desired bicyclic products as a readily separable mixture of diastereoisomers, 18 and 19, in 57% and 6% isolated yields respectively (ratio 18:19, 10:1 by analysis of the ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture) (Scheme 4). In contrast, treatment of diastereoisomeric ketone

17 with SmI₂, under identical conditions, gave the bicyclic product 19 in 79% isolated yield, and only a trace of the diastereoisomer 18 (ratio 19:18, >30:1).

Scheme 4

The stereochemistry of 18 was ultimately confirmed by the successful conversion to the natural product paeonilactone B (vide infra), but the stereochemistry of both 18 and 19 was at this stage assigned on the basis of NOE studies and on the

known preference for the cyclisation of cycloalkyl radicals onto tethered alkenes and alkynes to give cis-fused bicyclic systems.14

In order to rationalise the observed diastereoselectivity we repeated the cyclisations under identical conditions, but replacing HMPA with the less effective chelator DMPU.15 These cyclisation reactions gave the bicyclic products with reduced overall yields and required a larger excess of SmI₂ (~6 equiv.) for consumption of starting material (Scheme 4). Notably, for the cyclisation of 16, the diastereoselectivity was reduced (ratio 18:19, 1.5:1), whereas for the cyclisation of 17 the diastereoselectivity was seemingly unaffected (ratio 19:18, >30:1). In the absence of either DMPU or HMPA the cyclisation was, as expected, a poor reaction. Thus 16 gave an overall yield of ~20% of 18 and 19, but with a reversal of stereoselectivity (ratio 18:19, 1:1.3), while cyclisation of 17, under these conditions, yielded none of the desired bicyclic compounds.

The selectivity observed for the cyclisation of 16 in favour of 18, in the presence of HMPA, in which the tertiary alcohol and ether oxygen are cis in the bicyclic product, might be the consequence of chelation control from the weakly basic propargylic ether oxygen to the samarium(III) bound to the ketyl radical. However, the decrease in selectivity for the cyclisation of 16 as HMPA is replaced by the weaker chelator DMPU, and reversal of selectivity when neither is present (leaving the even less effective chelator, THF, as the available samarium ligand), effectively rules out this possibility. It seems probable that the first step of the cyclisation of 16, which effectively sets the relative stereochemistry of the product, proceeds through a chair-like transition state, allowing the propargyl ether substituent to adopt a pseudo-equatorial position (Scheme 5).

As a consequence of the bond angles of the methylenecyclopropyl group, the alkene appears to be essentially staggered between the ketyl radical oxygen and the ketyl methyl group. Thus the preference for conformer 20 over 21 may largely result from the preference for the bulky OSm(III)(HMPA), moiety to also adopt a pseudo-equatorial position and avoid a 1,3 diaxial interaction with HA. Replacement of HMPA with DMPU may effectively reduce the steric bulk of the OSm(III)L, moiety, 15 leading to a lower selectivity for conformer 20. In the absence of either HMPA or DMPU the ketyl methyl becomes sterically dominant, leading to a reversal in selectivity.

In contrast, the first step of the cyclisation of 17 may well proceed through a boat-like transition state, since a chair-like transition state would force the propargyl ether substituent into a severely hindered axial orientation. In the boat-like transition state the alkene now appears to be largely eclipsed with either the ketyl methyl group (22) or the ketyl radical oxygen (23). Conformer 22 may now be preferred over 23 since it alleviates the electronic repulsion between the ketyl oxygen functionality and the alkene π -system, ¹⁶ and this preference is unaffected by replacing HMPA with DMPU.

Completion of the synthesis of paeonilactone B 5 firstly required protection of the tertiary allylic alcohol of 18 as the

[‡] Propargyl = prop-2-ynyl.

triethylsilyl ether **24**,¹⁷ followed by oxidation of the allyl ether to the desired α -methylene lactone **25** using CrO₃ and pyridine (Scheme 6).¹⁸ The selective oxidation of the ostensibly more

Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: (i) Et₃SiOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (ii) CrO₃, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (iii) O₃, EtOH, -110 °C; (iv) Me₂S; (v) OsO₄, THF, H₂O or cat. K₂OsO₄·2H₂O, K₂CO₃, K₃Fe(CN)₆, Bu^tOH, H₂O; (vi) PhSH, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂; (vii) O₃, MeOH, -78 °C; (viii) Me₅S; (ix) CCl₄, reflux; (x) HF·pyridine, THF.

electrophilic cyclohexyl alkene of 25 proved to be impossible, with both alkenes reacting rapidly with ozone at −110 °C in EtOH to give 26 in almost quantitative yield. Even more frustratingly, treatment of 25 with OsO₄ led to 27 with dihydroxylation of just the α -methylene lactone, presumably due to steric congestion around the cyclohexyl alkene. Instead, base mediated Michael addition of thiophenol to 25 gave the thioether 28, which was then successfully ozonolysed to give the desired ketone, with concomitant oxidation of the thioether to the corresponding sulfoxide 29. Thermal elimination of phenylsulfenic acid 19 then reinstalled the α -methylene lactone and deprotection of the resulting silyl ether 30 was successfully achieved using pyridine HF 20 to give (±)-paeonilactone B 5, whose structure was confirmed by comparison of NMR and IR spectroscopic data to those reported previously for the natural paeonilactone.9

The SmI₂ mediated cascade reaction of methylenecyclopropyl ketone 16 thus provides a short route to paeonilactone B, and proceeds with high diastereoselectivity which is critically dependent on the presence of HMPA. Clearly a synthesis of paeonilactone A 4 could be achieved by a stereoselective reduction of the exocyclic alkene of paeonilactone B, but having established that the SmI₂ mediated cascade reaction of propargyl ether 16 proceeds with high diastereoselectivity to give the bicyclic ether 18 it was of interest to see if the cascade methodology could be extended to the cyclisation of the corresponding allyl ethers which might, in principle, install the methyl substituent for paeonilactone A directly. The stereochemical outcome of the cyclisation of cyclohexyl radicals onto a pendant butenyl (or allyloxy) residue has been investigated in some detail. Studies by RajanBabu²¹ and Beckwith and Page²² indicate that if the cyclisation proceeds with the butenyl sidechain in an equatorial orientation (relative to the pseudo-chair cyclohexane) then the *cis*-fused 7-*syn* product 31 will be the preferred product whereas if the cyclisation proceeds with the butenyl sidechain in an axial orientation then the *cis*-fused 7-*anti* product 32 will be preferred (Scheme 7).

The diastereomeric allyl ethers 33 and 34 were prepared in analogous fashion to the corresponding propargyl ethers, by alkylation of the separated alcohols 13 and 14 with allyl bromide, and subsequent ketal deprotection (Scheme 8).

Scheme 8 Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMPU, THF; (ii) allyl bromide; (iii) TsOH, acetone, H_2O .

Treatment of allyl ether **34** with SmI₂, as before in the presence of HMPA, gave a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomeric bicycles, **35** and **36** in 35% isolated yield ²³ (Scheme 9). A slightly

Scheme 9 Reagents and conditions: (i) SmI_2 , Bu^tOH , HMPA, THF, $0\,^{\circ}C$.

improved yield (40%) of the same 1:1 mixture was obtained by carrying out the reaction at -78 °C. No other bicyclic products could be isolated from these reactions (nor could they be detected in the ¹H or ¹³C NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture).

The stereochemical outcome of this cyclisation is readily rationalised in terms of the model presented above (Scheme 5) for the cyclisation of the analogous propargyl ether 17. Thus the cyclisation of the initially formed ketyl radical may proceed *via* a boat-like transition state to give methylenecyclohexyl radical 37, as essentially a single diastereoisomer, which then cyclises to give a 1:1 mixture of alkyl radicals 38 and 39, which in turn are reduced to the organosamarium and quenched by

ButOH. Replacement of HMPA with DMPU in the reaction (0 °C) led to a lower yield (20%) of bicyclic products, but with no change to the diastereoselectivity, again mirroring the results observed with the corresponding propargyl ether 17. Thus it seems that cyclisation of cyclohexyl radical 37, under the conditions used here, gives no stereoselectivity at the newly formed chiral centre. The lower yields of the cascade process in comparison to the analogous propargyl ethers were also disappointing and indeed somewhat surprising since the rate constant for cyclisation of the hex-5-enyl radical is greater than the corresponding rate constant for cyclisation of the hex-5-ynyl radical²⁴ and thus one might anticipate that cyclisation of 37 (or 42) would be more efficient than cyclisation of 8. Since no identifiable byproducts were isolated from these cyclisations it is not possible to speculate on the reasons for the relatively low yields in the allyl ether cyclisations.

Cyclisation of **33** in the presence of HMPA, on the other hand, gave a single diastereomeric bicycle **40** in just 17% isolated yield, but accompanied by a 25% yield of a dimeric product **41**, as a single diastereoisomer, ²⁵ with the same relative stereochemistry for the bicyclic portion as for **40** (Scheme 10).

Scheme 10 Reagents and conditions: (i) SmI₂, Bu^tOH, HMPA, THF, 0 $^{\circ}$ C.

Again, no other bicyclic or dimeric products could be isolated from the reaction (nor could they be detected in the ¹H or ¹³C NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture) and again the stereochemical outcome of this cyclisation is readily rationalised in terms of the model presented previously for the cyclisation of the analogous propargyl ether 16. Thus the cyclisation of the initially formed ketyl radical may proceed *via* a chair-like transition state to give methylenecyclohexyl radical 42, as essentially a single diastereoisomer. Radical 42 then cyclises to give exclusively alkyl radical 43 which is either reduced to the organosamarium and quenched to give 40, or dimerises to give 41. The sequence therefore appears to be highly stereoselective, although it does not give the desired stereochemistry for the natural product paeonilactone A.

As before with the analogous propargyl ether 16, replacement of HMPA with DMPU in the cyclisation led to a loss of stereoselectivity in the first steps of the cascade, and thus to the formation of both methylenecyclohexyl radicals 37 and 42, which in turn gave a mixture of all three bicyclic products 35, 36 and 40, but no dimeric products were detected, indicating that the presence of HMPA is essential for the formation of 41.

The formation of dimer 41 was quite unexpected since it is formed under conditions of relatively high SmI₂ concentration where rapid reduction of the primary radical 43 and quenching would be expected, as observed for intermediates 38 and 39. In a further experiment we treated a 1:1 mixture of starting allyl ethers 33 and 34 with SmI₂, under the same conditions as before, with HMPA, and obtained a mixture of all three bicyclic products 35, 36 and 40 and the single dimeric product 41. Thus, under conditions that generate all three primary radicals 38, 39

and 43, it is only 43 which dimerises. Even more remarkably, the dimerisation occurs only between opposite enantiomers of 43, to give the dimer as a *meso* isomer, and none of the 'homo' coupling of identical enantiomers is observed! Dimerisation (Wurtz coupling) of organosamariums such as benzylsamarium diiodide are well known² and recently a non-stereoselective dimerisation of a samarium-derived glucosyl radical has been described.²⁶ However the only example of dimerisation at the end of a radical cyclisation sequence that we are aware of, was reported by Molander and McKie *et al.*¹⁵ in which cyclisation of 44 led to a mixture of diastereomeric dimers 45 and 46, under conditions of relatively low SmI₂ concentration (Scheme 11).

The dimerisation was not observed when higher concentrations of SmI₂ (as in our work described here) were used.

Clearly radical intermediate 43 is more stable than any of the other radical intermediates formed in the cyclisation sequence, and we believe this can be explained most readily by the fact that this intermediate has both the OSm(III) and the alkyl radical on the endo face of the bicyclic structure, allowing stabilisation of the radical by interaction with the samarium(III). This would effectively 'protect' the radical from further reduction by SmI2, allowing build up of the radical species and eventual dimerisation. The exclusive formation of the meso dimer is harder to rationalise. The dimerisation may involve formation of a diradical intermediate from two monomers 43 (e.g. bridging of two ketyl oxygens with two samariums) followed by radical coupling to give the dimeric product. For the formation of such an intermediate, approach of identical enantiomers to each other may be impeded relative to the approach of opposite enantiomers. Alternatively, the structure of the rac diradical intermediate, if formed, may not readily allow coupling of the two alkyl radicals and may be slowly quenched to give 40, or it may equilibrate with a meso diradical intermediate whose structure does allow radical coupling.

Although the cyclisations of the allyl ethers failed to provide the correct stereochemistry for paeonilactone A, the conversion of the bicyclic ethers 35, 36 and 40 to diastereoisomers of the natural product was none-the-less investigated. The diastereomeric mixture of alcohols 35 and 36 was converted to the corresponding mixture of triethylsilyl ethers 48 in 70% yield, whereas the single diastereomeric alcohol 40 was converted to triethylsilyl ether 49 in only 32% yield, reflecting the difficulty of protecting an alcohol on the *endo* face of a *cis*-fused bicyclic system (Scheme 12).

Ozonolysis of triethylsilyl ethers **48** did not produce the desired cyclohexanone, but gave instead the dihydrobenzofuran **50** in 45% yield (Scheme 12). Similarly ozonolysis of triethylsilyl ether **49** gave dihydrobenzofuran **50** in 38% yield accompanied by a small amount of the desired cyclohexanone **51**. The dihydrobenzofuran **50** has previously been isolated as the major product from the decomposition of the paeony root metabolite **52**, when the latter was stored as a solution in CHCl₃–MeOH

Scheme 12 Reagents and conditions: (i) Et₃SiOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C; (ii) O₃, MeOH, -60 °C; (iii) Me₂S.

for several days (Scheme 13).²⁷ Ozonolysis of the silyl ethers **48** and **49** presumably leads to an intermediate related to **52**, which subsequently aromatises by enolisation and elimination.

As an alternative to ozonolysis, oxidation of the silyl ethers using RuO_4 was attempted. Disappointingly, treatment of silyl ether **49** under these conditions led only to decomposition of the starting material and recovery of Et_3SiOH . Oxidation of silyl ethers **48** using RuO_4 did, however, lead to concomitant oxidation of both the cyclohexyl alkene and the tetrahydrofuran ring to give the bicyclic lactone **53**, as a single diastereoisomer in 22% yield and this was successfully deprotected to give (\pm)-6-epi-paeonilactone A **54** (Scheme 14). Presumably **53**

Scheme 14 Reagents and conditions: (i) RuCl₃·H₂O, NaIO₄, H₂O, CCl₄; (ii) HF·pyridine, THF.

is produced as a single diastereoisomer because only the corresponding diastereoisomer of the silyl ether 48 is successfully oxidised, or because the chiral centre α to the newly formed lactone is epimerised under the reaction conditions.

In summary, the SmI₂ cyclisation of methylenecyclopropyl ketones has been investigated in detail. Efficient cascade processes have been produced indicating that the radical intermediates are sufficiently long-lived in the presence of excess SmI₂ to allow the cascade sequence to be realised. In addition, the cascade sequences we have investigated are highly stereoselective, although the selectivity is heavily influenced by the precise reaction conditions, and specifically by the use of HMPA as an additive.²⁸ The efficiency and stereoselectivity of these cascade processes have allowed them to be used in short syntheses of (±)-paeonilactone B and of (±)-6-epi-paeonilactone A.

Experimental

General procedures

All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were conducted in

flame dried glassware under a static, inert atmosphere unless otherwise stated. THF and toluene were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl, 29 CH₂Cl₂ was distilled from calcium hydride, and petrol was distilled and the fraction boiling between 40 and 60 °C was used. All other solvents were of commercial grade and were used without further purification. Thin layer chromatography was performed on plastic backed sheets (Camlab) coated with silica gel (SiO₂: 0.25 mm). Flash column chromatography was performed on Sorbil C₆₀, 40–60 mesh silica.

Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FT-IR spectrometer. Proton NMR spectra were obtained at 270 MHz on a JEOL GX 270, at 300 MHz on a Bruker AC 300, and at 360 MHz on a Bruker Aspect 3000 spectrometer. Spectra were referenced with respect to the residual solvent peak for the deuterated solvent concerned. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 75 MHz on a Bruker AC 300. COSY spectra and ¹H-¹³C correlation spectra were measured on a Bruker AC 300, and NOE data were recorded on the Bruker Aspect 3000 spectrometer, or obtained courtesy of Zeneca Agrochemicals, Bracknell. Mass spectra were obtained on a VG analytical 70– 250-SE normal geometry double focusing mass spectrometer. All EI data were acquired at 70 eV, with the source temperature at 200 °C and with an accelerating voltage of 6 kV. All CI data were obtained using ammonia reagent gas, the source temperature being 200 °C and with an emission current of 0.5 mA. All ES spectra were recorded on a Micromass Platform quadrupole mass analyser with an electrospray ion source.

Methylenecyclopropane was prepared according to the method of Binger and co-workers ³⁰ and was handled using the experimental methods described by Thomas ^{11a} Sternberg and Binger. ^{11b} 2-(2-Methyldioxolan-2-yl)ethanal **12** was prepared in three steps from ethyl acetoacetate according to the method of Kelly *et al.* ³¹

(1R)-2-(2-Methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-[(1S)-2-methylenecyclopropyl]ethanol 13 and (1R)-2-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-[(1R)-2-methylenecyclopropyl]ethanol 14

ⁿBuLi (28 ml of 2.5 M solution in hexane, 0.070 mol) was added to a solution of methylenecyclopropane (5 ml, 0.074 mol) in THF (100 ml) at -30 °C. The temperature was allowed to warm to 0 °C over 1 h and maintained at 0 °C for 1 h, then raised to room temperature for 10 min before cooling to -78 °C. Aldehyde **12** (8.39 g, 0.063 mol) in THF (50 ml) at -78 °C was added via cannula to the solution over 10 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -20 °C and the reaction quenched with aq. NH₄Cl (10 ml of a pH 7 buffered solution). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 150 ml) and the organic layer dried over MgSO₄. The crude reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash column chromatography eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 30% Et₂O-petrol, to give alcohols 13 and 14 as a colourless oil (7.54 g, 65%) and as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers. The diastereoisomers (2 g) were separated by flash column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 30% Et₂O-petrol to give 13 (503 mg), $R_f = 0.50$ (70% EtOAcpetrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 3475, 2980, 1379; δ_{H} (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 5.55 (1H, br s, $C=CH_AH_B$), 5.43 (1H, br s, $C=CH_AH_B$), 4.02 (4H, br s, $O(CH_2)_2O$), 3.55 (1H, ddd, J = 2, 7, 10 Hz, CHOH), 3.25 (1H, br s, OH), 2.10 (1H, dd, J = 2, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHOH), 1.91 (1H, dd, J = 10, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHOH), 1.62 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.38 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.24 (1H, tt, J = 2, 9 Hz, cyclopropyl CH), 0.98 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 133.6, 110.1, 103.8 (2), 70.4 (1), 64.7 (2), 64.2 (2), 44.1 (2), 24.1 (3), 21.2 (1), 6.7 (2); *m/z* (CI+) 185 $[M + H]^+$ (5%), 167 $[M - OH]^+$ (10%). HRMS $C_{10}H_{17}O_3$ $[M + H]^+$ requires 185.1178, found 185.1177.

A 1:1 mixture of both diasteroisomers (737 mg).

And 14 (509 mg), $R_f = 0.48$ (70% EtOAc-petrol); v_{max} (liq.

film)/cm $^{-1}$ 3475, 2982, 1380; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.42 (2H, s with fine coupling, C=CH₂), 4.01 (4H, br s, O(CH₂)₂O), 3.65 (1H, s, OH), 3.41 (1H, dt, J=4, 8 Hz, CHOH), 2.00–1.92 (2H, m, CH₂CHOH), 1.58 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.37–1.27 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.36 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.12 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 132.8, 110.3, 104.1 (2), 71.2 (1), 64.9 (2), 64.4 (2), 44.9 (2), 24.2 (3), 21.9 (1), 8.6 (2); mlz (CI+) 185 [M + H]⁺ (8%), 167 [M – OH]⁺ (10%) (Found: C, 64.87; H, 8.87. $C_{10}H_{16}O_3$ requires C, 65.19; H, 8.75%).

(\pm)-(1R)-2-(2-Methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-1-[(1R)-2-methylenecyclopropyl]ethyl 4-nitrobenzoate 15

p-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (590 mg, 3.2 mmol) was added to a solution of alcohol 14 (64 mg, 0.35 mmol) and pyridine (0.5 ml, 6 mmol) in $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ (2 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h under N₂. The reaction mixture was washed with a saturated solution of CuSO₄ (2 ml) and the aqueous layer extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 2 ml). The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with hexane, gradually increasing the polarity to 50% EtOAc-hexane to give ester 15 as a yellowish crystalline solid (104 mg, 90%) which was recrystallised from ethanol-water, $R_f = 0.68$ (70%) EtOAc-hexane); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2985, 2886, 1722, 1607, 1527, 1349; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.30 (2H, d, J = 8 Hz, ArH), 8.21 (2H, d, J = 8 Hz, ArH), 5.50 (1H, s with fine splitting, $C=CH_AH_B$), 5.45 (1H, s with fine splitting, $C=CH_AH_B$), 5.08 (1H, dt, J = 2, 9 Hz, CHO), 3.98–3.80 (4H, m, O(CH₂)₂O), 2.35 $(1H, dd, J = 9, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.09 (1H, dd, J = 2, 15 Hz,$ CH_A*H_B*CHO), 1.85 (1H, m, cyclopropyl C*H*), 1.45–1.25 (2H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.35 (3H, s, CH₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 164.6, 150.6, 136.4, 131.8, 131.0 (1), 123.7 (1), 108.7, 105.1 (2), 73.9 (1), 64.6 (2), 64.5 (2), 43.2 (2), 24.5 (3), 20.5 (1), 8.9 (2); m/z (CI) 334 [M + H]⁺ (10%), 351 [M + NH₄]⁺ (5%); X-ray crystal structure was obtained and is published elsewhere. 12

(\pm)-(4R)-4-[(1S)-2-Methylenecyclopropyl]-4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)-butan-2-one 16

Alcohol 13 (301 mg, 1.63 mmol) in THF (1.5 ml) was added to NaH (60% dispersion in oil, 151 mg, 3.78 mmol) in THF (3 ml) under Ar and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. DMPU (460 µl, 3.8 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 10 min. Propargyl bromide (80% solution in toluene, 695 μl, 6.25 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with aq. NH₄Cl (3 ml of a sat. solution) and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$. The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with petrol, and gradually increasing the polarity to 40% Et₂O-petrol to give the propargyl ether as a pale yellow oil (332 mg, 91%), $R_f = 0.59$ (50% Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2985, 2105, 1445, 1380; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.48 (2H, m, C=CH₂), 4.35 (1H, dd, J = 2, 15 Hz, CH_ACH_B -C \equiv C), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 2, 15 Hz, CH_ACH_BC \equiv C), 3.95 (4H, m, $O(CH_2)_2O$), 3.20 (1H, dt, J = 3, 8 Hz, $CHOCH_2$), 2.40 (1H, t, $J = 2 \text{ Hz}, C = CH), 2.05 (1H, dd, <math>J = 8, 15 \text{ Hz}, CH_A CH_B CHO),$ 1.98 (1H, dd, J = 3, 15 Hz, CH_ACH_BCHO), 1.60 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.40 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.25 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 0.85 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 134.4, 109.3, 104.6 (2), 80.4, 76.8 (1), 74.2 (1), 64.7 (2), 64.6 (2), 55.9 (2), 44.1 (2), 24.5 (3), 20.3 (1), 6.7 (2); m/z (CI) 223 [M + H]⁺ (18%), 240 $[M + NH_4]^+$ (7%); HRMS $C_{13}H_{19}O_3$ $[M + H]^+$ requires 223.1334, found 223.1319.

The propargyl ether (305 mg, 1.37 mmol) and p-TsOH (310 mg, 1.63 mmol) in wet acetone (60 ml of 10% water in acetone) was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and Et₂O (50 ml) was added. The mixture was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (25 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 25 ml), and the combined

aqueous phases were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to give ketone **16** as a colourless oil (245 mg, 99%), $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.45 (50% Et₂O–petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2991, 2105, 1718, 1359; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.49 (2H, s, C=CH₂), 4.33 (1H, dd, J = 2, 16 Hz, C H_A H_BC=C), 4.20 (1H, dd, J = 2, 16 Hz, CH_AH_BC=C), 3.59 (1H, dt, J = 4, 8 Hz, C H_A CH₂), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 8, 16 Hz, C H_A H_BCHO), 2.65 (1H, dd, J = 4, 16 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.42 (1H, t, J = 2 Hz, C=CH), 2.20 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.55 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.20 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 0.90 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 206.9, 133.2, 105.1 (2), 80.0, 76.7 (1), 74.4 (1), 56.5 (2), 48.8 (2), 31.1 (3), 19.1 (1), 6.57 (2); m/z (CI+) 179 [M + H]⁺ (100%), 196 [M + NH₄]⁺ (100%); HRMS C₁₁H₁₅O₂ [M + H]⁺ requires 179.1072, found 179.1076.

(\pm)-(4R)-4-[(1R)-2-Methylenecyclopropyl]-4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)-butan-2-one 17

Using an identical procedure to that used for the preparation of ketone **16**, alcohol **14** (298 mg, 1.62 mmol) was first alkylated with propargyl bromide to give the propargyl ether as a pale yellow oil (316 mg, 88%), $R_{\rm f}=0.55$ (50% Et₂O–petrol); $\nu_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2985, 2100, 1445, 1380; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.46 (2H, br s, C=CH₂), 4.38 (2H, br s, CH₂C=C), 3.95 (4H, m, O(CH₂)₂O), 3.35 (1H, dt, J=2, 9 Hz, CHOCH₂), 2.41 (1H, t, J=1 Hz, C=CH), 2.05 (1H, dd, J=9, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.90 (1H, dd, J=2, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.55 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.40 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.38 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.22 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 131.2, 109.1, 104.5 (2), 80.1, 76.6 (1), 74.2 (1), 64.6 (2), 64.3 (2), 55.7 (2), 44.3 (2), 24.5 (3), 19.4 (1), 9.9 (2); mlz (CI): 223 [M + H]⁺ (9%), 240 [M + NH₄]⁺ (4%); HRMS C₁₃H₁₉O₃ [M + H]⁺ requires 223.1334, found 223.1344.

The propargyl ether (292 mg, 1.32 mmol) was deprotected to give ketone **17** as a colourless oil (234 mg, 100%), $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.51 (50% Et₂O–petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2990, 2105, 1718, 1365; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.47 (1H, s with fine splitting, C=CH_A-H_B), 5.42 (1H, s with fine splitting, C=CH_AH_B), 4.34 (2H, br s, CH₂C=C), 3.59 (1H, dt, J = 4, 8 Hz, CHOCH₂), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 8, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.55 (1H, dd, J = 4, 15 Hz, CH_A-H_BCHO), 2.43 (1H, t, J = 1 Hz, C=CH), 2.19 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.55 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.45 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.20 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 206.8, 130.6, 105.2 (2), 79.9, 76.7 (1), 74.6 (1), 56.5 (2), 49.7 (2), 30.9 (3), 18.8 (1), 10.05 (2); m/z (CI+) 179 [M + H]⁺ (100%), 196 [M + NH₄]⁺ (100%); HRMS C₁₁H₁₅O₂ [M + H]⁺ requires 179.1072, found 179.1080.

General procedure for samarium iodide mediated cyclisations—cyclisation of ketones 16 and 17 to give bicyclic alcohols 18 and 19

HMPA or DMPU (see text) (30 mmol) was added to a freshly prepared solution of $\rm SmI_2$ (3.1 mmol, 0.15 M solution) in THF to give a purple solution, which was cooled to 0 °C. Methylene-cyclopropyl ketone (1.4 mmol) and 'BuOH (0.21 g, 2.8 mmol) in THF (30 ml) were added over 90 min and the reaction mixture were allowed to warm to room temperature. The crude mixture was washed with aq. citric acid (2.5 g in 50 ml water) and extracted with 1:1 EtOAc–petrol (4 \times 50 ml). The combined organic phase was washed with brine (50 ml) then water (50 ml), dried over MgSO4 and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with petrol, and gradually increasing the polarity to 50% Et₂O–petrol to give the desired bicyclic alcohols.

Using this procedure (see text) alcohol **18** was isolated as a colourless oil, $R_{\rm f}=0.14$ (50% Et₂O–petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 3420, 2935, 2860, 1670, 1650, 1449, 1365; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.01 (1H, br s, CH₄H_B=C), 4.98–4.90 (2H, m, CH₂=C), 4.84 (1H, br s, CH₄H_B=C), 4.44 (1H, d with fine splitting, J=14 Hz,

OC H_A CH_BC=CH₂), 4.35–4.25 (2H, m, OCH_A H_B C=CH₂ and CH₂CHO), 2.75 (1H, m, CHC=CH₂), 2.60 (1H, dd, J = 7, 14 Hz, CHC H_A H_BC=CH₂), 2.33 (1H, dd, J = 8, 14 Hz, CHCH_A- H_B C=CH₂), 1.85 (2H, m, CH₂CHO), 1.72 (1H, br s, OH), 1.43 (3H, s, CH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 150.9, 150.8, 107.9 (2), 104.2 (2), 78.0 (1), 72.14, 69.9 (2), 44.6 (1), 33.6 (2), 30.5 (2), 28.8 (3); m/z (CI+) 163 [M - H₂O]⁺ (100%), 180 [M]⁺ (100%); HRMS C₁₁H₁₆O₂ [M]⁺ requires 180.1150, found 180.1150.

Alcohol **19** was isolated as a colourless oil, $R_f = 0.44$ (50% Et₂O–petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 3420, 3080, 2925, 2860, 1670, 1645, 1440, 1370; δ_{H} (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.00 (2H, s, CH₂=C), 4.93 (1H, br s, CH_AH_B=C), 4.83 (1H, br s, CH_AH_B=C), 4.51 (1H, d with fine splitting, J = 14 Hz, OCH_AH_BC=CH₂), 4.23 (1H, d with fine splitting, J = 14 Hz, OCH_AH_BC=CH₂), 4.16 (1H, m, CH₂CHO), 3.75 (1H, br s, OH), 2.73 (1H, br q, J = 7 Hz, CHC=CH₂), 2.44 (1H, dd, J = 7, 14 Hz, CHCH_AH_BC=CH₂), 2.31 (1H, dd, J = 7, 14 Hz, CHCH_AH_BC=CH₂), 2.21 (1H, dd, J = 3, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.79 (1H, dd, J = 3, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.34 (3H, s, CH₃); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 152.0, 151.0, 108.7 (2), 104.5 (2), 79.3 (1), 70.9, 70.5 (2), 45.2 (1), 41.0 (2), 34.3 (2), 27.1 (3); m/z (CI+) 163 [M - H₂O]⁺ (100%), 180 [M]⁺ (50%), 181 [M + H]⁺ (10%); HRMS C₁₁H₁₇O₂ [M + H]⁺ requires 181.1228, found 181.1219.

(\pm)-(3aR,6S,7aR)-6-Methyl-3,5-dimethyleneperhydrobenzo[b]-furan-6-yl triethylsilyl ether 24

Alcohol 18 (353 mg, 1.97 mmol) and triethylamine (700 μ l, 2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 ml) were stirred at 0 °C under a flow of N₂. Triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (600 µl, 2.6 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, and allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with NaHCO₃ (1 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(3 \times 3 \text{ ml})$, and the combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 20% Et₂O-petrol to give triethylsilyl ether 24 as a brownish oil (180 mg, 75%), $R_f = 0.73$ (30% Et₂O–petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2954, 2876, 1670, 1645, 1460, 1370; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.12 (1H, s, C=C H_A H_B), 5.01 (1H, s with fine splitting, $C=CH_CH_D$), 4.94 (1H, s with fine splitting, C= CH_CH_D), 4.76 (1H, s with fine splitting, C= CH_A - H_B), 4.37 (2H, br s, OC H_2 C=C H_2), 4.33 (1H, dt, J = 9, 6 Hz, CH_2CHO), 2.80 (1H, m, $CHC=CH_2$), 2.65 (1H, dd, J=5, 15 Hz, $CHCH_AH_BC=CH_2$), 2.49 (1H, dd, J=7, 15 Hz, $CHCH_A$ - H_B C=CH₂), 1.88 (1H, dd, J = 6, 13 Hz, CH_A H_BCHO), 1.70 (1H, dd, J = 9, 13 Hz, CHCH_A H_B CHO), 1.35 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.96 (9H, $t, J = 7 Hz, (CH_3CH_2)_3Si), 0.61 (6H, q, J = 7 Hz, (CH_3CH_2)_3Si);$ $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 149.8, 149.3, 108.7, (2), 104.3 (2), 77.8 (1), 74.2, 69.6 (2), 43.3 (1), 43.1 (2), 31.4 (2), 27.7 (3), 6.9 (3), 6.7 (2); m/z (APCI+) 295.3 [M + H]⁺ (100%) (Found: C, 68.99; H, 10.20. $C_{17}H_{30}O_2Si$ requires C, 69.33; H, 10.30%).

(±)-(3aR,6S,7aR)-6-Methyl-3,5-dimethylene-6-(triethylsilyloxy)-perhydrobenzo[b]furan-2-one 25

Using a modification of the method of Brocksom, ¹⁸ chromium trioxide (1.7 g, 17 mmol) and pyridine (1.7 ml, 21 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (17 ml) were stirred vigorously for 10 min at 0 °C under Ar. Triethylsilyl ether **24** (180 mg, 0.61 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) was added, and the suspension was allowed to stir for 4 h. The CH₂Cl₂ was decanted from the solid, and the solid dissolved in NaHCO₃ (250 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 200 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity with Et₂O to give lactone **25** as a clear oil (85 mg, 45%), $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.52 (50% Et₂O–petrol); $\nu_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2957, 2878, 1760, 1665, 1651, 1456; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.25 (1H, d, J = 3 Hz, $H_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$ C=CCO₂), 5.13 (1H, s, CH₂C=C $H_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$), 4.86 (1H, s, CH₂C=

CH_A H_B), 4.66 (1H, q, J = 7 Hz, CHCHO), 3.15 (1H, m, CHCHO), 2.65 (1H, dd, J = 6, 14 Hz, C H_A H_BC=CH₂), 2.50 (1H, dd, J = 7, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BC=CH₂), 2.08 (1H, dd, J = 5, 13 Hz, C H_A H_BCHO), 1.90 (1H, dd, J = 8, 13 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.38 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.76 (9H, t, J = 7 Hz, (C H_3 CH₂)₃Si), 0.38 (6H, q, J = 7 Hz, (CH₃CH₂)₃Si); δ _C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.3, 148.4, 138.5, 121.3 (2), 110.0 (2), 76.3 (1), 73.0, 44.4 (2), 40.3 (1), 32.6 (2), 27.7 (3), 7.2 (3), 6.8 (2); m/z (EI+) 309 [M + H]⁺ (15%); HRMS C₁₇H₂₉O₃Si [M + H]⁺ requires 309.1886, found 309.1883.

(±)-(3a*R*,6*S*,7a*R*)-6-Methyl-3-methylene-6-(triethylsilyloxy)-perhydrobenzo[*b*]furan-2,5-dione 30

Lactone 25 (31 mg, 0.10 mmol), thiophenol (20 µl, 0.2 mmol) and triethylamine (28 µl, 0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) were stirred at room temperature under Ar for 4 h. The reaction was concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 80% Et₂O-petrol to give thioethers **28** as a brown oil and as a mixture of diastereoisomers (37 mg, 93%), $R_f = 0.77$ (60% Et₂Opetrol). Ozone was bubbled through a stirred solution of the thioethers 28 (28 mg, 0.067 mmol) in MeOH (1.5 ml) at -78 °C until the solution turned deep blue. The reaction mixture was purged with oxygen followed by N₂ and dimethylsulfide (1 ml) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was filtered through a silica plug and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting sulfoxide 29 was refluxed in CCl₄ (2.5 ml) for 24 h. The reaction mixture was washed with NaHCO₃, water and concentrated in vacuo to give triethylsilylprotected paeonilactone B **30** (13 mg, 40%), $R_f = 0.85$ (70%) Et_2O -petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2957, 2875, 1730, 1670, 1414; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.30 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz, C= H_A H_B), 5.64 (1H, d, J = 2 Hz, $C = H_A H_B$), 4.84 (1H, dt, J = 7, 5 Hz, CHCHO), 3.55 (1H, q, J = 7 Hz, CHCHO), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 7, 15 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.66 (1H, dd, J=7, 15 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.35 (1H, dd, J = 5, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.23 (1H, dd, J = 5, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.36 (3H, s, CH_3), 0.92 (9 H, t, J = 7 Hz, $Si(CH_2CH_3)_3$, 0.60 (6H, q, J = 7 Hz, $Si(CH_2CH_3)_3$); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 207.9, 179.0, 138.4, 122.4 (2), 75.2, 74.0 (1), 42.6 (1), 38.7 (2), 38.6 (2), 24.6 (3), 7.1 (3), 6.4 (2); *m/z* (FAB) 281 [M - CH₂CH₃]⁺ (100%), 311 [M + H]⁺ (25%); HRMS-FAB $C_{16}H_{27}O_4Si [M + H]^+$ requires 311.1678, found 311.1654.

(±)-Paeonilactone B 5

HF·pyridine (40 μl) was added to silyl ether 30 (5.0 mg, 0.016 mmol) in THF (1 ml) at room temperature under argon and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with water (1 ml) and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (3 × 2 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with CH₂Cl₂, and gradually increasing the polarity to 0.5% MeOHpetrol to give (\pm) -paeonilactone B 5 as a colourless oil (3.1 mg, 96%), $R_f = 0.09$ (1% MeOH–CH₂Cl₂); δ_H (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.39 (1H, d, J = 3 Hz, $H_A H_B C = C$), 5.70 (1H, d, J = 3 Hz, $H_A = C + C$) H_R C=C), 5.01 (1H, ddd, J = 6, 8, 9 Hz, CHCHO), 3.69 (1H, m, CHCHO), 3.39 (1H, s, OH), 2.97 (1H, dd, J = 8, 16 Hz, CH_AH_B -C=O), 2.81 (1H, dd, J = 4, 16 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.55 (1H, dd, J = 6, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.98 (1H, dd, J = 9, 14 Hz, CH_A - H_B CHO), 1.42 (3H, s, CH₃); δ_C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 209.9, 168.8, 136.5, 123.2 (2), 73.9, 73.2 (1), 41.6 (2), 39.5 (1), 36.8 (2), 25.1 (3).

NMR data agrees with those previously reported.9

(±)-(4R)-4-[(1S)-2-Methylenecyclopropyl]-4-(prop-2-enyloxy)-butan-2-one 33

Alcohol 13 (1.35 g, 7.3 mmol) in THF (7 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in oil, 0.72 g, 0.018 mol) in THF (13 ml) at room temperature, under N_2 and stirred for 10

min. DMPU (2.1 ml, 0.028 mol) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for a further 10 min, followed by the addition of allyl bromide (2.4 ml, 0.028 mol); the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with aq. NH₄Cl (5 ml) and extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 5 ml), dried over MgSO₄, concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 50% EtOAc-petrol to give the allyl ether as a yellowish oil (1.24 g, 76%), $R_f = 0.69$ (50% Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2984, 2878, 1646, 1424, 1377; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl3) 5.95 (1H, ddt, J = 11, 17, 5 Hz, $H_2C = CH$), 5.45 (2H, br s, $H_2C = C$), 5.29 (1H, br d, J = 17 Hz, $CH_AH_B = CH$), 5.16 (1H, br d, J = 11Hz, $CH_AH_B=CH$), 4.28 (1H, dd, J=5, 12 Hz, $OCH_AH_BCH=$ CH_2), 4.10–3.85 (5H, m, $OCH_AH_BCH=CH_2$ and $O(CH_2)_2O$), 3.08 (1H, dt, J = 3, 8 Hz, CHOCH₂), 2.05 (1H, dd, J = 8, 14 Hz, $CH_AH_BCHOCH_2$), 1.95 (1H, dd, J = 3, 14 Hz, $CH_AH_{B^-}$ CHOCH₂), 1.58 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.40 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.25 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 0.85 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 135.3 (1), 134.9, 116.5 (2), 109.4, 104.3 (2), 78.7 (1), 70.0 (2), 64.5 (2), 44.0 (2), 24.6 (3), 20.9 (1), 6.8 (2); *m/z* (CI) 225 [M + H] $^+$ (12%); HRMS $C_{13}H_{21}O_3$ [M + H] $^+$ requires 225.1491, found 225.1487.

The ketal (335 mg, 1.5 mmol) was deprotected using identical conditions to those for the preparation of ketone 16, and gave ketone 33 as a yellowish oil (248 mg, 93%), $R_f = 0.56$ (50%) Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2925, 2865, 1719, 1665, 1423, 1357; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.88 (1H, m, H₂C=CH), 5.47 (2H, br s, $H_2C=C$), 5.25 (1H, br d, J=17 Hz, $CH_AH_B=CH$), 5.15 (1H, br d, J = 10 Hz, $CH_AH_B = CH$), 4.22 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, CH_AH_BO), 3.94 (1H, dd, J = 6, 12 Hz, CH_AH_BO), 3.45 (1H, dt, J = 4, 8 Hz, CHO), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 8, 16 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.60 (1H, dd, J = 4, 16 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.17 (3H, s, CH_3), 1.60 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.17 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 0.90 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 207.4, 135.0 (1), 133.8, 116.9 (2), 104.8 (2), 77.9 (1), 70.4 (2), 48.8 (2), 31.3 (3), 19.7 (1), 6.6 (2); *m/z* (ES+) 178.7 [M]⁺ (10%), 202.9 $[M + Na]^+$ (30%), 219.9 $[M + K]^+$ (100%); HRMS $C_{11}H_{17}O_2$ $[M + H]^+$ requires 181.1229, found 181.1228.

(\pm) -(4R)-4-[(1R)-2-Methylenecyclopropyl]-4-(prop-2-enyloxy)butan-2-one 34

Using an identical procedure to that used for the preparation of ketone 33, alcohol 14 (1.35 g, 7.3 mmol) was first alkylated with allyl bromide to give the allyl ether as a yellowish oil (1.71 g, 99%), $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.68 (50% Et₂O–petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2990, 2878, 1645, 1431; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.92 (1H, ddt, J = 10, 17, 5 Hz, H₂C=CH), 5.45 (2H, m, H₂C=C), 5.28 (1H, br d, J = 17 Hz, $CH_AH_B = CH$), 5.15 (1H, br d, J = 10 Hz, $CH_AH_B = CH$ CH), 4.30 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, OC H_A H_BCH=CH₂), 4.02 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, OCH_A H_B CH=CH₂), 4.00–3.85 (4H, m, $O(CH_2)_2O$), 3.12 (1H, dt, J = 2, 8 Hz, $CHOCH_2$), 2.05 (1H, dd, J = 8, 14 Hz, $CH_AH_BCHOCH_2$), 1.85 (1H, dd, J = 2, 14 Hz, CH_A*H_B*CHOCH₂), 1.60 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.41 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.40 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.12 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 135.5 (1), 132.1, 116.5 (2), 109.3, 104.3 (2), 78.5 (1), 70.2 (2), 64.5 (2), 64.4 (2), 44.4 (2), 24.7 (3), 20.4 (1), 10.1 (2); m/z (CI) 225 $[M + H]^+$ (12%); HRMS $C_{13}H_{21}O_3 [M + H]^+$ requires 225.1491, found 225.1455.

The ketal (435 mg, 1.94 mmol) was deprotected to give ketone **34** as a colourless oil (293 mg, 84%), $R_f = 0.29$ (50%) Et₂O–petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2925, 1720, 1665, 1426, 1360; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.88 (1H, m, H₂C=CH), 5.50 (1H, s, $CH_AH_B=C$), 5.44 (1H, s, $CH_AH_B=C$), 5.25 (1H, br d, J=17 Hz, $H_A H_B C = CH$), 5.15 (1H, br d, J = 10 Hz, $H_A H_B C = CH$), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, OC H_A H_B), 4.03 (1H, dd, J = 6, 12 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.43 (1H, dt, J = 4, 8 Hz, CHO), 2.85 (1H, dd, J = 8, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.52 (1H, dd, J = 4, 15 Hz, $CH_AH_{B^-}$ CHO), 2.17 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.57 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.42 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH), 1.12 (1H, m, cyclopropyl CH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 207.1, 135.1 (1), 131.2, 116.9 (2), 104.9 (2), 77.9 (1), 70.6 (2), 49.6 (2), 31.2 (3), 19.6 (1), 10.0 (2); *m/z* (ES+) 202.9 $[M + Na]^+$ (30%), 219.9 $[M + K]^+$ (80%); HRMS $C_{11}H_{17}O_2$ $[M + H]^+$ requires 181.1229, found 181.1227.

SmI₂ mediated cyclisations of ketones 33 and 34

Ketones 33 and 34 were cyclised, in the presence of DMPU or HMPA, according to the general procedure described above. Using this procedure (see text) alcohols 35 and 36 were isolated as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers and as a colourless oil, $R_{\rm f} = 0.18$ (50% Et₂O-petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 3476, 2970, 2932, 2875, 1649, 1457, 1135; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.04 (0.5 H, s with fine coupling, C= CH_AH_B (35)), 5.00 (0.5 H, s with fine coupling, $C=CH_AH_B$ (36)), 4.82 (1H, s with fine splitting, $C=CH_AH_B$), 4.27 (1 H, dt, J=7, 6 Hz, $CHOCH_2$ (36)), 4.12 (1 H, m, CHOCH₂ (35)), 4.08 (0.5 H, dd, J = 7, 8 Hz, OCH_AH_B (36)), 3.95 (0.5 H, t, J = 8 Hz, OC H_A H_B (35)), 3.48 (0.5 H, dd, J = 8, 10 Hz, OCH_A H_B (35)), 3.33 (0.5 H, dd, J = 7, 9 Hz, OCH_AH_B (36)), 2.55–1.58 (6H, m, $CH_2CH(O)CHCH_2$), 1.42 (1.5 H, s, CH₃COH (35)), 1.39 (1.5 H, s, CH₃COH (36)), 1.01 (1.5 H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH (36)), 0.99 (1.5 H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH_3CH (35)); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.5, 151.5, 108.2 (2), 106.3 (2), 79.7 (1), 77.4, 76.5 (1), 74.2 (2), 72.4 (2), 72.1, 46.9 (1), 43.9 (2), 43.8 (1), 43.1 (2), 37.6 (1), 37.4 (1), 33.2 (2), 29.9 (2), 29.0 (3), 28.9 (3), 17.2 (3), 12.1 (3); m/z (EI+) 182 [M]⁺ (10%); HRMS $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$ [M]⁺ requires 182.1307, found 182.1306.

Alcohol **40** was isolated as a colourless oil, $R_f = 0.30$ (50%) Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 3470, 2932, 2875, 1650, 1457, 1381; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.91 (1H, s, C=C H_A H_B), 4.84 (1H, s, C=CH_AH_B), 4.38 (1H, s, OH), 4.13 (1H, m, CHOCH₂), 3.99 (1H, t, J = 8 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.58 (1H, dd, J = 8, 10 Hz, OCH_A*H_B*), 2.55 (1H, m, C*H*CH₃), 2.43 (1H, m, C*H*CHO), 2.26 (1H, dd, J = 3, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.12–2.02 (2 H, m $CH_2C=CH_2$), 1.68 (1H, dd, J=3, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.35 (3H, s, CH_3COH), 1.05 (3H, d, J = 8 Hz, CH_3CH); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 151.6, 107.9 (2), 80.3 (1), 72.4 (2), 70.9, 43.7 (1), 41.5 (2), 38.0 (1), 27.8 (2), 26.3 (3), 11.9 (3); m/z (EI+) 182 $[M]^+$ (8%); HRMS $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$ [M]⁺ requires 182.1307, found 182.1298.

Dimeric alcohol 41 was isolated as a white solid, mp 188-190 °C, $R_f = 0.18$ (50% Et₂O–petrol); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.92 $(2H, s, C=CH_AH_B), 4.86 (2H, s, C=CH_AH_B), 4.35 (2H, s, OH),$ 4.13 (2H, br s, CHOCH₂), 4.03 (2H, t, J = 9 Hz, OCH₄H_B), 3.65 (2H, t, J = 9 Hz, OCH_A H_B), 2.55–2.39 (4H, m, C H_A H_BC= $CH_2 + CHCH_2O$), 2.28 (2H, dd, J = 15, 2 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.23-2.00 (4H, m, $CH_AH_BC=CH_2$, $CHCHCH_2O$), 1.70 (2H, dd, $J = 15, 2 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_A H_B \text{CHO}, 1.43 (4H, m, (CH_2)_2), 1.40 (6H, s,$ CH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 151.2, 108.2 (2), 80.2 (1), 71.4 (2), 70.8, 44.2 (1), 42.3 (1), 41.5 (2), 27.7 (2), 27.0 (2), 26.3 (3); m/z (CI+) 327 [M - 2H₂O]⁺ (50%), 344 [M - H₂O]⁺ (10%).

X-Ray crystal structure was obtained and is published elsewhere.25

(\pm) -(3S,3aR,6S,7aR)-3,6-Dimethyl-5-methyleneperhydrobenzo-[b]furan-6-yl triethylsilyl ether 49

Alcohol 40 (94 mg, 0.51 mmol) and TEA (2 ml, 15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 ml) were stirred at 0 °C under a flow of N₂ and triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (3 ml, 9.7 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (5 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 2% EtOAc-petrol to give silyl ether 49 as an oil (49 mg, 32%), $R_f = 0.68$ (30% Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/cm⁻¹ 2955, 2876, 1650, 1459, 1414, 1379; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.92 (1H, s, C= CH_AH_B), 4.82 (1H, s, C= CH_AH_B), 4.06 (1H, q, J = 5, Hz, CHOCH₂), 3.89 (1H, t, J = 8 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.47 (1H, dd, J = 8, 10 Hz, OCH_A H_B), 2.51–2.38 (2H, m, CHCH₃ +

CHCHCH₃), 2.16 (1H, dd, J = 4, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.05 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=CH_2$), 2.00 (1H, dd, J = 5, 12 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=CH_2$), 1.67 (1H, dd, J = 5, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.36 (3H, s, CH_3COH), 0.99 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, $CHCH_3$), 0.94 (9H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH_3CH_2), 0.58 (6H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_3CH_2), 0.58 (6H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_3CH_2), 0.58 (6H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_3CH_2), 153.3, 107.8 (2), 78.1 (1), 72.7, 72.4 (2), 44.2 (2), 43.5 (1), 37.7 (1), 28.66 (2), 28.63 (3), 12.1 (3), 7.1 (3), 6.6 (2); m/z (CI +) 267 [M - Et] (100%), 296 [M] (5%); HRMS $C_{17}H_{32}O_2Si$ [M] requires 296.2171, found 296.2120.

(±)-(3a*R*,6*R*,7a*R*)-3,6-Dimethyl-5-methyleneperhydrobenzo[*b*]-furan-6-yl (triethylsilyl) ether 48

A 1:1 mixture of alcohols 35 and 36 (330 mg, 1.81 mmol) was silylated using identical conditions to those for the silylation of alcohol 40 to give a 1:1 mixture of the silyl ethers 48 as an oil (381 mg, 70%), $R_f = 0.83$ (30% Et₂O-petrol); v_{max} (liq. film)/ ${\rm cm^{-1}}$ 2955, 2875, 1650, 1471, 1384; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.11 $(0.5 \text{ H, s, C=C} H_A H_B)$, 4.91 $(0.5 \text{ H, s, C=C} H_A H_B)$, 4.76 $(0.5 \text{ H, s, c=C} H_A H_B)$ $C=CH_AH_B$), 4.74 (0.5 H, s, $C=CH_AH_B$), 4.36 (0.5 H, dt, J=8, 6 Hz, $CHOCH_2$), 4.10 (0.5 H, m, $CHOCH_2$), 4.05 (0.5 H, t, J = 8Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.94 (0.5 H, t, J = 8 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.46 (0.5 H, t, J = 8 Hz, OCH_A H_B), 3.34 (0.5 H, J = 8 Hz, OCH_A H_B), 2.82– 1.75 (6H, m, $CH_2CH(O)CHCH_2$), 1.44 (1.5 H, s, CH_3COH), 1.39 (1.5 H, s, CH_3COH), 1.05–0.90 (12H, m, $(CH_3CH_2)_3$ + CHC H_3), 0.65–0.55 (6H, m, (CH₃C H_2)₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.0, 150.8, 107.9 (2), 106.9 (2), 79.9 (1), 77.0 (1), 74.9, 74.4, 74.3 (2), 72.4 (2), 46.7 (1), 44.8 (2), 44.7 (2), 43.9 (1), 37.6 (1), 35.7 (1), 31.9 (2), 29.7 (2), 29.4 (3), 27.7 (3), 16.5 (3), 12.1 (3), 7.3 (3), 7.2 (3), 7.1 (2), 6.8 (2); m/z (CI+) 267 $[M-Et]^+$ (100%), 296 $[M]^+$ (30%); HRMS $C_{17}H_{32}O_2Si [M]^+$ requires 296.2171, found 296.2151.

5-Hydroxy-3,6-dimethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 50

Ozone was bubbled through a stirred solution of silyl ethers 48 (116 mg, 0.38 mmol) in MeOH (10 ml) at -60 °C, until the solution turned deep blue (20 min). The reaction mixture was purged with oxygen then N₂, dimethyl sulfide (6 ml, 0.082 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petrol, gradually increasing the polarity to 20% EtOAcpetrol to give dihydrobenzofuran 50 as a white solid (28 mg, 45%), $R_f = 0.35$ (30% EtOAc-petrol); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 6.63 (1H, s, ArH), 6.58 (1H, s, ArH), 4.64 (1H, dd, J = 8, 9 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 4.45 (1H, s, OH), 4.03 (1H, dd, J=8, 9 Hz, OCH_AH_B), 3.48 (1H, apparent sextet, J = 7.5 Hz, $CHCH_3$), 2.21 (3H, s, C H_3), 1.30 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, C H_3); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.7, 147.7, 130.6, 123.1, 111.2 (1), 110.9 (1), 78.8 (2), 36.9 (1), 19.4 (3), 16.3 (3); m/z (EI+) 164 [M]+ (100%). Data agree with those previously reported.27

(\pm)-(3*R*,3a*R*,6*R*,7a*R*)-3,6-Dimethyl-6-(triethylsilyloxy)perhydrobenzo[*b*]furan-2,5-dione 53

Ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) was added to a biphasic suspension of NaIO₄ (0.6 g, 2.8 mmol) in CCl₄ (25 ml) and H_2O (25 ml). Silyl ethers 48 (65 mg, 0.22 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with H₂O (10 ml) and the aqueous layer extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 30 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with CH₂Cl₂, gradually increasing the polarity to MeOH to give 53 (15 mg, 22%) as a clear oil, $R_{\rm f} = 0.38$ (30% EtOAc-petrol); $v_{\rm max}$ (liq. film)/cm $^{-1}$ 2956, 2877, 1780, 1728, 1458, 1419, 1376; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 5.03 (1H, dt, J = 10, 7 Hz, CHO), 3.28 (1H, dd, J = 8, 15 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.73 (1H, m, $CHCH_3$), 2.57 (1H, dd, J = 6, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 2.35 (1H, dd, J = 2, 15 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.31 (1H, m, $CHCHCH_3$), 1.63 (1H, dd, J=10, 14 Hz, CH_AH_BCHO), 1.34 (3H, s, CH_3CO), 1.26 (3H, d, J = 7

Hz, CHC H_3), 0.98 (9H, t, J = 8 Hz, (C H_3 CH₂)₃), 0.66 (6H, q, J = 7 Hz, (CH₃C H_2)₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 208.9, 178.1, 75.5, 74.2 (1), 44.2 (1), 43.6 (2), 37.9 (1), 35.9 (2), 22.9 (3), 13.4 (3), 7.1 (3), 6.6 (2); m/z (CI+) 255 [M - (CH₂CH₃)₂]⁺ (25%), 283 [M - CH₂CH₃]⁺ (100%), 313 [M + H]⁺ (10%); HRMS C₁₆H₂₉O₄Si [M + H]⁺ requires 313.1835, found 313.1823.

(±)-6-epi-Paeonilactone A 54

HF·pyridine (40 μl) was added to silyl ether 53 (10 mg, 0.032 mmol) in THF (2 ml) at room temperature under argon and the reaction was stirred for 6 hours. The reaction was quenched with water (1 ml) and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc (3 × 2 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with Et₂O, to give 6-epi-paeonilactone A 54 as a colourless oil (3.0 mg, 53%), $R_f = 0.24$ (1% Et₂O); δ_H (360 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.86 (1H, dt, J = 5, 6 Hz, CHO), 3.10 (1H, br s, OH), 2.84 (1H, dd, J = 7, 14 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.64 (1H, m, $CHCHCH_3$), 2.53 (1H, dd, J = 9, 14 Hz, $CH_AH_BC=O$), 2.48 (1H, dq, J = 5, 7 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.39 (1H, dd, J = 6, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCOH), 2.31 (1H, dd, J = 5, 15 Hz, CH_AH_BCOH), 1.43 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.33 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 207.5, 170.4, 74.4 (1), 74.1, 44.5 (1), 42.5 (1), 42.0 (2), $38.0(2), 26.2(3), 14.4(3); m/z (CI+) 181 [M - OH]^+ (15\%), 199$ $[M + H]^+$ (60%), 216 $[M + NH_4]^+$ (5%); HRMS $C_{10}H_{15}O_4$ $[M + H]^+$ requires 199.0970, found 199.0976.

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC and Zeneca Agrochemicals for a CASE award (R. J. B.). We also thank Ms J. Street (Southampton University) and Mr M. Kipps (Zeneca Agrochemicals) for assistance with NMR studies.

References

- 1 For a review, see: (a) M. Malacria, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 289. For recent examples, see: (b) L. Fensterbank, E. Mainetti, P. Devin and M. Malacria, Synlett, 2000, 1342; (c) O. Rhode and H. M. R. Hoffmann, Tetrahedron, 2000, 56, 6479; (d) D. R. Kelly and M. R. Picton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 1559; (e) D. R. Kelly and M. R. Picton, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 1571; (f) B. P. Haney and D. P. Curran, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 2007 and references therein.
- (a) P. Girard, J.-L. Namy and H. B. Kagan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 2693; (b) G. A. Molander, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 29; (c) M. Sasaki, J. Collin and H. B. Kagan, New J. Chem., 1992, 16, 89; (d) G. A. Molander, Org. React., 1994, 46, 211.
- 3 (a) T. Skrydstrup, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 345; (b) G. A. Molander and C. R. Harris, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 3321.
- 4 Surprisingly few cascade radical processes initiated by samarium diiodide have been reported. Two notable examples are: (a) T. L. Fevig, R. L. Elliott and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 5064; (b) R. A. Batey, J. D. Harling and W. B. Motherwell, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 11421.
- 5 E. Hasegawa and D. P. Curran, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 1717.
- 6 (a) K. G. Pike, C. Destabel, M. Anson and J. D. Kilburn, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 5877. See also: (b) C. Destabel and J. D. Kilburn, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 596; (c) C. Destabel, J. D. Kilburn and J. Knight, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 3151; (d) C. Destabel, J. D. Kilburn and J. Knight, Tetrahedron, 1994, 38, 11267; (e) C. Destabel, J. D. Kilburn and J. Knight, Tetrahedron, 1994, 38, 11289.
- 7 (a) M. Santagostino and J. D. Kilburn, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 8863; (b) M. Santagostino and J. D. Kilburn, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 1365.
- 8 Preliminary communications: (a) R. J. Boffey, M. Santagostino, W. G. Whittingham and J. D. Kilburn, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1875; (b) R. J. Boffey, W. G. Whittingham and J. D. Kilburn, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 5625.
- 9 T. Hayashi, T. Shinbo, M. Shimizu, M. Arisawa, N. Morita, M. Kimura, S. Matsuda and T. Kikuchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 3699
- 10 For previous syntheses of paeonilactones see: (a) M. Rönn, P. G. Andersson and J.-E. Bäckval, Acta Chem. Scand., 1998, 52,

- 524; (b) S. Hatakeyama, M. Kawamura, Y. Mukugi and H. Irie, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 267; (c) S. Hatakeyama, M. Kawamura, S. Takano and H. Irie, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7993; (d) S. Hatakeyama, M. Kawamura, E. Shimanuki and S. Takano, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 333; (e) D. P. Richardson, T. E. Smith, W. W. Lin, C. N. Kiser and B. R. Mahon, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 5973 and references therein.
- 11 (a) E. W. Thomas, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 1467; (b) E. Sternberg and P. Binger, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 301.
- 12 R. J. Boffey, J. D. Kilburn and M. Webster, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1998, 54, IUC980056.
- 13 G. A. Molander and J. A. McKie, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 872.
- 14 S. Wolff and W. C. Agosta, J. Chem. Res., Synop., 1981, 78; A. L. J. Beckwith, G. Phillipou and A. K. Serelis, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 2811. See also refs. 20 and 21.
- 15 For a study on the effects of HMPA and DMPU as additives in SmI, mediated cyclisations of unactivated olefinic ketones, see: G. A. Molander and J. A. McKie, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3132. See also ref. 4a.
- 16 Electronic repulsion between the ketyl oxygen functionality and the alkene π -system is generally accepted as being a major factor determining stereoselectivity in SmI2 mediated cyclisations of unactivated olefinic ketones: see ref. 14 and A. L. J. Beckwith, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 3073.
- 17 C. H. Heathcock, S. D. Young, J. P. Hagen, R. Pilli and U. Badertscher, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 2095.
- 18 T. J. Brocksom, R. B. dos Santos, N. A. Varanda and U. Brocksom, Synth. Commun., 1988, 18, 1403.
- 19 D. J. Cram and C. A. Kingsbury, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 1810. 20 D. Boschelli, T. Takemasa, Y. Nishitani and S. Masamune, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 5239.

- 21 T. V. RajanBabu, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 24, 139 and references cited therein.
- 22 A. L. J. Beckwith and D. M. Page, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 3246 and references cited therein.
- 23 The stereochemistry of 35 and 36 was determined by NOE studies.
- 24 A. L. J. Beckwith and K. U. Ingold, in Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States, ed. P. de Mayo, Academic Press, London, 1980, Vol. 1, p. 202.
- 25 The structure of 41 was determined unequivocally by X-ray crystallographic analysis: A. R. J. Genge, R. J. Boffey and J. D. Kilburn, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. C*, 1999, **55**, IUC9900089. The stereochemistry of 40 was established by NOE studies. In addition the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 40 was essentially identical to that for the dimer 41 (excepting for the signals of the ethylene bridge between the two monomer units).
- 26 G. Doisneau and J. M. Beau, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 3477
- 27 T. Namba, Y.-Z. Shu, M. Hattori, T. Akao, K. Kobashi, K. Kagel, K. Fukuyama and T. Tsukihara, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1987, 35, 3726.
- 28 For other examples where the stereochemical outcome of a SmI₂mediated cyclisation is altered by choice of solvent or additives, see refs. 4a and 15 and: (a) M. Kito, T. Sakai, K. Yamada, F. Matsuda and H. Shirahama, Synlett, 1993, 158; (b) D. Johnston, C. M. McCusker, K. Muir and D. J. Procter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 681; (c) F. C. Watson and J. D. Kilburn, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, **41**, 10341.
- 29 D. D. Perrin and W. L. F. Armarego, Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1988.
- 30 R. Köster, S. Arora and P. Binger, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1973, 1219.
- 31 T. R. Kelly, L. Ananthasubramanian, K. Borah, J. W. Gillard, J. R. N. Goerner, P. F. King, J. M. Lyding, W.-G. Tsang and J. Vaya, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 4569.