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#### Abstract

Biosynihetic considerations suggested that the recently assigned structure (1) of przewalskinone B was incorrect. Synthetic studies support the revision of the structure of przewalskinone B to 3 .


In February, 1992, Naoki and colleagues reported ${ }^{1}$ the isolation of a substance from the Chinese plant salvia przewalskii Maxim that they assigned structure 1 and named przewalskinone B. To our mind, the structure assignment was questionable because the substitution pattem of 1 is inconsistent with accepted views of polyketide biosynthesis. ${ }^{2 a}$ Specifically, if one envisions (see 2) a typical biosynthetic pathway to produce the carbon skeleton of $\mathbf{1}$, then two A-ring oxygen transpositions are necessary to achieve przewalskinone $\mathbf{B}$. Structure 3, on the other hand, is compatible with the biosynthetic scheme (2) and is not excluded by the data presented in support of the assignment of structure 1 to przewalskinone B. Our suspicion that przewalskinone B was, in fact, 3 not 1 was bolstered by observation that the melting point recorded for przewalskinone $\mathbf{B}$ (206$207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) is essentially identical to that ( $207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of $3 \mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{b}}$ Structure 3 also happens to be a widely occurring natural product known as physcion. ${ }^{2 b}$ The ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum reported for przewalskinone $B^{1}$ is also very similar (but not identical) to that recorded for physcion (3). ${ }^{3}$
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3: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
4: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$

We believed that the matters detailed above cast serious doubt on the assignment of structure 1 to przewalskinone B, but they did not, in and of themselves, render the structure assignment wrong. In order to definitively establish the structure of przewalskinone B, we prepared authentic samples of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ for comparison purposes. As noted above, $\mathbf{3}$ is widely occurring and a sample was secured by selective methylation ${ }^{4}$ of the anthraquinone emodin (4). 5 Apart from its assignment as the structure of przewalskinone B, compound 1 was previously unknown. A sample of 1 was obtained ${ }^{6}$ by the brief sequence given in Equation 1, which enlists two Diels-Alder reactions whose regiochemical outcomes follow from the work of Savard and Brassard. ${ }^{3,7}$

Table 1 contains the data obtained in this work for 1 and 3 and that reported 1 for przewalskinone B. We conclude that the data for przewalskinone $\mathbf{B}$ are incompatible with structure 1 but in agreement with 3. Accordingly, we submit that the structure of przewalskinone B be revised from 1 to 3 and suggest that precedence dictates that the name "przewalskinone $B$ " be henceforth abandoned in deference to "physcion".


Table 1

|  | PR | EWALSKINONE ${ }^{1}$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mp | $232-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone) | $206-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone) | $\begin{aligned} & 206-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { (acetone) } \\ & \left(\text { (it. }^{26} 207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| crystalline form | rust-colored microneedles | orange needles | orange needles |
|  | 2.46 br s | 2.46 br s | 2.45 br s |
|  | 3.94 s | 3.94 s | 3.94 s |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $6.69 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | $6.69 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $6.68 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
|  | $7.07 \mathrm{brd}(\mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 7.09 br d(J=1.6 Hz) | $7.07 \mathrm{brd}(\mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| (300 MHz, | $7.36 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $7.38 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $7.36 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) | $7.63 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 7.64 br d(J=1.6 Hz) | $7.62 \mathrm{brd}(\mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
|  | 12.53 s | 12.13 s | 12.11 s |
|  | 12.94 s | 12.33 s | 12.31 s |
|  | 253 | 248 | 249 |
| UV/vis $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{\text {MoOH }}$ |  | 265 | 265 |
|  | 275 | 286 | ${ }^{287}$ 406 sh |
|  | 418 | 431 | 432 |
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