Chem

CellPress

Article

Regio- and Stereoselective Rhodium(II)-Catalyzed C–H Functionalization of Cyclobutanes



This study shows how to control site selectivity in C–H functionalization by simply using the correct catalyst. Cyclobutanes were used as the scaffold to illustrate the impact of catalyst control because the core unit is incorporated into various structures of biomedical interest. The catalysts control whether the chemistry occurs at C1 or C3 of the cyclobutane.

Zachary J. Garlets, Benjamin D. Wertz, Wenbin Liu, Eric A. Voight, Huw M.L. Davies

hmdavie@emory.edu

HIGHLIGHTS

Catalyst-controlled C–H functionalization

Synthesis of chiral cyclobutane derivatives

New strategy for the synthesis of pharmaceutically relevant compounds

Garlets et al., Chem 6, 304–313
January 9, 2020
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2019.12.014

Chem

CellPress

Article

Regio- and Stereoselective Rhodium(II)-Catalyzed C–H Functionalization of Cyclobutanes

Zachary J. Garlets,[1] Benjamin D. Wertz,[1] Wenbin Liu,[1] Eric A. Voight,[2] and Huw M.L. Davies[1,3,*]

SUMMARY

Recent developments in controlled C–H functionalization transformations continue to inspire new retrosynthetic disconnections. One tactic in C–H functionalization is the intermolecular C–H insertion reaction of rhodium-bound carbenes. These intermediates can undergo highly selective transformations through the modulation of the ligand framework of the rhodium catalyst. This work describes our continued efforts toward differentiating C–H bonds in the same molecule by judicious catalyst choice. Substituted cyclobutanes that exist as a mixture of interconverting conformers and possess neighboring C–H bonds within a highly strained framework are the targets herein for challenging the current suite of catalysts. Although most C–H functionalization tactics focus on generating 1,2-disubstituted cyclobutanes via substrate-controlled directing-group methods, the regiodivergent methods discussed in this paper provide access to chiral 1,1-disubstituted and *cis*-1,3-disubstituted cyclobutanes simply by changing the catalyst identity, thus permitting entry to novel chemical space.

The Bigger Picture

Traditional synthetic strategies have viewed most C–H bonds as chemically inert and utilize functional groups for transformations. C–H functionalization is an attractive alternative strategy for the synthesis of complex organic molecules because it leads to the possibility of rapidly accessing novel chemical space. To fully develop this alternative approach, it is necessary to identify ways for reacting at specific C–H bonds even when a number of similar C–H bonds may exist in a substrate molecule. It would be particularly beneficial if a collection of catalysts were available, each with a preference for reaction at a specific C–H bond. Over the past few years, we have developed such a collection of catalysts for C–H functionalization chemistry of rhodium-bound carbenes. In this paper, we illustrate how these catalysts can be applied to the selective functionalization of cyclobutanes, leading to the formation of pharmaceutically relevant chiral building blocks.

INTRODUCTION

Catalyst-controlled C–H functionalization is of considerable current interest.[1,2] The ability to control which C–H bond in a particular compound is functionalized simply by selecting the right catalyst offers exciting opportunities in organic synthesis. Considerable effort has been directed toward developing small catalysts[3–5] and evolved enzymes[6–8] that can achieve such selectivity without resorting to well-defined functional groups on substrates. We have been developing the dirhodium-catalyzed reactions of donor and acceptor carbenes as a robust system for site-selective C–H functionalization reactions.[9] The combination of both a donor and an acceptor group on the carbene leads to an intermediate that is still sufficiently reactive to functionalize C–H bonds, and because of the modulating effect of the donor group, the system is prone to subtle catalyst control effects. In recent years, we have prepared a series of catalysts that are capable of site-selective functionalization of unactivated C–H bonds (Figure 1A).[10] The catalysts adopt unique geometries that dictate the substrate trajectory toward the rhodium-bound carbene, thus enabling single C–H bonds to be transformed. So far, catalysts have been designed to selectively functionalize primary,[11] secondary,[12] or tertiary[13] C–H bonds (Figure 1B). Further ligand development has resulted in catalysts that distinguish between benzylic and unactivated methylene C–H bonds,[14] and most recently, we have introduced a catalyst capable of desymmetrizing substituted cyclohexane rings.[15]



Figure 1. Site-Selective C–H Functionalization by Carbenoid Insertion Reactions

(A) Dirhodium tetracarboxylate catalysts possess structural diversity that enables functionalization of specific C–H bonds.

(B) Primary, secondary, and tertiary C–H bonds can be selectively functionalized by judicious catalyst choice.

(C) The work outlined herein showcases a regioselective C–H insertion reaction that permits access to new chemical space.

The work described in this manuscript details our efforts toward applying this growing suite of catalysts and our understanding of the behavior of C–H bonds for the selective functionalization of arylcyclobutanes, either at C1 or C3, depending on which catalyst is used (Figure 1C). Arylated cyclobutane rings occur frequently in both natural products and pharmaceuticals. Many of the natural products arise

[1]Department of Chemistry, Emory University, 1515 Dickey Drive, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

[2]Research & Development, AbbVie, 1 North Waukegan Road, North Chicago, IL 60064, USA

[3]Lead Contact

*Correspondence: hmdavie@emory.edu

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2019.12.014



Figure 2. Analysis of Cyclobutane C–H Functionalization

Selective intermolecular C–H functionalization of cyclobutanes poses significant challenges. Potential functionalization can occur at three carbon sites. On the basis of our understanding of donor- and acceptor-carbene-induced C–H functionalization, we would expect the equatorial C–H bonds at C1 and C3 to be preferred and distinguished by the appropriate choice of catalyst.

directly from photochemical [2 + 2] dimerization processes of simpler alkene building blocks, as in the truxillic and truxinic acids (from cinnamic acid)[16] and sceptrin (from hymenidin).[17] Beyond these dimeric compounds, arylcyclobutane scaffolds are also observed in monoterpenoids such as cannabicyclol,[18] murrayamine M,[19] rhodonoids A and B,[20] and cochlearol B.[21] Arylcyclobutanes are considered intriguing systems for drug discovery because they place functionality in a defined spatial orientation.[22] These compounds can exhibit wide-ranging bioactivity, as exemplified by the anti-clotting agent piperaborenine D,[23] the glucocortecoid receptor binding (−)-endiandrin A,[24] and the CYP3 inhibitor dipiperamide A.[25] 1,3-*cis*-Disubstituted arylcyclobutanes are represented in a number of modern drug candidates. Recently, researchers at AbbVie described a potent and selective TRPV3 antagonist that is a 1,1-difunctionalized arylcyclobutane.[26,27] In this study, the stereochemistry at the cyclobutane ring was shown to have a significant effect on the bioactivity of the analogs. In light of the significance of these substances, a novel method for preparing chiral 1,1-difunctionalized arylcyclobutanes and 1,3-*cis*-disubstituted arylcyclobutanes could be of significant value to the scientific community.

Considerable effort has been expended in generating elaborate cyclobutanes by means of C–H functionalization tactics that rely on the use of directing groups on the substrate to control regiochemistry.[28–34] Although these methods have provided elegant entries into complex natural products and access to enantioenriched cyclobutane scaffolds, they are restricted to, and primarily successful with, the formation of sp^3–sp^2 C–C bonds. Additionally, we are aware of only one example of a carbene-induced C–H functionalization of a cyclobutane in which a sp^3–sp^3 C–C bond is formed, but this was an intramolecular example.[35]

Even though phenylcyclobutane is a small molecule, it represents an interesting challenge for C–H functionalization (Figure 2). The compound would be expected to exist as two interconverting conformers (A and B), and conformer A is favored where the substituent occupies an equatorial position (when R = Br the equatorial isomer is favored by 1 kcal/mol[36]). From previous studies with donor and acceptor carbenes conducted on alkylcyclohexane[15] and acyclic alkanes,[11–13] we would not expect the methylene sites adjacent to the substituent to be sterically accessible. Therefore, we would expect carbene insertion to be a competition between C1 (substituted carbon) and C3 (distal) functionalization. We also know from studies on the C–H functionalization of cyclohexane that equatorial sites react about



6 7 8 9

Entry	Catalyst	Yield, %	8 : 9	8 ee / 9 ee, %
1	Rh_2(*S*-DOSP)$_4$	94	>95 : 5	32 / n/a
2	Rh_2(*S*-TPPTTL)$_4$ (**5**)	71	>95 : 5	94 / n/a
3	Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ (**4**)	65	**>95 : 5**	**98** / na
4	Rh_2(*R*-3,5-di(*p*-^{t}BuC$_6$H$_4$)TPCP)$_4$ (**2**)	71	33 : 67	3 / 96
5	Rh_2(*S*-2Cl-5Br-TPCP)$_4$ (**3**)	63	**17 : 83**	34 / **91**
6	Rh_2(R-tris(p-^{t}BuC$_6$H$_4$)TPCP)$_4$ (**1**)	83	25 : 75	3 / 96

Figure 3. Optimization of C–H Functionalization of Substituted Cyclobutanes

The reaction conditions were as follows: the diazo compound **6** (0.25 mmol) in 1.5 mL solvent was added over 3 h to a solution of the cyclobutane substrate **7** (0.75 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and catalyst (1.0 mol %) in 3.0 mL dichloromethane at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for an additional 2 h. All yields are isolated yields (98% of unreacted **6** was recovered). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the isolated product.

140 times faster than reactions at axial sites.[15] Hence, we would expect that reaction at C3 would occur at the equatorial site. If the reaction occurs at the major conformer A, the resulting cyclobutane would be *cis* disubstituted, whereas reaction of the minor conformer would give a *trans*-disubstituted product. Reaction at C1 is electronically preferred because of the influence of the aryl ring but is sterically encumbered as a tertiary site. The minor conformer B would be expected to be the most reactive because the tertiary C–H bond is equatorial. However, it will not be possible to distinguish whether the tertiary C–H bond functionalization is occurring through A or B because each will generate the same C1 functionalized product. In order to achieve insertion at the C3 position, a sterically bulky catalyst such as **2** or **3** would be expected to be required, whereas attack at C1 would be expected to occur with a less bulky catalyst such as **4** or **5**.

RESULTS

This study began by surveying dirhodium tetracarboxylate catalysts for functionalizing readily available cyclobutane **6** (Figure 3).[37] The reactions were conducted in refluxing methylene chloride with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-diazoacetate (**7**)[38] as the carbene precursor. The use of 2,2,2-trihaloethyl ester groups is often advantageous in the dirhodium-catalyzed reactions of donor and acceptor carbenes because they can enhance enantioselectivity.[39] Our analysis of the current catalyst toolbox and our understanding of selective C–H bond transformations informed which catalysts were selected for the initial reaction screen. The original prolinate catalyst, Rh_2(*S*-DOSP)$_4$,[40] is considered to be a relatively uncrowded catalyst and gave a clean reaction at C1 to form **8** with no indication of the C3 product **9**. However, it is well established that this catalyst does not give high levels of enantioselectvity in dichloromethane,[41] and this behavior was confirmed in this

case, such that **8** was formed in only 32% enantiomeric excess (ee). Similarly, high site selectivities were observed with the phathlimido catalysts **4** and **5**, but now the enantioselectivity was also very high, reaching 98% ee with **4**. The bulky catalysts **2** and **3**, designed for functionalization of primary or secondary C–H bonds,[12,14] caused a dramatic change in selectivity, favoring the C3 product **8** (2:1 for **2** and 5:1 for **3**). Catalyst **1**, designed for primary C–H functionalization,[11] also preferred attack at C3 (3:1) but did not outperform the site selectivity exhibited by catalyst **3** and also generated a further undefined isomer of the C–H functionalization products. On the basis of these studies, Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ (**3**) was selected as the optimum catalyst for C1 functionalization, and Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$ (**3**) was selected for C3 functionalization.

With these regiodivergent methods in place, the substrate scope was evaluated (Figures 4 and 5). The cyclobutane substrates were readily accessed by a two-step protocol (Grignard addition to cyclobutanone followed by reduction). The functionalization of the benzylic site was first examined using Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ (**4**) as a catalyst (Figure 4). A variety of different arylcyclobutanes were used in this reaction along with a number of aryldiazoacetates to generate the C1-functionalized products **10**–**21**. Because the cyclobutane derivatives are the most valuable of the two reagents, these reactions were conducted with 2 equiv of the diazo compound. Notable examples include the use of heteroaromatic groups in the formation of **18**–**21**. Also notable is the functionalization of the cyclobutane ring in preference to the primary benzylic site as shown for **15**; in this instance, Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ exhibits complete selectivity for the more substituted benzylic site even though it is more sterically crowded. The absolute configuration of the tertiary substitution was verified by X-ray crystallographic analysis of **8**, and the other examples were tentatively assigned by analogy.

We next investigated the selective functionalization of the distal site, C3, by using Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$ as a catalyst (Figure 5). Despite our initial success, the development of the *cis*-1,3-disubstituted cyclobutane scope would be more challenging because the C1 position is electronically activated. In addition, the conformational interconversion of different substituted cyclobutanes may lead to varying levels of diastereoselectivity. In fact, a variety of different aryl substituted cyclobutanes and aryldiazoacetates were competent to form the C3-functionalized products **22**–**32**, and the diastereoselectivity for distal functionalization was good for all the examples. Perhaps unsurprisingly, electron-deficient aryl rings on the cyclobutanes lowered the production of the tertiary side-product as seen for **23** versus **22**, but importantly, Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$ was able to generate the desired *cis*-1,3-disubstituted cyclobutanes as the major product in all instances. The X-ray crystallographic analysis of **27** enabled the unambiguous assignment of the major stereoisomer as *cis*, and this was further verified by nuclear Overhauser effect (nOe) analysis wherein the hydrogens on the same face of the cyclobutane were coupled. This validated the hypothesis that the equatorial C–H bonds in conformer A would be most susceptible to functionalization. This reaction was also amenable to heteroaryldiazoacetates and heteroarylcyclobutanes as illustrated in the formation of **29**–**32**.

The successful C–H functionalization of the cyclobutanes is unexpected because the C–H bonds in a cyclobutane ring have greater s character and are stronger than C–H bonds contained in an unstrained system. Therefore, we conducted competition experiments of 4-*tert*-butylphenylcyclobutane with substrates containing unstrained primary, secondary, and tertiary benzylic C–H bonds (Figure 6; see Supplemental Information for details). The competition reactions with Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ revealed



Figure 4. Reaction Scope for C–H Functionalization of Arylcyclobutanes at C1

The Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$ (**4**)-catalyzed reactions of aryldiazoacetates result in the selective C–H functionalization of the tertiary benzylic site of the arylcyclobutane. The catalyst is relatively uncrowded and reacts at the electronically preferred site. ee, enantiomeric excess.

that the tertiary benzylic C–H bond on the bicyclobutane is more reactive that the tertiary site in isopropyl benzene. In the reaction with the sterically crowded catalyst Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$, the electronically unactivated secondary site of the cyclobutane is more reactive than the secondary benzylic site in ethyl benzene. These results indicate that the cyclobutane C–H bonds are more reactive than their unstrained counterparts, presumably because the C–H bonds would be more sterically exposed or possibly because of hyperconjugative effects in the strained ring.



Figure 5. Reaction Scope for C–H Functionalization of Arylcyclobutanes at C3

The Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$ (**3**)-catalyzed reactions of aryldiazoacetates result in the selective C–H functionalization of the C3 secondary site of the arylcyclobutanes. The catalyst is sterically demanding and reacts at the sterically most accessible secondary site. ee, enantiomeric excess.

[1]The tertiary substituted product was obtained in 84% ee.

[2]The tertiary substituted product was obtained in 90% ee.

DISCUSSION

C–H functionalization tactics for generating substituted cyclobutanes are dominated by the use of substrate control and directing groups, which limits the accessibility of a variety of desirable substituted cyclobutanes. This report details an intermolecular C–H insertion approach to chiral substituted cyclobutanes, which can generate both 1,1-disubstituted and 1,3-disubstituted cyclobutanes. The lessons we learned about specific substrate interactions with our growing catalyst toolbox assisted the rapid discovery of reaction conditions that were suitable for generating each set of



Figure 6. Competition Studies for Site-Selective C–H Functionalization

The relative rates of functionalization of the cyclobutane C–H bonds versus the unstrained benzylic C–H bonds in isopropyl-, ethyl-, and methylbenzene. The tertiary benzylic site of cyclobutane (marked in red) is more reactive than the tertiary benzylic site in an unstrained system for both the Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$- and Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$-catalyzed reactions (1.7 times and >15 times more reactive, respectively). The electronically unactivated secondary site of the cyclobutane (marked in blue) is 2.8 times more reactive in the Rh_2(*S*-2-Cl-5-BrTPCP)$_4$-catalyzed reaction and >10 times more reactive in the Rh_2(*S*-TCPTAD)$_4$-catalyzed reaction.

substituted cyclobutanes. A less bulky dirhodium catalyst favors attack at the tertiary benzylic site, whereas a bulky catalyst favors attack at the sterically most accessible secondary site. These studies illustrate the potential of C–H functionalization strategies to rapidly access novel chiral scaffolds of potential pharmaceutical relevance.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General Procedure for the C–H Functionalization of Cyclobutanes

An oven-dried vial was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and sealed with a septa and a cap. This was cooled under a vacuum; thereafter, it was flame dried once. After the vial cooled to room temperature, it was loaded with rhodium catalyst (0.5–1 mol %), the cyclobutane substrate (1 equiv), and anhydrous dichloromethane (2 mL dichloromethane and 1 mmol cyclobutane). The mixture was allowed to stir under argon (the mixture was heated if heat was applied) while the diazo compound was prepared. A solution of the diazo compound (2 equiv) was prepared by dissolving in the dichloromethane (3 mL dichloromethane and 0.25 mmol diazo compound), and then this mixture was added dropwise with a syringe pump over 3 h. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction was stirred for an additional 2–4 h. Residual solvent was removed under reduced pressure (if the reaction was heated, it was allowed to cool to room temperature before residual solvent was removed), and the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography. The regioisomeric ratio (rr) and the diastereomeric ratio (dr) were determined by NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. The enantioselectivity (ee) was determined by high-pressure liquid chromatography analysis of the material after flash chromatography. Images of the key spectral and analytical data of the compounds generated in this study are included in Figures S1–S138.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

Crystallographic data for compounds **8** and **25** have been submitted to the Cambridge Crystallographic Database under accession numbers CCDC: 1921680 and CCDC: 1921681, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2019.12.014.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the NSF Center for Selective C–H Functionalization (CHE 1700982) and NIGMS of the NIH under award number F32GM130020 (Z.J.G.). Additional financial support was provided by AbbVie. Instrumentation used in this work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE1531620 and CHE1626172). We wish to thank the members of the NSF Center for C–H Functionalization (CHE 1700982) for helpful discussions regarding this work. We thank Dr. John Bacsa and Mr. Thomas Pickel at the Emory X-ray Crystallography Facility for the X-ray structural analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.J.G., B.D.W., and W.L. performed the synthetic experiments; Z.J.G., B.D.W., H.M.L.D., and E.A.V. designed the experiments; and Z.J.G., B.D.W., and H.M.L.D. wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

H.M.L.D. is a named inventor on a patent entitled "Dirhodium catalyst compositions and synthetic processes related thereto" (US patent 8,974,428, issued March 10, 2015). The other authors declare no competing interests.

Received: September 8, 2019
Revised: December 2, 2019
Accepted: December 12, 2019
Published: January 9, 2020

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. He, J., Wasa, M., Chan, K.S.L., Shao, Q., and Yu, J.Q. (2017). Palladium-catalyzed transformations of alkyl C–H bonds. Chem. Rev. *117*, 8754–8786.

2. Hartwig, J.F., and Larsen, M.A. (2016). Undirected, homogeneous C–H bond functionalization: challenges and opportunities. ACS Cent. Sci. *2*, 281–292.

3. White, M.C., and Zhao, J.P. (2018). Aliphatic C-H oxidations for late-stage functionalization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *140*, 13988–14009.

4. Roizen, J.L., Harvey, M.E., and Du Bois, J. (2012). Metal-catalyzed nitrogen-atom transfer methods for the oxidation of aliphatic C-H bonds. Acc. Chem. Res. *45*, 911–922.

5. Ravelli, D., Fagnoni, M., Fukuyama, T., Nishikawa, T., and Ryu, I. (2018). Site-selective C-H functionalization by decatungstate anion photocatalysis: synergistic control by polar and steric effects expands the reaction scope. ACS Catal. *8*, 701–713.

6. Zhang, R.K., Chen, K., Huang, X., Wohlschlager, L., Renata, H., and Arnold, F.H. (2019). Enzymatic assembly of carbon–carbon bonds via iron-catalysed sp^3 C–H functionalization. Nature *565*, 67–72.

7. Dydio, P., Key, H.M., Hayashi, H., Clark, D.S., and Hartwig, J.F. (2017). Chemoselective, enzymatic C-H bond amination catalyzed by a cytochrome P450 containing an Ir(Me)-PIX cofactor. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *139*, 1750–1753.

8. Zwick, C.R., and Renata, H. (2018). Remote C–H hydroxylation by an α-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase enables efficient chemoenzymatic synthesis of manzacidin C and proline analogs. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *140*, 1165–1169.

9. Davies, H.M.L., and Morton, D. (2011). Guiding principles for site selective and stereoselective intermolecular C-H functionalization by donor/acceptor rhodium carbenes. Chem. Soc. Rev. *40*, 1857–1869.

10. Davies, H.M.L., and Liao, K. (2019). Dirhodium tetracarboxylates as catalysts for selective intermolecular C–H functionalization. Nat. Rev. Chem. *3*, 347–360.

11. Liao, K., Negretti, S., Musaev, D.G., Bacsa, J., and Davies, H.M.L. (2016). Site-selective and stereoselective functionalization of unactivated C–H bonds. Nature *533*, 230–234.

12. Liao, K., Pickel, T.C., Boyarskikh, V., Bacsa, J., Musaev, D.G., and Davies, H.M.L. (2017). Site-selective and stereoselective functionalization of non-activated tertiary C-H bonds. Nature *551*, 609–613.

13. Liao, K., Yang, Y.F., Li, Y., Sanders, J.N., Houk, K.N., Musaev, D.G., and Davies, H.M.L. (2018). Design of catalysts for site-selective and enantioselective functionalization of non-activated primary C–H bonds. Nat. Chem. *10*, 1048–1055.

14. Liu, W., Ren, Z., Bosse, A.T., Liao, K., Goldstein, E.L., Bacsa, J., Musaev, D.G., Stoltz, B.M., and Davies, H.M.L. (2018). Catalyst-controlled selective functionalization of unactivated C–H bonds in the presence of electronically activated C–H bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *140*, 12247–12255.

15. Fu, J., Ren, Z., Bacsa, J., Musaev, D.G., and Davies, H.M.L. (2018). Desymmetrization of cyclohexanes by site- and stereoselective C–H functionalization. Nature *564*, 395–399.

16. Krauze-Baranowska, M. (2002). Truxillic and truxinic acids–occurrence in plant kingdom. Acta Pol. Pharm. *59*, 403–410.

17. Ma, Z., Wang, X., Wang, X., Rodriguez, R.A., Moore, C.E., Gao, S., Tan, X., Ma, Y., Rheingold, A.L., Baran, P.S., and Chen, C. (2014). Asymmetric syntheses of sceptrin and massadine and evidence for biosynthetic enantiodivergence. Science *346*, 219–224.

18. Yeom, H.S., Li, H., Tang, Y., and Hsung, R.P. (2013). Total syntheses of cannabicyclol, clusiacyclol A and B, iso-eriobrucinol A and B, and eriobrucinol. Org. Lett. *15*, 3130–3133.

19. Gassner, C., Hesse, R., Schmidt, A.W., and Knölker, H.J. (2014). Total synthesis of the cyclic monoterpenoid pyrano[3,2-a]carbazole alkaloids derived from 2-hydroxy-6-methylcarbazole. Org. Biomol. Chem. *12*, 6490–6499.

20. Liao, H.B., Lei, C., Gao, L.X., Li, J.Y., Li, J., and Hou, A.J. (2015). Two enantiomeric pairs of meroterpenoids from Rhododendron capitatum. Org. Lett. *17*, 5040–5043.

21. Dou, M., Di, L., Zhou, L.L., Yan, Y.M., Wang, X.L., Zhou, F.J., Yang, Z.L., Li, R.T., Hou, F.F., and Cheng, Y.X. (2014). Cochlearols A and B, polycyclic meroterpenoids from the fungus Ganoderma cochlear that have renoprotective activities. Org. Lett. *16*, 6064–6067.

22. Wager, T.T., Pettersen, B.A., Schmidt, A.W., Spracklin, D.K., Mente, S., Butler, T.W., Howard, H., Jr., Lettiere, D.J., Rubitski, D.M., Wong, D.F., et al. (2011). Discovery of two clinical histamine H(3) receptor antagonists: trans-N-ethyl-3-fluoro-3-[3-fluoro-4-(pyrrolidinylmethyl)phenyl] cyclobutanecarboxamide (PF-03654746) and trans-3-fluoro-3-[3-fluoro-4-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]-N-(2-methylpropyl) cyclobutanecarboxamide (PF-03654764). J. Med. Chem. *54*, 7602–7620.

23. Tsai, I.L., Lee, F.P., Wu, C.C., Duh, C.Y., Ishikawa, T., Chen, J.J., Chen, Y.C., Seki, H., and Chen, I.S. (2005). New cytotoxic cyclobutanoid amides, a new furanoid lignan and anti-platelet aggregation constituents from *Piper arborescens*. Planta Med. *71*, 535–542.

24. Davis, R.A., Carroll, A.R., Duffy, S., Avery, V.M., Guymer, G.P., Forster, P.I., and Quinn, R.J. (2007). Endiandrin A, a potent glucocorticoid receptor binder isolated from the Australian plant Endiandra anthropophagorum. J. Nat. Prod. *70*, 1118–1121.

25. Tsukamoto, S., Cha, B., and Ohta, T. (2002). Dipiperamides A, B, and C: bisalkaloids from the white pepper *Piper nigrum* inhibiting CYP3A4 activity. Tetrahedron *58*, 1667–1671.

26. Gomtsyan, A., Schmidt, R.G., Bayburt, E.K., Gfesser, G.A., Voight, E.A., Daanen, J.F., Schmidt, D.L., Cowart, M.D., Liu, H., Altenbach, R.J., et al. (2016). Synthesis and pharmacology of (pyridin-2-yl)methanol derivatives as novel and selective transient receptor potential vanilloid 3 antagonists. J. Med. Chem. *59*, 4926–4947.

27. Voight, E.A., Daanen, J.F., Schmidt, R.G., Gomtsyan, A., Dart, M.J., and Kym, P.R. (2016). Stereoselective synthesis of a dipyridyl transient receptor potential vanilloid-3 (TRPV3) antagonist. J. Org. Chem. *81*, 12060–12064.

28. Gutekunst, W.R., and Baran, P.S. (2011). Total synthesis and structural revision of the piperarborenines via sequential cyclobutane C–H arylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *133*, 19076–19079.

29. Namyslo, J.C., and Kaufmann, D.E. (2003). The application of cyclobutane derivatives in organic synthesis. Chem. Rev. *103*, 1485–1537.

30. Akula, P.S., Hong, B.C., and Lee, G.H. (2018). Catalyst- and substituent-controlled switching of chemoselectivity for the enantioselective synthesis of fully substituted cyclobutane derivatives via 2 + 2 annulation of vinylogous ketone enolates and nitroalkene. Org. Lett. *20*, 7835–7839.

31. Chapman, L.M., Beck, J.C., Wu, L., and Reisman, S.E. (2016). Enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-psiguadial B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *138*, 9803–9806.

32. Wu, Q.F., Wang, X.B., Shen, P.X., and Yu, J.Q. (2018). Enantioselective C–H arylation and vinylation of cyclobutyl carboxylic amides. ACS Catal. *8*, 2577–2584.

33. Beck, J.C., Lacker, C.R., Chapman, L.M., and Reisman, S.E. (2019). A modular approach to prepare enantioenriched cyclobutanes: synthesis of (+)-rumphellaone A. Chem. Sci. *10*, 2315–2319.

34. Ren, R., Zhao, H., Huan, L., and Zhu, C. (2015). Manganese-catalyzed oxidative azidation of cyclobutanols: regiospecific synthesis of alkyl azides by C-C bond cleavage. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. *54*, 12692–12696.

35. Rao, V.B., George, C.F., Wolff, S., and Agosta, W.C. (1985). Synthetic and structural studies in the [4.4.4.5]fenestrane series. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *107*, 5732–5739.

36. Glendening, E.D., and Halpern, A.M. (2005). Ab initio study of cyclobutane: molecular structure, ring-puckering potential, and origin of the inversion barrier. J. Phys. Chem. A *109*, 635–642.

37. Chen, H., Hu, L., Ji, W., Yao, L., and Liao, X. (2018). Nickel-catalyzed decarboxylative alkylation of aryl iodides with anhydrides. ACS Catal. *8*, 10479–10485.

38. Fu, L., Mighion, J.D., Voight, E.A., and Davies, H.M.L. (2017). Synthesis of 2,2,2-trichloroethyl aryl- and vinyldiazoacetates by palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling. Chemistry *23*, 3272–3275.

39. Guptill, D.M., and Davies, H.M. (2014). 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl aryldiazoacetates as robust reagents for the enantioselective C-H functionalization of methyl ethers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *136*, 17718–17721.

40. Davies, H.M.L., Bruzinski, P.R., Lake, D.H., Kong, N., and Fall, M.J. (1996). Asymmetric cyclopropanations by rhodium(II) N-(arylsulfonyl)prolinate catalyzed decomposition of vinyldiazomethanes in the presence of alkenes. Practical enantioselective synthesis of the four stereoisomers of 2-phenylcyclopropane-1-amino acid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *118*, 6897–6907.

41. Davies, H.M.L. (1999). Dirhodium tetra(*N*-arylsulfonylprolinates) as chiral catalysts for asymmetric transformations of vinyl- and aryldiazoacetates. Eur. J. Org. Chem. *1999*, 2459–2469.