

Accepted Article

Title: Electrochemical Dynamics of Single Platinum Nanoparticle Collision Event towards Hydrogen Evolution Reaction

Authors: Zhipeng Xiang, Hai-qiang Deng, Pekka Peljo, Zhiyong Fu, Suli Wang, Daniel Mandler, Gong-quan Sun, and Zhenxing Liang

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201712454
Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201712454

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201712454>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201712454>

Electrochemical Dynamics of Single Platinum Nanoparticle Collision Event towards Hydrogen Evolution Reaction

Zhi-peng Xiang,^{a,†} Hai-qiang Deng,^{b,†} Pekka Peljo,^c Zhi-yong Fu,^a Su-li Wang,^d Daniel Mandler,^b
Gong-quan Sun,^{d*} Zhen-xing Liang^{a*}

^aKey Laboratory on Fuel Cell Technology of Guangdong Province, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, P.R. China

^bInstitute of Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 9190401, Israel

^cLaboratoire d'Electrochimie Physique et Analytique, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL Valais Wallis), Rue de l'Industrie, 17, 1951 Sion, Switzerland

^dFuel Cell & Battery Division, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, P.R. China

[†]Equal Contribution.

*Corresponding authors: Tel.: +86 20 87113584, E-mail: zliang@scut.edu.cn (Z.X. Liang);
Tel.: +86 411 84379063, E-mail: gqsun@dicp.ac.cn (G.Q. Sun).

Accepted Manuscript


Keywords. Nanoparticles, collisions, electrocatalytic amplification, HER, interfacial dynamics.

Abstract. Chronoamperometry was used to study the dynamics of Pt nanoparticle (NP) collision with an inert ultramicroelectrode *via* electrocatalytic amplification (ECA) towards hydrogen evolution reaction. First, ECA and dynamic light scattering (DLS) results reveal that the NP colloid remains stable only at low proton concentrations (1.0 mM) under helium (He) atmosphere, ensuring that the collision events occur at genuinely single NP level. Amperometry of single NP collisions under He atmosphere shows that each discrete current profile of collision event evolves from spike to staircase at more negative potentials, while a staircase response is observed at all of the applied potentials under hydrogen-containing atmospheres. The particle size distribution estimated from the diffusion-controlled current in He atmosphere agrees well with both the electron microscopy and DLS observations. The work presented herein sheds lights on the interfacial dynamics of the single nanoparticle collision electrochemistry.

Nanoparticles (NPs) have great impacts on many applications, such as energy,^[1] catalysis (*e.g.* photocatalysis and electrocatalysis),^[2] sensors,^[3] electrochemiluminescence^[4] and spectroscopy,^[5] due to their fascinating physical and chemical properties. NP's properties are mainly dependent on its composition, size and shape.^[6] Hence, many studies have been conducted to elucidate the NP's structure-activity relationship.^[7] Traditional ensemble measurements are limited to provide only averaged characteristics of a large number of NPs with distribution of sizes and shapes in a typical sample. Hence, it is highly desirable to develop techniques to characterize structure-activity relationship of a single NP as a means of understanding and further tuning NP's performance. Until now, spectroscopy (*e.g.* single-molecule fluorescence microscopy,^[8] infrared nanospectroscopy,^[9] local surface plasmon resonance,^[10] and tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy^[11]) has become the mainstream method to study single molecules and nanoparticles. Nevertheless, spectroscopic methods are generally limited by either chemical selectivity (*e.g.* limited by particular molecules) or spatial resolution (*e.g.* diffraction limit). Electrochemical method^[12] is emerging as an indispensable alternative and/or a complement to study single NPs either by immobilizing a NP onto a substrate electrode combined with scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM)^[13] or scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM)^[14] or by stochastic collision/impact of

individual NPs onto an ultramicroelectrode (UME) without a tricky immobilization step.^[12] The second electrochemical method can directly characterize NPs in the colloidal solution. The current signal induced by a NP collision can be either from bulk electrolysis (BE) of the redox-active NP itself^[15] or from electrocatalytic amplification (ECA) when a NP catalyzes an inner-sphere-electron-transfer (ISET) reaction that is kinetically sluggish on the underlying UME.^[16] ECA is superior to BE in terms of the detection sensitivity and temporal resolution.

The hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is the simplest heterogeneous ISET reaction between (solvated) protons and underlying electrified electrodes.^[17] Accordingly, HER has served as a model reaction in single Pt NP collision detection *via* ECA.^[16a, 16b, 18] Pt NPs colloidal stability and the explanation of the obtained electrochemical signal from HER on single Pt NPs are still elusive.^[19] The difficulty in interpreting the current profile in the ECA approach is due to the variation of the interactions between NP and the substrate UME, resulting in either spikes or staircases. In the case where a NP sticks onto the UME biased at the diffusion-limited potentials, a current staircase is expected if no deactivation/poisoning of the NP catalyst occurs,^[20] while the catalyst deactivation can lead to a spiky response. In the present work, we extensively investigate the mechanism underpinning the evolution of the current transient profile of HER on single Pt NPs from spike to staircase with varying electrode potentials under different gas atmospheres in the absence of oxygen (Scheme 1). It is found that the interfacial dynamics plays a key role in determining the current shape. Specifically, it is the drift in equilibrium potential and slower HER kinetics compared to mass-transfer of protons^[21] in aqueous to nanometer-sized Pt surface^[16e, 22] that result in a spiky current transient in helium-saturated solution at lower overpotentials. Nevertheless, staircase-shaped current profile can be seen either in helium-saturated solution at higher overpotentials or in hydrogen-containing solution even at lower overpotentials. The current shape is rationalized by the analysis of thermodynamics, kinetics, and finite-element simulations of HER. Our findings presented herein pave the way for electrocatalytic studies at a truly single nanoparticle level.^[23]



Scheme 1. Current profile upon single Pt NP collision on the gold UME under either helium or hydrogen atmosphere at varied applied potentials.

First, the issue of NP colloidal instability was addressed to guarantee single NPs electrochemical detection. Figure S1 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information (SI) show that Pt NPs have a modal size of 71 nm in diameter (scanning electron microscopy, SEM) and a corresponding hydrodynamic diameter of 85 nm (dynamic light scattering, DLS). Uniform current transients are observed in He-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄ solution (black trace in Figure S3A, SI) at an applied overpotential of 0.10 V (Table S3, SI), indicating that individual nanoparticles rather than aggregates collide with the UME surface. In comparison, inhomogeneous current magnitudes, irregular time intervals and lower impact frequencies of the ECA signals are observed in both 5.0 and 25 mM HClO₄ solutions (red and blue traces in Figure S3A, SI) at the same applied overpotential, indicating that NPs are unstable and aggregate in the colloidal dispersion. It implies that proton concentration dictates the colloidal stability *via* electrostatic interactions between NP's double layer and H⁺. This observation was corroborated by DLS kinetic measurements under both ambient and He atmospheres as shown in Figures S3B and S5 in SI, respectively. The experimental NP/UME collision frequency of 0.05 Hz (Figure S4 in SI) in He-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄ is in reasonable agreement with the calculated one of 0.09 Hz (Equation S5, SI). This deviation might originate from the experimental errors, ineffective collision events,^[24] Fermi-level equilibration of NP in close contact with the electrode,^[25] and the near-wall hindered diffusion^[20b, 26] (more details seen in pages S-9~10 in SI). We employed 1.0 mM HClO₄ to carry out the ECA collision

experiments throughout the work shown herein, although Pt NPs aggregate under H₂ atmosphere even in 1.0 mM HClO₄ (blue trace in Figure S5, SI).

ECA of HER observed upon NP collision should show a staircase current profile when NPs colliding with the electrode stick to the surface at sufficient overpotentials where the diffusion-limited regime of proton is reached. Instead, experimental results show a spiky profile (Figure S3A, SI). Effects of hydrogen-under-potential-deposition (H_{UPD}), capacitive currents, or reduction of either oxygen or platinum oxide were excluded as the origin of the current spikes (see Figures S6-7, SI). In addition, the elastic collision of the NP may account for the spiky profile; however, the CVs (see Figure S8, SI) indicate that the collided Pt NPs stick onto the Au UME after their landings, rather than elastically collide and leave the electrode after impacting the UME.

It was suggested by Stevenson *et al.*^[18] that ECA reaction, working electrode, and electrolyte solution could affect the current transient shape. Herein, we found that the electrode potential also has a substantial effect on the current transient shape of a collision event. Figure 1A shows the amperometric curves of 0.58 pM Pt NPs colliding on a 25 μm Au UME in He-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄. The current transient evolves from spike to staircase eventually while polarizing the electrode to more negative potentials. One possible explanation would be that the interfacial dynamics dictates the current transient shape: (1) drift in the equilibrium potential, and (2) slower HER kinetics compared to mass-transfer rate of H⁺ to nanometer-sized Pt surface.^[16e, 22]




Figure 1. Amperometric $i-t$ curves of 0.58 pM Pt NPs colliding with a 25 μm Au UME biased from -0.203 to -0.403 V vs. SHE. (A) He-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄ solution, and (B) H₂-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄ solution.

To gain further information, amperometric $i-t$ curves were collected under the same conditions except using H₂-saturated solution (Figure 1B) as well as He-H₂ mixture with different partial pressures of H₂ (Figure S10, SI). In all the cases, the current signal shows a staircase for each collision event independent of the applied potential. Figure 2A shows the average current of the collision events as a function of the applied potentials plotted from Figure 1. The current first increases with decreasing the potential, and then levels off at more negative potentials ≤ -0.293 V in helium-saturated solution (trace “a” in Figure 2A). At less negative potentials, the HER is at least partially controlled by kinetics; therefore, the current gradually increases with biasing the UME to more negative potentials. When the potential is sufficiently negative, the HER changes from the kinetics control to the diffusion control, which explains the subsequent presence of a current plateau. It is also interesting to note that the current magnitudes remain the same despite the considerable change in the partial pressure of hydrogen from 0.2 to 1 atm (Figure 2B). However, the current transient magnitude in He

atmosphere is higher than that in H₂ containing atmospheres, which is unexpected as the current should depend only on the diffusion of protons. The blocking effect of hydrogen bubble formation on the NP surface^[27] was also excluded as a possible origin for the spike response under He atmosphere (see page S-18 in SI for further details).

To further address this concern, the HER was simulated with finite element method using COMSOL Multiphysics (see pages S-24~25 in SI for more details), assuming the Volmer-Tafel mechanism ($\text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- + \text{Pt} \leftrightarrow \text{H-Pt}$ and $2\text{H-Pt} \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2\text{Pt}$) and with the parameters reported by Compton *et al.*,^[28] with Pt NP radius of 35 nm and 0.63 mM of protons in the bulk solution. The initial bulk hydrogen concentration, which is experimentally controlled by the partial pressure in the mixture, used in simulation varies from 0 to 0.79 mM.^[28a] The simulation results are tabulated in Table S5 in SI. The currents obtained from the simulation (trace “c” in Figure 2A) fit well with the experimental data in He-saturated solutions. In comparison, Figure 2A-B reveals that the current response under H₂-containing atmospheres is always at least five times lower (*e.g.* experimental currents at -0.343 V in Figure 2A).




Figure 2. (A) The average current transient magnitudes of the collision events from Figure 1 as a function of applied potentials in (a) helium-saturated 1.0 mM HClO₄ solution, (b) hydrogen-saturated

1.0 mM HClO₄ solution, and (c) from simulation under 100% helium atmosphere. (B) The average current magnitudes of the collision events (Figure S10, SI) biased from -0.203 to -0.403 V in 1.0 mM HClO₄ solution saturated with He-H₂ mixture.

A possible explanation is that the dissolved hydrogen molecule spontaneously undergoes chemical dissociation into H atoms and adsorb/absorb onto/inside the Pt NPs. As a consequence, the HER electrocatalysis can be passivated by the hydrogen absorption into the crystal lattice of Pt NPs,^[29] as suggested earlier by Bard *et al.*^[16a, 16b] In this process, the change in the Gibbs energy is sufficiently negative such that the process can proceed completely, and is independent of the partial pressure of hydrogen. It is inferred that the Pt NPs will get passivated to a similar degree even though the partial pressure of hydrogen remarkably varies from 1 to 0.2 atm. As such, the current signal of NP's collision is essentially the same in hydrogen-containing solution considering the same proton concentration. Interestingly, Compton and co-workers observed similar passivation effect for the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) on single Pt NPs.^[28b] In addition, the instability of the Pt NPs colloid revealed by DLS (Figure S5, SI) can be seen as a collateral evidence for the hydrogen effect. In such solutions, the Fermi level of the Pt NP is equilibrated with the H⁺/H₂ redox couple.^[30] At these potentials, the citrate may be replaced by the dissociated H atoms on the NP surface, thus degrading the colloidal stability.

Furthermore, the NP's size distribution is calculated by using the steady-state current under 100% helium atmosphere (Figure 1A) and Equation S13 in SI. The modal size is found to be *ca.* 66 nm (Figure S11 in SI), which coincides well with the SEM result of ~ 71 nm (Figure S1 and Table S1, SI).

In summary, we investigated the effect of the interfacial dynamics on the current transient profile of a single Pt NP collision *via* the ECA towards the HER. Firstly, Pt NPs aggregation as a function of proton concentration was studied to achieve a genuinely single NP collision. It is found that the 70 nm diameter Pt NPs remain stable against aggregation for a long duration (≥ 30 min) at the proton concentrations ≤ 1.0 mM. Afterwards, the chronoamperograms were collected to track single NP collisions at different electrode potentials under varied atmospheres. It is found that current transient evolves from spike to staircase with applying more negative potentials in He-saturated solution. Thermodynamics analysis indicates that the shape of the chronoamperogram depends on the interfacial dynamics, which results in a drift in equilibrium potential and slower HER kinetics than mass

transfer under He atmosphere at insufficient overpotentials. In comparison, the current signal is staircase-like at all investigated potentials in H₂-containing solution, but at least five times lower in magnitude than expected. This difference is supposed to originate from the passivation of the HER electrocatalyst by the hydrogen absorption into the crystal lattice of Pt NPs,^[29] as suggested earlier by Bard *et al.*^[16a, 16b] The calculated Pt NP's size distribution *via* the electrochemical method in He-saturated solution correlates well with both the DLS and the SEM results. Our work presented herein sheds light on the nanoelectrode electrochemistry *via* ECA, which is likely to be a cornerstone to study electrochemistry at a genuinely single nanoparticle level.

Acknowledgments

The work described in this paper was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21676106, 21476087), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (201704030065), Science and Technology Program of Guangdong (2017A050506015). D.M. acknowledges the support by the Israeli Ministry of Science and Technology (Contract No. 3-12610). H.D. acknowledges the financial support from the PBC Fellowship jointly sponsored by the Planning and Budgeting Committee of the Council for Higher Education in Israel and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and also appreciates Prof. Daniel Mandler for hosting his postdoctoral studies. P.P. acknowledges the financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant Ambizione Energy 160553.


References

- [1] M. K. Debe, *Nature* **2012**, *486*, 43-51.
- [2] Y. Xia, H. Yang, C. T. Campbell, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 1671-1672.
- [3] X. Zhong, L. Qiao, N. Gasilova, B. Liu, H. H. Girault, *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *88*, 6184-6189.
- [4] M. Hesari, Z. Ding, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *50*, 218-230.
- [5] J. F. Li, Y. F. Huang, Y. Ding, Z. L. Yang, S. B. Li, X. S. Zhou, F. R. Fan, W. Zhang, Z. Y. Zhou, D. Y. Wu, B. Ren, Z. L. Wang, Z. Q. Tian, *Nature* **2010**, *464*, 392-395.
- [6] a) A. Henglein, *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 1861-1873; b) G. Schmid, *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 1709-1727; c) G. F. Long, X. H. Li, K. Wan, Z. X. Liang, J. H. Piao, P. Tsiakaras, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *203*, 541-548.
- [7] K. Wan, Z. P. Yu, X. H. Li, M. Y. Liu, G. Yang, J. H. Piao, Z. X. Liang, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 4325-4332.
- [8] a) W. E. Moerner, L. Kador, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1989**, *62*, 2535-2538; b) J. B. Sambur, P. Chen, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2014**, *65*, 395-422.
- [9] C. Y. Wu, W. J. Wolf, Y. Levartovsky, H. A. Bechtel, M. C. Martin, F. D. Toste, E. Gross, *Nature* **2017**, *541*, 511-515.
- [10] X. Shan, I. Díez-Pérez, L. Wang, P. Wiktor, Y. Gu, L. Zhang, W. Wang, J. Lu, S. Wang, Q. Gong, J. Li, N. Tao, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *7*, 668-672.

- [11] J. H. Zhong, X. Jin, L. Y. Meng, X. Wang, H. S. Su, Z. L. Yang, C. T. Williams, B. Ren, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2017**, *12*, 132-136.
- [12] a) W. Cheng, R. G. Compton, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2014**, *58*, 79-89; b) A. Bard, A. Boika, S. Kwon, J. Park, S. Thorgaard, in *Nanoelectrochemistry*, CRC Press, **2015**, pp. 241-292; c) M. D. Scanlon, P. Peljo, M. A. Mendez, E. Smirnov, H. H. Girault, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 2705-2720; d) T. J. Anderson, B. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 2625-2631.
- [13] a) T. Sun, Y. Yu, B. J. Zacher, M. V. Mirkin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 14120-14123; b) Y. Yu, T. Sun, M. V. Mirkin, *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 7446-7453.
- [14] A. G. Güell, K. E. Meadows, P. V. Dudin, N. Ebejer, J. V. Macpherson, P. R. Unwin, *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 220-224.
- [15] a) Y. G. Zhou, N. V. Rees, R. G. Compton, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4219-4221; b) H. S. Toh, R. G. Compton, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 5053-5058; c) H. Deng, J. E. Dick, S. Kummer, U. Kragl, S. H. Strauss, A. J. Bard, *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *88*, 7754-7761; d) A. Feng, W. Cheng, R. G. Compton, *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 6458-6462; e) K. Shimizu, K. Tschulik, R. G. Compton, *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 1408-1414.
- [16] a) X. Xiao, A. J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 9610-9612; b) X. Xiao, F. R. F. Fan, J. Zhou, A. J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16669-16677; c) S. E. F. Kleijn, S. C. S. Lai, T. S. Miller, A. I. Yanson, M. T. M. Koper, P. R. Unwin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 18558-18561; d) R. Dasari, D. A. Robinson, K. J. Stevenson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 570-573; e) Z. Guo, S. J. Percival, B. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8879-8882; f) X. Huang, H. Deng, C. Liu, J. Jiang, Q. Zeng, L. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 9523-9527.
- [17] A. J. Bard, L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, **2001**.
- [18] R. Dasari, B. Walther, D. A. Robinson, K. J. Stevenson, *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 15100-15106.
- [19] D. A. Robinson, A. M. Kondajji, A. D. Castañeda, R. Dasari, R. M. Crooks, K. J. Stevenson, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 2512-2517.
- [20] a) S. J. Kwon, H. Zhou, F. R. F. Fan, V. Vorobyev, B. Zhang, A. J. Bard, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 5394-5402; b) E. Katelhon, R. G. Compton, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 4592-4598.
- [21] a) N. Agmon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *244*, 456-462; b) O. Markovitch, H. Chen, S. Izvekov, F. Paesani, G. A. Voth, N. Agmon, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 9456-9466.
- [22] a) R. M. Penner, M. J. Heben, T. L. Longin, N. S. Lewis, *Science* **1990**, *250*, 1118-1121; b) S. J. Percival, B. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 20536-20546.
- [23] S. E. F. Kleijn, S. C. S. Lai, M. T. M. Koper, P. R. Unwin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 3558-3586.
- [24] V. Brasiliense, A. N. Patel, A. Martinez-Marrades, J. Shi, Y. Chen, C. Combellas, G. Tessier, F. Kanoufi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 3478-3483.
- [25] P. Peljo, J. A. Manzanares, H. H. Girault, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 4795-4803.
- [26] a) S. Eloul, R. G. Compton, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 4317-4321; b) S. V. Sokolov, S. Eloul, E. Katelhon, C. Batchelor-McAuley, R. G. Compton, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 28-43.
- [27] L. Luo, H. S. White, *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 11169-11175.
- [28] a) X. Jiao, C. Batchelor-McAuley, E. Kätelhön, J. Ellison, K. Tschulik, R. G. Compton, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 9402-9410; b) X. Jiao, C. Lin, N. P. Young, C. Batchelor-McAuley, R. G. Compton, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 13148-13158.
- [29] E. Gileadi, M. A. Fullenwider, J. O. M. Bockris, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1966**, *113*, 926-930.

- [30] P. Peljo, M. D. Scanlon, A. J. Olaya, L. Rivier, E. Smirnov, H. H. Girault, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 3564-3575.

Entry for the Table of Contents



Evolution or stability? Under helium atmosphere: electrochemical catalytic current profile of hydrogen evolution reaction on a single platinum nanoparticle evolves from a spike to a staircase with polarizing more negative potentials on the underlying inert ultramicroelectrode; however, under hydrogen atmosphere: the current profile stabilizes as a staircase at all polarized potentials but at least 5 times lower than that in helium atmosphere.