ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters

pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett

Small Molecule Antagonists of the Nuclear Androgen Receptor for the Treatment of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer

James K. Johnson,[†] Erin M. Skoda,[†] Jianhua Zhou,[§] Erica Parrinello,[§] Dan Wang,[§] Katherine O'Malley,[§] Benjamin R. Eyer,[†] Mustafa Kazancioglu,[†] Kurtis Eisermann,[§] Paul A. Johnston,[#] Joel B. Nelson,[§] Zhou Wang,^{*,§} and Peter Wipf^{*,†,#}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, United States

[§]Department of Urology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232, United States [#]Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15261, United States

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: After a high-throughput screening campaign identified thioether 1 as an antagonist of the nuclear androgen receptor, a zone model was developed for structure—activity relationship (SAR) purposes and analogues were synthesized and evaluated in a cell-based luciferase assay. A novel thioether isostere, cyclopropane (1S,2R)-27, showed the desired increased potency and structural properties (stereospecific SAR response, absence of a readily oxidized sulfur atom, low molecular weight, reduced number of flexible bonds and polar surface area, and drug-likeness score) in the prostate-specific



antigen luciferase assay in C4-2-PSA-rl cells to qualify as a new lead structure for prostate cancer drug development. **KEYWORDS:** Androgen receptor, CRPC, advanced prostate cancer, luciferase assay, isoxazoles, thioether isostere

T he steroidal hormones testosterone and dihydrotestosterone are the major endogenous androgens that cause nuclear translocation and subsequent activation of androgen receptor (AR).¹ In prostate cancer, AR shows a higher nuclear concentration in the presence of androgens,^{2,3} and androgendeprivation therapy (ADT) is one of the primary treatments.⁴ Unfortunately, even with ADT, almost all patients eventually progress to the stage of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC, formerly known as hormone-refractory prostate cancer), a fatal condition that makes prostate cancer the second most deadly cancer type in men in the U.S.⁵ Despite a high survival rate with early detection and treatment with surgery or radiation, prostate cancer is responsible for the death of 30,000 patients each year in the U.S.^{5,6}

CRPC is postulated to arise through either adaption or selection of cancer cells in a low androgen environment⁷ as a result of the initial ADT.^{4,8} In the laboratory setting, studies of overexpression⁹ and knockdown¹⁰ of AR have shown that this receptor plays a key role in the progression of CRPC.^{11,12} Enzalutamide (MDV3100) and bicalutamide are AR antagonists that are currently used as treatments for CRPC and can extend the lifespan of patients for 3–5 months (Figure 1). Enzalutamide, in particular, attenuates nuclear translocation of AR but does not seem to reduce nuclear levels of AR in prostate cancer cells.¹³ Since these therapeutics are only partially effective, there is a definite need for new regimens that extend life expectation beyond several months.¹⁴ Unfortunately, there are no known therapies that decisively



Figure 1. Structures of clinically used AR antagonists enzalutamide and bicalutamide.

inhibit nuclear localized AR in CRPC cells.^{15–22} Herein, we investigate a novel series of small molecules identified by their ability to reduce the nuclear level of AR and, subsequently, AR activity.

Prior to the onset of our medicinal chemistry efforts, a highthroughput screening (HTS) campaign for antagonists of AR nuclear localization identified compounds **1** and **2** that also reduced levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a key marker for CRPC, in a PSA luciferase reporter assay performed in CRPC cell lines (Figure 2).²³ Both HTS hits demonstrated low micromolar potency with little to no cytotoxicity or activity in AR negative cell lines. Close structural analogues of 3-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-5-pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]imidazole (**2**) were previously found to have antifungal effects, which raised off-target concerns.²⁴ In contrast, 2-((isoxazol-4-ylmethyl)thio)-1-(4phenylpiperazin-1-yl)ethanone (**1**) had not yet been bio-

 Received:
 May 2, 2016

 Accepted:
 May 27, 2016



Figure 2. HTS hits that reduced PSA levels in a luciferase assay in CRPC cell lines. 23

logically annotated, and this structural novelty led us to prioritize this scaffold over 2. In an effort to determine a structure–activity relationship and identify more potent antagonists of CRPC, we designed and synthesized analogues of 1 in a series of structural modifications of subunits 1-5 (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Zones of planned structural modifications of 1.

Our goal was to probe five key moieties in compound 1: the benzene substitution pattern (zone 1), modifications at the piperazine (zone 2), carbonyl replacements (zone 3), a sulfuratom exchange in the 3-atom linker and the use of less flexible linkers (zone 4), and variations of the 3,5-dimethylisoxazole (3,5-DMI) ring (zone 5) (Figure 3).

In the synthesis of zone 1–3 analogues, we used the amide bond as the lynchpin disconnection. Compounds 5a-h were synthesized directly from commercially available carboxylic acid 3a and *N*-arylated piperazines 4a-h under amide coupling conditions with T3P (Scheme 1 and Table 1).²⁵ We also examined the diamine linker in zone 2 in more detail through the synthesis of analogues 5i-5m. For these target molecules, the requisite diamines 4i-m were prepared by a Buchwald– Hartwig cross-coupling of mono-Boc-protected diamines with bromoarenes.^{26,27} Reduction of amide 5b with lithium aluminum hydride led to diamine 6. For an initial set of zone

Scheme 1. Synthesis of DMI-Containing Analogues 5, 6, 12, and 13^a



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) T3P, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt, overnight, 52– 98%; (b) LiAlH₄, dry THF, 0 °C, 1 h, 42%; (c) NaIO₄, MeOH, H₂O, rt, 15 h, 68%; (d) *m*-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 15 h, 44%.

Table 1. Structures	of Amine Building Blocks 4 and
Analogues 5, 7–11,	and 16 (Schemes 1 and 2)

Analog	Amine 4	R	Х
5a		Ph	-
5b		(2-Me)Ph	-
5c		(3-Me)Ph	-
5d		(4-Me)Ph	-
5e	4e NR	(2-NC)Ph	-
5f	$4f \xrightarrow{HN n} R$	(2-F)Ph	-
5g	4g N R	1-Naphthyl	-
5h	$4h \xrightarrow{HN \frown N} R$	(2-MeO)Ph	-
5i	HN 4i R ^{/N}	(2-Me)Ph	-
5j	4j ^{HN} N-R	(2-Me)Ph	-
5k		(2-Me)Ph	-
51	HN N 41	Ph	-
5m		(3-Me)Ph	-
7	$4b \xrightarrow{HN N} R$	(2-Me)Ph	CH ₂ SCH ₂
8	$4b \xrightarrow{HN N} R$	(2-Me)Ph	$(CH_{2})_{3}$
9		(2-Me)Ph	SCH ₂
10		(2-Me)Ph	C=C
11		(2-Me)Ph	(E)-HC=CH
16		(2-Me)Ph	(E) - c - C_3H_4

4 analogues, thioether **5b** was also oxidized to sulfoxide **12** and sulfone **13** in good yields with sodium periodate and *m*-chloroperbenzoate, respectively (Scheme 1).

Additional zone 4 and zone 5 analogues with a phenyl group in place of the isoxazole ring were obtained from carboxylic acids 3b-3g (Scheme 2 and Table 1). Coupling to piperazine 4b provided amides 7–11 and 16 in high yields. Alkynyl amide 10 was further hydrogenated to *cis*-alkene 14 using a Lindlar 10



14

^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) T3P, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt, overnight, 62–96%; (b) Lindlar's catalyst, quinoline, H_2 , EtOAc, quant.; (c) $CrCl_2$, CH_2ICl , THF, reflux, overnight, 57%.

15

catalyst. The *cis*-cyclopropane **15** was prepared by a Simmons– Smith cyclopropanation of *cis*-alkene **14**,²⁸ whereas the *trans*cyclopropane **16** was obtained by coupling of commercially available *trans*-2-phenylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid **3g** with piperazine **4b**.

Further modifications in zones 3-4 were accomplished by acylation of piperazine **4b** with either 2-chloroacetyl chloride or chloromethanesulfonyl chloride to form the corresponding amide **17a** or sulfonamide **17b** in good yields (Scheme 3). S_N2

Scheme 3. Alkylation of 17a and 17b To Give Analogues 18a-18c and Conversion of Isocyanate 19 To Give Thioethers $20a/b^a$



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-chloroacetyl chloride, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, overnight, 99%; (b) chloromethanesulfonyl chloride, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, overnight, 85%; (c) NaH, THF, rt, 1–2 d, 4–99%; (d) DPPA, Et₃N, toluene, reflux, overnight, 17–65%.

reaction of 17a and 17b led to ether 18a, amine 18b, and thioether 18c. Starting with carboxylic acid 3a, urea 20a and carbamate 20b were obtained in moderate yields via a Curtius rearrangement and addition of the intermediate isocyanate 19 to amine 4b and alcohol 4n, respectively (Scheme 3).²⁹

A bridged bicyclic ring was introduced to add a strong conformational constraint in zone 2 (Scheme 4). Bocprotection of nortropinone hydrochloride **21** followed by enolization with NaHMDS and trapping of the enolate with *N*-

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Bridged Analogues 26a and 26b^a

Letter



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) Boc₂O, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt, overnight, 78%; (b) NaHMDS, PhNTf₂, THF, -78 °C to rt, 4 h, 78%; (c) Pd(PPh₃)₄, LiCl, Na₂CO₃, (2-Me)PhB(OH)₂, DME, H₂O, 60 °C, 3 h, 78%; (d) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 14 h, 90%; (e) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 16 h, quant.; (f) 2-chloroacetyl chloride, Et₃N, THF, rt, 22 h, 79%; (g) **25**, NaH, THF, rt, 1 d, 30%.

phenyltriflimide provided vinyl triflate **22** in good yield. A Suzuki coupling was used to install the *o*-tolyl group, and the styrene double bond was reduced with Pd/C to afford **23** as a mixture of diastereomers. Without separation, this mixture was deprotected and acylated with α -chloroacetyl chloride. Finally, the chloride was displaced using thiol **25** and sodium hydride to afford the thioether. Diastereomers **26a** and **26b** were separated by chromatography on SiO₂ to afford both analogues in modest yields.

The biological activity of analogs 5-16, 18, 20, and 26 was determined and compared to HTS hit 1 (EC₅₀ 7.3 μ M) and enzalutamide (EC₅₀ 1.1 μ M) using the Dual-Glo luciferase system (Promega, WI, USA) in the presence of 1 nM synthetic androgen R1881 in C4-2-PSA-rl cells, which were generated by stable cotransfection of C4-2 cells with a PSA promoter driven luciferase reporter vector (pPSA6.1) and a Renilla luciferase reporter vector as a control. Relative luciferase activity was calculated as the quotient of androgen-induced PSA-firefly/ Renilla luciferase activity. Since PSA promoter activity correlates to AR transcriptional activity, inhibition of AR will result in decreased PSA-luciferase activity. EC50 values were calculated using graphpad prism, and data represent the mean and SD of 2-6 independent experiments (Table 2). To verify that these compounds did not have undesirable electrophilic properties, their stability was tested in the presence of thiols. Neither thiophenol in CDCl₃ nor 2-mercaptoethanol in PBS resulted in any trapping products by ¹H NMR and LCMS analysis.

Simple modifications of the substituents on the benzene ring in zone 1 revealed that methyl groups in the 3- and 4-positions (5c, 5d) led to loss of activity, while the 2-methyl analogue 5b (EC₅₀ 14.5 μ M) retained about half of the activity of the 2,3dimethylated 1 (Table 2). Removal of the 2-methyl group in 5a deleted activity. In agreement with this trend in zone 1, the bulky 1-naphthyl substituent (5g) recovered activity (EC₅₀ 11.1 μ M). Analogues with electron-withdrawing substituents at the benzene 2-position (2-NC, 5e, and 2-F, 5f) also maintained or slightly increased activity (EC₅₀ 12-13 μ M); however, the electron-donating 2-methoxy substituted 5h was not tolerated and resulted in a complete loss of activity, possibly due to an increase in the pK_a of the aniline and/or an unfavorable increase in the π -electron density of the aromatic ring.³⁰ To potentially reduce the expected rapid metabolism of benzylic methyl groups by cytochrome P450 enzymes,³¹ we selected the minimally required substitution in zone 1, e.g., the 2-methyl

Table 2. In Vitro Activity of Analogues in the PSA LuciferaseAssay in C4-2-PSA-rl Cells

entry	compd	EC_{50} (μM)	entry	compd	EC_{50} (μ M)
1	1	$7.3 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$	19	10	20.3 ± 11.6^{a}
2	5a	>25 ^a	20	11	>25 ^a
3	5b	14.5 ± 3.2^{b}	21	12	>25 ^b
4	5c	>25 ^a	22	13	16.1 ± 3.3^{b}
5	5d	>25ª	23	14	12.7 ± 0.8^{a}
6	5e	12.0 ± 1.6^{b}	24	15	2.9 ± 1.0^{b}
7	5f	12.6 ± 7.7^{b}	25	16	>25 ^b
8	5g	11.1 ± 5.3^{b}	26	18a	>25 ^b
9	5h	>25 ^a	27	18b	>25 ^b
10	5i	18.4 ± 9.2^{b}	28	18c	7.2 ± 2.7^{c}
11	5j	11.1 ± 3.3^{a}	29	20a	>25 ^a
12	5k	3.1 ± 1.1^{a}	30	20b	>25 ^c
13	51	14.7 ± 4.4^{a}	31	26a	7.7 ± 1.6^{b}
14	5m	16.6 ± 4.8^{b}	32	26b	7.9 ± 2.8^{a}
15	6	10.8 ± 5.7^{b}	33	enzalutamide	1.1 ± 0.5^{e}
16	7	13.7 ± 0.8^{b}	34	27	2.7 ± 1.1^{d}
17	8	14.4 ± 3.7^{b}	35	(1 <i>S</i> ,2 <i>R</i>)- 2 7	$1.7 + 0.2^{a}$
18	9	>25ª	36	(1R,2S)- 2 7	15.2 ± 3.3^{a}
-		1.		1 .	

^{*a*}Assay repeats. n = 2. ^{*b*}n = 3. ^{*c*}n = 4. ^{*d*}n = 5. ^{*e*}n = 6. For assay description and complete structural information, please see the Supporting Information and Table S1.

group, for further structure-activity relationship (SAR) investigations.

The piperazine core (zone 2) was queried through substitutions with flexible as well as constrained acyclic and cyclic diamines. The flexible N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine linker in **Si** (EC₅₀ 18.4 μ M) and the 7-membered diazepane **Sj** (EC₅₀ 11.1 μ M) both dropped off in activity. The dimethylated piperazines **Sl** and **Sm** (EC₅₀ 15–17 μ M) were also less active than the initial hit. In contrast, the conformationally more highly constraint 2,6-dimethylpiperazine **Sk** was more active with an EC₅₀ of 3.1 μ M. Installment of an ethylene bridge and a carbon-linked (2-Me)Ph group decreased activity again since both diastereomers of the bicyclo[3.2.1] ring systems **26a** and **26b** showed an EC₅₀ of 8 μ M.

Reduction of amide **5b** to amine **6** resulted in a 1.3-fold increase in activity to an EC₅₀ of 10.8 μ M. Sulfonamide **18c** (EC₅₀ 7.2 μ M) was as active as the initial hit **1**, but urea **20a** and carbamate **20b** were inactive.

The replacement of the thioether linkage in zone 2 with an ether group abolished activity in **18a**. Substituting the thioether with the *N*-methylated amine in **18b** also abolished activity. In contrast, in an analogous system with a phenyl group in place of the isoxazole, both thioether 7 as well as the all-carbon chain containing **8** showed decreased yet consistent activity (EC₅₀ \approx 14 μ M).

In order to verify that the biological effect in the thioether series was not a result of S-oxidation in the cellular assay, common products of thioether oxidation, i.e., sulfoxide 12 and sulfone 13, were tested. While sulfone 13 retained some activity (EC₅₀ 16.1 μ M), sulfoxide 12 was inactive. Shortening the three-atom chain to afford the two-atom thioether-linked 9 also abolished activity. The rigidified alkyne 10 and the corresponding (*E*)-alkene 11 and its cyclopropane isostere 16 were also found to be essentially inactive. In contrast, we were pleasantly surprised to find that the (*Z*)-alkene 14 showed an EC₅₀ of 12.7 μ M and that the corresponding *cis*-fused cyclopropane isostere³² 15 was even more potent than analogue 1, showing

an EC₅₀ of 2.9 μ M (Table 2). More significantly, chiral resolution of the bis-halogenated cyclopropane 27 (EC₅₀ 2.7 μ M, entry 34) provided a more potent enantiomer (1*S*,2*R*)-27 (EC₅₀ 1.7 μ M, entry 35) and the ca. 10-fold less potent (1*R*,2*S*)-27 (EC₅₀ 15.2 μ M, entry 36), and supporting specific contact of this scaffold at a still to be defined AR binding site (Figure 4).



Figure 4. More potent enantiomer of cyclopropane 27.

In summary, 35 analogues were synthesized, and the resulting SAR evaluated 5 zones of modification in the starting hit, compound 1. We discovered several attributes that proved essential for activity. Zone 1 modifications showed that the ortho-substituent on the phenyl ring was important for activity. In zone 2, the sterically encumbered 2,6-dimethylpiperazine proved superior to flexible, unsubstituted, and bridged analogues. In zone 3, a carbonyl group was not required, and a sulfonamide and even the reduced amine were well tolerated. In zone 4, thioether oxidation reduced activity, and only the ciscyclopropane significantly improved the EC₅₀. Limited substitutions were performed in zone 5, but in general, analogues with a phenyl group were equipotent with their 3,5-dimethylisoxazole congeners (see, for example, 7 vs 5b). The *cis*-cyclopropane (1S,2R)-27 was found to be substantially equipotent to the commercial AR antagonist, enzalutamide. Compound (1S,2R)-27 is of particular interest in comparison to 1 due to the isosteric replacement of the thioether linker with the metabolically more stable cyclopropane, a reduction of the topological polar surface area (TPSA) from 49.6 to 23.6 $Å^2$, a reduction of the number of rotatable bonds from 3 to 2, and an improvement in the drug-likeness score from 6.3 to 8.0.³³ Further modifications of lead structure 27 based on these SAR results as well as *in vivo* tumor xenograft data will be reported in due course.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchem-lett.6b00186.

Methods for all assays, cell cultures, treatment conditions, and compound synthesis (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: wangz2@upmc.edu (Z.W.). *E-mail: pwipf@pitt.edu (P.W.).

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Department of Urology, University of Pittsburgh and the Johnson & Johnson Corporate Office of Science and Technology (COSAT) – University of Pittsburgh Translational Innovation Partnership Program. The authors thank Ms. Taber Lewis (University of Pittsburgh) for LCMS analyses, Dr. Marianne Sadar (University of British Columbia) for the PSA6.1--luc plasmid, and Dr. Leland W. K. Chung (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center) for the C4-2 cell line.

ABBREVIATIONS

AR, androgen receptor; 3,5-DMI, 3,5-dimethylisoxazole; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; HTS, highthroughput screen; MW, molecular weight; PSA, prostatespecific antigen; SAR, structure-activity relationship; SD, standard deviation

REFERENCES

(1) Bruchovsky, N.; Wilson, J. D. Discovery of the role of dihydrotestosterone in androgen action. *Steroids* **1999**, *64*, 753–759. (2) Huggins, C.; Hodges, C. V. Studies on prostatic cancer. I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. *Cancer Res.* **1941**, *1*, 293–297.

(3) Linja, M. J.; Visakorpi, T. Alterations of androgen receptor in prostate cancer. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2004, 92, 255–264.

(4) Zong, Y.; Goldstein, A. S. Adaptation or selection-mechanisms of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* **2013**, *10*, 90–98.

(5) Siegel, R.; Ma, J.; Zou, Z.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *Ca-Cancer J. Clin.* 2014, 64, 9–29.

(6) SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Prostate Cancer. http://seer.cancer.gov/ statfacts/html/prost.html.

(7) Waltering, K. K.; Urbanucci, A.; Visakorpi, T. Androgen receptor (AR) aberrations in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **2012**, *360*, 38–43.

(8) Haendler, B.; Cleve, A. Recent developments in antiandrogens and selective androgen receptor modulators. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **2012**, 352, 79–91.

(9) Chen, C. D.; Welsbie, D. S.; Tran, C.; Baek, S. H.; Chen, R.; Vessella, R.; Rosenfeld, M. G.; Sawyers, C. L. Molecular determinants of resistance to antiandrogen therapy. *Nat. Med.* **2004**, *10*, 33–39.

(10) Zegarra-Moro, O. L.; Schmidt, L. J.; Huang, H.; Tindall, D. J. Disruption of androgen receptor function inhibits proliferation of androgen-refractory prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **2002**, *62*, 1008–1013.

(11) Gregory, C. W.; Johnson, R. T.; Mohler, J. L.; French, F. S.; Wilson, E. M. Androgen receptor stabilization in recurrent prostate cancer is associated with hypersensitivity to low androgen. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 2892–2898.

(12) Rathkopf, D.; Scher, H. I. Androgen receptor antagonists in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer J.* **2013**, *19*, 43–49.

(13) Tran, C.; Ouk, S.; Clegg, N. J.; Chen, Y.; Watson, P. A.; Arora, V.; Wongvipat, J.; Smith-Jones, P. M.; Yoo, D.; Kwon, A.; Wasielewska, T.; Welsbie, D.; Chen, C. D.; Higano, C. S.; Beer, T. M.; Hung, D. T.; Scher, H. I.; Jung, M. E.; Sawyers, C. L. Development of a second-generation antiandrogen for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *Science* **2009**, *324*, 787–790.

(14) Ciccarese, C.; Santoni, M.; Brunelli, M.; Buti, S.; Modena, A.; Nabissi, M.; Artibani, W.; Martignoni, G.; Montironi, R.; Tortora, G.; Massari, F. AR-V7 and prostate cancer: The watershed for treatment selection? *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **2016**, *43*, 27–35.

(15) Ran, F.; Xing, H.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, D.; Li, P.; Zhao, G. Recent developments in androgen receptor antagonists. *Arch. Pharm.* **2015**, 348, 757–775.

(16) Yamamoto, S.; Kobayashi, H.; Kaku, T.; Aikawa, K.; Hara, T.; Yamaoka, M.; Kanzaki, N.; Hasuoka, A.; Baba, A.; Ito, M. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of 3-aryl-3-hydroxy-1-phenylpyrrolidine derivatives as novel androgen receptor antagonists. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21*, 70–83.

(17) Balog, A.; Rampulla, R.; Martin, G. S.; Krystek, S. R.; Attar, R.; Dell-John, J.; Dimarco, J. D.; Fairfax, D.; Gougoutas, J.; Holst, C. L.; Nation, A.; Rizzo, C.; Rossiter, L. M.; Schweizer, L.; Shan, W.; Spergel, S.; Spires, T.; Cornelius, G.; Gottardis, M.; Trainor, G.; Vite, G. D.; Salvati, M. E. Discovery of BMS-641988, a novel androgen receptor antagonist for the treatment of prostate cancer. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 908–912.

(18) Bradbury, R. H.; Acton, D. G.; Broadbent, N. L.; Brooks, A. N.; Carr, G. R.; Hatter, G.; Hayter, B. R.; Hill, K. J.; Howe, N. J.; Jones, R. D. O.; Jude, D.; Lamont, S. G.; Loddick, S. A.; Mcfarland, H. L.; Parveen, Z.; Rabow, A. A.; Sharma-Singh, G.; Stratton, N. C.; Thomason, A. G.; Trueman, D.; Walker, G. E.; Wells, S. L.; Wilson, J.; Wood, J. M. Discovery of AZD3514, a small-molecule androgen receptor downregulator for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 1945–1948.

(19) Guerrini, A.; Tesei, A.; Ferroni, C.; Paganelli, G.; Zamagni, A.; Carloni, S.; Di Donato, M.; Castoria, G.; Leonetti, C.; Porru, M.; De Cesare, M.; Zaffaroni, N.; Beretta, G. L.; Del Rio, A.; Varchi, G. A new avenue toward androgen receptor pan-antagonists: C2 Sterically hindered substitution of hydroxypropanamides. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 7263–7279.

(20) Njar, V. C. O.; Brodie, A. M. H. Discovery and development of galeterone (TOK-001 or VN/124–1) for the treatment of all stages of prostate cancer. *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 2077–2087.

(21) Clegg, N. J.; Wongvipat, J.; Joseph, J. D.; Tran, C.; Ouk, S.; Dilhas, A.; Chen, Y.; Grillot, K.; Bischoff, E. D.; Cai, L.; Aparicio, A.; Dorow, S.; Arora, V.; Shao, G.; Qian, J.; Zhao, H.; Yang, G.; Cao, C.; Sensintaffar, J.; Wasielewska, T.; Herbert, M. R.; Bonnefous, C.; Darimont, B.; Scher, H. I.; Smith-Jones, P.; Klang, M.; Smith, N. D.; De Stanchina, E.; Wu, N.; Ouerfelli, O.; Rix, P. J.; Heyman, R. A.; Jung, M. E.; Sawyers, C. L.; Hager, J. H. ARN-509: A novel antiandrogen for prostate cancer treatment. *Cancer Res.* **2012**, *72*, 1494–1503.

(22) Moilanen, A.-M.; Riikonen, R.; Oksala, R.; Ravanti, L.; Aho, E.; Wohlfahrt, G.; Nykanen, P. S.; Tormakangas, O. P.; Kallio, P. J.; Palvimo, J. J. Discovery of ODM-201, a new-generation androgen receptor inhibitor targeting resistance mechanisms to androgen signaling-directed prostate cancer therapies. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 12007.

(23) Johnston, P. A.; Nguyen, M. M.; Dar, J. A.; Ai, J.; Wang, Y.; Masoodi, K. Z.; Shun, T.; Shinde, S.; Camaro, D. P.; Hua, Y.; Huryn, D. M.; Wilson, G. M.; Lazo, J. S.; Nelson, J. B.; Wipf, P.; Wang, Z. Development and implementation of a high-throughput high-content screening assay to identify inhibitors of androgen receptor nuclear localization in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.* 2016, 14, 226–239.

(24) Demchenko, A. M.; Sinchenko, V. G.; Prodanchuk, N. G.; Kovtunenko, V. A.; Patratii, V. K.; Tyltin, A. K.; Babichev, F. S. Synthesis and antimycotic activity of 3-aryl-6,7-dihydro-5H-pyrrolo-[1,2-a]imidazoles. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **1987**, *21*, 789–791.

(25) Vishwanatha, T. M.; Panguluri, N. R.; Sureshbabu, V. V. Propanephosphonic acid anhydride (T3P)—A benign reagent for diverse applications inclusive of large-scale synthesis. *Synthesis* **2013**, 45, 1569–1601.

(26) Cabello-Sanchez, N.; Jean, L.; Maddaluno, J.; Lasne, M.-C.; Rouden, J. Palladium-mediated N-arylation of heterocyclic diamines: insights into the origin of an unusual chemoselectivity. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 2030–2039.

(27) Larsen, S. B.; Bang-Andersen, B.; Johansen, T. N.; Jørgensen, M. Palladium-catalyzed monoamination of dihalogenated benzenes. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 2938–2950.

(28) Concellón, J. M.; Rodríguez-Solla, H.; Méjica, C.; Blanco, E. G. Stereospecific cyclopropanation of highly substituted C–C double bonds promoted by CrCl₂. Stereoselective synthesis of cyclopropanecarboxamides and cyclopropyl ketones. *Org. Lett.* **200**7, *9*, 2981–2984.

(29) Bogen, S. L.; Pan, W.; Ruan, S.; Chen, K. X.; Arasappan, A.; Venkatraman, S.; Nair, L. G.; Sannigrahi, M.; Bennett, F. Inhibitors of hepatitis C virus NS3 protease. WO 2005/085275. (30) Morgenthaler, M.; Schweizer, E.; Hoffmann-Roder, A.; Benini, F.; Martin, R. E.; Jaeschke, G.; Wagner, B.; Fischer, H.; Bendels, S.; Zimmerli, D.; Schneider, J.; Diederich, F.; Kansy, M.; Muller, K. Predicting and tuning physicochemical properties in lead optimization: Amine basicities. *ChemMedChem* **2007**, *2*, 1100–1115.

(31) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. Hydrocarbon hydroxylation by cytochrome P450 enzymes. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *2*, 932–948.

(32) Hopkins, C. D.; Schmitz, J. C.; Chu, E.; Wipf, P. Total synthesis of (-)-CP₂-disorazole C₁. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 4088–4091.

(33) Drug-relevant properties were calculated with Instant JChem 15.8.31.0 (ChemAxon; http://www.chemaxon.com) and OSIRIS Property Explorer (http://www.organic-chemistry.org/prog/peo/).