

Pd-Catalyzed Arylation of Chlorotrifluoroethylene Using Arylboronic Acids


Tetsuya Yamamoto and Tetsu Yamakawa*

Catalysis Group, Sagami Chemical Research Institute, 2743-1 Hayakawa, Ayase, Kanagawa 252-1193, Japan

t_yamakawa@sagami.or.jp

Received May 22, 2012

ABSTRACT




The palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of chlorotrifluoroethylene and arylboronic acids proceeds in the presence of a base and H₂O to provide α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivatives in satisfactory yields.

α,β,β -Trifluorostyrene derivatives are important intermediates for functional materials such as proton exchange membranes¹ and liquid crystals.² In the past three decades, several processes for α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivative syntheses through the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of trifluorovinylzinc,³ tin,⁴ and boron⁵ reagents with aryl

halides have been developed (eq 1 in Scheme 1). Although these processes are superior to the classical ones in regards to the yields of the products,⁶ the raw materials for the

Scheme 1. Pd-Catalyzed Synthesis of α,β,β -Trifluorostyrene Derivatives



preparation of trifluorovinyl metal reagents are unsuitable for practical use: expensive and hazardous bromotrifluoroethylene for trifluorovinylzinc and tin and thermally unstable trifluorovinyl lithium for trifluorovinylboron. Very recently, Ogoshi and co-workers succeeded in the

(1) (a) Peckham, T. J.; Schmeisser, J.; Holdcroft, S. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 2848. (b) Hickner, M. A.; Ghassemi, H.; Kim, Y. S.; Einsla, B. R.; McGrath, G. E. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 4587. (c) Basura, V. I.; Chuy, C.; Beattie, P. D.; Holdcroft, S. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2001**, *501*, 77. (d) Stone, C.; Daynard, T. S.; Hu, L.-Q.; Mah, C.; Steck, A. E. *J. New Mater. Electrochem. Syst.* **2000**, *3*, 43. (e) Momose, T.; Kitazumi, T.; Ishigaki, I.; Okamoto, J. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1990**, *39*, 1221. (f) Nikitina, T. S. *Usp. Khim.* **1990**, *59*, 995. (g) Wodzki, R.; Narebska, A.; Ceynowa, J. *Angew. Makromol. Chem.* **1982**, *106*, 23.

(2) Yokokoji, O.; Miyajima, T.; Irisawa, J.; Shimizu, T.; Inoue, S. *Liq. Cryst.* **2009**, *37*, 799.

(3) (a) Raghavanpillai, A.; Burton, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 7083. (b) Anilkumar, R.; Burton, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2731. (c) Burton, D. J.; Yang, Z. Y.; Morken, P. A. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, 2993. (d) Ji, G.; Shi, W.; Guo, H.; Jiang, X. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **1992**, *3*, 791. (e) Heinze, P. L.; Burton, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2714. (f) Heinze, P. L.; Burton, D. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1986**, *31*, 115.

(4) (a) Sorokina, R. S.; Rybakova, L. F.; Kalinovskii, I. O.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1985**, 1647. (b) Sorokina, R. S.; Rybakova, L. F.; Kalinovskii, I. O.; Chernoplekova, V. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Zh. Org. Chim.* **1982**, *18*, 2458.

(5) Duric, S.; Schmidt, B. M.; Ninnemann, N. M.; Lentz, D.; Tzschucke, C. C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 437.


(6) (a) Anilkumar, R.; Burton, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 6661. (b) Sigalov, A. B.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1988**, 445. (c) McGrath, T. F.; Levine, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 4168. (d) Dixon, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1956**, *21*, 400. (e) Prober, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 968. (f) Cohen, S. G.; Wolosinski, H. T.; Scheuer, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 3439.

(7) Ohashi, M.; Kambara, T.; Hatanaka, T.; Saijo, H.; Doi, R.; Ogoshi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3256.

direct synthesis of α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivatives by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling using tetrafluoroethylene and arylzinc reagents (eq 2 in Scheme 1).⁷ Their report is of significance from the academic and practical points of view, because (i) it discusses the first example of the catalytic transformation of tetrafluoroethylene through a carbon–fluorine bond and (ii) tetrafluoroethylene is economically viable feedstock. Chlorotrifluoroethylene (CTFE) is considered to be an alternative readily available raw material for α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivative synthesis by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling. Herein we reported the Pd-catalyzed coupling of CTFE and arylboronic acid to obtain α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivatives.

When a mixture of CTFE, 2-naphthaleneboronic acid, PdCl₂(dppf), and Na₂CO₃ in 1,4-dioxane and H₂O were heated at 100 °C for 2 h, 2-(1,2,2-trifluorovinyl)-naphthalene was obtained in 78% isolated yield. Then, we examined the effect of the other base on this cross-coupling. The results are summarized in Table 1. When using K₂CO₃, the corresponding product **2a** was obtained in a good yield (entry 3), but Li₂CO₃ and Cs₂CO₃ were

Table 1. PdCl₂(dppf)-Catalyzed Coupling of CTFE and 2-Naphthaleneboronic Acid^a



entry	base	yield (%) ^b		
		2a	3a	4a
1	Na ₂ CO ₃	84 (78) ^c	3	7
2	Li ₂ CO ₃	32	1	2
3	K ₂ CO ₃	71	3	11
4	Cs ₂ CO ₃	39	18	19
5	K ₃ PO ₄	56	8	9
6	NaF	6	1	2
7	KF	73	2	8
8	CsF	73	8	9
9 ^d	Na ₂ CO ₃	21	0	2


^aThe reaction was conducted with PdCl₂(dppf) (0.01 mmol), **1a** (1.0 mmol), CTFE (4 mmol), and base (2.0 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) and H₂O (0.1 mL) at 100 °C for 2 h. ^bYields were determined by GC, based on the used amount of **1a**. ^cIsolated yield. ^dThe reaction was carried out in the absence of H₂O.

not effective for the reaction (entries 2 and 4). K₃PO₄ also afforded the product in a low yield (entry 5). While the use of NaF led to poor conversion of 2-naphthaleneboronic acid (entry 6), the reaction proceeded in good yields with other metal fluorides, KF and CsF (entries 7 and 8). Even though Na₂CO₃ exhibited the highest yield (entry 1), the yield reduced drastically in the absence of H₂O (entry 9).

(8) (a) Chai, D. I.; Lautens, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 3054. (b) Batey, R. A.; Quach, T. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 9099.

This is consistent with the general trend that the addition of water accelerates Pd-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.⁸ The formation of **3a** can be explained by the protodeborylation that occasionally occurs in the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.⁹ On the other hand, the mechanism of **4a** formation probably through aryl scrambling¹⁰ is now unclear. Since the suppression of these byproducts should bring about improvement of the yield of the desired product, further mechanistic investigation is necessary.

Table 2. Pd-Catalyzed Coupling of CTFE and 2-Naphthaleneboronic Acid^a




entry	Pd catalyst	yield (%) ^b		
		2a	3a	4a
1	PdCl ₂ (dppf)	84	3	7
2 ^c	Pd(dba) ₂ /dppf	76	1	4
3	PdCl ₂ (dppm)	62	4	9
4	PdCl ₂ (dppe)	76	1	6
5	PdCl ₂ (dppp)	74	3	8
6	PdCl ₂ (dppb)	82	3	4
7	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	67	2	10
8	PdCl ₂ (dtBpf)	23	5	26
9	PdCl ₂ (PCy ₃) ₂	59	1	15
10 ^d	Pd(dba) ₂ / P(<i>t</i> -Bu) ₃ ·HBF ₄	35	11	16
11	SPhos-palladacycle	20	2	6
12	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	2	1	2

^aThe reaction was conducted with Pd catalyst (0.01 mmol), **1a** (1.0 mmol), CTFE (4 mmol), and Na₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) and H₂O (0.1 mL) at 100 °C for 2 h. ^bYields were determined by GC based on the used amount of **1a**. ^c[dppf]/[Pd] = 1.0. ^d[P(*t*-Bu)₃·HBF₄]/[Pd] = 2.0.

Next, we surveyed various palladium complexes, and the results are summarized in Table 2. Of the Pd complexes tested, PdCl₂(dppf) showed the highest activity (entry 1). The combination of Pd(dba)₂ and dppf also provided a satisfactory yield (entry 2). The diphenylphosphinoalkanes, which generally work as a bidentate ligand, provided the moderate yields (entries 3–6). In particular, the yield obtained when using PdCl₂(dppb) was virtually equal

(9) Hardy, J. J. E.; Hubert, S.; Macquerrie, D. J.; Wilson, A. J. *Green Chem.* **2004**, *6*, 53.

(10) Wallow, T. I.; Novak, B. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5034.

Table 3. Pd-Catalyzed Arylation of CTFE Using Arylboronic Acids^a

entry	substrate	catalyst (mol %) / reaction time (h)			yield (%)			entry	substrate	catalyst (mol %) / reaction time (h)			yield (%)		
					2 ^b	3 ^c	4 ^c						2 ^b	3 ^c	4 ^c
1		1	2		87	1	4	10		2	2		65	1	2
2		1	2		84	1	3	11		1	2		80	6	3
3		1	2		79	2	na ^d	12		2	2		75	1	3
4		1	1		85	0	0	13		2	2		84	1	4
5		1	1		86	1	na ^d	14		2	2		86	2	4
6		0.5	2		71	3	6	15		1	2		75	5	5
7		0.5	2		70	2	2	16 ^e		2	2		76	8	3
8		1	2		70	1	3	17		3	2		77	8	8
9		1	2		72	2	7	18		3	2		72	12	3

^a The reaction was conducted with PdCl₂(dppf) (0.005–0.03 mmol), arylboronic acid **1b–s** (1.0 mmol), CTFE (4 mmol), and Na₂CO₃ (2.0 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) and H₂O (0.1 mL) at 100 °C. ^b Isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography was determined based on the amounts of arylboronic acids **1b–s**. ^c Yields were determined by GC based on the used amounts of arylboronic acid **1b–s**. ^d Not analyzed. ^e The reaction was conducted at 120 °C.

to that obtained with PdCl₂(dppf). PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, the most readily available Pd(II)-phosphine complex, also gave a moderate yield (entry 7). In contrast, the alkylphosphines

having a *tert*-butyl group or cyclohexyl group afforded the product in low yields (entries 8–11), indicating that the presence of phenyl groups on the phosphorus atom is

advantageous for this coupling. Nevertheless, Pd(PPh₃)₄ exhibited a lower activity than PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (entry 12).

The scope of various arylboronic acids in this coupling is summarized in Table 3. The results obtained when using *para*- and *meta*-substituted arylboronic acids are shown in entries 1–14. The reactions with substrates having various functional groups such as alkyl, trialkylsilyl, phenyl, and acyl groups smoothly proceeded to give the corresponding products in excellent yields (entries 1–5). Alkoxy substituted arylboronic acids gave the products in good yields even when 0.5 mol % of the catalyst (entries 6 and 7) was used. The chloro atom on the phenyl ring was unaffected during the reaction. Preparation of arylzinc reagents having a nitro or formyl group for the Negishi coupling using tetrafluoroethylene ought to be difficult, because corresponding arylmagnesium reagents should be prepared.⁷ In contrast, these groups can be tolerated in the synthesis of the corresponding arylboronic acids. Indeed, the substrates with nitro and formyl groups provided the desired products in good yields (entries 11–14). Sterically bulky arylboronic acids provided

the corresponding products in good yields, although 2–3 mol % of catalyst were required (entries 16–18).

In conclusion, we demonstrated the Pd-catalyzed arylation of CTFE *via* the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. The reaction gives ready access to various functionalized α,β,β -trifluorostyrene derivatives, regardless of the nature and position of functional groups. Further examinations of other functionalizations of CTFE and arylation of other fluorine-containing alkenyl halides¹¹ are underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Instrumental Analysis Laboratory, University of Toyama for the HRMS measurements. We are also grateful to Tosoh F-Tech Inc. for the generous gift of CTFE (chlorotrifluoroethylene). Technical assistance by Mr. Tomoyuki Morita (graduate student of Tokai University) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and spectral data for isolated products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(11) (a) Kobayashi, O.; Uraguchi, D.; Yamakawa, T. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2009**, *130*, 591. (b) Kobayashi, O.; Uraguchi, D.; Yamakawa, T. *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2009**, *302*, 7.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.