Cascade Cyclizations of Acyclic and Macrocyclic Alkynones: Studies toward the Synthesis of Phomactin A

Jennifer Ciesielski,[†] Vincent Gandon,[‡] and Alison J. Frontier^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, United States

[‡]Université Paris-sud, ICMMO (UMR CNRS 8182), Orsay 91405, France

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A study of the reactivity and diastereoselectivity of the Lewis acid promoted cascade cyclizations of both acyclic and macrocyclic alkynones is described. In these reactions, a β iodoallenolate intermediate is generated via conjugate addition of iodide to an alkynone followed by an intramolecular aldol reaction with a tethered aldehyde to afford a cyclohexenyl alcohol. The Lewis acid magnesium iodide (MgI₂) was found to promote irreversible ring closure, while cyclizations using BF₃·OEt₂ as promoter occurred reversibly. For both acyclic and macrocyclic alkynones, high diastereoselectivity was observed in the intramolecular aldol reaction. The MgI₂ protocol for cyclization was applied to the



synthesis of advanced intermediates relevant to the synthesis of phomactin natural products, during which a novel transannular cation–olefin cyclization was observed. DFT calculations were conducted to analyze the mechanism of this unusual MgI_2 -promoted process.

INTRODUCTION

Significant progress has been made in the development of new cyclizations and carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions initiated by the conjugate addition of halide nucleophiles to different unsaturated carbonyl systems.¹ The variant involving the addition of iodide to alkynone derivatives, which generates β -iodoallenolate intermediates, was first described by Kishi in 1986.² Since then, β -iodoallenolates have proven to be versatile nucleophilic intermediates in reactions with aldehydes,³ imines,⁴ oxiranes,⁵ and ketones.^{3a–d} Asymmetric reactions have also been achieved using chiral Lewis acids⁶ or chiral auxiliaries.⁷ We have developed two related cascade cyclizations, promoted by two different Lewis acids, involving β -iodoallenolates II (Scheme 1).⁸

We proposed that treatment of alkynones I with titanium tetrachloride $(TiCl_4)$ gave cyclohexenol products IV through chelated intermediates III, while treatment with boron trifluoride diethyl etherate $(BF_3 \cdot OEt_2)$ led to intermediates of type V, which have rotational freedom to undergo oxa-Michael ring closure to produce oxacycles of type VI.

These cascades are some of the only examples of *intramolecular* reactions of β -iodoallenolates that have been reported,⁹ despite their potential value as a method for the synthesis of highly functionalized ring systems. To effectively apply this reaction chemistry to problems in natural product synthesis, it will be important to develop an understanding of the factors governing diastereoselectivity in β -iodoallenolate cyclizations. In this paper, we assess diastereoselectivity and reversibility in the cyclizations of both acyclic and macrocyclic β -iodoallenolates using different Lewis acid promoters. We



have also applied this method to the synthesis of the ABD core of phomactin A and observed an unexpected transannular cyclization that we analyzed using DFT calculations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cascade Cyclization Strategy for the Synthesis of the ABD Core of Phomactin A. Over the past few years, we have sought to implement this cascade cyclization in the preparation of oxadecalin (1), which contains the ABD ring system of

 Received:
 April 12, 2013

 Published:
 May 31, 2013



phomactin A and appropriate handles for further functionalization (Scheme 2).^{8,10,11} The idea was to generate a β iodoallenolate intermediate from macrocyclic alkynone 2, which would undergo intramolecular aldol/oxa-Michael addition to deliver 1 via bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecane 3.

Macrocycle 2 was prepared as shown in Scheme 3.10



Intramolecular Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi Cr(II)/Ni(II)coupling¹² followed by MnO_2 oxidation gave enone **5Z** in two steps from iodoalkyne **4**, along with isomeric enone **5E** (3.6: 1 ratio of Z and E isomers). After chromatographic separation of the E- and Z-isomers, both could be desilylated using a HF–pyridine solution in tetrahydrofuran. Oxidation of

Scheme 4. β -Iodoallenolate Cyclization with Alkynone 2

each primary alcohol with the Ley–Griffith reagent¹³ afforded the alkynones 2 and 6, respectively (Scheme 3).

Attempts to cyclize macrocyclic alkynone 2 with the usual promoters (BF₃·OEt₂ and TiCl₄) were unsuccessful, producing complex mixtures of products. Since magnesium iodide (MgI₂) has been reported to promote β -iodoallenolate formation/aldol reaction,^{3g,i,9} we next tried cyclizing 2 using MgI₂ (1.3 equiv) in dichloromethane. The reaction did not produce either cyclohexenyl alcohol 3 or oxadecalin 1; instead, a 1:1 mixture of products was generated: cyclohexenyl alcohol 7 (isolated as a single diastereomer) and tricycles 8a/8b (isolated as a 2.7:1 mixture of *endo/exo* isomers; see Scheme 4, top).

We tried adding n-Bu₄NI (1.3–5 equiv) to the reaction mixture,⁸ in an attempt to favor the formation of phomactin skeleton 7 over the tricyclic system 8, but the ratio of 7 to 8 did not change. However, we were able to avoid the formation of tricycles 8 by changing the solvent: if the reaction was run in tetrahydrofuran instead of dichloromethane, cyclohexenyl alcohol 7 was produced as the sole product in 60% yield and as a single diastereomer (Scheme 4, bottom).

We converted the mixture of tricycles 8a (*endo*)/8b (*exo*) into *p*-nitrobenzoyl esters 9a (*endo*) /9b (*exo*), which enabled us to obtain X-ray crystal structures of both the *endo* and *exo* isomers.¹⁴ The stereochemistry of the tricyclic system is shown in Scheme 4.

Since we needed cyclohexenyl **3** to assess the strategy outlined in Scheme 2, we performed a standard Mitsunobu inversion²⁵ on cyclohexenyl alcohol 7, which furnished target **3** in 52% yield (Scheme 5). The oxa-Michael ring closure of **3** could be achieved under the standard conditions (BF₃·OEt₂ at low temperature)⁸ to afford target oxadecalin **1** in 20% yield.

To summarize, synthetic studies targeting phomactin revealed that macrocycles 2 and 3 exhibit unusual cyclization behavior. In particular, (1) MgI₂ was identified as a mild alternative to BF₃·OEt₂ and TiCl₄ and optimal for promoting the β -iodoallenolate cyclization of acid-sensitive alkynone 2; (2) the cyclization of 2 is highly diastereoselective; (3) tricycles 8 are produced unexpectedly from 2, through an unknown mechanism; and (4) the BF₃·OEt₂-promoted oxa-Michael ring closure of cyclohexenyl alcohol 3 is inefficient. We conducted further cyclization studies on both acyclic and macrocyclic



Scheme 5. Synthesis of Oxadecalin 1 Using BF₃·OEt₂ as Promoter







systems to improve our understanding of these four experimental observations.

MgI₂-Promoted Cyclizations of Acyclic and Macrocyclic Alkynones. Further experimentation with MgI₂ as a promoter indicated that cyclization results were comparable to experiments employing TiCl₄, producing cyclohexenols of type **IV** rather than oxadecalins of type **VI** (Scheme 1). Cyclization of **10** with TiCl₄ gives cyclohexenyl alcohol **11** in 82% yield (Table 1, entry 1), while MgI₂ produces **11** in 75% yield (entry

н	10	Reacti Condition	0n ons 0H 11 (R=l) 13 (R=Br)	+ -	X O O 12 (R=I) 14 (R=Br)
entry	Lewis acid	iodide	conditions	product	yield (%)
1	TiCl ₄	n-Bu ₄ NI	-78 to 0 °C, 2 h	11	82
2	MgI ₂		0 °C, 3 h	11	75
3	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	n-Bu ₄ NI	–40 to 0 $^\circ$ C, 3 h	12	77
4	MgBr ₂		0 $^{\circ}C$ to rt, 24 h	13	52 ^b
5	$BF_3{\cdot}OEt_2$	n-Bu ₄ NBr	–40 $^\circ C$ to rt, 7 h	14	46

Table 1. β -Haloallenolate Cyclizations^{*a*}

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: Alkynone (1.0 equiv), Lewis acid (1.3 equiv), and *n*-Bu₄NX (1.3 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.10 M) for the indicated time at the indicated temperature. ^{*b*}65% conversion.

2). The analogous cyclization using $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ produces 12 (entry 3). The observed reactivity is readily explained by chelation: like TiCl₄, MgI₂ is able to bind both oxygens of the aldol product (cf. III, Scheme 1), which prevents oxa-Michael ring closure.⁸

The two cyclization protocols were also successfully applied to the synthesis of β -bromocyclohexenyl alcohols. Cyclization of **10** using MgBr₂ as promoter generated **13** in moderate yield (entry 4).¹⁵ Treatment of **10** with BF₃·OEt₂/*n*-Bu₄NBr promoted the cascade cyclization to produce oxadecalin **14** in 46% yield (entry 5). In general, these reactions required longer reaction times and warmer temperatures compared to the cyclizations carried out with iodide as the nucleophile (cf. entry 2 vs 4 and entry 3 vs 5).

Finally, treatment of ketone **15** with MgI_2 produced **16** in 78% yield (eq 1), whereas $TiCl_4$ and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ were not competent promoters.¹⁶ This result further demonstrates that MgI_2 is a viable alternative to $TiCl_4$ and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ for acid-sensitive substrates. It is also convenient that the MgI_2 -promoted protocol does not require an external halide source (Table 1, entries 2 and 4, and eq 1).



In additional experiments on the macrocyclic phomactin system, we found that *E*-enone **6** could be cyclized upon treatment with MgI₂ in tetrahydrofuran, without isomerization of the α,β -unsaturated ketone. Cyclohexenyl alcohol **17** was obtained as a single diastereomer in 62% yield (eq 2).¹⁷ Importantly, compound **17** represents an alternative intermediate useful for synthesis of the phomactin skeleton, as it contains the relevant bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecane core.



Diastereoselectivity of the β -lodoallenolate Aldol Cyclization. To explain why the intramolecular aldol cyclization of alkynone 2 selectively produces diastereoisomer 7 rather than 3, it was helpful to perform a conformational analysis of β -iodoallenolate intermediates complexed with magnesium (Scheme 6). When macrocyclic alkynone 2 is exposed to MgI₂, 1,4-addition of iodide is expected to produce

Scheme 7. Synthesis of Alkynone 20



Scheme 8. Intramolecular Aldol Reaction of Alkynone 20



two β-iodoallenolate diastereoisomers (18 and 19; Scheme 6). Cyclization of 18 via a Zimmerman-Traxler transition state is predicted to produce the major diastereoisomer 7.^{18,19} In contrast, β-iodoallenolate 18 (*axial*) is not aligned to form the magnesium chelate, while chelation of β-iodoallenolate 19 would produce two boat-like complexes, which may not form within the rigid macrocyclic system. Cyclization of 18 (*equatorial*) would deliver the observed cyclohexenol 7. To account for the high isolated yield of 7, it is reasonable to propose that β-iodoallenolate isomers 18 and 19 can equilibrate via reversible 1,4-addition of iodide,²⁰ allowing selective cyclization via chelate 18 (*equatorial*).

Cyclization studies on acyclic alkynone **20** provided further insight on the diastereoselectivity and reversibility of the intramolecular aldol reactions of β -iodoallenolate intermediates. Alkynone **20** was prepared as shown in Scheme 7.

Selective monoprotection of the primary alcohol using *tert*butyldimethylchlorosilane provided compound **22** in good yield. Then, oxidation of the neopentyl alcohol with Dess-Martin periodinane²¹ followed by a one-carbon homologation using the Ohira-Bestmann reagent²² afforded desired alkyne **23**. Then, addition of the lithium acetylide to aldehyde **24**^{11g} and oxidation of the resulting allylic alcohol gave the desired ketone **25** in 61% yield over two steps. Deprotection followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol gave alkynone **20**.

Cyclization of **20** with MgI₂ in dichloromethane provided cyclohexenyl alcohols **27** and **28** in 77% yield as a 10:1 mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 8).²³ This result is consistent with the model in Scheme 6, which predicts preferential formation of **27** through a magnesium chelate analogous to **18** (*equatorial*). The flexibility of the acyclic system must allow the intramolecular aldol reaction to occur through one of the axial conformations as well, resulting in formation of minor diastereomer **28**.

When pure samples of cyclohexenyl alcohol **27** and **28**^{24,25} were treated with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ to promote the oxa-Michael reaction,²⁶ **27** afforded oxadecalin **29** in 90% yield, but the reaction of **28** did not produce any of the corresponding oxadecalin **30**. Instead, oxadecalin **29** was isolated in 30% yield (Scheme 9).





This result suggests that treatment of **28** with BF₃·OEt₂ can lead to the formation of **27** (with only moderate efficiency), via the corresponding β -iodoallenolate intermediates. The highyielding, diastereoselective oxa-Michael ring closure of **27** then produces **29** (Scheme 10). The fact that only one oxadecalin isomer was obtained (**29** and not **30**) indicates that cyclohexenol **28** must undergo retro-aldol reaction more readily than oxa-Michael ring closure.

In contrast, no reaction occurred upon treatment of either cyclohexenyl alcohol **28** or cyclohexenyl alcohol **3** (see Scheme 5) with MgI₂. Taken together, these results suggest that intramolecular aldol reactions of β -iodoallenolate intermediates

Scheme 10. Cyclization of 28 via Retro-Aldol Reaction Pathway



with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ can occur reversibly, while the analogous MgI_2 promoted cyclizations are irreversible.²⁰ Thus, the inability to achieve efficient oxa-Michael ring closure in both **28** and the phomactin system **3** (see Scheme 5) using $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ may be attributed to a competing retro-aldol reaction. Fortunately, we were able to identify two other methods for inducing the oxa-Michael addition of **3**. These results are described in the next section.

Synthesis of the ABD Ring System of Phomactin. To advance the synthesis of phomactin A, we explored alternative strategies for obtaining oxadecalin 1 from cyclohexenyl alcohol 3. Treatment with 10 mol % of AuCl₃ in dichloromethane at 0 °C effectively induced oxa-Michael addition, affording oxadecalin 1 in 50% yield,²⁶ or alternatively, exposure to *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TBSOTf) in the presence of 2,6-lutidine afforded silyl enol ether 31 in 54% yield (Scheme 11).¹⁰ This sequence is particularly advantageous

Scheme 11. Alternative Methods for Inducing Oxa-Michael Cyclization in Cyclohexenyl Alcohol 3



because the oxa-Michael addition occurs with simultaneous protection of the ketone, providing a flexible intermediate that can be functionalized in different ways.

Mechanism of Formation of Tricycles 8a and 8b: DFT studies. The Lewis acid-promoted cyclization of 2 in dichloromethane resulted in significant production of tricycles 8 (see Scheme 4). Different reaction pathways can be proposed to rationalize this outcome. One possibility involves a cationolefin cyclization cascade,²⁷ with concerted formation of two new bonds to generate intermediate 32, followed by elimination to produce tricycles 8 (Scheme 12). Alternatively, stepwise mechanisms can be invoked, although these would require the formation of a high energy intermediate such as strained allene 33 or vinyl cation 34 (Scheme 12).

We performed DFT calculations to assess the feasibility of these different reaction pathways.^{28,29} Although DFT is rarely used with magnesium,³⁰ it is the only reasonable computational method that can be used with such a large system. Because MgI₂ can decompose into several species in solution,³¹ we modeled multiple promoters: MgI₂, MgI₂·2THF, MgI⁺, MgI⁺. THF, and Mg²⁺. In each case, solvation corrections were obtained by the PCM method. We observed the systematic formation of a chelate as starting complex (see **B**, Table 2).

Its formation is weakly exothermic in CH₂Cl₂. In THF, it is moderately exothermic with MgI₂, MgI⁺, or Mg²⁺, appreciably exothermic with MgI+. THF, but strongly endothermic with MgI₂·2THF because of the steric strain.³² The cyclization of the chelate gave rise to the tricyclic core D in a concerted fashion (cf. intermediate 32 in Scheme 12) via transition state C. The formation of the two rings is asynchronous, as shown by the very distinct values between d1 and d2 in C (Table 2), suggesting that C is more similar to 33 than to 34^{33} Dissociation of the metallic fragment from D leads directly to the tricycle. In CH₂Cl₂, a reasonable free energy of activation was calculated with Mg²⁺. On the other hand, MgI⁺·THF gave rise to the lowest lying transition state in THF. All cyclizations were endothermic, but the decomplexation of the catalyst from D always proved exothermic. Overall, the cyclization of A into E liberates 26.6 kcal/mol of free energy. The subsequent isomerization of E into the observed product 8 presumably relieves strain in the tricyclic system.

Thus, DFT calculations support a concerted, Lewis acidcatalyzed cation—olefin cascade as the most reasonable reaction pathway for cyclization of **2** to **8**. To the best of our knowledge, this is a unique example of a reaction in which activation of an aldehyde triggers a tandem cyclization involving an electrondeficient alkyne and an alkene.³⁴ The transannular relationship of the alkyne and the alkene is probably an important factor. We did not make attempts to optimize the reaction to favor the formation of **8** over the desired target 7, but further experimentation is planned to further evaluate this interesting cyclization.

CONCLUSION

In summary, these studies provide new insight into the reactivity and diastereoselectivity of the Lewis acid promoted cyclizations of both acyclic and macrocyclic alkynones. Our experiments indicate that the 1,4-addition of iodide to an alkynone is a reversible process using either MgI₂ or BF₃·OEt₂ and generates a β -iodoallenolate intermediate. This intermediate can then undergo an intramolecular aldol reaction with a tethered aldehyde to afford a cyclohexenyl alcohol. We present evidence that MgI₂ promotes irreversible ring closure, while the analogous BF₃·OEt₂-promoted cyclization occurs reversibly. For both acyclic and macrocyclic alkynones, we found that the aldol reaction is highly diastereoselective. The MgI₂ protocol was employed in the synthesis of a tricycle corresponding to the ABD ring system of phomactin A. Finally, we examined an interesting transannular cyclization generated under the Lewis acidic conditions, and gained insight into the process using DFT calculations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere. Reagents were used as obtained

Scheme 12. Proposed Mechanisms for the Formation of Tricycles 8



Table 2. Computed Intermediates and Gibbs Free Energies after Solvation Correction (B3LYP/6-311G**[Mg,I]/6-31G*[Other Elements]//PCM; kcal/mol) Corresponding to the Formation of the Tricyclic Framework



		ΔG_{AB}		$[\Delta G_{ m AC}]^{\ddagger}$		$\Delta G_{ m AD}$			
entry	М	DCM	THF	DCM	THF	DCM	THF	d1 (Å)	d2 (Å)
1	MgI_2	-1.3	-2.8	25.0	24.2	18.2	17.6	1.68	1.95
2	MgI ₂ ·2THF		10.0		39.2		3.0	1.68	1.97
3	MgI ⁺	-1.3	-1.3	26.8	25.0	26.0	23.6	1.85	2.64
4	MgI⁺·THF		-15.3		8.9		0.2	1.66	2.12
5	Mg ²⁺	-0.5	-4.6	16.1	12.0	5.3	1.2	1.61	2.30

from commercial suppliers without further purification. ACS grade hexanes and ethyl acetate were used for column chromatography. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel 60 F254 glass-supported plates. Column chromatography was carried out on 60 Å silica gel (230–400 mesh). Visualization on thin-layer chromatography was done with a UV lamp followed by staining with either potassium permanganate/heat or *p*-anisaldehyde/heat. Infrared (IR) absorbance frequencies are given in cm⁻¹ at the peak

maximum. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using a time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer.

Spectroscopic Data. Structural assignment, including the identification of E/Z isomers and *cis/trans* isomers, was determined by either ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (at either 400 or 500 MHz and 100 or 125 MHz, respectively) and by NOE experiments and 2D COSY (when necessary) or an X-ray crystal structure. Chemical shifts are given in ppm, referenced to the residual proton resonance of the solvents (δ = 7.26 for CHCl₃, δ = 7.16 for C₆H₆) or to the residual

carbon resonance of the solvent (δ = 77.1 for CHCl₃, δ = 128.0 for C₆H₆). Coupling constants (*J*) are given in hertz (Hz). The terms m, s, d, and t refer to multiplet, singlet, doublet, and triplet. In all cases, unless otherwise noted, the major diastereomer is reported.

Experimental conditions and spectral data for the preparation of the following compounds have been reported previously: 10 and 15;⁸ 4 and 21.¹⁰ Experimental details and spectral data for other compounds previously studied in our laboratories (1, 2, 3, 5Z, 5E, 7, 12, 14, and 31)^{8,10} are provided below.

General Procedure for β -lodoallenolate Cyclizations Run with Mgl₂. Magnesium iodide (at the indicated equivalents) was added to a stirred solution of the alkynone (1.0 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.10 M) or THF (0.10 M) at 0 °C. The reaction was then carried out at the indicated temperature and time. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated Na₂S₂O₃ solution (2×) and brine (1×), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using different gradients of hexanes and ethyl acetate to afford the pure products.

General Procedure for β -lodoallenolate Cyclizations Run with BF₃·OEt₂. Tetra-*n*-butylammonium iodide (at the indicated equivalents) was added to a stirred solution of the alkynone (1.0 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.10 M) at -40 °C. Boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (1.3 equiv) was then added dropwise. The reaction was carried out at the indicated temperature and time. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated Na₂S₂O₃ solution (2×) and brine (1×), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using different gradients of hexanes and ethyl acetate to afford the pure products.

(22,6*E*,10*S*,11*R*)-13-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-10-(iodoethynyl)-3,7,10,11-tetramethyltrideca-2,6-dienal (4).¹⁰ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.94 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.77–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.66–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.62 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.32–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.05 (m, 2H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.96–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.48–1.40 (m, 1H), 1.32–1.23 (m, 1H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 12H), 0.09 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 190.5, 163.7, 137.4, 128.5, 122.0, 100.7, 61.8, 40.9, 37.5, 36.9, 35.5, 34.6, 32.5, 27.0, 25.9, 25.0, 22.5, 18.2, 16.2, 13.9, –5.3 (1 carbon is missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 2949, 2926, 2854, 1669, 1631.

(S,2Z,6E)-10-((R)-4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butan-2-yl)-3,7,10-trimethylcyclododeca-2,6-dien-11-ynone (5Z) and (S,2E,6E)-10-((R)-4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butan-2-yl)-3,7,10-trimethylcyclododeca-2,6-dien-11-ynone (5E). As described previously,¹⁰ iodoalkyne 4 (295 mg, 0.556 mmol) was diluted in 6.3 mL of tetrahydrofuran and slowly added, over 3 h, to a vigorously stirring solution of CrCl₂ (509 mg, 4.1 mmol) and NiCl₂ (0.07 mg, 0.055 mmol) in 44.8 mL of tetrahydrofuran. (Note: The tetrahydrofuran was thoroughly degassed (three times before each cyclization), and CrCl₂ was dried for at least 3 h at 180 °C under vacuum. All operations were carried out in the glovebox; the addition of the iodoalkyne was carried out in the atmosphere.) After approximately 3 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 10 mL of saturated NH₄Cl solution, extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, washed with NaS₂O₃ (2 \times 30 mL), H₂O (2 \times 30 mL), and brine (2 \times 30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate 95:5) to give the macrocycle (142 mg, 63%) as an unidentified mixture of diastereomers with a complicated ¹H NMR spectrum and was carried on to the next step without further purification.

The macrocycle (600 mg, 1.48 mmol), from above, was diluted in 15 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and MnO_2 (2.50 g, 28.73 mmol) was added and rt. After 2 days, the reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate 99:1) to give the Z-ketone

(302 mg, 69%, traces of the *E*-isomer are present, only the *Z*-isomer is reported) as a yellow oil and the *E*-ketone (85 mg, 19%) as a yellow oil. The geometry of the *Z*-isomer was confirmed by NOE analysis (see Supporting Information).

5Z. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.84 (s, 1H), 5.47–5.39 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.65–3.58 (m, 1H), 2.61–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.15 (m, 4H), 2.00–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.86–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.51–1.43 (m, 1H), 1.30–1.20 (m, 1H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 180.3, 152.4, 134.3, 128.2, 125.4, 103.0, 84.6, 61.5, 38.2, 36.7, 35.2, 35.0, 32.1, 31.8, 25.9, 25.4, 24.0, 22.6, 18.2, 15.6, 13.7, –5.3 (2C). IR (neat): 2947, 2928, 2366, 2335, 2193, 1654, 1633, 1604. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₅H₄₂O₂Si [M⁺] 402.2954, found 402.2951.

5E. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.11 (s, 1H), 5.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72–3.62 (m, 1H), 3.61–3.54 (m, 1H), 2.37–2.19 (m, 4H), 2.09 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 1.91–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.75–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.58–1.47 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.23–1.16 (m, 1H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 0.87 (s, 12H), 0.02 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 178.7, 147.6, 137.2, 132.3, 123.5, 106.6, 84.5, 61.4, 38.2, 37.6, 36.4, 35.3, 34.3, 33.1, 27.2, 25.9, 22.0, 18.2, 18.0, 14.8, 13.5, –5.3, –5.4. IR (neat): 2928, 2858, 2187, 1666, 1631, 1462, 1435, 1384, 1253, 1207, 1091. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₂₅H₄₂O₂Si [M⁺] 402.2954, found 402.2954.

(R)-3-((S,5Z,9E)-1,6,10-Trimethyl-4-oxocyclododeca-5,9dien-2-ynyl)butanal (2). As described previously,¹⁰ in a plastic reaction vessel, 5Z (209 mg, 519 mmol) was dissolved in 4.2 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 0.42 mL of pyridine and cooled to 0 °C. Then, HF-pyridine (~70% HF in ~30% pyridine, 0.51 mL, 0.561 mmol) was slowly added. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was diluted in 2 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 10 mL of saturated solution of NaHCO₃. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times$ 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 50 mL of saturated solution of NaHCO₃, 10 mL of saturated CuSO₄ solution, and 20 mL of brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the primary alcohol (146 mg, 98%, traces of the E-isomer are present, only the Z-isomer is reported) as a vellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 5.88 (s, 1H), 5.48 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.70-3.59 (m, 1H), 2.69-2.60 (m, 1H), 2.57-2.48 (m, 1H), 2.33-2.22 (m, 4H), 2.08-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.92 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.57-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.43-1.32 (m, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 180.3, 153.3, 134.2, 128.1, 125.5, 103.2, 84.7, 61.2, 38.2, 37.4, 35.4, 35.1, 32.0, 31.9, 25.4, 24.1, 21.6, 15.5, 13.8. IR (neat): 3658-3090, 2973, 2939, 2874, 2195, 1627, 1600, 1442, 1377, 1348, 1280, 1249. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₈O₂ [M⁺] 288.2089, found 288.2092.

The primary alcohol (227 mg, 0.788 mmol), from above, was stirred with 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide (138 mg, 1.18 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves in 7.6 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂. After 20 min at rt, tetra-npropylammonium perruthenate (14 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with 10 mL of saturated Na₂SO₃ solution, extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 20 mL), and washed with brine and and saturated CuSO₄ solution. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4 and filtered over Celite. The resulting residue was concentrated to afford the aldehyde 2 (129 mg, 73%, traces of the *E*-isomer are present, only the *Z*-isomer is reported) as a yellow oil. The aldehyde was immediately used in the next reaction. (This compound was not stable to silica gel chromatography but was sufficiently pure to use in the next step without further purification.) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.74 (s, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 5.41 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.84-2.75 (m, 1H), 2.54-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.34-2.24 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.14 (m, 4H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.79-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.59-1.44 (m, 2H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, I = 6.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.6, 179.7, 153.2, 134.0, 128.1, 125.7, 101.0, 85.1, 47.4, 37.6, 35.0, 32.3, 32.0, 25.4, 24.1, 21.8, 15.5, 14.5 (1 carbon is missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 2966, 2935, 2877, 2854, 2198, 1724, 1627, 1600, 1466, 1377, 1280, 1249.

(R)-3-((S,5E,9E)-1,6,10-Trimethyl-4-oxocyclododeca-5,9dien-2-yn-1-yl)butanal (6). In a plastic reaction vessel, ketone 5E (201 mg, 500 mmol) was dissolved in 4.0 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 0.40 mL of pyridine and cooled to 0 °C. Then, 0.10 mL of HFpyridine (~70% HF in ~30% pyridine) was slowly added. After 1 h, an additional 0.10 mL of HF-pyridine was added, and this process was repeated until completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC. (Note: If HF-pyridine is added rapidly or in one portion isomerization of the double bond will occur.) The reaction mixture was diluted in 2 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 10 mL of saturated solution of NaHCO₃. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times$ 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO3 solution, saturated CuSO4 solution, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate 80:20) to give the alcohol (137 mg, 95%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.09 (s, 1H), 5.10 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.72–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.52 (m, 1H), 2.58 (bs, 1H), 2.37-2.24 (m, 3H), 2.23-2.13 (m, 2H), 2.12-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.86 (m, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.72-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.50 (s, 1H), 1.47-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.29-1.19 (m, 1H), 1.09 (s, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 179.0, 148.2, 137.1, 132.1, 123.5, 106.6, 84.5, 61.1, 38.3, 37.6, 37.0, 35.3, 34.2, 33.1, 27.2, 21.5, 18.1, 14.8, 13.6. IR (neat): 3600-3045, 2974, 2931, 2858, 2719, 2191, 1730, 1675, 1637, 1450, 1343, 1275. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{29}O_2$ [M + H]⁺ 289.4244, found 289.4248.

The above alcohol (137 mg, 0.475 mmol) was stirred with 4methylmorpholine N-oxide (83 mg, 1.18 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves in 7.6 mL of dry CH2Cl2. After 20 min at rt, tetra-npropylammonium perruthenate (8.0 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. The reaction was guenched with 10 mL of saturated Na₂SO₃ solution and extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and saturated CuSO₄ solution, dried over MgSO₄, filtered over Celite, and concentrated to give the aldehyde 6 (81 mg, 60%) as a yellow oil. (This compound was not stable to silica gel chromatography but was sufficiently pure to use in the next step without further purification.) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.76 (s, 1H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 5.14 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (d, J = 13.8, 1H), 2.38-2.17 (m, 8H), 2.17-2.07 (m, 2H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.5, 178.4, 148.5, 136.1, 131.9, 123.8, 104.5, 85.1, 47.5, 37.8, 37.6, 34.6, 34.2, 33.5, 27.3, 21.4, 18.1, 14.8, 14.4. IR (neat): 2974, 2931, 2858, 2719, 2191, 1724, 1662, 1631, 1450, 1435, 1384, 1211. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₂ [M⁺] 287.1933, found 287.1937.

(3*Z*,7*E*,11*S*,12*R*,14*S*)-14-Hydroxy-15-iodo-4,8,11,12tetramethylbicyclo[9.3.1]pentadeca-1(15),3,7-trien-2-one (7). As described previously,¹⁰ compound 7 was prepared from alkynone 2 using the general protocol for the MgI₂-promoted cyclization. Yield: 35 mg, 60%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 6.39 (s, 1H), 5.18 (dd, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37– 4.32 (m, 1H), 2.65 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.40–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.10 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 2.02–1.97 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.55 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.30–1.21 (m, 1H), 1.11–1.07 (m, 1H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.70 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 200.5, 147.9, 142.5, 133.2, 128.7, 128.3, 125.7, 122.4, 70.4, 46.8, 36.8, 34.5, 33.5, 28.7, 24.8, 24.6, 21.8, 18.0, 17.4. IR (neat): 3631–3108, 2966, 2935, 2854, 1689, 1602, 1556, 1446, 1381. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₈IO₂ [M + H]⁺ 415.1134, found 415.1146. [α]²⁰_D: +82.9 (*c* 0.51, CHCl₃).

were washed with H_2O (1 × 4 mL), brine (1 × 4 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered over silica gel, and concentrated. The resulting residue was dissolved in 2 mL of methanol, and K₂CO₃ (46 mg, 0.338 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with 4 mL of 1 N HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with H_2O (1 × 4 mL) and brine (1 × 4 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate 98:2 to hexanes/ ethyl acetate 90:10 to hexanes/ethyl acetate 80:20) to afford the cyclohexenyl alcohol (31 mg, 52%) and the starting material (22 mg, 36%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.14 (s, 1H), 5.34-5.24 (m, 1H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 2.91–2.81 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.41 (t, J = 13.5, 1H), 2.31-2.17 (m, 3H), 2.04-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.96-1.86 (m, 2H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.80–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.51 (dd, J = 15.2, 3.2, 1H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 202.1, 145.8, 143.2, 136.4, 131.9, 128.8, 127.4, 67.2, 47.5, 36.4, 35.7, 34.6, 32.0, 27.8, 24.4, 23.5, 23.4, 18.0, 17.3. IR (neat): 3640-3189, 1639, 1592, 1450, 1380, 1298, 1249. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{27}IO_2$ [M + Na⁺] 437.0954, found 437.0954. $[\alpha]^{20}$: +298.7 (c 0.53, CHCl₂).

(1R,3R,3aS,6aR,Z)-1-Hydroxy-3,3a,6,9-tetramethyl-2,3,3a,4,7,8-hexahydro-1H-cycloocta[de]naphthalen-11(6aH)one (8a) and (3aS,4R,6R,11aR,Z)-6-Hydroxy-3a,4,9-trimethyl-1methylene-2,3,3a,4,5,6,11,11a-octahydro-1H-cycloocta[de]naphthalen-7(10H)-one (8b). Compounds 8a and 8b were prepared from alkynone 2 using the general protocol for the MgI₂ promoted cyclization. Compounds 8a (endo) and 8b (exo) were obtained in a 2.7:1 ratio. Yield: 10 mg, 23%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 80:20. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.32 (s, 0.4H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 5.65 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 0.4H), 4.89 (s, 0.4H), 4.80 (s, 0.4H), 3.46-3.39 (m, 0.4H), 3.28-3.18 (m, 1.4H), 3.17-3.10 (m, 1H), 2.68-2.46 (m, 1.1H), 2.38 (bs, 1H), 2.15-1.97 (m, 5.1H), 2.03 (s, 5.4H), 1.93-1.80 (m, 5.8H), 1.78 (s, 3.6H), 1.72-1.59 (m, 1.8 H), 0.96-0.92 (m, 5H), 0.88 (s, 1.2H), 0.08 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 196.5, 155.7, 155.4, 151.3, 150.9, 138.4, 137.7, 136.6, 132.8 (2C), 121.0, 110.0, 64.3, 44.0, 40.2, 40.0, 38.4, 36.5, 36.0, 35.1, 34.2, 33.7, 33.0, 32.3, 30.5, 30.1, 26.7, 26.3, 24.8, 21.6, 19.2, 17.4, 15.6, 15.4 (4 carbons are missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 3601-3202, 2950, 2923, 2872, 2815, 1730, 1636, 1603, 1554, 1484, 1452, 1435, 1376, 1298, 1258. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₂ [M⁺] 286.1927, found 286.1928.

(1R,3R,3aS,6aR,Z)-3,3a,6,9-Tetramethyl-11-oxo-2,3,3a,4,6a,7,8,11-octahydro-1H-cycloocta[de]naphthalen-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (9a) and (1R,3R,3aS,6aR,Z)-3,3a,9-Trimethyl-6methylene-11-oxo-2,3,3a,4,5,6,6a,7,8,11-decahydro-1Hcycloocta[de]naphthalen-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (9b). The 8a/8b mixture from above (10 mg, 0.035 mmol) was stirred with pnitrobenzoyl chloride (7 mg, 0.038 mmol) and pyridine (3.3 μ L, 0.038 mmol) in 0.10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at rt. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 2 mL of 1 N HCl, extracted with diethyl ether (3×5) mL), washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10 to 70:30) and then recrystallized from hexane to give p-nitrobenzoates 9a and 9b (8 mg, 53%; obtained in 2.4:1 ratio). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.21 (d, I = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 8.03 (d, I = 8.3 Hz, 6H), 6.24–6.16 (m, 6.2H), 5.61 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2.1H), 4.83 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 3.46-3.39 (m, 1H),3.35-3.28 (m, 2.2H), 3.27-3.20 (m, 1.1H), 3.15-3.09 (m, 2.2H), 2.63-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.46 (m, 1.1H), 2.24-2.15 (m, 2H), 2.15-2.09 (m, 3H), 2.09-2.01 (m, 4.3H), 1.99-1.96 (m, 9.1H), 1.92-1.80 (m, 12.1H), 1.74 (s, 1H), 0.92–0.86 (m, 12.2H), 0.80 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (9a (endo) is reported) 194.3, 163.6, 155.3, 153.0, 150.3, 132.3, 130.5, 123.4, 121.1, 70.4, 40.2, 39.7, 34.4, 33.9, 33.6, 32.2, 30.3, 26.4, 21.6, 21.6, 20.0, 15.2 (2 carbons are missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 2924, 2854, 1720, 1653, 1608, 1527, 1450, 1342, 1265, 1099, 1014. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₂₆H₂₉NO₅ $[M + Na^{+}]$ 458.1943, found 458.1933.

4,4,9-Trimethyl-7-oxodec-8-en-5-ynal (10).⁸ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.86 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 2.68 (t, J = 5 Hz, 2H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.84 (t, J = 5 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (s, 6H). ¹³C

NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.6, 176.7, 157.8, 126.2, 96.8, 83.6, 40.5, 34.4, 31.1, 28.3, 27.7, 21.1. IR (neat): 2970, 2924, 2854, 2206, 1724, 1651, 1608.

1-(6-Hydroxy-2-iodo-3,3-dimethylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-3methylbut-2-en-1-one (11). Compound **11** was prepared from alkynone **10** using the general protocol for the MgI₂-promoted cyclization. Yield: 526 mg, 82%. Eluent: hexanes:ethyl acetate, 80:20). All spectral data for **11** were in agreement with published data.⁸ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 6.27 (s, 1H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 2.06–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 197.5, 158.4, 147.3, 123.7, 118.8, 68.0, 39.1, 32.6, 31.5, 29.0, 27.8, 21.3. IR (neat): 3623–3095, 2962, 2930, 2860, 1664, 1603. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₉O₂I₁Na [M + Na⁺] 357.0321, found 357.0322.

5-Iodo-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-6,7,8,8a-tetrahydro-2*H***-chromen-4(3***H***)-one (12).** As previously described,⁸ compound 12 was prepared from alkynone 10 using the general protocol for the BF₃· OEt₂-promoted cyclization. Yield: 197 mg, 77%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.48–4.45 (m, 1H), 2.63 (d, *J* = 15 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, *J* = 15 Hz, 1H), 2.08–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.87–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.72–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.25 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 199.7, 140.9, 121.6, 74.8, 71.7, 53.3, 41.3, 33.9, 30.6, 27.9, 26.5, 24.6. IR (neat): 2967, 2929, 2866, 1701, 1574. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₉O₂I₁ [M⁺] 334.0424, found 334.0423.

1-(2-Bromo-6-hydroxy-3,3-dimethylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-3methylbut-2-en-1-one (13). Prepared from alkynone **10** using the general protocol for the MgI₂-promoted cyclization, except MgBr₂ was used, and the reaction was warmed to rt for 24 h. Yield: 41 mg, 52%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 80:20. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 6.30 (s, 1H), 4.33–4.30 (m, 1H), 2.69 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.81–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.26–1.18 (m, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 195.5, 156.3, 142.1, 135.8, 124.9, 68.2, 38.3, 34.0, 28.8, 27.6, 27.2, 26.9, 20.8. IR (neat): 3664–3140, 2966, 2935, 2866, 1666, 1608, 1442, 1381, 1238, 1168, 1067, 1041. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₉BrO₂ [M + Na⁺] 309.0466, found 309.0475.

5-Bromo-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-6,7,8,8a-tetrahydro-2*H***-chromen-4(3***H***)-one (14). As described previously,⁸ compound 14 was prepared from alkynone 10 using the general protocol for the BF₃. OEt₂-promoted cyclization. Yield: 35 mg, 46%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.45–4.42 (m, 1H), 2.59 (d,** *J* **= 15 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (d,** *J* **= 15 Hz, 1H), 2.05–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.3, 139.0, 135.3, 74.7, 71.6, 53.9, 40.3, 35.1, 30.8, 30.5, 26.9, 26.3, 24.5. IR (neat): 2970, 2943, 2866, 1701, 1593. HRMS (ESI-TOF):** *m/z* **calcd for C₁₃H₁₉O₂Br₁ [M⁺] 286.0563, found 286.0567.**

10-Methylundec-9-en-6-yne-2,8-dione (15).⁸ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.12 (s, 1H), 2.58 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.85–1.80 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 207.7, 176.6, 157.8, 125.9, 91.1, 83.7, 41.9, 30.1, 27.8, 21.5, 21.1, 18.3. IR (neat): 2915, 2205, 1712, 1650, 1607.

1-(6-Hydroxy-2-iodo-6-methylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-3-methylbut-2-en-1-one (16). Prepared from alkynone **15** using the general protocol for the MgI₂-promoted cyclization. Yield 40 mg, 78%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10. All spectral data for **16** were in agreement with published data.⁸ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.30 (s, 1H), 3.42 (bs, 1H), 2.79–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.92–1.91 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.1, 158.7, 149.6, 124.3, 100.1, 72.0, 41.5, 36.9, 28.5, 28.1, 21.7, 21.3. IR (neat): 3645, 3143, 2966, 2931, 2855, 1660, 1650, 1599. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for C₁₂H₁₇O₂INa [M + Na⁺] 343.0165, found 343.0166.

(3*E*,7*E*,11*S*,12*R*,14*S*)-14-Hydroxy-15-iodo-4,8,11,12tetramethylbicyclo[9.3.1]pentadeca-1(15),3,7-trien-2-one (17). Prepared from alkynone 6 using the general protocol for the MgI₂- promoted cyclization. Yield: 49 mg, 62%. Eluent: hexanes:ethyl acetate, 90:10. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 6.11 (s, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.44–4.40 (m, 1H), 3.23 (bs, 1H), 2.34–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.99–1.86 (m, 4H), 1.83–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.47–1.38 (m, 1H), 1.20–1.09 (m, 1H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.75 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 196.2, 151.7, 148.6, 133.9, 126.9, 125.6, 119.3, 70.0, 46.2, 38.7, 36.3, 34.2, 33.9, 30.3, 26.6, 23.6, 18.9, 17.6, 17.5. IR (neat): 3608–3084, 2966, 2928, 2877, 2858, 1689, 1627, 1435, 1381, 1225, 1053. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{27}IO_2$ [M + Na⁺] 437.0954, found 437.0953. [α]²⁰_D: –7.8 (c 0.25, CHCl₃).

(25,3*R*)-2,3-Dimethyl-2-vinylpentane-1,5-diol (21). As described previously,¹⁰ an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (5.5:1) at the tertiary center was obtained. The mixture was carried on to the next step, and the ratio remained constant through all subsequent transformations. The major diastereomer is reported in all cases. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.85 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.21–5.05 (m, 2H), 3.83–3.75 (m, 1H), 3.67–3.60 (m, 1H), 3.59–3.38 (m, 2H), 2.45 (bs, 2H), 1.86–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.27–1.16 (m, 1H), 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.7, 114.4, 68.5, 61.5, 44.8, 33.8, 33.3, 15.3, 14.7. IR (neat): 3721–3027, 2962, 2877, 1728, 1635, 1454, 1415, 1377. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m*/*z* calcd for C₉H₁₉O₂ [M + H]⁺ 159.1385, found 159.1387.

(2S,3R)-5-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-2,3-dimethyl-2-vinylpentan-1-ol (22). Diol 21 (4.2 g, 26.7 mmol) was diluted in 104 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and cooled to 0 °C, and imidazole (4.3 g, 66.7 mmol) was added. After 20 min, tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane (4.2 g, 26.9 mmol) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After 20 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with 30 mL of saturated NH₄Cl solution. The reaction mixture was then extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 50 mL), washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10) to give the monoprotected alcohol (5.7 g, 80%, the major diastereomer is reported). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.81 (dd, *J* = 17.7, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (d, J = 17.7, 1H), 3.74–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.46 (m, 2H), 3.42-3.35 (m, 1H), 1.94 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.9Hz, 1H), 1.77-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.15-1.04 (m, 1H), 0.90 (s, 12H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.06 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 144.1, 114.0, 68.8, 62.1, 44.8, 34.1, 33.5, 25.9, 18.3, 15.0, 14.9, -5.3, -5.4. IR (neat): 3577-3130, 2954, 2927, 2882, 2856. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₁₅H₃₃O₂Si [M + H]⁺ 273.2250, found 273.2253

tert-Butyl(((3R,4S)-4-ethynyl-3,4-dimethylhex-5-en-1-yl)oxy)dimethylsilane (23). Alcohol 22 (2.1 g, 7.7 mmol) was dissolved in 228 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and Dess–Martin periodinane²¹ (4.2 g, 10.0 mmol) was added. After 15 min, the reaction mixture was diluted with 50 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and quenched with 80 mL of a saturated NaHCO₃ solution and 80 mL of a saturated Na₂S₂O₃ solution. After the solution became clear, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered over a short pad of silica gel, and concentrated. The resulting residue was used in the next step without further purification.

The aldehyde (1.9 g, 1.0 mmol), from above, was stirred with K₂CO₃ (1.9 g, 14.0 mmol) in 32 mL of methanol. The Ohira-Bestmann reagent²² (2.0 g, 10.5 mmol) was added at 0 °C. After 25 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to rt. After 24 h, the mixture was quenched with 10 mL of NaHCO₃ and extracted with hexanes (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 97:3) to give the alkyne (1.8 g, 95% over two steps, the major diastereomer is reported) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.65 (dd, J =17.0, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dd, J = 17.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70-3.64 (m, 1H), 3.61-3.54 (m, 1H), 2.26 (s, 1H), 1.89-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.60-1.49 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.27-1.14 (m, 1H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 142.4, 113.7, 86.9, 71.9, 61.6, 43.1, 38.1, 35.4, 25.9, 25.7, 18.2, 14.4, -5.3, -5.4. IR (neat): 3311, 2954, 2929,

2857, 2386. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{30}OSi$ [M⁺] 266.2066, 266.2061.

(*E*)-3-Methyloct-2-en-6-ynal (24).^{11g} (*E*)-3-Methyloct-2-en-6yn-1-ol (1.0 g, 7.2 mmol) was diluted in 66 mL of CH₂Cl₂, MnO₂ (12.6 g, 145.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt. After 2 d, the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, filtered over Celite, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, 97:3) to give the aldehyde (800 mg, 80%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.02 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.43– 2.32 (m, 4H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.76 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 191.1, 161.7, 127.7, 77.0, 76.9, 39.4, 17.3, 16.8, 3.3. IR (neat): 2919, 2854, 1667, 1633, 1611. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for C₉H₁₂O [M⁺] 137.0602, found 137.0604.

 $(3\dot{R}, 4\ddot{S}, E)$ -1-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3,4,9-trimethyl-4vinyltetradeca-8-en-5,12-diyn-7-one (25). Alkyne 23 (1.0 g, 3.7 mmol) was diluted in 11 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran, and *n*butyllithium (1.8 M in Hexanes 2.3 mL, 4.1 mmol) was slowly added at -78 °C. After 30 min, aldehyde 24 (6.13 mg, 4.5 mmol) was slowly added in 6.6 mL of tetrahydrofuran. After 3 h, the mixture was quenched with 10 mL of saturated NH₄Cl solution and extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered over a short pad of silica gel, and concentrated. The resulting residue was used in the next step without further purification.

The alcohol, from above, was diluted in 22 mL of CH₂Cl₂, MnO₂ (4.3 g. 49.7 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt. After 2 h, the mixture was diluted with CH2Cl2, filtered over Celite, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 97:3) to give the ketone (924 mg, 61% over two steps, the major diastereomer is reported) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.16 (s, 1H), 5.76 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (dd, J = 17.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.72-3.62 (m, 1H), 3.62-3.53 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 4H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.90-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.72-1.60 (m, 1H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.28–1.17 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 176.7, 158.2, 141.2, 126.2, 114.4, 94.6, 86.8, 77.3, 76.7, 61.3, 43.5, 40.1, 38.3, 35.3, 25.8, 25.0, 19.4, 18.2, 17.2, 14.6, 3.3, -5.3, -5.4. IR (neat): 2951, 2927, 2855, 2206, 1654, 1651, 1608, 1384, 1360, 1255, 1220, 1125. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₂₅H₄₀O₂Si [M⁺] 400.2797, found 400.2806.

(3R,4S,E)-1-Hydroxy-3,4,9-trimethyl-4-vinyltetradeca-8-en-5,12-diyn-7-one (26). In a plastic reaction vessel, ketone 25 (220 mg, 550 mmol) was dissolved in 4.5 mL of tetrahydrofuran and 0.45 mL of pyridine and cooled to 0 °C. Then, HF-pyridine (~70% HF in ~30% pyridine, 0.54 mL, 0.594 mmol) was slowly added. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was diluted in 2 mL of ethyl acetate and quenched with 10 mL of saturated NaHCO3 solution. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated NaHCO3 solution, a saturated CuSO4 solution, brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the alcohol (154 mg, 98%, the major diastereomer is reported) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.72 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, I = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, I = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.81-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.68-3.60 (m, 1H), 2.42-2.35 (m, 4H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.99-1.89 (m, 1H), 1.81-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.76-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 176.7, 158.6, 141.1, 126.1, 114.6, 94.5, 61.0, 43.5, 40.1, 38.3, 35.3, 24.6, 19.5, 17.1, 14.5, 3.3 (3 carbons are missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 3678-3126, 2974, 2920, 2877, 2206, 1651, 1604, 1438, 1381, 1334, 1280, 1226, 1130. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}O_2$ [M + Na⁺] 309.1831, found 309.1834.

(3*R*,4*S*,*E*)-3,4,9-Trimethyl-7-oxo-4-vinyltetradeca-8-en-5,12diynal (20). Alcohol 26 (154 mg, 0.538 mmol) was stirred with 4methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (94 mg, 0.807 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves in 5.1 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 at rt. After 20 min, tetra-*n*propylammonium perruthenate (10 mg, 0.027 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na_2SO_3}$ solution, extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and a saturated CuSO₄ solution, dried over MgSO₄, filtered over Celite, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10) to give the aldehyde (101 mg, 66%, the major diastereomer is reported) as a yellow oil. (Note: Purification of alkynone 20 was rapid, and it was not allowed to remain on silica gel for extended periods of time.) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.71 (s, 1H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 5.67 (dd, J = 17.1, 10.2Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 17.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dd, J = 17.3, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.35–2.25 (m, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 201.5, 176.3, 159.0, 140.8, 126.0, 115.4, 92.4, 87.5, 47.6, 43.2, 40.1, 36.1, 24.8, 19.5, 17.2, 15.5, 3.4 (2 carbons are missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 2974, 2920, 2854, 2723, 2210, 1774, 1724, 1651, 1604, 1442, 1441, 1381, 1338, 1280, 1222. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₅O₂ [M + H]⁺ 285.1855, found 285.1865.

(*E*)-1-((3*S*,4*R*,6*S*)-6-Hydroxy-2-iodo-3,4-dimethyl-3-vinylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-3-methyloct-2-en-6-yn-1-one (27). Prepared from alkynone 20 using the general protocol for the MgI₂ promoted cyclization. Yield: 23 mg, 77%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 90:10. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.24 (s, 1H), 5.51 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.64– 4.57 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 5H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.06–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.89– 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 196.8, 159.0, 149.3, 145.8, 124.0, 114.9, 114.0, 77.6, 77.1, 70.2, 49.5, 40.4, 35.6, 35.4, 19.6, 18.0, 17.1, 16.6, 3.5. IR (neat): 3657–3126, 2966, 2920, 2874, 1666, 1608, 1446, 1411, 1377, 1320, 1176, 1141, 1060. HRMS (ESI-TOF): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₅IO₂ [M⁺] 412.0899, found 412.0906.

(E)-1-((3S,4R,6R)-6-Hydroxy-2-iodo-3,4-dimethyl-3-vinylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)-3-methyloct-2-en-6-yn-1-one (28). Cyclohexenyl alcohol 27 (30 mg, 0.072 mmol) was stirred with p-nitrobenzoic acid (60 mg, 0.363 mmol) and PPh_3 (95 mg, 0.363 mmol) in 0.72 mL of dry benzene. Then, diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD) (56 μ L, 0.363 mmol) was slowly added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After 3 h at rt, the reaction mixture was quenched with 4 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with H2O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered over a short pad of silica gel, and concentrated. The resulting residue was dissolved in 2.2 mL of methanol, and K₂CO₃ (19 mg, 0.144 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with 4 mL of saturated NaHCO₂ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate 98:2 to hexanes/ethyl acetate 90:10 to hexanes/ethyl acetate 80:20) to give the inverted cyclohexenyl alcohol (23 mg, 76% over two steps, the major isomer is reported). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₂): δ 6.33 (s, 1H), 5.63 (dd, J = 17.3, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, I = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (s, 1H), 2.83-2.67 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H),2.25 (s, 3H), 2.08 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 1.90-1.69 (m, 6H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 197.4, 159.8, 147.1, 145.6, 123.565, 118.1, 115.0, 77.5, 67.2, 49.7, 40.4, 34.2, 32.2, 19.7, 17.6, 17.0, 15.8, 3.5 (1 carbon is missing due to overlap). IR (neat): 3720-3098, 2924, 2874, 2854, 1735, 1666, 1604, 1446, 1373, 1238, 1165. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}IO_2$ [M⁺] 412.0899, found 412.0906.

(25,65,7*R*,8a5)-5-lodo-2,6,7-trimethyl-2-(pent-3-yn-1-yl)-6vinyl-6,7,8,8a-tetrahydro-2*H*-chromen-4(3*H*)-one (29). Prepared from cyclohexenyl alcohol 27 using the general protocol for the BF₃. OEt₂-promoted cyclization. Yield: 10 mg, 90%. Eluent: hexanes/ethyl acetate, 95:5. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.51 (dd, *J* = 17.3, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 1H), 4.51– 4.46 (m, 1H), 2.60 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.21–2.14 (m, 2H), 2.02–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.76 (s, 4H), 1.72–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 198.6, 145.2, 140.9, 119.8, 115.3, 78.3, 76.0, 75.9, 71.6, 52.5, 51.9, 36.4, 34.7, 33.0, 26.7, 18.1, 16.8, 12.8, 3.4. IR (neat): 2974, 2931, 2870, 1747,

1701, 1582, 1449, 1379, 1327, 1230, 1165. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C₁₉H₂₅IO₂ [M⁺] 412.0899, found 412.0906.

Oxadecalin (1). Cyclohexenyl alcohol 3 (20 mg, 0.048 mmol) was diluted in 0.48 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂. AuCl₃ (1.4 mg, 0.004 mmol) was then added at 0 °C, and the mixture was warmed to rt. After completion of the reaction by TLC, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, 99:1) to afford the oxadecalin (10 mg, 50%) as a pale yellow oil. All spectral data for 1 were in agreement with published data.¹⁰ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.51–5.44 (m, 1H), 4.16–4.11 (m, 1H), 3.06–2.94 (m, 1H), 2.78 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (d, J = 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.47-2.32 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.95 (m, 3H), 1.87–1.76 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.41 (m, 4H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 197.1, 143.5, 136.9, 130.5, 130.0, 76.1, 68.7, 48.7, 47.1, 41.6, 35.7, 35.0, 31.8, 29.7, 29.6, 26.1, 23.6, 23.5, 17.3. IR (neat): 2966, 2924, 2854, 1693, 1570, 1450, 1373, 1292, 1207, 1140, 1053. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_{27}IO_2$ [M⁺] 415.1134, found 415.1137. $[α]^{20}_{D}$: +200.4 (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃). **Tricycle 31.** As described previously,¹⁰ compound 3 (20 mg, 0.048)

mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of toluene at rt. Then, 2,6-lutidine (0.11 mL, 0.48 mmol) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TBSOTf) (0.05 mL, 0.48 mmol) were rapidly added to the reaction mixture. After 10 min, the reaction mixture was diluted in 2 mL of ethyl acetate, quenched with 0.5 mL of saturated NH4Cl solution, and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with H_2O (1 × 10 mL) and brine (1 × 10 mL), dried of MgSO4, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexanes/ethyl acetate 98:2) to give the tricycle (15 mg, 54%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 5.41 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 3.86 (t, J = 2.8Hz, 1H), 2.97-2.83 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.18 (m, 3H), 2.01-1.86 (m, 3H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 1.67-1.157 (m, 4H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.22 (s, 3H), 0.19 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.2, 133.5, 131.3, 129.0, 120.4, 112.2, 77.9, 70.6, 46.2, 41.9, 35.8, 35.5, 32.3, 27.8, 26.4, 26.3, 24.8, 22.5, 19.5, 18.7, 17.5, -4.1, -4.3. IR (neat): 2958, 2928, 1635, 1462, 1323, 1253, 1200, 1085. HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{42}IO_2Si$ [M + H]⁺ 529.1999, found 529.1990. $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$: +21.3 (c 0.37, CHCl₃).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Spectra (¹H and ¹³C) of all new compounds, DFT computational details, and X-ray crystallographic data for **9a** and **9b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: frontier@chem.rochester.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Institute of Health (NIGMS R01 GM079364) for funding this work. We are also grateful to Dr. Alice Bergmann (University of Buffalo) and Dr. Furong Sun (University of Illinois, Urbana–Champaign) for carrying out high-resolution mass spectroscopy and to Dr. William Brennessel (University of Rochester) for solving structures by X-ray crystallography. We thank Dr. Daniel P. Canterbury (University of Rochester) for helpful discussions. We used the computing facility of the CRIHAN (project 2006-013). Photo of the *Chionoecetes opilio* crab on the cover courtesy of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries.

REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Ressault, B.; Jaunet, A.; Geoffroy, P.; Goudedranche, S.; Miesch, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 366–369. (b) Yagi, K.; Turitani, T.; Shinokubo, Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3111–3114. (c) Douelle, F.; Capes, A. S.; Greaney, M. F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1931–1934.
 (d) Koseki, Y.; Fujino, K.; Takeshita, A.; Sato, H.; Nagasaka, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 1533–1539. (e) Nazef, N.; Davies, R. D. M.; Greaney, M. F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3720–3723.

(2) Kishi, Y.; Taniguchi, M.; Hino, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Nakagawa, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *39*, 4767–4770.

(3) (a) Lee, S. I.; Hwang, G. S.; Ryu, D. H. Synlett 2007, 59–62.
(b) Wei, H. X.; Gao, J. J.; Li, G.; Paré, P. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5677–5680. (c) Ayed, T. B.; Villieras, J.; Amri, H. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 805–809. (d) Zhang, C. M.; Lu, X. Y. Synthesis 1996, 586–588. (e) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Gupta, M. K.; Eeshwaraiah, B. Synthesis 2005, 57–60. (f) Deng, G.; Paré, P.; Hu, H.; Wei, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3510–3515. (g) Wei, H. X.; Hu, J.; Jasoni, R. L.; Li, G.; Paré, P. W. Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 2359–2363. (h) Wei, H.; Hu, J.; Purkiss, D. W.; Paré, P. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 949–952. (i) Sharma, V.; McLaughlin, M. L. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 327–331. (j) Lee, S. I.; Hwang, G. S.; Shin, S. C.; Lee, T. G.; Jo, R. H.; Ryu, D. H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5087–5089.

(4) (a) Li, G.; S. Banerjee, S.; Timmons, S.; Kattubonia, A. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 7151–7154. (b) Li, Q. J.; Shi, M.; Lyte, J. M.; Li, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 7699–7702.

(5) Kattuboina, A.; Kaur, P.; Timmons, C.; Li, G. Org. Lett. 2006, 13, 2771–2774.

(6) (a) Chen, D.; Guo, L.; Kotti, S.; Li, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1757–1762. (b) Senapati, B. H. G.; Lee, S.; Ryu, D. H. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4462–4465; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4398–4401. (c) Li, G.; Wei, H.; Phelp, B.; Purkiss, D.; Kim, S. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 823–826. (d) Chen, D.; Timmons, C.; Liu, J.; Headley, A.; Li, G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 3330–3335.

(7) (a) Wei, H. X.; Chen, D. J.; Xu, X.; Li, G.; Paré, P. W. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2003**, *14*, 971–974. (b) Xu, X.; Chen, D.; Wei, H.; Li, G.; Xiao, T. L.; Armstrong, D. W. *Chirality* **2003**, *15*, 139–142.

(8) Ciesielski, J.; Canterbury, D. P.; Frontier, A. J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4374–4377.

(9) Sloman, D.; Bacon, J. W.; Porco, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9952–9955.

(10) Ciesielski, J.; Cariou, K.; Frontier, A. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4082-4085.

(11) For recent reviews on total syntheses and approaches to the phomactins, see: (a) Goldring, W. P. D.; Pattenden, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 354. (b) Cole, K. P.; Hsung, R. P. ChemTracts 2003, 16, 811. For total syntheses of phomactin A, see: (c) Goldring, W. P. D.; Pattenden, G. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1736. (d) Tang, Y.; Cole, K. P.; Buchanan, G. S.; Li, G.; Hsung, R. P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1591. (e) Buchanan, G. S.; Cole, K. P.; Tang, Y.; Hsung, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7027. (f) Buchanan, G. S.; Cole, K. P.; Li, G.; Tang, Y.; You, L.; Hsung, R. P. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 10105. (g) Mohr, P. J.; Halcomb, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1712. For approaches to phomactin A, see: (h) Seth, P. P.; Totah, N. I. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2507. (i) Teng, D.; Wang, B.; Augatis, A. J.; Totah, N. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4605. (j) Chemler, S. R.; Iserloh, U.; Danishefsky, S. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2949. (k) Mi, B.; Maleczka, R. E. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1491. (1) Shapland, P. D. P.; Thomas, E. J. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4201 and references cited therein. (m) Schwartz, K. D.; White, J. D. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 248. (n) Huang, S.; Du, G.; Lee, C. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6534. (o) You, L. F.; Hsung, R. P.; Bedermann, A. A.; Kurdyumov, A. V.; Tang, Y.; Buchanan, G. S.; Cole, K. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2885.

(12) (a) Elliott, M. R.; Dhimane, A. L.; Hamon, L.; Malacria, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 155. (b) For a comprehensive review of this reaction, see: Fürstner, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 991.

(13) Ley, S.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W.; Marsden, S.; Jung, M. E. Synthesis **1994**, 639.

(14) See the Supporting Information.

(15) For examples of β-bromoallenolates, see: (a) Kataoka, T.; Kinoshita, H.; Kinoshita, S.; Iwamura, T.; Watanabe, S. Angew. Chem. **2000**, 112, 2448–2450; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 2358–2360. (b) Wei, H. X.; Jasoni, R. L.; Hu, J. L.; Li, G.; Paré, P. W. Tetrahedron **2004**, 60, 10233–10237.

(16) Cyclization of 15 to 16 could also be promoted using $AlCl_3$ (6.5 equiv, 75% yield, see ref 8.)

(17) The stereochemistry of the alcohol was assigned using NOE; see the Supporting Information.

(18) Zimmerman, H. E.; Traxler, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 1920–1923.

(19) For a related cyclization with a similar analysis of the stereochemical outcome, see ref 9.

(20) For additional examples regarding the reversibility of reactions involving β -iodoallenolate intermediates, see: Lee, S. I.; Hwang, G. S.; Shin, S. C.; Lee, T. G.; Jo, R. H.; Ryu, D. H. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5087–5089 and references cited therein.

(21) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155-4156.

(22) The Ohira–Bestmann reagent was prepared according to a literature procedure: Pietruszba, J.; Witt, A. *Synthesis* **2006**, 4266–4268.

(23) Similarly, cyclization of alkynone **20** with MgI_2 in tetrahydrofuran gave cyclohexenyl alcohols **27** and **28** in 60% yield as a 7:1 mixture of diastereomers.

(24) Cyclohexenyl alcohols **27** and **28** were easily separated via flash chromatography, or alternatively, **28** could be obtained in 76% yield from cyclohexenyl alcohol **27** using standard Mitsunobu conditions.

(25) For a comprehensive review of the Mitsunobu reaction, see: Swamy, K. C. K.; Kumar, N. N. B.; Balaraman, E.; Kumar, K. V. P. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 2551–2651.

(26) For a detailed study of BF₃·OEt₂-promoted and AuCl₃-catalyzed oxa-Michael addition reactions of related systems, see: Ciesielski, , J.; LeBœuf, , D.; Stern, , H. A.; Frontier, , A. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, 355, (in press).

(27) For examples of related cationic cyclizations involving alkynes, see: (a) Johnson, W. S. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1976**, *5*, 51–98. (b) Yoder, R. A.; Johnston, J. N. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 4730–4756. (c) Gilmore, K.; Alabugin, I. V. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 6513–6556.

(28) Computations were performed with the Gaussian '03 software package: Gaussian 03, Revision C.01: Frisch, , M. J.; Trucks, , G. W.; Schlegel, , H. B.; Scuseria, , G. E.; Robb, , M. A.; Cheeseman, , J. R.; Scalmani, , G.; Barone, , V.; Mennucci, , B.; Petersson, , G. A.; Nakatsuji, , H.; Caricato, , M.; Li, , X.; Hratchian, , H. P.; Izmaylov, , A. F.; Bloino, , J.; Zheng, , G.; Sonnenberg, , J. L.; Hada, , M.; Ehara, , M.; Toyota, , K.; Fukuda, , R.; Hasegawa, , J.; Ishida, , M.; Nakajima, , T.; Honda, , Y.; Kitao, , O.; Nakai, , H.; Vreven, , T.; Montgomery, , J. A., , Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, , K. N.; Staroverov, , V. N.; Kobayashi, , R.; Normand, , J.; Raghavachari, , K.; Rendell, , A.; Burant, , J. C.; Iyengar, , S. S.; Tomasi, , J.; Cossi, , M.; Rega, , N.; Millam, , J. M.; Klene, , M.; Knox, , J. E.; Cross, , J. B.; Bakken, , V.; Adamo, , C.; Jaramillo, , J.; Gomperts, , R.; Stratmann, , R. E.; Yazyev, , O.; Austin, , A. J.; Cammi, , R.; Pomelli, , C.; Ochterski, , J. W.; Martin, , R. L.; Morokuma, , K.; Zakrzewski, , V. G.; Voth, , G. A.; Salvador, , P.; Dannenberg, , J. J.; Dapprich, , S.; Daniels, , A. D.; Farkas, , Ö.; Foresman, , J. B.; Ortiz, , J. V.; Cioslowski, , J.; Fox, , D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2003,.

(29) Optimizations were carried out at the $B3LYP/6-311G^{**}[Mg,I]/6-31G^{**}[other elements] level. Solvation corrections for dichloromethane and THF were carried out using the PCM method (UFF radii). Only the solvent corrected free energies are presented (kcal/mol).$

(30) Chen, L.; Zhang, L.; Lv, J.; Cheng, J.; Luo, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8891-8895.

(31) (a) Ericson, A.; Persson, I. J. Organomet. Chem. **1987**, 326, 151– 158. (b) Wellmar, A.; Persson, I. J. Organomet. Chem. **1991**, 41, 143– 153. (c) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Twamley, B.; Khan, M. A. Inorg. Chem. **2001**, 40, 6004–6008.

(32) See the Supporting Information for the geometries.

(33) $[D+MgI^+]$ is the only intermediate with a structure closely related to 33. The distance between the central allene carbon and the aldehyde carbon is 2.54 Å, while the distance between the alkene carbon and the central allene carbon is 1.7 Å. However, dissociation of the metallic fragment directly leads to the cyclized product E.

(34) In light of these findings, a concerted pathway must also be considered as a possible mechanism for the cyclization of type I alkynones into type IV cyclohexenyl alcohols (Scheme 1). By analogy, this cascade could be initiated by activation of the aldehyde with Lewis acid, which would suffer attack by the electron-deficient alkynone and intermolecular trapping with iodide. Studies are underway to assess the validity of this alternative mechanism.