### Note

# Cobalt-Catalyzed Decarboxylative 2-Benzoylation of Oxazoles and Thiazoles with alpha-Oxocarboxylic Acids

Ke Yang, Xinyong Chen, Yuqi Wang, Wanqing Li, Adnan A. Kadi, Hoong-Kun Fun, Hao Sun, Yan Zhang, Guigen Li, and Hongjian Lu

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01450 • Publication Date (Web): 09 Oct 2015 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on October 9, 2015

### Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



The Journal of Organic Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Ke Yang,<sup>†,§</sup> Xinyong Chen,<sup>†,§</sup> Yuqi Wang,<sup>†</sup> Wanqing Li,<sup>†</sup> Adnan A. Kadi,<sup>‡</sup> Hoong-Kun Fun,<sup>‡</sup> Hao Sun,<sup>†,\*</sup> Yan Zhang,<sup>†,‡</sup> Guigen Li,<sup>†,\*</sup> and Hongjian Lu<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Institute of Chemistry & BioMedical Sciences, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering,

Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

## **Graphical Abstract**



Abstract Cobalt-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling of oxazoles and thiazoles with  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids was developed through an sp<sup>2</sup> C–H bond functionalization process. This work represents the first example of cobalt-catalyzed decarboxylative C–H bond functionalization, and provides an efficient mean of building some important bioactive heteroaryl ketone derivatives.

Transition-metal catalyzed C-H functionalization process allows simplification and abbreviation of synthetic procedures, and is one of the most powerful and facile methods with which to construct complex molecules in synthetic organic and medicinal chemistry.<sup>1</sup> Recently, cobalt-catalyzed C-H functionalization has met with success due to its economy, low toxicity and interesting reaction modes.<sup>2,3</sup> Many  $C-C^4$  and C-heteroatom<sup>5</sup> bond-forming reactions have been achieved by this method since the first example of cobalt-catalyzed chelation-assisted C-H functionalization process was reported by Murahashi.<sup>4x</sup> Due to their value in synthetic chemistry, C–C bond-forming reactions have attracted significant attention, and various reagents including N-tosylhydrazones, N-cyanosuccinimide, alkyl and aryl halides, sulfamates, aziridines, alkenes and alkynes have been utilized as coupling partners.<sup>2,4</sup> In the meanwhile, transition-metal catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions of (hetero)arenes with carboxylic acids through sp<sup>2</sup> C-H bond functionalization have also received attention because of the low cost, stability, and general availability of carboxylic acids, one of the coupling partners.<sup>6</sup> Since 2008,<sup>7m</sup> Pd complexes have been recognized as most efficient catalysts in decarboxylative C-H functionalization for the construction of versatile C-C bonds.<sup>7-9</sup> Other transitionmetal complexes, including Rh, Ag, Cu and Ni complexes have very recently emerged as efficient catalysts,<sup>10</sup> but no decarboxylative C-H functionalization processes through cobalt catalysis have been reported to date.

2-Substituted oxazoles and thiazoles, present in many medicinally active compounds with a diverse array of biological activities, medicines and functional materials.<sup>11</sup> Previous methods to direct synthesis of 2-substituted oxazoles and thiazoles relies primarily on transition-metal catalyzed direct C-2 functionalizations of oxazoles and thiazoles.<sup>4r,7h,10a,10b,12</sup> Although many transition-metal catalyzed direct C-2 functionalizations have been reported, the success of direct C-2 acylation is still rare.<sup>10a,12f,12m</sup> To continue our efforts on cobalt-catalyzed C–H functionalization<sup>5e,5j</sup> and metal-catalyzed decarboxylative acylation of oxazoles,<sup>10a</sup> we disclose the cobalt-catalyzed 2-benzoylation of oxazoles and thiazoles through an sp<sup>2</sup> C–H bond functionalization reaction. This

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

process represents the first example of decarboxylative cross-couplings *via* an  $sp^2$  C–H functionalization process with cobalt catalysis.

Initially, we examined the decarboxylative cross-coupling of benzoxazole (1a) and 2-oxo-2phenylacetic acid (2a) with CoCl<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 170 °C. After extensive screening of solvents, 3-fluorobenzotrifluoride (3-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>) was found to be optimal, and with this solvent, the desired product benzo[*d*]oxazol-2-yl(phenyl)-methanone (3a) was obtained in 72% yield (Table 1, entries 1-6). Subsequently, various cobalt catalysts were examined and we found that the reaction could be effectively catalyzed by a variety of cobalt(II) complexes, including Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, CoSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>, CoCO<sub>3</sub>· H<sub>2</sub>O and Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (entries 7-12). Cobalt(III) species, such as Co(acac)<sub>3</sub> and Co(bpy)<sub>3</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> were also found to catalyze the reaction, albeit in low yield (entries 13 and 14). These investigations identified Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O as the most efficient catalyst, which provided the desired product **3a** in 92% isolated yield. Additionally, we found that replacement of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> with other oxidants, such as K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, AgOAc or Ag<sub>2</sub>O, produced low yield of the desired product **3a** (entries 15-17). Finally, in the absence of the Co catalyst, only a trace amount of product **3a** was formed (entry 18).

**Table 1.** Optimization of reaction conditions.<sup>a</sup>

(		O │ cat. [Co], A ─ Ph solvent,	Additives	N Ph
	1a 2a	3	33	a
Entry	Co source (mol%)	Additives	Solvent	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	$Ag_2CO_3$	$C_6H_6$	30
2	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	$Ag_2CO_3$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	29
3	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$C_6H_5F$	29
4	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl	< 5
5	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	$Ag_2CO_3$	$C_6H_5CF_3$	60
6	CoCl <sub>2</sub>	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	72
7	$CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	70
8	$Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	45 <sup>°</sup>
9	CoCO <sub>3</sub> · H <sub>2</sub> O	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	61
10	$CoSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$	$Ag_2CO_3$	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	10

11	$Co(acac)_2$	$Ag_2CO_3$	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	18
12	Co(ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · 6H <sub>2</sub> O	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	3-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	<b>95(92)</b> <sup>d</sup>
13	$Co(acac)_3$	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	20
14	Co(bpy) <sub>3</sub> (ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$Ag_2CO_3$	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	25
15	$Co(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	$K_2S_2O_8$	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	9
16	$Co(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	AgOAc	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	< 5
17	$Co(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	Ag <sub>2</sub> O	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	23
18	-	$Ag_2CO_3$	$3-F-C_6H_4CF_3$	< 5

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.6 mmol), Co source (10 mol%), Additives (0.6 mmol), 2 mL of solvent, 170 °C, 24 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Yields are based on 1a, determined by crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR using dibromomethane as the internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup> 35% of **1a** isolated. <sup>*d*</sup> Isolated yield.

 Table 2. Scope of oxazole derivatives.<sup>a</sup>



#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: **1** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.6 mmol), Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mol%), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 eq), 3fluorobenzotrifluoride (2 mL), 170 °C, 24 h. Isolated yields. <sup>*b*</sup> 36 h.

**Table 3.** Scope of  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids.<sup>*a*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.6 mmol), Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mol%), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 eq), 3fluorobenzotrifluoride (2 mL), 170 °C, 24 h. Isolated yields.

With the optimum conditions defined, we explored the scope of the oxazole substrates. The current catalytic system proceeds smoothly to provide 2-acylated substituted oxazoles in good yield (**Table 2**). Both electron-donating (Me) and electron-withdrawing groups (Cl and Br) on the phenyl ring of benzoxazole are tolerated (**3b-g**), and this provides more options for further potential transformations. Furthermore, both mono- and di-substituted oxazoles were effective coupling partners, and the desired products were isolated in good yield (**3h-k**). Next, the substrate scope study of  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids was also examined. As shown in **Table 3**, *para-* or *meta-*substituted phenylglyoxylic acids, with either

an electron-donating or an electron-withdrawing group on the phenyl ring were good substrates in the coupling reaction (**31–3t**). In addition, we found that there were no desired products formed when *ortho*-chloro-substituted phenylglyoxylic acid, 4-methyl-2-oxopentanoic acid or 2-cyclopropyl-2-oxoacetic acid were used as the coupling reagents.

We also explored the substrate scope of thiazoles and  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids in the presence of cobalt catalysts. In the reaction of benzothiazole **4a** with 2-oxo-2-phenylacetic acid (**2a**) under standard conditions, 31% yield of the desired product **5a** was formed and 37% of **4a** was recovered. Obvious decomposition was observed, probably due to the oxidative property of Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O. Rescreening of the cobalt catalysts identified Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O as the optimal catalyst in the presence of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 3-fluorobenzotrifluoride at 170 °C (**Table 4**). Various  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids **2** and thiazoles **4** were well tolerated under these reaction conditions, providing the desired products in moderate to good yield (**5a-5i**).

**Table 4.** Scope of thiazoles and  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids.<sup>*a*</sup>



### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 4 (0.2 mmol), 2 (0.6 mmol), Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mol%), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 eq), 3-

fluorobenzotrifluoride (2 mL), 170 °C, 24 h. Isolated yields.









To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, some preliminary experiments were performed as depicted in Schemes 1 and 2. It was found that the addition of TEMPO resulted in the decreased yield of this reaction (**Scheme 1**, entries 1-4 ) and the formation of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl benzoate **6** (7% isolated yield) when 3 equivalent TEMPO was added (entry 4), suggesting that a radical mechanism may be implicated in the reaction. Intermolecular competition experiments between **1e** and **1f** revealed that the reaction can reach in proximity reaction rate with electron-deficient or electron-rich

arene as the substrate (Scheme 2). To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, especially the rate-determining step for the transformation, deuterium-labeling experiments were carried out (Schemes S1 and S2 in SI). Significant primary kinetic isotope effects were observed in a parallel experiment (Scheme S1). Meanwhile, the intermolecular H/D exchange between D-benzoxazole [D]-1a and 2-oxo-2-phenylacetic acid 2a was also observed (Scheme S2). These results suggest that the C-H bond cleavage of benzoxazoles is rate-limiting in the process. In addition, cobalt(III) compounds were found to be able to catalyze the reaction under the oxidative reaction conditions (entries 13 and 14, Table 1), which illustrates that Co(III) species may involve in the C-H bond cleavage step.

Although the mechanism of the reaction remains unclear, the following Co(III/IV/II) catalytic cycle (**Scheme 3**) has been proposed on the basis of the results above and previous reports.<sup>5b</sup> Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> may oxidize the Co<sup>II</sup> catalyst to the Co<sup>III</sup> species, which reacts with oxazole (**1**) in the presence of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to give the Co<sup>III</sup> intermediate **A**. Subsequent addition of the acyl radical species **B**, which could be formed by the oxidation of  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acid (**2**) in the presence of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>13</sup> may produce cobalt(IV) species **C**. Reductive elimination of the species **C** leads to the desired product **3** and regenerating the Co<sup>II</sup> catalyst thus completing the catalytic cycle. However, a catalytic cycle involving Co(II/III/I) oxidation states can not be excluded at the present stage.<sup>4, 5, 13</sup>

Scheme 3. A possible catalytic cycle



In summary, we have developed an efficient cobalt(II)-catalyzed direct decarboxylative 2benzoylation of oxazole and thiazole derivatives through an sp<sup>2</sup> C–H bond functionalization process. This transformation is the first example of a decarboxylative C–H functionalization reaction with cobalt catalysis, and provides an efficient complementary approach to important bioactive heteroaryl ketone derivatives.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General.** All the solvents and commercially available reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used directly. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on plates (silica gel) and visualized by fluorescence quenching under UV light. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200–300 Mesh) using a forced flow of 0.5–1.0 bar. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained on 400 MHz and 100 MHz spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data were reported as: chemical shift ( $\delta$  ppm), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), and integration. <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were reported in terms of chemical shift ( $\delta$  ppm), multiplicity, and coupling constant (Hz). Mass (HRMS) analysis was obtained using TOF LC/MS system with Electrospray Ionization (ESI).

Materials. Azoles (1a, 1b, 1e, 1f, 1j),  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids (2a) and thiazoles (4) were purchased from commercial sources and used directly (1c, 1d, 1g) were prepared from condensation of the corresponding 2-aminophenol and triethyl orthoformate according to the reported procedure.<sup>14</sup> Azoles(1h, 1i) were prepared from *p*-toluenesulfonylmethylisocyanide and the corresponding benzaldehyde in methanol according to the reported procedure.<sup>15</sup> Azoles(1k) were prepared from methyl 2-isocyanoacetate and the corresponding anhydride in THF according to the reported procedure.<sup>16</sup> Other  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acids were prepared from oxidation of corresponding methyl ketones with SeO<sub>2</sub> according to the reported procedure.<sup>17</sup>

General procedures for the synthesis of product 3. A 35 mL oven-dried pressure tube was charged with oxazoles 1 (0.2 mmol),  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acid 2 (0.6 mmol), Co(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O (7.3 mg, 0.02 mmol), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (165.4 mg, 0.6 mmol) and 3-F-PhCF<sub>3</sub> (2.0 mL). The tube was then sealed and stirred vigorously at 170 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (20 mL), filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether, v/v) to yield the desired products **3**.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(phenyl)methanone (3a)* : white solid, 41.0 mg, yield: 92% (known compound<sup>70,18</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.58 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77 – 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.63 – 7.58 (m, 3H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.7, 157.1, 150.4, 140.8, 135.0, 134.4, 131.03, 128.7, 128.5, 125. 8, 122.4, 111.9.

(6-Methylbenzo[d] oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (**3b**) : white solid, 29.4 mg, yield: 62% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 8. 0 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.6, 156.8, 150.8, 139.6, 138.7, 135.1, 134.2, 131.0, 128.6, 127.4, 121.8, 111.8,

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

22.2.

(6-Chlorobenzo[d] oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (3c) : white solid, 44.3 mg, yield: 86% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 – 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.2, 157.5, 150.6, 139.5, 134.8, 134.6, 134.4, 131.0, 128.7, 126.8, 123.0, 112.4.

(6-Bromobenzo[d] oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (3d) : white solid, 49.0 mg, yield: 81% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.57 – 8.55 (m, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.71 (m, 1H), 7.65 – 7.58 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.1, 157.3, 150.8, 139.9, 134.8, 134.5, 131.0, 129.5, 128.7, 123.4, 121.9, 115.3.

(5-Methylbenzo[d] oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (3e) : white solid, 25.0 mg, yield: 53% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.75 – 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.7, 157.3, 148.7, 141.0, 135.8, 135.1, 134.2, 131.0, 129.9, 128.6, 121.9, 111.2, 21.6.

(5-*Chlorobenzo[d] oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone* (*3f*) : white solid, 44.8 mg, yield: 87% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.57 – 8.55 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.2, 158.1, 149.0, 141.7, 134.7, 134.6, 131.3, 131.0, 128.9, 128.7, 122.1, 112.7.

(5-Bromobenzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (**3g**) : white solid, 55.0 mg, yield: 91% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.76 – 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.65 – 7.59 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 180.2, 157.8, 149.4, 142.2, 134.7, 134.6, 131.6, 131.0, 128.7, 125.2, 118.5, 113.2.

(5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (3h): white solid, 44.6 mg, yield: 80% (known compound<sup>18</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.50 – 8.47 (m, 2H), 7.81 – 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.66 (d, J = 7.2

Hz, 1H), 7.58 – 7.53(m, 3H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 178.6, 161.0, 156.6, 154.4, 135.5, 133.7, 130.7, 128.4, 127.1, 122.6, 119.4, 114.6, 55.6.

(5-(4-Bromophenyl)oxazol-2-yl)(phenyl)methanone (3i): white solid, 53.8 mg, yield: 82% (known compound<sup>19</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.49 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.71 – 7.64 (m, 4H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.7, 157.1, 153.2, 135.2, 133.9, 132.4, 130.8, 128.5, 126.8, 125.6, 124.3, 124.3.

*Ethyl 2-benzoyloxazole-5-carboxylate (3j)* : white solid, 30.0 mg, yield: 62% (known compound<sup>19</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.48 – 8.45 (m, 2H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.72 – 7.68 (m, 1H), 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 4.48 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.6, 158.2, 157.2, 144.1, 134.5, 134.5, 134.4, 130.9, 128.7, 62.3, 14.2.

*Methyl 2-benzoyl-5-phenyloxazole-4-carboxylate* (**3***k*) : yellow solid, 34.4 mg, yield: 56% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.52 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 – 7.55 (m, 5H), 4.02 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.6, 162.1, 157.5, 154.8, 134.7, 134.3, 131.5, 131.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 125.9, 52.7.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(p-tolyl)methanone (31)* : white solid, 29.4 mg, yield: 62% (known compound<sup>70</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J =8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 – 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.52 – 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  180.2, 157.3, 150.4, 145.6, 140.8, 132.5, 131.2, 129.4, 128.3, 125.7, 122.3, 111.9, 21.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone* (*3m*) : white solid, 31.9 mg, yield: 63% (known compound<sup>70</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.64 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 1H), 7.51 – 7.48 (m, 1H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.8, 164.7, 157.4, 150.3, 140.8, 133.6, 128.2, 128.0, 125.6, 122.2, 114.0, 111.8, 55.6.

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(4-fluorophenyl)methanone* (*3n*) : white solid, 37.0 mg, yield: 77% (known compound<sup>7o</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.72 – 8.67 (m, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.62 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 7.25 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  178.8, 166.6 (d, *J* = 256.0 Hz), 156.9, 150.4, 140.7, 134.0 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 131.4 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 128.6, 125.8, 122.4, 115.9 (d, *J* = 21.9 Hz), 111.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(4-chlorophenyl)methanone* (30) : white solid, 37.0 mg, yield: 72% (known compound<sup>19</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.60 – 8.56 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 – 7.55 (m, 3H), 7.53 – 7.49 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.1, 156.8, 150.4, 141.1, 140.7, 133.3, 132.5, 129.0, 128.7, 125.9, 122.4, 111.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(4-bromophenyl)methanone* (*3p*) : white solid, 40.5 mg, yield: 67% (known compound<sup>70</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.51 – 8.49 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 7.61 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.4, 156.8, 150.4, 140.7, 133.7, 132.5, 132.0, 130.0, 128.7, 125.9, 122.5, 111.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(3-fluorophenyl)methanone* (*3q*) : white solid, 39.0 mg, yield: 81% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.45 – 8.42 (m, 1H), 8.36 – 8.32 (m, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 – 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.54 – 7.50 (m, 1H), 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.1 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 162.6 (d, *J* = 246.0 Hz), 156.8, 150.5, 140.7, 136.8 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 130.3 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 128.7, 126.9 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 125.9, 122.5, 121.4 (d, *J* = 21.4 Hz), 117.8 (d, *J* = 23.5 Hz), 111.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(3-chlorophenyl)methanone* (*3r*) : white solid, 46.2 mg, yield: 90% (known compound<sup>19</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.59 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.54 – 8.51 (m, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.70 – 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.63 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.55 – 7.50 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.1, 156.7, 150.5, 140.7, 136.4, 134.9, 134.2, 130.9, 123.0, 129.2, 128.8,

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(3-bromophenyl)methanone (3s)* : white solid, 40.0 mg, yield: 80% (known compound<sup>18</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.73 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.59 – 8.57 (m, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.85 – 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62 – 7.58 (m, 1H), 7.54 – 7.46 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.0, 156.6, 150.5, 140.7, 137.1, 136.6, 133.8, 130.2, 129.7, 128.8, 125.9, 122.8, 122.6, 111.9.

*Benzo[d] oxazol-2-yl(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone* (*3t*) : white solid, 44.2 mg, yield: 76% (known compound<sup>7a</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.89 – 8.84 (m, 2H), 8.01 – 7.96 (m, 2H), 7.77 – 7.74 (m, 2H), 7.64 – 7.60 (m, 1H), 7.55 – 7.51 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.1, 156.6, 150.5, 140.7, 135.5, 134.3, 131.4 (q, *J* = 33.0 Hz), 130.6 (q, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 129.3, 128.9, 127.9 (q, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 126.0, 122.6, 123.7 (q, *J* = 274.0 Hz), 112.0.

General procedures for the synthesis of product 5. A 35 mL oven-dried pressure tube was charged with thiazoles 4 (0.2 mmol),  $\alpha$ -oxocarboxylic acid 2 (0.6 mmol), Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub> · 4H<sub>2</sub>O (7.6 mg, 0.04 mmol), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (165.4 mg, 0.6 mmol) and 3-F-PhCF<sub>3</sub> (2.0 mL). The tube was then sealed and stirred vigorously at 170 °C for 24h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (20 mL), filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (gradient eluent of 1% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether, v/v) to yield the desired products 5.

*Benzo[d] thiazol-2-yl(phenyl)methanone (5a)* : yellow solid, 28.7 mg, yield: 60% (known compound<sup>19</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.59 – 8.57 (m, 2H), 8.29 – 8.27 (m, 1H), 8.07 – 8.04 (m, 1H), 7.72 – 7.69 (m, 1H), 7.64 – 7.56 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 185.4, 167.1, 153.9, 137.0, 135.0, 134.0, 131.3, 128.6, 127.7, 127.0, 125.8, 122.2.

Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl(p-tolyl)methanone (5b) : yellow solid, m.p.: 89-90 °C, 21.8 mg, yield: 43% <sup>1</sup>H

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.50 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  185.0, 167.5, 153.9, 145.0, 137.0, 132.4, 131.4, 129.3, 127.5, 126.9, 125.7, 122.2, 21.9. IR (neat) v 3004, 2916, 1637, 1566, 1318, 1273, 1183, 1116, 889, 833, 764, 705 cm<sup>-1</sup> HRMS (ESI, m/z): calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>NOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 254.0634, found: 254.0628.

*Benzo[d] thiazol-2-yl(4-chlorophenyl)methanone* (*5c*) : yellow solid, m.p.: 91-92 °C, 27.4 mg, yield: 50%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.60 – 8.57 (m, 2H), 8.28 – 8.26 (m, 1H), 8.06 – 8.04 (m, 1H), 7.65 – 7.56 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  184.1, 166.8, 153.8, 140.6, 137.1, 133.3, 132.7, 128.9, 127.8, 127.1, 125.8, 122.2. IR (neat) *v* 3005, 2316, 1638, 1585, 1320, 1275, 1175, 1117, 891, 835, 764, 708 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI, *m/z*): calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>CINOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 274.0088, found: 274.0077.

*Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl(4-bromophenyl)methanone* (*5d*) : yellow solid, m.p.: 90-91 °C, 26.0 mg, yield: 41%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.49 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.65 – 7.57 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  184.3, 166.8, 153.8, 137.1, 133.7, 132.8, 131.9, 129.5, 127.8, 127.1, 125.8, 122.2. IR (neat) *v* 3010, 2987, 1639, 1581, 1394, 1275, 1173, 1115, 891, 835, 749, 710 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI, *m/z*): calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>BrNOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 317.9583, found: 317.9568.

*Benzo[d] thiazol-2-yl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (5e)* : yellow solid, m.p.: 95-96 °C, 42.3 mg, yield: 69%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.59 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  184.6, 166.3, 153.8, 137.8, 137.1, 134.9 (q, J = 32.7 Hz), 131.6, 128.1, 127.2, 125.9, 125.56 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 126.3 (q, J = 272.0 Hz), 122.3. IR (neat)  $\nu$  3061, 3004, 1655, 1486, 1320, 1275, 1170, 1154, 893, 852, 715, 706 cm<sup>-1</sup>.HRMS (ESI, *m/z*): calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 308.0351, found: 308.0337.

(5-Chlorobenzo[d] thiazol-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (5f) : yellow solid, m.p.: 135-136

<sup>o</sup>C, 38.4 mg, yield: 57%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  184.3, 163.7, 160.1, 148.5, 139.3, 138.1, 134.8 (q, J = 32.2 Hz), 131.4, 126.6, 125.4 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.7 (q, J = 274.2 Hz), 118.0, 103.4, 55.9. IR (neat) v 3005, 2989, 1646, 1538, 1327, 1275, 1169, 1118, 897, 858, 763, 705 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI, m/z): calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 338.0457, found: 338.0443.

(6-Bromobenzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (**5g**) : yellow solid, m.p.: 104-105 °C, 41.0 mg, yield: 60%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  184.1, 168.0, 154.5, 137.5, 135.3, 135.1 (q, J= 32.8 Hz), 133.3, 131.6, 128.7, 125.5 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 125.4, 123.1, 123.6 (q, J = 271.0 Hz). IR (neat)  $\nu$  = 3005, 2989, 1650, 1583, 1325, 1275, 1170, 1129, 892, 839, 765, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI, m/z): calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>8</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 341.9962, found: 341.9948.

(6-Methoxybenzo[d] thiazol-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (5h) : yellow solid, m.p.: 97-99 <sup>o</sup>C, 54.8 mg, yield: 71%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, J= 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 184. 1, 166.7, 152.6, 138.6, 137.5, 135.0 (q, J = 32.7 Hz), 131.5, 131.0, 126.9, 125.5 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.6 (q, J = 272.3 Hz), 124.9, 122.5. IR (neat) v 3005, 2989, 1643, 1495, 1325, 1275, 1174, 1110, 865, 830, 765, 704 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI, m/z): calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>8</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 385.9457, found: 385.9440.

(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (5i) : yellow oil, 33.6 mg, yield: 59%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  182.9, 161.7, 152.2, 138.4, 136.9, 134.3 (q, J = 32.7 Hz), 131.3, 125.2 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.7 (q, J = 271.0 Hz), 15.1, 12.0. IR (neat) v3002, 2913, 1623, 1564, 1324, 1260, 1171, 1115, 918, 806, 750, 702 cm<sup>-1</sup>.HRMS (ESI, m/z): calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>10</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NOS (M+H)<sup>+</sup>:

 286.0508, found: 286.0513.

2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl benzoate (6) : white soild, 10.9 mg, yield: 7 % (3eq.TEMPO for 1h). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.16 – 8.04 (m, 2H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.89 – 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.30 (s, 6H), 1.15 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.4, 132.8, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 60.4, 39.1, 32.0, 20.9, 17.0. HRMS (ESI, *m/z*): calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup>: 262.1802, found: 262.1788.

# **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

**Supporting Information.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the desired product. Deuterium labeling studies. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

# **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

### **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: haosun@nju.edu.cn,

\*E-mail: guigenli@nju.edu.cn,

\*E-mail: hongjianlu@nju.edu.cn

### Author contributions

<sup>§</sup> These individuals contributed equally to this research.

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21332005, 21372115, 21472085), the Ph.D. Programs Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (Grant 20130091120046), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2012012, BK20131267), Qing Lan Project, and the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding the work through the research group project No. RGP VPP-207.

## REFERENCES

(1) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Su, B.; Cao, Z.; Shi, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 886-896. (b) Ackermann, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 281-295. (c) Corbet, M.; De Campo, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9896-9898. (d) Rouquet, G.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11726-11743. (e) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788-802. (f) White, M. C. Science 2012, 335, 807-809. (g) Colby, D. A.; Tsai, A. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 814-825. (h) Sun, C.; Li, B.; Shi, Z. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293-1314. (i) McMurray, L.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1885-1898. (j) Gutekunst, W. R.; Baran, P. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1976-1991. (k) Wencel-Delord, J.; Droge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4740-4761. (1) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5068-5083. (m) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215-1292. (n) Hopkinson, M. N.; Gee, A. D.; Gouverneur, V. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 8248-8262. (o) Xu, L.; Li, B.; Yang, Z.; Shi, Z. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 712-733. (p) Knappke, C. E. I.; Wangelin, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3568-3570. (q) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147-1169. (r) Ackermann, L. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4866-4877. (s) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 624-655.

(2) (a) Cai, X.; Xie, B. Curr. Org. Chem. 2015, 19, 121-150. (b) Hyster, T. K. Catal. Lett. 2015, 145,

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

458-467. (c) Tilly, D.; Dayaker, G.; Bachu, P. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 2756-2777. (d) Yoshikai, N. J. Syn. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2014, 72, 1198-1206. (e) Yoshikai, N. B. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 843-857. (f) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208-1219. (g) Ackermann, L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8948-8954. (h) Yoshikai, N. Synlett 2011, 1047-1051. (i) Ulkarni, A. A.; Daugulis, O. Synthesis 2009, 4087-4109.

(3) For selected reviews, see: (a) Pellissier, H.; Clavier, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2775-2823. (b) Jahn, U. Radicals in Synthesis III 2012, 320, 191. Vol. 320 (Eds.: Gansäuer, A.; HeinrichM. R.), Springer, Heidellberg, 2012, pp. 191-322. (c) Lu, H.; Zhang, X. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1899-1909. (d) Intrieri, D.; Caselli, A.; Gallo, E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 5071-5074. (e) Cahiez, G.; Moyeux, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435-1462. (f) Hess, W.; Treutwein, J.; Hilt, G. Synthesis 2008, 3537-3562.

(4) For selected recent examples, see: (a) Zhao, D.; Kim, J. H.; Stegemann, L.; Strassert, C. A.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4508-4511. (b) Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 490-498. (c) Fallon, B. J.; Derat, E.; Amatore, M.; Aubert, C.; Chemla, F.; Ferreira, F.; Perez-Luna, A.; Petit, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2448-2451. (d) Wu, C.; Zhong, J.; Meng, Q.; Lei, T.; Gao, X.; Tung, C.; Wu, L. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 884-887. (e) Pawar, A. B.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. , 17, 660-663. (f) Li, J.; Ackermann, L. Chem.-Eur. J. **2015**, 21, 5718-5722. (g) Li, J.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3635-3638. (h) Ma, W.; Ackermann, L. ACS. Catal. 2015, 5, 2822-2825. (i) Lee, P.-S.; Yoshikai, N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 22-25. (j) Grigorjeva, L.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4688-4690. (k) Grigorjeva, L.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4684-4687. (l) Gao, K.; Paira, R.; Yoshikai, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1486-1490. (m) Grigorieva, L.; Daugulis, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10209-10212. (n) Yu, D.; Gensch, T.; Azambuja, F.; Vasquez-Cespedes, S.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17722-17725. (o) kemoto, H.; Yoshino, T.; Sakata, K.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5424-5431. (p) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9279-9282. (q) Yoshino, T.; Ikemoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. A. Angew.
Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2207-2211. (r) Yao, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem., Int.
Ed. 2012, 51, 775-779. (s) Song, W.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8251-8254. (t)
Chen, Q.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E.-I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 428-429. (u) Li, B.; Wu, Z.; Gu, Y.;
Sun, C.; Wang, B.; Shi, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1109-1113. (v) Gao, K.; Lee, P. S.; Fujita,
T.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12249-12251. (w) Ding, Z.; Yoshikai, N. Org. Lett. 2010,
12, 4180-4183. For the first example of cobalt catalyzed C–H functionalization, see: (x) Murahashi, S.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 6403-6404.

(5) Selected examples for C–O bond formation, see: (a) Zhang, L.; Hao, X.; Zhang, S.; Liu, Z.; Zheng, X.; Gong, J.; Niu, J.; Song, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 272-275. Selected examples for C–N bond formations, see: (b) Wu, X.; Yang, K.; Zhao, Y.; Sun, H.; Li, G.; Ge, H. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 6462. (c) Sun, B.; Yoshino, T.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 4659-4661. (d) Patel, P.; Chang, S. ACS Catalysis 2015, 5, 853-858. (e) Lu, H.; Li, C,; Jiang, H.; Lizardi, C.; Zhang, X. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7028-7032. (f) Jin, L.; Lu, H.; Cui, Y.; Lizardi, C. L.; Arzua, T. N.;Wojtas, L.; Cui, X.; Zhang, X. P. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2422-2427. (g) Zardi,P.; Intrieri, D.; Caselli A.; Gallo, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 2012, 716, 269-274. (h) Kim, J.; Cho, S.; Joseph, J.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9899-9903. (i) Lu, H.; Jiang, H.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10192. 11, 133, 12264. (j) Cenini, S.; Gallo, E.; Penoni, A.; Ragaini, F.; Tollari, S. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2265-2267.

(6) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Miao, J.; Ge, H. Synlett 2014, 25, 911-919. (b) Cornella, J.;
Larrosa, I. Synthesis 2012, 44, 653-676. (c) Rodriguez, N.; Goossen, L. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5030-5048. (d) Shang, R.; Liu, L. Sci. China. Ser. B. 2011, 54, 1670-1687. (e) Bonesi, S. M.; Fagnoni, M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 13572-13589. (f) Goossen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Goossen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3100-3120. (g) Baudoin, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1373-1375.

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(7) For selected examples of Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative C-H functionalization reactions, see: (a) Pei, K.; Jie, X.; Zhao, H.; Su, W. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 4230-4233. (b) Luo, L.; Wu, D.; Li, W.; Zhang, S.; Ma, Y.; Yan, S.; You, J. *Org. Lett.* 2014, *16*, 6080-6083. (c) Maetani, S.; Fukuyama, T.; Ryu, I. *Org. Lett.* 2013, *15*, 2754-2757. (d) Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Jie, X.; Su, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 227-231. (e) Zhao, H.; Wei, Y.; Xu, J.; Kan, J.; Su, W.; Hong, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *76*, 882-893. (f) Zhang, F.; Greaney, M. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 2768-2771. (g) Zhou, J.; Hu, P.; Zhang, Huang, M.; Wang, M.; Su, W. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 5876-5881. (h) Xie, K.; Yang, Z.; Zhou, X.; Li, X.; Wang, S.; Tan, Z.; An, X.; Guo, C. *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 1564-1567. (i) Wang, C.; Rakshit, S.; Glorius, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 14006-14008. (j) Cornella, J.; Lu, P.; Larrosa, I. *Org. Lett.* 2009, *11*, 5506. (k) Wang, C.; Piel, I.; Glorius, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 4194-4195. (l) Yu, W.; Sit, W. N.; Zhou, Z.; Chan, A. S. C. *Org. Lett.* 2009, *11*, 3174-3177. For the first example, see. (m) Voutchkova, A.; Coplin, A.; Leadbeater, N. E.; Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 6312-6314.

(8) For recent examples of Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative C-H functionalization reactions with a directing group: (a) Liu, X.; Yi, Z.; Wang, J.; Liu, G. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 10641-10646. (b) Yao, J.; Feng, R.; Wu, Z.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1517-1522. (c) Li, H.; Li, P.; Tan, H.; Wang, L. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14432-14436. (d) Kim, M.; Park, Sharma, S.; Kim, A.; Park, E.; Kwak, J. H.; Jung, Y. H.; Kim, I. S. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 925-927. (e) Yang, Z.; Chen, X.; Liu, J.; Gui, Q.; Xie, K.; Li, M.; Tan, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1560-1562. (f) Park, J.; Kim, M.; Sharma, S.; Park, E.; Kim, A.; Lee, S. H.; Kwak, J. H.; Jung, Y. H.; Kim, I. S. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 1654-1656. (g) Sharma, S.; Kim, A.; Park, E.; Park, J.; Kim, M.; Kwak, J. H.; Lee, S. H.; Jung, Y. H.; Kim, I. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 667-672. (h) Pan, C.; Jin, H.; Liu, X.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2933-2935. (i) Li, Z.; Li, D.; Wang, G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10414-10420. (j) Cai, H.; Li, D.; Liu, Z.; Wang, G. Acta. Chim. Sinica 2013, 71, 717-721. (k) Yao, J.; Feng, R.; Wu, Z.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1517-1522. (l) Li, H.; Li, P.; Wang, L. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9170-9172. (m) Sharma, S.; Khan, I. A.; Saxena, A. K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 673-678.

(n) Wang, H.; Guo, L.; Duan, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4358-4361.

(9) For recent examples of Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative C-H acylation reactions, see: (a) Gong, W.;
Liu, D.; Li, F.; Gao, J.; Li, H.; Lang, J. *Tetrahedron* 2015, *71*, 1269-1275. (b) Miao, J.; Ge, H. *Org. Lett.*2013, *15*, 2930-2933. (c) Li, M.; Wang, C.; Ge, H. *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 2062-2064. (d) Li, M.; Ge, H. *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 3464-3467. (e) Fang, P.; Li, M.; Ge, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 11898-11899.

(10) For selected examples of Ni catalysis, see: (a) Yang, K.; Zhang, C.; Wang, P.; Zhang, Y.; Ge, H. *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 7241-7244. (b) Yang, K.; Wang, P.; Zhang, C.; Kadi, A. A.; Fun, H. K.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 2014, 7586-7589. Ag catalysis, see: (c) Mai, W.; Sun, B.; You, L.; Yang, L.; Mao, P.; Yuan, J.; Xiao, Y.; Qu, L. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2015, *13*, 2750-2755. (d) Wang, H.; Zhou, S.; Guo, L.; Duan, X. *Tetrahedron* 2015, *71*, 630-636. (e) Zhao, W.; Chen, X.; Yuan, J.; Qu, L.; Duan, L.; Zhao, Y. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 2018-2120. (f) Suresh, R.; Kumaran, R. S.; Senthilkumar V.; Muthusubramanian, S. *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 31685-31688. Rh catalysis, see: (g) Zhang, L.; Xue, X.; Xu, C.; Pan, Y.; Zhang, G.; Xu, L.; Li, H.; Shi, Z. *ChemCatChem* 2014, *6*, 3069-3074. Cu catalysis, see: (h) Wang, C.; Wang, S.; Li, H.; Yan, J.; Chi, H.; Chen, X.; Zhang, Z. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2014, *12*, 1721-1724. (i) Li, D.; Wang, M.; Liu, J.; Zhao, Q.; Wang, L. *Chem. Commun.* 2013, *49*, 3640-3642. (j) Yu, L.; Li, P.; Wang, L. *Chem. Commun.* 2013, *49*, 2368-2370.

(11) For selected recent examples, see: (a) Haffner, C. D.; Becherer, J. D.; Boros, E. E.; Cadilla, R.; Carpenter, T.; Cowan, D.; Deaton, D. N.; Guo, Y.; Harrington, W.; Henke, B. R.; Jeune, M. R.; Kaldor, I.; Milliken, N.; Petrov, K. G.; Preugschat, F.; Schulte, C.; Shearer, B. G.; Shearer, T.; Smalley, T. L.; Stewart, E. L.; Stuart, J. D.; Ulrich J. C. *J. Med. Chem.* 2015, *58*, 3548-3571. (b) Kim, M.; Jeon, J.; Song, J.; Suh, K. H.; Kim, Y. H.; Min, K. H.; Lee, K. O. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, *23*, 3140-3144.
(c) Mandadapu, S. R.; Weerawarna, P. M.; Gunnam, M. R.; Alliston, K. R.; Lushington, G. H.; Kim, Y.; Chang, K.; Groutas, W. C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012, *22*, 4820-4826. (d) Rusch, M.; Zahov, S.; Vetter, I. R.; Lehr, M.; Hedberg, C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2012, *20*, 1100-1112. (e) He, T.; Li, H.; Li, P.;

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Wang, L. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8946-8948. (f) He, T.; Yu, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, L.; Wang, M.
Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5016-5019. (g) McKee, L. M.; Kerwin, S. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008, 16, 1775-1783. (h) Min, J.; Lee, J. W.; Ahn, Y. H.; Chang, Y. J. Comb. Chem. 2007, 9, 1079-1083. (i) Riego, E.; Hernandez, D.; Albericio, F.; Alvarez, M. Synthesis 2005, 1907-1922. (j) Yeh, V. S. C. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11995-12042. (k) Sato, S.; Kajiura, T.; Noguchi, M.; Takehana, K.; Kobayashi, T.; Tsuji, T. J. Antibiot. 2001, 54, 102-104. (l) Gong, Z.; Leu, C.; Wu, F.; Shu, C. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 8527-8533.

(12) For recent selected examples of transition-metal catalyzed direct C-2 functionalizations of oxazoles and thiazoles, see: (a) Zhu, F.; Wang, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1601-1604. (b) Gao, F.; Kim, B.; Walsh, P. J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10661-10664. (c) Feng, Q.; Song, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2445-2452. (d) Nishino, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4457-4461. (e) Yu, X.; Li, X.; Wan, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7479-7482. (f) Sharma, S.; Khan, I. A.; Saxena, A. K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 673-678. (g) Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 169-172. (h) Wu, G.; Zhou, J.; Zhang, M.; Hu, P.; Su, W. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8964-8966. (i) Wang, M.; Li, D.; Zhou, W.; Wang, L. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 1926-1930. (j) Amaike, K.; Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13573-13576. (k) Li, C.; Li, P.; Yang, J.; Wang, L. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 4214-4216. (l) Han, W.; Mayer, P.; Ofial, A. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6904-6908. (m) Yang, F.; Xu, Z.; Wang, Z.; Yu, Z.; Wang, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6321-6325. (n) Wu, X.; Anbarasan, P.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7316-7319. (o) Hachiya, H.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2202-2205. (p) Ackermann, L.; Barfuesser, S.; Pospech, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 724-726.

(13) (a) Cheng, K.; Zhao, B.; Qi, C. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 48698-48702. (b) Punta, F.; Minisci, C.
Trends Heterocycl. Chem. 2008, 13, 1-68. (c) Fontana, F.; Minisci, F.; Barbosa, M. C. N.; Vismara, E. J.
Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2866-2869. (d) Minisci, F.; Vismara, E.; Fontana, F. Heterocycles 1989, 28, 489-

519.

(14) Wertz, S.; Kodama, S.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11511-11515.

(15) Mani, N. S.; Mapes, C. M.; Pippel, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5828-5831.

(16) Suzuki, M.; Iwasaki, T.; Miyoshi, M.; Okumura, K.; Matsumoto, K. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3571-3575.

(17) Wadhwa, K.; Yang, C.; West, P. R.; Deming, K. C.; Chemburkar, S. R.; Reddy, R. E. Syn. Comm. 2008, 38, 4434-4444.

(18) Toh, Q. Y.; McNally, A.; Vera, S.; Erdmann, N.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3772-3775.

(19) Lassalas, P.; Marsais, F.; Hoarau, C. Synlett 2013, 2233-2240.