4 CH₂ + [CICuPEt₃]₄
$$\frac{E_{12}O}{-78 - 25 \circ C}$$
 CH₂

5, R = CH₃
6, R = H

16, R = CH₃ (66%)
17, R = H (90%)

and polymers. Polymerization studies of these monomers under free-radical or cationic conditions are leading to a better understanding of how the organometallic moiety affects vinyl polymerization. Further investigations along these lines are in progress in our laboratories.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society (M.D.R.), the National Science Foundation for a grant to the Materials Research Laboratory, University of Massachusetts, and the Army Research Office (C.U.P.) for support of this research. The experimental assistance of Pam Stapleton (University of Alabama) and Francis Fang (University of Massachusetts), undergraduate research assistants, is also gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. 5, 77060-52-7; **6**, 80339-97-5; **7**, 80339-98-6; **8**, 80339-99-7; **9**, 80340-00-7; **10**, 80340-01-8; **11**, 80340-02-9; **12**, 73231-00-2; **13**, 12145-96-9; **14**, 80340-03-0; **15**, 80340-04-1; **16**, 80340-05-2; **17**, 80340-06-3; $(\eta^2 \cdot \text{CH}_2 = \text{CHC}_3 \text{H}_4) - \eta^5 \cdot \text{C}_3 \text{H}_3 \text{Ti}(\text{CO})_2$, 80340-07-4; 6,6-dimethylfulvene, 2175-91-9; lithium diisopropylamide, 4111-54-0; $\eta^5 \cdot \text{cyclopentadienyltrichlorotitanium}$, 1270-98-0; molybdenum hexacarbonyl, 13939-06-5; tris(dimethylformamide)tricarbonyltungsten, 59561-69-2; $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$, 10-210-68-1; $[\text{Rh}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cl}]_2$, 14523-22-9; $[\text{ClCuPEt}_3]_4$, 55606-52-5; 6-methylfulvene, 3839-50-7.

Ion Pair Effects in an Intercalation Process. An Approach to the Bicyclo[5.3.1]undecyl System of Taxane

Barry M. Trost* and Henk Hiemstra

McElvain Laboratories of Organic Chemistry Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received September 8, 1981

Bifunctional reagents such as 1 containing electrophilic and nucleophilic reaction centers which do not self-annihilate offer a unique approach to ring formation.^{1,2} The presence of an

electron-withdrawing group in 2 (i.e., X = EWG) induces fragmentation of the initial adduct 3 and thus constitutes a three-carbon intercalation³ between C(a) and C(b) (see eq 1).² In conjunction with a synthesis of the taxane system^{4,5} (e.g., taxinine

(1) (a) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6429.
 (b) Knapp, S.; O'Connor, U.; Mobilio, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 4557.
 (c) Trost, B. M.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5699.
 (2) Trost, B. M.; Vincent, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5680.

(3) As suggested by a referee, in order to avoid confusion with the use of the term intercalation in nucleic acid chemistry, we are using intercalation to mean insertion of atoms in a covalent manner into an existing ring or chain.

(4) For a review, see: Miller, R. W. J. Nat. Prod. 1980, 43, 425.

(5) For formation of a bicyclo[5.3.1]undecanyl system, see: Prelog, V.; Barman, P.; Zimmermann, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1949, 32, 1284. Roth, W. R.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1973, 12, 503. Levine, S. G.; McDaniel, R. L., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2199. Kahn, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 4547.

Scheme I. Synthesis of Fragmentation Substrates^a

"a (a) (i) n-C₄H₉Li, TMEDA, hexane, room temperature. (ii) Me₃SiCl, 0 °C. (iii) 1% H₂SO₄, H₂O, THF, room temperature. (b) (i) n-C₄H₉Li, ether, CS₂, CH₃I, room temperature, then warm to 60 °C neat. (ii) LAH, ether, reflux. (c) NaH, 2-chlorocyclopentanone, DMF, 0 °C, room temperature. (d) KH, DME, reflux, 1.5 min, then room temperature, CH₃I. (e) m-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, NaHCO₃, H₂O, 0 °C. (f) C₂H₅AlCl₂, PhCH₃, room temperature. (g) (i) CH₂I₂, (C₂H₅)₂Zn, PhCH₃, dry air, 50-55 °C. (ii) H₂, PtO₂,

4), we envisioned application of this strategy in which the critical step is the fragmentation of 6 to create the very sterically congested 11,11-dimethylbicyclo[5.3.1]undecyl system 5. The factors that govern this type of fragmentation reaction remain to be established. We wish to report an unusual ion pair effect on this fragmentation, the utilization of the ability to invert thermodynamic acidities and thereby control the course of a reaction and the successful realization of the synthesis of the critical bridged bicyclic ring system of the taxane nucleus.

$$0 \xrightarrow{\text{OAc}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{OAc}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{OH}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{OH}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{NAC}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{OH}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{NAC}} 0 \xrightarrow{\text{N$$

Scheme I outlines the synthesis of the fragmentation substrates 11–13. The bifunctional conjunctive reagent 7^6 smoothly formed by the direct metalation approach. In Since attempts to effect direct displacement of leaving groups derived from alcohol 7 failed, the requisite C-C bond was formed via sigmatropic rearrangements—initially an O \rightarrow S conversion via a [3.3] rearrangement of the xanthate and then a S \rightarrow C conversion via a [2.3] rearrangement of a sulfur stabilized carbanion derived from 8.68 The great facility of these rearrangements should be noted

⁽⁶⁾ This compound has been fully characterized by spectral means and has a satisfactory elemental composition determined by either high-resolution mass spectroscopy or combustion analysis.

⁽⁷⁾ Taguchi, T.; Kawazoe, Y.; Yoshihira, K.; Kanayama, H.; Mori, M.; Tabata, K.; Harano, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 2717. Ferrier, R. J.; Vethaviyasar, N. Chem. Commun. 1970, 1385. Also see: Hackler, R. E.; Balko, T. W. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2106. Nakai, T.; Mimura, T.; Kurokawa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2895.

and may reflect the propensity of the substituents to be axial as a result of A strain⁹ with the trimethylsilylmethyl substituent. Consideration of the steric interactions in these rearrangements led to the assignment of the S^* , R^* configuration to 9. Subsequent reactions support this assignment (vide infra). While attempts to effect cyclization of the sulfones related to 9 and 106 with fluoride ion were unsatisfactory, Lewis acid initiated cyclization proceeded smoothly to generate 11⁶ (mp 179–180 °C) from 9 and 12⁶ (mp 104–106 °C) from 10. Subsequent base-catalyzed equilibration showed that 12 is thermodynamically more stable than 11 in accord with the cyclopentane ring being endo in 11 and exo in 12, further evidence for the stereochemical assignments of 9 and 10. Conversion of the exocyclic methylene group of 11 to a gem-dimethyl group employed the Furukawa modification of the Simmons-Smith reaction¹⁰ to give a crystalline cyclopropane,6 mp 104-107 °C, followed by hydrogenolysis of the least hindered cyclopropyl bond¹¹ to give 136 as beautiful needles, mp 119-120 °C. The facility of cyclopropanation of 11 relative to 12 as a result of participation of the hydroxyl group in 19 provides further evidence for the stereochemical assignments.¹² Thus, this strategy permits creation of the gem-dimethyl group in the sterically less crowded environment offered by the tricyclic skeleton before embedding it in the subsequent cyclooctyl ring.

With the requisite substrates 11, 12, and 13 in hand, their fragmentation was examined. Treatment of 11 or 12 with KH in DME followed by protonation led to a mixture of 12 and 14a⁶ in a ratio 4:1. This ratio showed remarkable sensitivity to the

presence of cation complexing agents—changing to 1:1 by the addition of 1 equiv of 18-crown-613 and reversing to 1:9 by the addition of [2.2.2]-cryptand.¹⁴ These results are nicely accommodated by correlating the product ratio with the thermodynamic stability of the intermediates 15-17. Of the two closed forms 15 and 16, the latter is greatly preferred since it minimizes nonbonded interactions. In the absence of crown or cryptand, the tight ion pair is more stable in the closed form 16 than in the open form 17 due to the stronger O-K bonding compared to C-K bonding. As one goes from a tight ion pair to a solvent separated ion pair by addition of crown or cryptand, the equilibrium shifts toward 17 due to the greater polarizability of the sulfone group compared to oxygen and thus its ability to stabilize the negative charge. This reordering of anion stability as a function of "solvation" effects correlates nicely with the differential response of the thermodynamic acidity of weak oxygen acids and weak carbon acids to solvation effects.15

(9) Johnson, F. Chem. Rev. 1968, 68, 375. Johnson, F.; Dix, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5931.

(13) Greene, R. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 1793.

Support for this interpretation derives from two experiments. Selective reaction of 17 would shift the equilibrium toward the open product. Indeed, addition of dimethyl disulfide to the reaction of 11 or 12 with KH in DME led to 14b6 (mp 137-139 °C) in addition to a small amount of 14a which appeared to derive from the presence of adventitious amounts of water in the disulfide. More dramatic was the effect of only a catalytic amount of base. With 0.2 equiv of KOC₄H₉-t in Me₂SO, 11 or 12 fragmented to a single isomer of 14a in 95% yield. Under these conditions, one is equilibrating the neutral molecules which apparently cleanly favors the open form. Thus, by equilibrating ion pairs or neutral compounds, complementary results pertain and control to either the closed or open forms can be exercised.

Changing to the dimethyl series 13 causes a dramatic effect on the equilibrium ratio of the ion pairs. KH, in the absence or presence of 18-crown-6 or [2.2.2]-cryptand, led only to endo-exo equilibration. Employment of 2.0 equiv of KOC₄H₉-t in Me₂SO

also only isomerized 13 to 18,6 mp 136-137 °C. The steric congestion created by inserting a gem-dimethyl group into the center of the eight-membered ring in 20 appears sufficient to shift the equilibria among 19, 20, and 21 completely to 21. Nevertheless, a catalytic amount of KOC₄H₉-t in Me₂SO quantitatively converted 13 into the fragmented product 226 (mp 156-158 °C). While the equilibrium among the ion pairs favors the closed form, equilibration of the neutral molecules still strongly favors the open form.

These results indicate the utility of the delicate balance that exists among the factors affecting the relative stability of ion pairs. 16,17 In the first series (15, 16, 17), the degree of association of the cation with the alkoxide dominates—the tighter the association the more the charge wants to localize on oxygen. While this effect must also operate in the latter series (19-21), a steric effect is superimposed and dominates. In both cases, the factors which dominate the equilibria in the ions are decoupled from those which dominate the equilibria in the neutral compounds.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health for their generous support of our programs. We also thank the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO) for a partial stipend to H.H.

Registry No. 7, 80359-70-2; 8, 80359-71-3; 9, 80359-72-4; 10, 80359-73-5; 11, 80359-74-6; 12, 80408-19-1; 13, 80359-75-7; 14a, 80359-76-8; 14b, 80359-77-9; 18, 80408-20-4; 22, 80359-78-0; 2methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol, 20461-30-7; 2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)-2-cyclohexen-1-thiol, 80359-79-1.

Supplementary Material Available: Spectral data of compounds 11-14, 18, and 22 (2 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

⁽⁸⁾ Metzner, P.; Vialle, J.; Vibet, A. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 2289. Snider, B. B.; Hrib, N. J.; Fuzesi, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7115. Baldwin, J. E.; Tzodikov, N. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1878. Masaki, Y.; Saakuma, K.; Kaji, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 1061. Tanaka, K.; Terauchi, M.; Kaji, A. Chem. Lett. 1981, 315.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Furukawa, J.; Kawabata, N.; Nishimura, J. Tetrahedron 1968, 24, Miyano, S.; Hashimoto, H. Chem. Commun. 1971, 1418.
 Newham, J. Chem. Rev. 1963, 63, 123.

⁽¹²⁾ Simmons, H. E.; Cairns, T. L.; Vladuchick, S. A.; Hoiness, C. M. Org. React. 1973, 20, 1.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Dietrich, B.; Lehn, J. M.; Sauvage, J. P.; Blanzat, J. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 1629.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Steiner, E. C.; Gilbert, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3054. Steiner, E. C.; Starkey, J. D. Ibid. 1967, 89, 2751. Ritchie, C. D.; Uschold, R. E. Ibid. 1968, 90, 2821. Ritchie, C. D. Ibid. 1969, 91, 6749.

⁽¹⁶⁾ For reviews, see: Szwarc, M. "Ions and Ion Pairs in Organic Reactions"; Wiley: New York, 1972; Vol. 1. Smid, J. Angew. Chem. 1972, 84, 127. Jackman, L. M.; Lange, B. C. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 2737. Gompper, R.; Wagner, H. U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 321. (17) For some examples, see: Boche, G.; Heidenhain, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 738. Evans, D. A.; Golob, A. M. Ibid. 1975, 97, 4765.