

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Cation Triggered Domino Aza-Piancatelli Rearrangement/Friedel— Crafts Alkylation of Indole-Tethered Furfuyl Alcohols to Access Cycloocta[b]indole Core of Alkaloids

Nagarjuna Reddy Vonteddu, Pooja R. Solanke,[∥] Kiranmai Nayani,[∥] and Srivari Chandrasekhar*



ABSTRACT: A domino approach to bridged cycloocta[b]indolone through a cascade of aza-Piancatelli rearrangement/Friedel– Crafts alkylation is developed. This transformation has been realized by reaction of an indole-tethered 2-furylcarbinol and substituted aniline in the presence of a Lewis acid to initiate aza-Piancatelli rearrangement followed by an in situ intramolecular Friedel–Crafts alkylation to access bridged tetracyclic frameworks in one pot.

C atalytic domino reactions represent important advances in synthetic organic chemistry by providing atom- and step-economical method for the construction of complex frameworks. Synthetic organic chemists always pursue new catalytic transformations to construct complex moieties preferably in a single pot. In this regard, great efforts have been made to develop efficient single step transformations which results in the formation of multiple bonds and stereocenters with selectivity.¹

The cycloocta [b] indole core is a common scaffold in many bioactive alkaloids like macroline, lochnerine, sarpagine, ajmaline, macrocapramine, and dispegatrine with a broad spectrum of activities like antiamoebic, antiplasmodic, antihypertensive, anticancer, and antibiotic properties (Figure 1).² A recent study by Waldmann et al. showed analogues of cycloocta[b]indole frameworks as promising targets for the development of novel class of potent and selective Mycobacterium protein tyrosine phosphatase B (MptpB) inhibitors against Mycobacterium tuberculosis.³ In addition, Waldmann et al. have presented an analysis of selected pseudonatural product (NP) libraries using chemoinformatic tools that provide access to unprecedented pseudo-NPs to offer opportunities for the discovery of bioactive small-molecule scaffolds.⁴ Reports of synthetic approaches to construct sarpagine and macroline group of alkaloids consist of multiple steps.^{3,5} Few reports are available for the construction of indoles fused to 8-membered rings through cascade or sequential reactions.⁶ The scaffold poses a challenge to



Figure 1. Representative naturally occurring bioactive cycloocta[b]-indole alkaloids.

synthetic organic and medicinal chemists to construct the functionalized cycloocta[b]indole core efficiently using one-pot reaction sequences.

2-Furylcarbinols have emerged as important building blocks for the construction of cyclic systems. Under Lewis acid or Brønsted acid catalysis, the furylcarbinols converts into a highly reactive electron-deficient furfuryl cation intermediate, pre-

Received: September 19, 2020



years. Developments have been achieved in reaction conditions, catalytic systems, and use of different nucleophiles in the process.^{9,10} In addition, cascade and sequential reactions involving Piancatelli rearrangement have also been reported.¹¹ We have earlier reported a domino aza-Piancatelli rearrangement/aza-Michael reaction where 2-furfurylcarbinol reacted with 2-aminobenzamide to give 1,4-benzodiazepin-5-one in one pot.¹² Recently, Leboeuf and co-workers reported synthesis of bridged tetrahydrobenzo[b]azepines via Piancatelli cyclization/Michael addition in sequence.¹³ Our continued interest in the development of novel cascade strategies for the synthesis of biologically relavent molecules has resulted in the design and synthesis of bridged nitrogen rich tetracyclic frameworks. Here in, we report for the first time, a simple and efficient approach for the construction of bridged cycloocta-[b]indolone, an aza tetracyclic core using a novel domino strategy based on aza-Piancatelli rearrangement coupled with Friedel-Crafts alkylation in single pot.

We anticipated that indole-tethered 2-furylcarbinol 7 in the presence of aniline 8 could serve as a substrate for aza-Piancatelli rearrangement and form 4-arylamino cyclopentenone 9, which in turn could undergo a Friedel–Crafts alkylation from C-2 position of indole moiety to give bridged cycloocta[b]indolone derivative 10 (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Proposed Strategy for Bridged Tetracyclic Cycloocta[b]indolone core



To test our hypothesis, indole-tethered furfuryl alcohol 7a was prepared from 3-indole propanoic acid (see Supporting Information).^{14,15} To check the feasibility of the bridged cycloocta[b]indolone formation, we initially carried out an aza-Piancatelli rearrangement of furfuryl alcohol 7a with aniline 8a using Dy(OTf)₃ in acetonitrile at 90 °C (Scheme 2). We obtained the corresponding rearrangement product 9a, in 70% yield, after purification. This product was treated with Dy(OTf)₃ in acetonitrile at 90 °C (with the assumption that the cyclopentenone 9a will undergo intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction at C2 position of indole moiety), where the proposed cycloocta[b]indolone derivative 10a was obtained in 72% yield.

After confirmation of the bridged cycloocta[b]indolone compound **10a**, we focused on optimization of reaction conditions to get the target molecule in one pot. We assumed

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Cycloocta[b]indolone Tetracycle in Sequence



that proper selection of Lewis or Brønsted acid and solvent may lead to the conversion of the aza-Piancatelli product 9a to bridged tetracyclic derivative 10a via an in situ intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction in one pot (Table 1). Our initial experiment was performed with 2-furylcarbinol 7a and aniline

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a



				yield ^b (%)		
entry	catalyst	solvent	time (h)	9a	10a	9b
1	Dy(OTf) ₃	CH ₃ CN	24	70	<5	10
2	$Dy(OTf)_3$	CH ₃ CN	48	10	60	10
3	Sc(OTf) ₃	CH ₃ CN	48	05	50	10
4 ^{<i>c</i>}	$ZnCl_2$	CH ₃ CN	48	20	<5	25
5	$Cu(OTf)_2$	CH ₃ CN	48	15	50	05
6 ^{<i>c</i>}	$Ba(OTf)_2$	CH ₃ CN	48	30	<5	08
7 ^d	Bi(OTf) ₃	CH ₃ CN	24	-	-	-
8 ^e	$La(OTf)_2$	CH ₃ CN	24	-	-	-
9 ^e	$In(OTf)_3$	CH ₃ CN	24	-	-	trace
10 ^e	Yb(OTf) ₃	CH ₃ CN	24	-	-	-
11	Dy(OTf) ₃ , 4 Å MS	CH ₃ CN	48	10	50	10
12	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	24	30	50	10
13	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	36	05	70	10
14 ^c	$Dy(OTf)_3$	toluene	48	50	08	05
15	$Dy(OTf)_3$	dioxane	48	65	05	10
16 ^c	Dy(OTf) ₃	THF	48	30	05	10
17 ^d	Dy(OTf) ₃	HFIP	24	-	-	-
18	TFA	DCE	02	_	-	82
19 ^f	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	36	05	68	10
20 ^g	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	72	05	50	20
21 ^{<i>h</i>}	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	36	04	65	10
22 ⁱ	Dy(OTf) ₃	DCE	36	05	66	10

^{*a*}The reactions were performed with 7a (0.4 mmol), 8a (0.4 mmol), and catalyst (5 mol %) in solvent (1.5 mL) at 90 °C, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*}Yields of purified products. ^{*c*}Starting material was not consumed completely. ^{*d*}Starting material was decomposed. ^{*e*}Multiple spots observed in TLC. ^{*f*}10 mol % of catalyst was used in the reaction. ^{*g*}Reaction was performed at 70 °C. ^{*h*}Reaction was performed at 120 °C. ^{*i*}2,2'-Bipyridine (10 mol %) was used as an additive.

в

8a in the presence of $Dy(OTf)_3$ in CH₃CN at 90 °C for 24 h. The aza-Piancatelli product 9a and domino product 10a were obtained in 70% and <5% yields, respectively (Table 1, entry 1). In this reaction, substitution product of furfuryl cation 9b (10%) was also observed. When the reaction time was increased to 48 h, the domino product 10a has increased to 60% (Table 1, entry 2). Various Lewis acids were screened to choose the best suited for the reaction (Table 1, entries 3-10). The Lewis acids Sc(OTf)₃and Cu(OTf)₂ were found to be comparatively good catalysts for the reaction (Table 1, entries 3 and 5) whereas the reaction was found to be slow with $ZnCl_2$ and $Ba(OTf)_2$ (Table 1, entries 4 and 6). The formation of substitution product 9b was observed in the reactions. Other Lewis acids were not found to be suitable for the domino reaction (Table 1, entries 7–10). The $Dy(OTf)_3$ was found to be the better catalyst for the domino aza-Piancatelli-Friedel-Crafts alkylation to get the required bridged tetracycle (Table 1, entry 2). When the reaction was performed in the presence of molecular sieves, the yield of domino product did not increase further (Table 1, entry 11). Then, we moved to solvent screening where the domino reaction was conducted in solvents such as DCE, toluene, dioxane, THF, and HFIP (Table 1, entries 12-17). It was observed that DCE was found to be the best solvent for the domino reaction (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). When the reaction was conducted in the presence of strong acid such as TFA, the formation of domino product was significantly inhibited and we observed the formation of substitution product of furfuryl cation 9b alone (Table 1, entry 18). When the catalyst loading was increased to 10 mol %, there was no considerable change in the reaction time and yield (Table 1, entry 19). When the reaction was carried out at 70 °C, reaction became slow and yield was decreased (Table 1, entry 20). There was no improvement in the yield observed by increasing the reaction temperature to 120 °C (Table 1, entry 21). One reaction was performed with ligand 2,2'-bipyridine (10 mol %) as an additive (Table 1, entry 22). No chiral induction was observed as confirmed by HPLC (see the Supporting Information).

We then turned our attention to examine the substrate scope of domino reaction, and the results are summarized in Scheme 3. The furfuryl alcohol with methyl protected indole 7a was reacted with different substituted anilines and the corresponding domino products were obtained in good yields (60-70%, 10a, 10c-10f). The structure and configuration of bridged tetracycle 10a were confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2, CCDC 1999110). Here, the aza-Piancatelli reaction is transselective and Friedel-Crafts reaction is diastereoselective and cis-fused ring was obtained in 10a. In the case of p-anisidine, the reaction stopped after aza-Piancatelli rearrangement 9g (65%) where the corresponding domino product 10g was not obtained even after increasing the reaction time and catalyst. Reaction of 2-furylcarbinol 7a with meta-substituted anilines also gave corresponding domino products 10h and 10i in 65-70% yields. We have carried out one reaction using unprotected indole substrate 7b and aniline 8a. To our delight, the domino reaction proceeded smoothly to give corresponding domino product 10b in 62% yield. Similarly, unprotected indole substrate 7b was also reacted with substituted anilines and the corresponding domino products were obtained in 58-65% yields (10j-10l). Another two indole-tethered 2-furfuryl alcohols were prepared to see the substituent effect of indole ring on the domino reaction. The furfuryl alcohol 7c was obtained from 5-methoxyindole, and 7d

Scheme 3. Substrate $Scope^{a,b}$



^aReaction conditions: 7 (0.75–0.83 mmol), 8 (0.75–0.83 mmol), and Dy(OTf)₃ (5 mol %), DCE (3 mL), 90 °C, 18–48 h. ^bIsolated yields after chromatographic purification. nd, not detected.



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of compound 10a.

was obtained from 5-bromoindole. The substrate 7c was reacted with 4-bromoaniline and *m*-chloroaniline to give the

corresponding domino products 10m and 10n in good yields, 70 and 72%, respectively. The substrate 7d was also reacted with *m*-chloroaniline to give the corresponding domino product 10o smoothly in 68% yield. In the case of Nmethylaniline, the corresponding aza-Piancatelli product 9p was obtained in 70% yield and failed to give domino product 10p. The substrates 7a and 7b, when treated with 2-ethyl 6methylaniline, failed to give corresponding products 10q and 10r, respectively. In this case, aniline was added on to furfuryl cation and deoxyamination products (10q' and 10r') were formed, hence no aza-Piancatelli rearrangement was observed. Then, we turned our attention to see the possibility of making other fused indole tetracycles such as indoles fused to 7- and 9membered rings using different indole-tethered furfuryl alcohols. The furfuryl alcohol 7e was prepared from 3-indole acetic acid. Another furfuryl alcohol 7f was prepared from 3indole butyric acid (see Supporting Information). When furfuryl alcohol 7e was treated with m-chloroaniline, the corresponding Piancatelli product 9s was obtained in 70% yield and failed to undergo Friedel-Crafts alkylation to give bridged cyclohepta[b]indolone derivative. Another attempt was made, wherein furfuryl alcohol 7e was reacted with ${}^{t}BuOH/H_{2}O$ in the presence of $Dy(OTf)_{3}$ to get Piancatelli product 9t in 63% yield but failed to give cyclohepta[b]indolone derivative. The furfuryl alcohol 7f was treated with *m*chloroaniline in the presence of $Dy(OTf)_3$ and the substitution product of furfuryl cation 9u was formed exclusively in 2 h only and no Piancatelli rearrangement product as well as domino product was detected. This confirms that under Lewis acid conditions indole moiety is more reactive to add on furfuryl cation to form cyclized byproduct 9u. Hence, the furfuryl cation was not available for aniline to undergo Piancatelli rearrangement.

Based on the observations, we propose a plausible reaction pathway of the domino process which is shown in Scheme 4. The furfuyl alcohol 7a on treatment with Lewis acid converts into reactive furyl cation A. Then aniline 8a attacks on the cation A to form intermediate B which rearranges to key intermediate trans-cyclopentenone 9a via aza-Piancatelli rearrangement. Cyclopentenone part of 9a acts as a Michael

Scheme 4. Plausible Reaction Pathway of Domino Aza-Piancatelli/Friedel-Crafts Alkylation



acceptor, activated by $Dy(OTf)_3$ and undergoes diastereoselective Friedel–Craft's alkylation from C2 position of indole moiety followed by protonation/aromatization to afford bridged tetracycle **10a**.

After successfully obtaining a library of domino aza-Piancatelli/FC alkylation products, we turned our attention to see the feasibility of other nucleophile such as H_2O for the domino process (Scheme 5). The furfuryl alcohol 7a was

Scheme 5. Domino Reaction with O-Nucleophile



treated with $Dy(OTf)_3$ in ${}^tBuOH/H_2O$ (3:1) at 90 °C. The Piancatelli product 11 was obtained along with 9b. The crude hydroxy cyclopentenone 11 after solvent evaporation, was subjected to Friedel–Crafts alkylation conditions in acetonitrile at 90 °C to get required bridged hydroxy cycloocta[b]indolone tetracycle 12 in 48% yield over two steps.

In summary, we have developed a simple and highly efficient domino aza-Piancatelli rearrangement/intramolecular Friedel– Crafts alkylation reaction to access a series of novel complex bridged cycloocta[b]indolone derivatives. This domino protocol is found to be general, and the corresponding nitrogen-rich bridged tetracyclic adducts are isolated in good yields. The synthesized products were looked using Tanimoto index and 3D overlap with the Waldmann's pseudo-NP 1 and alkaloids thus giving a clue that these new skeletons could be considered as novel pseudo-NPs for further evaluation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03155.

Experimental procedures, characterization details, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of related compounds, HPLC and X-ray crystal structure of **10a** (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1999110 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION Corresponding Author

Srivari Chandrasekhar – Department of Organic Synthesis and Process Chemistry, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, India; Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India; orcid.org/0000-0003-3695-4343; Email: srivaric@iict.res.in

Authors

- Nagarjuna Reddy Vonteddu Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India; Cipla Ltd, MIDC Patalganga, Rasayani, Maharashtra 410220, India
- Pooja R. Solanke Department of Organic Synthesis and Process Chemistry, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, India; Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India
- Kiranmai Nayani Department of Organic Synthesis and Process Chemistry, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500007, India; © orcid.org/0000-0001-5407-3799

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03155

Author Contributions

^{||}P.R.S. and K.N. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India for research facilities. N. R. thanks Cipla Ltd. and AcSIR for doctoral studies. P. R. S. thanks the CSIR for research fellowship. K. N. thanks the CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (IICT) for fellowship and research facilities under the National Laboratories Scheme of CSIR (No.11/3/Rectt.-2020). S. C. thanks the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India for J C Bose fellowship (SB/S2/JCB-002/2015). We gratefully acknowledge Dr Balasubramanian Sridhar, Laboratory of X-ray Crystallography, CSIR-IICT, for X-ray analysis.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115. (b) Wasilke, J.-C.; Obrey, S. J.; Baker, R. T.; Bazan, G. C. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1001.
(2) (a) Rice, L. M.; Hertz, E.; Freed, M. E. J. Med. Chem. 1964, 7, 313. (b) Naranjo, J.; Pinar, M.; Hesse, M.; Schmid, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1972, 55, 752. (c) Yu, P.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9160. (d) Keawpradub, N.; Eno-Amooquaye, E.; Burke, P. J.; Houghton, P. J. Planta Med. 1999, 65, 311. (e) Keawpradub, N.; Kirby, G. C.; Steele, J. C. P.; Houghton, P. J. Planta Med. 1999, 65, 690. (f) Yu, P.; Wang, T.; Li, J.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3173. (g) Kam, T.-S.; Choo, Y.-M. Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 603. (h) Kam, T.-S.; Choo, Y.-M. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 547. (i) Khan, A. M.; Noreen, S.; Imran, Z. P.; Choudhary, M. I. Nat. Prod. Res. 2011, 25, 898. (j) Cheenpracha, S.; Ritthiwigrom, T.; Laphookhieo, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 723. (k) Tan, S.-J.; Lim, J.-L.; Low, Y.-Y.; Sim, K.-S.; Lim, S.-H.; Kam, T.-S. J. Nat. Prod. 2014, 77, 2068.

(3) (a) Nören-Müller, A.; Wilk, W.; Saxena, K.; Schwalbe, H.; Kaiser, M.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5973; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 6061. (b) Wetzel, S.; Bon, R. S.; Kumar, K.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10800.

(4) Karageorgis, G.; Foley, D. J.; Laraia, L.; Waldmann, H. Nat. Chem. 2020, 12, 227.

(5) (a) Caubère, C.; Caubère, P.; Ianelli, S.; Nardelli, M.; Jamart-Grégoire, B. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 11903. (b) Lavoisier-Gallo, T.; Charonnet, E.; Rodriguez, J. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, *63*, 900. (c) Yu, J.; Wang, T.; Liu, X.; Deschamps, J.; Flippen-Anderson, J.; Liao, X.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, *68*, 7565. (d) Bennasar, M.-L.;

Zulaica, E.; Tummers, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6283. (e) Tran, Y. S.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4289. (f) Lewis, S. E. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 8655. (g) Yadav, A. K.; Peruncheralathan, S.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1388. (h) Miller, K. A.; Shanahan, C. S.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 6884. (i) Wilk, W.; Noren-Muller, A.; Kaiser, M.; Waldmann, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11976. (j) Edwankar, C. R.; Edwankar, R. V.; Namjoshi, O. A.; Liao, X.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6471. (k) Rahman, M. T.; Deschamps, J. R.; Imler, G. H.; Cook, J. M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2018, 24, 2354.

(6) (a) Zhu, C.; Zhang, X.; Lian, X.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2012, 51, 7817; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 7937. (b) Sharma, U.; Kancherla, R.; Naveen, T.; Agasti, S.; Maiti, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2014, 53, 11895; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 12089. (c) Xia, G.; Han,
X.; Lu, X. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2058. (d) Zhu, C.; Ma, S. Adv. Synth.
Catal. 2014, 356, 3897. (e) Tong, S.; Xu, Z.; Mamboury, M.; Wang,
Q.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11809; Angew. Chem.
2015, 127, 11975. (f) Wang, T.-T.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Liao, W.W. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5002. (g) Villemson, E. V.; Budynina, E. M.;
Ivanova, O. A.; Skvortsov, D. A.; Trushkov, I. V.; Melnikov, M. Y.
RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 62014. (h) Xu, Y.; Zheng, G.; Kong, L.; Li, X. Org.
Lett. 2019, 21, 3402. (i) Okabe, A.; Harada, S.; Takeda, T.; Nishida,
A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 2019, 3916.

(7) (a) Burke, M. D.; Berger, E. M.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2004, 126, 14095. (b) Leverett, C. A.; Cassidy, M. P.; Padwa, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8591. (c) Winne, J. M.; Catak, S.; Waroquier, M.; Van Speybroeck, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11990. (d) Robertson, J.; North, C.; Sadig, J. E. R. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 5011. (e) Guo, J.; Yu, B.; Wang, Y.-N.; Duan, D.; Ren, L.-L.; Gao, Z.; Gou, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5088. (f) Palframan, M. J.; Pattenden, G. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 7223. See also references cited therein.

(8) (a) Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A.; Barbadoro, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *17*, 3555. (b) Piutti, C.; Quartieri, F. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 12290. and See also references cited therein.

(9) (a) Li, S.-W.; Batey, R. A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3759. (b) Veits, G. K.; Wenz, D. R.; Read de Alaniz, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9484. (c) Ulbrich, K.; Kreitmeier, P.; Reiser, O. Synlett 2010, 2010, 2037. (d) Palmer, L. I.; Read de Alaniz, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7167. (e) Yin, B.; Huang, L.; Zhang, X.; Ji, F.; Jiang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6365. (f) Palmer, L. I.; Read de Alaniz, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 476. (g) Dhiman, S.; Ramasastry, S. S. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 4299. (h) Kumaraguru, T.; Babita, P.; Sheelu, G.; Lavanya, K.; Fadnavis, N. W. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2013, 17, 1526. (i) Yu, D.; Thai, V. T.; Palmer, L. I.; Veits, G. K.; Cook, J. E.; Read de Alaniz, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3250. (k) Fisher, D.; Palmer, L. I.; Cook, J. E.; Davis, J. E.; Read de Alaniz, J. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 4105. (l) Michalak, K.; Wicha, J. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 5073.

(10) (a) Yin, B.; Huang, L.; Wang, X.; Liu, J.; Jiang, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 370. (b) Leboeuf, D.; Schulz, E.; Gandon, V. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6464. (c) Huang, L.; Zhang, X.; Li, J.; Ding, K.; Li, X.; Zheng, W.; Yin, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 338. (d) Chung, R.; Yu, D.; Thai, V. T.; Jones, A. F.; Veits, G. K.; Read de Alaniz, J.; Hein, J. E. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 4579. (e) Veits, G. K.; Wenz, D. R.; Palmer, L. I.; St. Amant, A. H.; Hein, J. E.; Read de Alaniz, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8465. (f) Cai, Y.; Tang, Y.; Atodiresei, I.; Rueping, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14126. (g) Miao, M.; Luo, Y.; Li, H.; Xu, X.; Chen, Z.; Xu, J.; Ren, H. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 5228. (h) Lebœuf, D.; Marin, L.; Michelet, B.; Perez-Luna, A.; Guillot, R.; Schulz, E.; Gandon, V. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 16165. (i) Xu, J.; Luo, Y.; Xu, H.; Chen, Z.; Miao, M.; Ren, H. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 3561. (j) Verrier, C.; Moebs-Sanchez, S.; Queneau, Y.; Popowycz, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 676.

(11) For sequential/domino reactions involving Piancatelli rearrangement, see: (a) Liu, J.; Shen, Q.; Yu, J.; Zhu, M.; Han, J.; Wang, L. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 6933. (b) Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, Y. V.; Lakshumma, P. S.; Narasimhulu, G.; Yadav, J. S.; Sridhar, B.; Reddy, P. P.; Kunwar, A. C. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 10661. (c) Wenz, D. R.; Read de Alaniz, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3250. (d) Wang, C.; Dong, C.; Kong, L.; Li, Y.; Li, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2164. (e) Subba Reddy, B. V.; Vikram Reddy, Y.; Singarapu, K. K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 1111. (f) Marin, L.; Guillot, R.; Gandon, V.; Schulz, E.; Lebœuf, D. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 640. (g) Baldé, B.; Force, G.; Marin, L.; Guillot, R.; Schulz, E.; Gandon, V.; Lebœuf, D. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 7405. (h) Wei, Z.; Zhang, J.; Yang, H.; Jiang, G. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2790. (i) Gouse, S.; Reddy, N. R.; Baskaran, S. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3822.

(12) Nayani, K.; Cinsani, R.; Hussaini, A. SD.; Mainkar, P. S.; Chandrasekhar, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 5671.

(13) Wang, S.; Guillot, R.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Sarazin, Y.; Bour, C.; Gandon, V.; Lebœuf, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1134.

(14) (a) Huchet, Q. A.; Kuhn, B.; Wagner, B.; Kratochwil, N. A.; Fischer, H.; Kansy, M.; Zimmerli, D.; Carreira, E. M.; Müller, K. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 9041. (b) James, M. J.; Cuthbertson, J. D.; O'Brien, P.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Unsworth, W. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7640. (c) James, M. J.; O'Brien, P.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Unsworth, W. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9671.

(15) (a) Mei, G.; Yuan, H.; Gu, Y.; Chen, W.; Chung, L. W.; Li, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11051. (b) Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Li, W.; Qi, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 4988.