This article was downloaded by: [Michigan State University]

On: 28 November 2013, At: 19:46

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH,

UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

Tetraorganogallate Complexes in Organic Chemistry: Michael Addition to α,β -unsaturated Compounds

Ying Han $^{\rm a}$, Yao-Zeng Huang $^{\rm a}$, Lei Fang $^{\rm b}$ & Wen-Tian Tao $^{\rm b}$

To cite this article: Ying Han , Yao-Zeng Huang , Lei Fang & Wen-Tian Tao (1999) Tetraorganogallate Complexes in Organic Chemistry: Michael Addition to α,β -unsaturated Compounds, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 29:5, 867-876, DOI: 10.1080/00397919908086045

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397919908086045

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no

^a Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai, 200032, China

^b Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China Published online: 17 Sep 2007.

representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

TETRAORGANOGALLATE COMPLEXES IN ORGANIC CHEMISTRY: MICHAEL ADDITION TO α , β -UNSATURATED COMPOUNDS

Ying Han^a Yao-Zeng Huang*^a Lei Fang^b and Wen-Tian Tao*^b

^aShanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences
 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, China
 ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

ABSTRACT: Tetraorganogallate complexes, prepared *in situ* by addition of an organolithium reagent to a triorganogallium, reacted smoothly with α,β -unsaturated compounds to give Michael addition products in high yields, and mixed gallates display very high chemoselectivity in the transfer of one of their ligands.

Michael addition is one of the most important reactions in organic synthesis¹. In order to uncover and develop the synthetic utility of the long forgotten group 13 organometallics: gallium, indium and thallium²⁻³, we have reported the gallium and its compounds-mediated selectivity reactions⁴. Also, we have described a novel, efficient and versatile method for the preparation of ketones from acyl chlorides via tetraorganogallate complexes⁵, and a simple,

^{*}a To whom correspondence should be addressed.

efficient, straightforward method for the preparation of propargylic alcohols from 1-alkynes and aldehydes promoted by gallium triiodide and an amine⁶. In this communication, we would like to report another chemical behavior of tetraorganogallate complexes: Michael addition to α,β -unsaturated compounds(Scheme 1).

Tetraorganogallate complex, prepared by the addition of an organolithium reagent to a triorganogallium, reacted with α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound

$$R_{3}Ga \xrightarrow{R^{1}Li} [R_{3}GaR^{1}] Li$$

$$1 \xrightarrow{THF} 0^{\circ}C-rt. \qquad 2$$

$$R^{3} + [R_{3}GaR^{1}] Li \xrightarrow{THF} 0^{\circ}C-rt.$$

$$R = Ph, Bu, R^{1} = Me, Et, Bu, R^{2} = H, Ph, CO_{2}Et, CN, R^{3} = Me, Ph, OEt$$

Scheme 1

to produce 1,4- and / or 1,2-adducts (Table 1). Table 1 and Scheme 1 show that the regioselectivity largely depends on the structure of substrate. α , β -Unsaturated aldehyde (Entry 1) gave exclusively 1,2-adduct almost quantitatively. Methyl α , β -unsaturated ketone (Entry 6,7) mainly yielded 1,4-adduct and a little 1,2-adducts. Chalcone, α , β -unsaturated esters and nitriles gave only 1,4-adducts. All of them (from Entry 3 to 11) were selectively phenylated to electrophiles, no alkylated products were detected. It is in sharp comparison that thallate complexes reacted with α , β -unsaturated compounds to produce 1,2 adducts⁷. The corresponding indium ate complexes gave mainly Michael products⁸. In addition, under the same conditions the reaction between gallates with α , β -unsaturated mononitrile,

Table 1. Michael Additions of Tetraorganogallate Complexes with α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds

| Entry | Substrate | Reagent | Product | Yield(%) ^a |
|-------|---|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | (E)-PhCH=CHCHO | n-Bu₄GaLi | (E)-PhCH=CHCH(OH)Bu 4a | 98 |
| 2 | (E)-PhCH=CHCOPh | n-Bu₄GaLi | PhCH(Bu ⁿ)CH ₂ COPh 4b | 78 |
| 3 | (E)-PhCH=CHCOPh | [Ph ₃ GaMe]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ COPh 4c | 85 |
| 4 | (E)-PhCH=CHCOPh | [Ph ₃ GaBu-n]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ COPh 4c | 85 |
| 5 | (E)-PhCH=CHCOPh | [n-Bu ₃ GaPh]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ COPh 4c | 79 |
| 6 | (E)-PhCH=CHCOCH ₃ | [Ph ₃ GaMe]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ COCH ₃ 4d | 67(8) ^b |
| 7 | (E)-PhCH≃CHCOCH ₃ | [Ph ₃ GaBu-n]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ COCH ₃ 4d | 74(6) ^b |
| 8 | PhCH=C(CO ₂ Et) ₂ | [Ph ₃ GaBu-n]Li | Ph ₂ CHCH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 4e | 95 |
| 9 | $p\text{-}\mathrm{O}_2NC_6H_4CH\text{=}C(C\mathrm{O}_2Et)_2$ | [Ph3GaBu-n]Li | $p\hbox{-}\!O_2NC_6H_4CH(Ph)CH(CO_2Et)_2\textbf{4f}$ | 93 |
| 10 | (E)-p-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH=C(CO ₂ Et)CN | [Ph ₃ GaBu-n]Li | p-CIC ₆ H ₄ CH(Ph)CH(CO ₂ Et)CN 4g | 91° |
| 11 | (E)-p-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH=C(CO ₂ Et)CN | [Ph3GaMe]Li | p-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH(Ph)CH(CO ₂ Et)CN 4g | 89° |
| 12 | PhCH=C(CO ₂ Et) ₂ | Bu₄GaLi | PhCH(Bu)CH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 4h | 95 |
| 13 | p-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ CH=C(CO ₂ Et) ₂ | Bu₄GaLi | p-O ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ CH(Bu)CH(CO ₂ Et) ₂ 4i | 90 |
| | | | | |

a) Isolated yield based on α , β -unsaturated compounds b) Data in parentheses refer to the 1,2-adduct yields of phenyl transfer. c) The syn:anti = 1:1, were estimated by 300 MHz 1 H NMR spectral data according to the coupling constant.

monoester can not take place. Heating reaction mixture (50° C), TLC shows that reactions are complicated.

It is particularly noteworthy that, using mixed lithium butyl tricyclopentylgallate containing primary group and secondary alkyl groups, the primary group is transferred nearly exclusively to the Michael acceptor (**Scheme 2**). Similar result was observed in across-coupled reaction of this gallate with acyl chloride⁵.

Scheme 2

Also, α,β -unsaturated nitro olefins are exceptional Michael acceptors and they are versatile intermediates in organic synthesis⁹. The reactions of many organometallic reagents with nitro olefins have been reported¹⁰. However, reaction conditions of these organometallic reagents with nitro olefins are often not mild to afford Michael products in moderate to satisfactory yields. Under mild condition, we carried out the reaction of gallate complexes with nitro olefin to obtain Michael products in excellent yields in a few minutes (**Scheme 3**).

In conclusion, Michael addition of gallates to α,β -unsaturated compounds would broaden the scope of utility of organogallium reagents in organic synthesis. In particular, reactions of gallates with nitro olefins represent one of efficient

Scheme 3

synthetic methods of very useful saturated nitro compounds. Further studies of the reactions of gallates with α,β -unsaturated compounds are now in progress in our group.

Experimental:

¹H NMR spectral were recorded on a Bruke 300 MHz in CDCl₃ or a Varian EM 390 MHz spectrometer in CCl₄ with SiMe₄ as the internal standard. Chemical shifts are given in ppm (δ) units. Coupling constant (J) are reported in Hertz. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IR-440 spectrometer; ν frequencies are given in cm⁻¹. Mass spectra were obtained by electron ionization(EI) on GC-HP5989 spectrometer.

Materials:

All α,β -unsaturated compounds except chalcone and cinnamaldehyde were prepared from the corresponding aldehydes and active α -H compounds according to literature. All triorganogallium were obtained by means of the reaction of gallium trichloride and Grignard reagents in Et₂O. All tetraorganogallate complexes were prepared *in situ* by addition of an organolithium reagent to a triorganogallium compound.

General Procedure:

Butyllithium (1.2 mmol, 76.8 mg, 1.6M, 0.75 mL in hexane, Aldrich) was slowly added into triphenylgallium (361 mg, 1.2 mmol) in 4 mL THF at 0°C and stirred for 30 min. under argon. The gallate was dropped by a syringe into chalcone (208 mg, 1 mmol) in 2 mL THF at 0°C for 30 min., then allowed to warm to room temperature. After aqueous work-up and chromatography on a silica gel plate, the pure product (175 mg) was obtained in 85% yield.

4a: b. p. 125-128°C / 2 mmHg (lit. 11 145-148°C / 4 mmHg). 1H NMR(CCl₄, TMS, 90MHz) : δ (ppm) 0.90(t, 3H, J = 7Hz), 1.3-1.5(m, 6H), 2.48(s, 1H),

 $4.08(m, 1H), 6.0(dd, 1H, J_1 = 6Hz, J_2 = 16Hz), 6.40(d, 1H, J = 16Hz), 7.12(s, 5H).$ EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 190(M⁺, 6.27), 172(M-H₂O , 42.71), 157(M-H₂O-CH₃, 21.05).

4b: m. p. 55-57°C (lit. 12 58°C). ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 90MHz): δ (ppm) 0.80(t, 3H, J = 7Hz), 1.25(m, 4H), 1.60(m, 3H), 3.2(m, 1H), 3.4(d, 2H, J = 8Hz), 7.30(m, 8H), 7.80(m, 2H). IR(CCl₄): 1690(s), 1600(w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 266(M⁺, 43.41), 251[(M-CH₃)⁺, 21.38], 161[(M-PhCO)⁺, 17.92], 105[(PhCO⁺), 67.92].

4c: m. p. 94-96°C (lit. ¹³ 94°C). ¹H NMR(CCl₄, TMS, 90MHz): δ(ppm) 3.5(d, 2H, J = 8Hz), 4.6(t, 1H, J = 8Hz), 7.0-7.4(m, 13H), 7.6-7.9(m, 2H). IR(CCl₄): 1695(s), 1600(s) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 286(M⁺, 32.76), 209[(M-Ph)⁺, 44.11], 181[(M-PhCO)⁺, 19.54], 105[(PhCO)⁺, 63.52].

4d: m. p. 92-93°C (lit. 14 90-92°C). ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 90MHz): δ (ppm) 2.03(s, 3H), 3.13(d, 2H, J = 7.6Hz), 4.52(t, 1H, J = 7.5Hz), 7.18(s, 10H). IR(CCl₄): 1690(s), 1600(s) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 225 [(M+1)⁺, 23.19], 224(M⁺, 37.99), 209[(M-CH₃)⁺, 61.22], 147[(M-Ph)⁺, 29.01].

4e: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 300MHz): δ (ppm) 1.02(t, 3H), 3.99(q, 4H), 4.33(d, 1H, J = 12.1Hz), 4.77(d, 1H, J = 12.1Hz), 7.20-7.30(m, 10H). IR(CCl₄): 3030(w), 2930(w), 1740(vs), 1600(v), 1490(w), 1450(w), 1360(w), 1310(m), 1260(m), 1170(m), 1030(m), 870(m), 770(vs), 700(s), 660(w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 326(M⁺, 11.04), 281[(M-OEt)⁺, 33.19], 249[(M-OEt)⁺, 33.19], 249[(M-OEt)⁺], 33.19], 249[(M-OEt)⁺]

Ph)⁺, 5.90], 167{[M-CH(CO₂Et)₂]⁺, 24.22}. Calcd for (C₂₀H₂₂O₄): C, 73.59, H, 6.78; Found: C, 73.90, H, 6.79.

4f: Light yellow oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 300MHz): δ(ppm) 1.04(m, 6H), 4.03(m, 4H), 4.35(d, 1H, J = 12.1Hz), 4.89(d, 1H, J = 12.1Hz), 7.28(m, 5H), 7.47(m, 2H), 8.14(m, 2H), IR(CCl₄): 3050(w), 2950(m), 1740(vs), 1600(m), 1520(s), 1450(m), 1340(s), 1300(s), 1260(s), 1180(s), 1100(m), 1030(m), 860(m), 770(vs), 700(s), 620(m), 600(w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): 371(M⁺, 17.00), 326[(M-OEt)⁺, 4.68], 325[(M-HOEt)⁺, 14.08], 298[(M-CO₂Et)⁺, 36.92], 297[(M-1-CO₂Et)⁺, 61.81], 280(17.45), 252(100.00), 225(34.97), 212(55.12), 178(32.06), 165(58.57). Calcd for (C₂₀H₂₁NO₆): C, 64.68, H, 5.70, N, 3.77; Found: C, 64.93, H, 5.75, N, 3.73;

4g: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.12(t, 3H, J = 7.0Hz), 4.11(q, 2H, J = 7.0Hz), 4.18(d, 1H, J = 5.4Hz), 4.22(d, 1H, J = 5.3Hz), 4.70(d, 1H, J = 4.0Hz), 4.73(d, 1H, J = 3.9Hz), 7.30(m, 9H), (syn/anti = 50/50); IR(KBr): 2982(w), 2246(w), 1918(w), 1743(vs), 1600(w), 1493(v), 1465(v), 1453(v), 1405(v), 1370(v), 1301(v), 1300(v), 12799(v). EIMS m/z(relative intensity): 313(M⁺,1.76), 268[(M-OEt)⁺, 0.20), 251(7.45), 201(100.00), 178(4.21), 165(34.05). Cacld. for (C₁₈H₁₆CINO₂): C, 68.90, H, 5.14, N, 4.46; Found: C, 68.62, H, 5.26, N, 4.22;

4h: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz): δ (ppm) 0.80(t, 3H, J = 7.2Hz), 0.95(m, 3H), 1.0-1.3(m, 7H), 1.65(m, 2H), 3.36(m, 1H), 3.64(d, 1H, J = 10.9Hz), 3.89(q, 2H, J = 7.1Hz), 4.26(q, 2H, J = 7.10Hz), 7.25(m, 5H); IR(neat):

2950(v) ,2830(m), 1740(vs), 1490(w), 1450(m), 1370(m), 1300(s), 1240(s), 1160(s), 1030(s), 860(m), 760(m), 700(s), 660(w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z (relative intensity): $306(M^{+}, 14.67), 291[(M-CH_{3})^{+}, 24.12], 261[(M-OEt)^{+}, 35.64],$ 233[(M-CO₂Et)⁺, 17.19). Calcd for (C₁₈H₂₆O₄): C, 70.56, H, 8.55; Found: C, 70.77, H, 8.65.

4i: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 300 MHz) δ 0.80(t ,3H, J = 7.3Hz), 1.0(t, 3H, J = 7.1Hz), 1.05-1.35(m ,7H), 1.62-1.75(m, 2H), 3.50(m, 1H), 3.67(d, 1H, J = 10.8Hz), 3.93(m, 2H), 4.26(q, 2H, J = 7.1Hz), 7.38(m, 2H), 8.17(m, 2H). IR: 2920(m), 2850(w), 1740(vs), 1600(w), 1520(s), 1460(w), 1340(s), 1300(m), 1240(m), 1170(m), 1030(m), 850(m), 750(w), 660(w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z(relative intensity) 351(M⁺, 19.07), 294[(M-Bu)⁺, 4.75), 277(55.18), 260(44.56), 248(24.05), 235(41.38), 220(12.79) ,192(15.55), 176(23.94), 160(100.00). Calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₆: C, 61.52; H, 7.17; N, 3.98. Found: C, 61.60; H, 7.12; N, 4.12.

6a: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 90MHz): δ (ppm) 1.37(d, 3H, J = 8Hz), 2.33 (s, 3H), 3.60 (m, 1H), 4.50 (d, 2H, J = 8Hz), 7.16 (s, 4H). IR (neat): 2930 (vs), 1545 (vs), 1530 (v), 1380 (v), 1100 (m), 820 (vs) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z(relative intensity): 179(M⁺, 15.31), 164[(M-CH₃), 21.86], 133(M-NO₂, 16.03). Calcd for $C_{10}H_{13}NO_2$: C, 67.02; H, 7.33; N, 7.81. Found: C, 66.78; H, 7.12; N, 7.56.

6b: Colorless oil, ¹H NMR(CDCl₃, TMS, 90MHz): δ (ppm) 0.90 (t, 3H, J = 7.5Hz), 1.30 - 1.70 (m, 4H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 3.40 (m, 1H), 4.55 (d, 2H, J = 7.5Hz), 7.20 (m, 4H); IR (neat): 2950 (m), 1545 (vs), 1526 (m), 1380 (v), 820 (v), 720 (w) cm⁻¹. EIMS m/z(relative intensity): 221(M⁺, 6.91), 206[(M-CH₃), 31.97], 175(M-NO₂, 53.46). Calcd for C₁₃H₁₉NO₂: C, 70.55; H, 8.67; N, 6.33. Found: C, 70.24; H, 8.39; N, 6.09.

Acknowledgment:

We wish to thank Prof. Li-Xin Dai for his kind help. We also acknowledge with great appreciation the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Academia Sinica for financial support.

References and notes

- Lee, V. L., "Conjugate Additions of Reactive Carbanions to Activated Alkenes and Alkynes", in "Comprehensive Organic Synthesis", ed. by Trost, B. M., Pergamon Press, Oxford 1991, Vol. 4, pp69-137.
- Bahr, G., Burba, P., "Methoden zur Herstellung und Umwandlung Gallium-Organischer Verbindungen", in "Houben-Weyl Methoden der Organischen Chemie", ed. by Muller, E., Thieme Georg Verlag, Stuttgart 1970, Vol 13/14, pp319-341.
- (a) Zweifel, G., "Organic Compounds of Group 3 Metals," in "Comprehensive Organic Chemistry," ed by Barton, D. H. R., Ollis, W. D., Pergamon Press, Oxford 1979, Vol. 3, Part 15.3, pp1013-1041. (b) Falorni, M., Lardicci, M., Giacomelli, G., Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4949. (c) Yamamoto, Y., Furuta, T., Chem. Lett. 1989, 797. (d) Kobayashi, S., Koide, K., Ohno, M., Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 2435. (e) Utimoto, K., Lambert, C., Fukuda, Y., Shiragami, H., Nozaki, H., Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5423.
- (a) Han, Y. and Huang, Y. -Z., Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 9433; (b) Zhang, X.-L.; Han, Y.; Tao, W.-T. and Haung, Y.-Z., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 189; (c) Han, Y., Huang, Y. -Z. and Zhou, C. -M, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 3347, (d) Han, Y.; Chi, Z. -F. and Haung, Y.-Z., Chinese Chemical Letters, 1996, 7, 713
- 5. Han, Y., Fang, L., Tao, W.-T., Huang, Y.-Z., Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1287
- 6. Han, Y. and Huang, Y.-Z., Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 7277.
- 7. (a) Marko, I. E., Leung, C. W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 371.
- Araki, S., Shimizu, T., Jin, S. J., Butsugan, Y., J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1991, 824.

(a) Seebach, D., Colvin, E. W., Lehr, F., Weller, T., Chimia, 1979, 33, 1; (b)
 Yoshikoshi, A., Mijiashita, M., Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 284. (c). Barret, A.
 G. M., Graboshi, G. G. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 751. (d) Rosini, G., Ballini, R.,
 Synthesis, 1988, 833.

- (a) Bowlus, S. B., Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3591. (b) Hansson, A. T., Nilsson, M., Tetrahedron, 1982, 38, 389. (c) Stiver, S., Yates, P., J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1983, 50; Buckley, D. G., J. Chem. Soc. 1947, 1494. (d) Seebach, D., Leitz, H. F., Ehrig, V., Chem. Ber. 1975, 108, 1924. (e) Barboni, L., Bartoli, G., Marcantoni, E., Petrini, M., Dalpozzo, R., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1, 1990, 2133. (f) Bartoli, G. B., Bosco, M., Sambri, L., Marcantoni, E., Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8651.
- Maruoka, K., Imoto, H., Saito, S. and Yamamoto, H., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 4131.
- 12. Ahlbrecht, H., Sommer, H., Chem. Ber., 1990, 829
- 13. Ohwada, T., Yamagata, N., Shudo, K., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 1364.
- 14. Cho, C. S., Motofusa, S.-I., Ohe, K., Uemura, S., Shim, S. C., *J. Org. Chem.*, **1995**, *60*, 883.

(Received in the USA 08 September 1998)