Epimerization of an Ascaroside-Type Glycolipid Downstream of the Canonical β -Oxidation Cycle in the Nematode *Caenorhabditis nigoni*

Célia P. Bergame,[†][®] Chuanfu Dong,^{‡,||}[®] Sylvain Sutour,[§][®] and Stephan H. von Reuß^{*,†,‡,§}[®]

[†]Laboratory for Bioanalytical Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of Neuchâtel, Avenue de Bellevaux 51, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

[‡]Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll Straße 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany [§]Neuchâtel Platform for Analytical Chemistry (NPAC), University of Neuchâtel, Avenue de Bellevaux 51, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A species-specific ascaroside-type glycolipid was identified in the nematode *Caenorhabditis nigoni* using HPLC-ESI-(-)-MS/MS precursor ion scanning, HR-MS/MS, and NMR techniques. Its structure containing an L-3,6-dideoxy-*lyxo*-hexose unit was established by total synthesis. The identification of this novel 4-*epi*-ascaroside (caenorhabdoside) in *C. nigoni* along with the previous identification of 2-*epi*-ascarosides (paratosides) in *Pristionchus pacificus* indicate that nematodes can generate highly specific signaling molecules by epimerization of the ascarylose building block downstream of the canonical β -oxidation cycle.

3,6-Dideoxyaldohexoses constitute essential building blocks of bacterial lipopolysaccharides¹ and nematode glycolipids.² Of the eight theoretically possible isomers (Figure 1), six have



Figure 1. Eight possible stereoisomers of 3,6-dideoxyaldohexose, of which five were identified from microorganisms (1-4, 6) and four from nematodes (3-5, and 7, this work).

previously been described, which represent three pairs of enantiomers (1-6). In many Gram-negative bacteria, 3,6-dideoxyhexoses located at the terminal ends of lipopolysaccharide chains constitute major epitopes that determinate antigen activity³ such as L-colitose (1, 3,6-dideoxy-L-xylohexose) from *E. coli* O111,⁴ D-abequose (2, 3,6-dideoxy-D-xylohexose) from *Salmonella abortus equi*,⁵ L-ascarylose (3, 3,6dideoxy-L-*arabino*-hexose) from *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*,⁶ Dtyvelose (4, 3,6-dideoxy-D-*arabino*-hexose) from *Salmonella typhi*,^{5,7} and D-paratose (6, 3,6-dideoxy-D-*ribo*-hexose) from *Salmonella paratyphi*.⁸ Within the animal kingdom, D-tyvelose



(4) is known as a terminal glycan unit of glycoproteins of the parasitic nematode Trichinella spiralis.⁹ The enantiomeric Lascarylose (3) was first described from lipophilic glycolipids located in the eggs of parasitic nematodes of the Ascaris genus.¹⁰ Over the past decade, L-ascarylose (3) has been recognized as the core building block of a large diversity of nematode-derived glycolipids, commonly known as ascarosides, which represent key signaling molecules in nematode chemical ecology.² Nematode ascarosides include a large diversity of homologous fatty acid like aglycones derived from the peroxisomal β -oxidation cycle and, in addition, incorporate a variety of building blocks from primary metabolic pathways to form a modular library of signaling molecules.¹¹ Recently, a sixth 3,6-dideoxysugar, the enantiomeric L-paratose (5, 3,6dideoxy-L-ribo-hexose), was identified as a rare building block of 2-epi-ascaroside-type glycolipids such as 12 from the nematode Pristionchus pacificus.¹² Here we describe the identification of a novel 4-epi-ascaroside-type glycolipid 11 from the nematode Caenorhabditis nigoni that represents the first natural product carrying the L-3,6-dideoxy-lyxo-hexose unit (7) that we like to call L-caenorhabdose. The exclusive specificity of these rare glycolipids for certain aglycones suggests that nematodes can generate species-specific 3,6dideoxyaldohexoses via 2- and 4-epimerization of the Lascarylose building block (3) downstream of the highly conserved peroxisomal β -oxidation cycle.

Received: October 25, 2019

Comprehensive ascaroside profiling of the *Caenorhabditis* nigoni exometabolome extract using two complementary techniques, a HPLC-ESI-(-)-MS/MS precursor ion scan¹³ for the ascaroside specific fragment ion at m/z 73.0 [C₃H₅O₂]⁻ (Figure S1) and GC-EIMS analysis of TMS-derivatized crude metabolome extracts¹⁴ using extracted ion chromatograms for the K1 fragment ion at m/z 130.1 [C₆H₁₄OSi]^{•+}, resulted in the identification of several common simple ascarosides (9, n =0–7), along with some species-specific derivatives such as the hydroxyacyl ascaroside asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10) (Figure 2).¹⁵



Figure 2. Core structure of nematode ascarosides (9) along with the species-specific hydroxyacyl ascaroside asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10) and 4-epimeric hydroxyacyl caenorhabdoside cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) from *Caenorhabditis nigoni*, as well as the 2-epimeric L-paratoside par-C5 (12) from *Pristionchus pacificus*.

One additional putative ascaroside-type component (11) was enriched from the C. nigoni exometabolome extract by reverse-phase C18 solid-phase extraction (SPE) and finally isolated as a 1:1 mixture along with coeluting asc-C7 (SMID:¹⁶ ascr#1, 9, n = 3) using semipreparative RP-C18-HPLC (Figure S2). The molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{26}O_7$ was established by HRMS (found m/z 317.1603 [M – H]⁻, Δ 0.9 ppm), whereas HR-MS/MS analysis suggested a hydroxynonenoic acid aglycone (Figure S3). Differential analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum with those of a preceding fraction rich in asc-C7 (9, n= 3) highlighted signals corresponding to the target compound 11 (Figure S4). Comparative analysis of the high-resolution dqf-COSY spectrum of 11 with those of asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10), previously identified from C. nigoni,¹⁵ suggested the presence of a similar $(\omega - 1)$ -linked 7OH- Δ C9 aglycone, whereas the 7,8-threo-configuration was derived from the vicinal coupling constant of ${}^{3}J = 3.9$ Hz by comparison with the *threo-* and erythro-configured synthetic standards prepared as previously described (Figure S5).¹⁵ Because the target compound 11 was different from *threo*-asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10), the stereochemistry of its 3,6-dideoxyhexose moiety was reevaluated based on the chemical shifts and ¹H, ¹H-coupling constants (Table 1, Figure S6). Structure assignment was complicated by the fact that the chemical shifts for H-2 and H-4 were almost indistinguishable, but both methines were found to exhibit small coupling constants with both anisochoric H-3 methylene protons at δ_{H} 1.93 ppm (dt, ^{2}J = 14.2 Hz, ^{3}J = 3.5 Hz) and 2.06 ppm (dt, ^{2}J = 14.2 Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.1 Hz). Furthermore, the methine proton H-5 at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.99 ppm appeared as a broad quartet with ${}^{3}J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, instead of the *dq*-signal with ${}^{3}J_{5,4} = 9.3$ Hz and ${}^{3}J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz commonly observed in ascarosides, indicating that the vicinal coupling constant ${}^{3}J_{5,4}$ is small. Along with the very small (unresolved) coupling constant for the anomeric proton H-1, these results indicated the α -configured 4-epimer of ascarylose for the sugar moiety that we like to call caenorhabdose (7)

Table 1. 400 MHz NMR Data of the Hydroxyacyl Ascaroside-Type Glycolipids asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10)¹⁵ and cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) from *C. nigoni* (in CD₃OD)

	asc-7OH-ΔC9 (10)		cae-7OH-ΔC9 (11)	
	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	¹³ C	¹ H	¹³ C
1	4.65 s	97.8	4.78 s	98.8
2	3.75 s.br	69.9	3.57 s.br	68.2
3ax	1.80 ddd, 13.1, 11.0, 3.0	35.9	2.06 dt, 14.3, 3.1	32.3
3eq	1.95 dt, 13.1, 3.8		1.93 ddt, 14.3, 1.0, 3.4	
4	3.52 ddd, 11.3, 9.3, 4.3	68.3	3.57 s.br	69.1
5	3.64 dq, 9.3, 6.2	71.4	3.99 dq, 1.0, 6.6	68.5
6	1.22 d, 6.2	18.1	1.18 d, 6.6	17.2
1'	-	170.6	-	170.8
2′	5.82 d, 15.6	123.2	5.82 d, 15.6	123.7
3′	6.95 dt, 15.6, 7.0	150.5	6.91 dt, 15.6, 7.0	150.0
4′	2.27 m	33.1	2.26 m	33.0
5'	1.54-1.68 m	25.9	1.53-1.70 m	25.8
6′	1.48-1.62 m	33.0	1.52-1.59 m	33.0
7'	3.53 m	74.9	3.52 m	75.0
8'	3.74 dq, 3.9, 6.2	75.3	3.75 dq, 3.9, 6.3	75.7
9′	1.14 d, 6.2	14.7	1.15 d, 6.3	14.8

and, thus, suggested the cae-7OH- Δ C9 structure for caenorhabdoside 11 (SMID:¹⁶ caen#1).

The structure assignment of cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) was unambiguously established by total synthesis as shown in Scheme 1. The *threo*-configured aglycone (19) was prepared in 9.5% yield over six steps as previously described (Scheme 1a).¹⁵ (+)-Methyl D-lactate (13) was converted to the paramethoxybenzyl (PMB) ether (14), reduced to the aldehyde 15, and reacted with 4-pentenyl magnesium bromide to furnish the threo-configured 2-O-PMB-3-hydroxy-oct-7-ene (16) with a diastereoisomeric excess of de > 92% as determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR. Benzoylation of 16 gave 17 with de > 99% after column chromatography. Cross metathesis of 17 with ethyl acrylate using Grubbs second-generation catalyst furnished the nonenoate ester 18, which was converted to the aglycone unit 19 upon selective cleavage of the PMB group. The 2,4-di-Obenzovl protected L-caenorhabdose building block (28) was prepared in 1% yield over eight steps as shown in Scheme 1b. Commercially available L-rhamnose (20) was converted to the 1-O-benzyl rhamnoside (21) and the 2,3-positions protected as isoproylidene ketal (22). After formation of the 4-trifluoromethylsulfonate (triflate) ester (23) and hydrolysis of the ketal, the resulting 24 was cyclized to the oxirane (25) under slightly alkaline conditions.¹⁷ Regioselective oxirane ring opening using lithium triethylborohydride furnished the L-1-O-benzyl caenorhabdoside (26).¹⁸

After benzoylation to the ester 27, palladium-catalyzed hydrogenation furnished the 2,4-di-O-benzoyl-caenorhabdose building block (28) as a 7:3 mixture of the α - and β -anomers. Glycoside formation between the aglycone (19) and the caenorhabdose (28) unit was accomplished using the trichloroacetimidate route¹⁹ to furnish 29 as a 3.4:1 mixture of the α - and β -isomers. Subsequent deprotection of isolated 29 under alkaline conditions afforded cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11), which displayed identical NMR (Figure S7) and LC-MS (Figure S8) data as the natural product isolated from *C. nigoni*.

Potential behavioral activity was evaluated using a holding assay that quantifies nematode retention in cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) conditioned scoring regions as well as a two-spot chemotaxis assay that quantifies nematode preference for

В

Scheme 1. Total Synthesis of Caenorhabdoside cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) from C. nigoni^a



^aPMB-TCA: *para*-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate, CSA: camphor-10-sulfonic acid, EA: ethyl acrylate. Grubbs cat. M2a: Grubbs secondgeneration catalyst, 2,2-DMP: 2,2-dimethoxypropane, LiTEBH: lithium triethylborohydride, TCA: trichloroacetonitrile, DBU: 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene.

feeding in cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11) conditioned *E. coli* spots in comparison with solvent-treated control. We found that cae-7OH- Δ C9 (11), individually as well as in combination with asc-7OH- Δ C9 (10), does not elicit any significant response in adult *C. nigoni* males or females. Additional experiments will be required to unravel the biological activity and ecological function of these highly species-specific potential signaling compounds.

In conclusion, chemical analysis of the *C. nigoni* metabolome resulted in the identification of a novel 4-*epi*-ascaroside-type glycolipid (11), the first natural product carrying the 3,6-dideoxy-*lyxo*-hexose unit (7). While the biogenesis of various 3,6-dideoxyhexoses in bacteria (1-4, 6) is well understood,²⁰ the *de novo* pathway to L-ascarylose (3) as the core building block of nematode ascarosides remains enigmatic. Functional characterization of *C. elegans* genes with homology to those implicated in the biogenesis of bacterial 3,6-dideoxyhexoses demonstrated that they are involved in L-rhamnose (6-deoxymannose) biosynthesis.²¹

Comprehensive ascaroside profiling of the *C. nigoni* metabolome demonstrated that formation of the 4-epimeric caenorhabdoside **11** is highly specific for the 7-OH- Δ C9 aglycone (Scheme 2). While its biosynthetic precursor asc- Δ C9 (SMID: ascr#3, **30**) is highly abundant, the corresponding 4-epimeric caenorhabdoside with a Δ C9 aglycone could not be detected (Figure S9), indicating that 4-epimerization occurs downstream from the β -oxidation cycle and side-chain hydroxylation. Similarly, analysis of *P. pacificus daf-22*, a mutant defective in peroxisomal β -oxidation, did not reveal any long-chain paratoside precursor,²² which suggests that par-C5 (SMID: part#9, **12**) is derived from the corresponding asc-C5 (SMID: ascr#9, **31**) via 2-epimerization downstream of the β -

Scheme 2. Postulated Biosynthesis of Highly Species-Specific Signaling Molecules in the Nematodes *C. nigoni* and *P. pacificus* Based on Epimerization of the L-Ascarylose Building Block Downstream of the β -Oxidation Cycle



oxidation cycle. Enzymes capable of catalyzing epimerization of nonactivated hydroxymethine groups are well-known from

Organic Letters

carbohydrate metabolism.²³ Taken together, these results demonstrate how epimerization of the L-ascarylose building block acts along with peroxisomal β -oxidation, side chain hydroxylation, and modular assembly to generate highly species-specific glycolipids that form the chemical language of nematodes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.9b03808.

Detailed experimental procedures, supporting tables and figures as described in the mail text, and NMR spectra of isolated and synthetic compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: stephan.vonreuss@unine.ch.

ORCID [®]

Célia P. Bergame: 0000-0001-9075-505X Chuanfu Dong: 0000-0003-3043-7257 Sylvain Sutour: 0000-0001-9676-7123 Stephan H. von Reuß: 0000-0003-4325-5495

Present Address

^{II}Department of Integrative Evolutionary Biology, Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology, Max-Planck-Ring 9, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the University of Neuchâtel (UniNE), the Max Planck Society (MPG), and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) is gratefully acknowledged. All nematode strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Westphal, O.; Lüderitz, O. Angew. Chem. 1960, 72, 881–891.
 (b) Mac Lennan, A. P.; Davies, D. A. L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 1960, 42, 1173–1379.
 (c) Davies, D. A. L. Adv. Carbohyd. Chem. 1961, 15, 271–340.
 (d) Westphal, O.; Lüderitz, O. Path. Microbiol. 1961, 24, 870–889.
 (e) Lindberg, B. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 48, 279–318.
 (f) Liu, H.; Thorson, J. S. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 1994, 48, 223–256.
 (g) Trefzer, A.; Salas, J. A.; Bechthold, A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1999, 16, 283–299.
 (h) de Lederkremer, R. M.; Marino, C. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 2007, 61, 143–213.

(2) (a) von Reuss, S. H.; Schroeder, F. C. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2015, 32, 994–1006. (b) Schroeder, F. C. Chem. Biol. 2015, 22, 7–16. (c) Butcher, R. A. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2017, 13, 577–586. (d) Butcher, R. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2017, 34, 472–477. (e) Viney, M.; Harvey, S. PLoS Genet. 2017, 13, No. e1007046. (f) von Reuss, S. H. Chimia 2018, 72, 297–303. (g) McGrath, P. T.; Ruvinsky, I. Curr. Opin. Syst. Biol. 2019, 13, 23–30. (h) Park, J. Y.; Joo, H.-J.; Park, S.; Paik, Y.-K. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 3898.

(3) (a) Aleksić, S.; Suchan, G.; Bockemühl, J.; Aleksić, V. Contrib. Microbiol. Immunol. **1991**, *12*, 235. (b) Samuel, G.; Reeves, P. Carbohydr. Res. **2003**, 338, 2503–2519.

(4) Lüderitz, O.; Staub, A. M.; Stirm, S.; Westphal, O. *Biochem. Z.* **1958**, 330, 193-197. (5) Westphal, O.; Lüderitz, O.; Fromme, I.; Joseph, N. Angew. Chem. 1953, 65, 555-560.

(6) Mac Lennan, A. P.; Davies, D. A. L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 1960, 42, 1173–1379.

(7) Pon, G.; Staub, A. M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. 1952, 34, 1132–1144.
(8) (a) Davies, D. A. L.; Fromme, I.; Lüderitz, O.; Staub, A. M.; Westphal, O. Nature 1958, 181, 822. (b) Lüderitz, O.; Staub, A. M.; Stirm, S.; Westphal, O. Biochem. Z. 1958, 330, 193–197.

(9) (a) Wisnewski, N.; McNeil, M.; Grieve, R. B.; Wassom, D. L. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 1993, 61, 25–36. (b) Reason, A. J.; Ellis, L. A.; Appleton, J. A.; Wisnewski, N.; Grieve, R. B.; McNeil, M.; Wassom, D. L.; Morris, H. R.; Dell, A. Glycobiology 1994, 4, 593–603. (c) Ellis, L. A.; McVay, C. S.; Probert, M. A.; Zhang, J.; Bundle, D. R.; Appleton, J. A. Glycobiology 1997, 7, 383–390. (d) Appleton, J. A.; Romaris, F. Vet. Parasitol. 2001, 101, 249–260.

(10) Bartley, J. P.; Bennett, E. A.; Darben, P. A. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 921-926.

(11) Srinivasan, J.; von Reuss, S. H.; Bose, N.; Zaslaver, A.; Mahanti, P.; Ho, M. C.; O'Doherty, O. G.; Edison, A. S.; Sternberg, P. W.; Schroeder, F. C. *PLoS Biol.* **2012**, *10*, No. e1001237.

(12) Bose, N.; Ogawa, A.; von Reuss, S. H.; Yim, J. J.; Ragsdale, E. J.; Sommer, R. J.; Schroeder, F. C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12438–12443.

(13) von Reuss, S. H.; Bose, N.; Srinivasan, J.; Yim, J. J.; Judkins, J. C.; Sternberg, P. W.; Schroeder, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1817–1824.

(14) von Reuss, S. H.; Dolke, F.; Dong, C. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 10570-10577.

(15) Dong, C.; Reilly, D. K.; Bergame, C.; Dolke, F.; Srinivasan, J.; von Reuss, S. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 7109–7120.

(16) The nomenclature of ascarosides utilizes structure-based abbreviations according to: (head group-)asc- $(\omega)(\Delta)$ C#(terminal group) as well as Small Molecule IDentifiers (SMIDs) that facilitate database searching for small molecule metabolites (see: www.smid-db. org).

(17) Zunk, M.; Kiefel, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. **2011**, 52, 1296–1299. (18) (a) Baer, H. H.; Astles, D. J. Carbohydr. Res. **1984**, 126, 343–

(a) Date, 11 11, 12400, 219, Conversion 144, 1961, 120, 616
 347. (b) Cuccarese, M. F.; Wang, H.-Y. L.; O'Doherty, G. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 3067–3075.

(19) Schmidt, R. R.; Michel, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 731-732.

(20) (a) Weigel, T. M.; Liu, L.; Liu, H. Biochemistry 1992, 31, 2129–2139. (b) Thorson, J. S.; Lo, S. F.; Liu, H.-W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5827–5828. (c) Thorson, J. S.; Lo, S. F.; Ploux, O.; He, X.; Liu, H.-W. J. Bacteriol. 1994, 176, 5483–5493. (d) Alam, J.; Beyer, N.; Liu, H. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 16450–16460. (e) Koropatkin, N. M.; Liu, H.; Holden, H. M. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 20874–20881. (f) Johnson, D. A.; Liu, H.-W. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1998, 2, 642–649. (g) He, X. M.; Liu, H.-W. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2002, 71, 701–754.

(21) Feng, L.; Shou, Q.; Butcher, R. A. Biochem. J. 2016, 473, 1507–1521.

(22) Markov, G. V.; Meyer, J. M.; Panda, O.; Artyukhin, A. B.; Claaßen, M.; Witte, H.; Schroeder, F. C.; Sommer, R. J. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2016**, 33, 2506–2514.

(23) (a) Hallis, T. M.; Zhao, Z.; Liu, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10493–10503. (b) Koropatkin, N. M.; Liu, H.-W.; Holden, H. M. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 20874–20881. (c) Brokate-Llanos, A. M.; Monje, J. M.; Murdoch, P. d. S.; Muñoz, M. J. Genetics 2014, 198, 1559–1569.