

\$0040-4020(96)00027-0

Selectivity in Bromination of Aniline and N-Substituted Anilines Encapsulated in β-Cyclodextrin

Ponnusamy Velusamy, Kasi Pitchumani^{*, @, #} and Chockalingam Srinivasan^{*}

Department of Materials Science and [@]School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai - 625 021, India

Abstract: In contrast to the conventional bromination, aniline and N-methylaniline encapsulated in β -Cyclodextrin (β -CD) give <u>ortho</u>-bromoaniline and <u>para-</u> bromo-N-methylaniline respectively in larger yield. The results are explained on the basis of mode of complexation between β -CD and anilines.

INTRODUCTION

A nonreducing cyclic oligosaccharide, β -cyclodextrin (β -CD) is a bottomless bucket shaped molecule composed of seven glucopyranose units, with a hollow hydrophobic cavity and peripheral hydroxyl groups that provide a chiral binding site capable of including selected organic guest molecules and in some cases catalyses the reactions of the included guest molecules.^{1,2} Complexes of 1:1 stoichiometry are predominently formed. The interior of the torus of the CD molecule is moderately non-polar and the complexes are water soluble since all free hydroxyl groups of the glucose molecules are on the outside of the ring. The stability of the inclusion complexes and the selectivity in the reaction strongly depends on the size, shape and hydrophobicity of the guest molecules.³

The most interesting property of the CDs, which is of our current interest,⁴ is that they can lead to the selectivity of organic reactions. In this respect, it has been reported that, in chlorination of anisole⁵ by hypochlorous acid, addition of α -CD increases the ratio. A very high selectivity for the para-position of para/ortho phenol was observed in the carboxylation⁶ and formylation⁷ reactions when CD is used as the catalyst. Iodination of phenol and aniline⁸ in the presence of β -CD decreases ortho/para ratio. Yet the examples of product specificities in CD bound substrates, are rather few. The selectivity achieved in the direct bromination of β -CD complexes of aniline (1), N-methylaniline, NMA (2), N,N-dimethylaniline, DMA (3) N,N-diethylaniline, DEA (4) complexed with β -CD is discussed and in the bromination of β -CD complexes, here. We found that monobromination can be carried out with moderately good yields without

Present Address: Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118-5698, USA resorting to the customary blocking procedure. We also report here the complexation pattern of anilines (1 to 4) with β -CD which helps to interpret the results obtained in the product studies.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Materials

 β -CD (Sigma) and bromine (Merck) were used as received. Aniline (SD's), NMA (Sisco), DMA (Merck) and DEA (SRL) were purified by distillation under reduced pressure. Carbon tetrachloride (Qualigens, AR grade) and double distilled water were used throughout the study.

Preparation of *B-CD* complexes

Reported procedure⁹ was adopted for the preparation of β -CD complexes of aniline and N-substituted anilines. To 10 mL of aqueous solution of β -CD (600 mM) equimolar amount of guest molecule was added. After constant stirring for 24 hours at room temperature, the resultant white crystalline precipitate was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether to eliminate the guest molecule not included and dried in an air oven for 3 hours (60^oC). The dried white crystalline powder was used as such for further studies.

Bromination of B-CD-aniline complexes

The β -CD complex of aniline (2 g) or N-substituted aniline was dissolved in 5 mL of CCl₄ in dark at 0^oC. About 6 mL of Br₂ in CCl₄ (various concentration of Br₂ in CCl₄; refer Table 4) was admitted dropwise for 20 min. and the reaction mixture was stirred constantly for 45 min. The reaction was arrested by pouring the mixture into 15-20 mL of ice cold water followed by the extraction with warm chloroform, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and solvent removed. The recovered product mixture was analysed by gas chromatography and confirmed by coinjection with the authentic samples prepared from known procedures.¹⁰

Bromination of aniline in solution (without CD)

To 10 mL of (160 mM) pure aniline or N-substituted aniline in CCl_4 kept at $0^{O}C$ was added 6 mL of Br_2 in CCl_4 (Table 4). The slow and careful addition was carried out with constant stirring for 45 min. in dark. The hydrobromic acid was removed by adding 20 mL of ice cold water into the reaction mixture. The product was extracted with diethyl ether, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, solvent removed and the product mixture analysed.

Analysis

Netel gas chromatograph (G.C) equipped with flame ionization detector and a 2 feet length i.d. 0.5 mm stainless steel column with SE-30 (10%) stationary phase was used for G.C. To confirm the formation of β -CD complex-aniline, ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of β -CD complex were recorded in D₂O and DMSO respectively with a JOEL GCX-400

NMR spectrometer. The IR spectra of anilines and their β -CD complexes were recorded in KBr/neat, between 200-4000 cm⁻¹ using a Perkin-Elmer 577 IR spectrometer. UV-absorption spectra were recorded with a UV/VIS JASCO 7800 spectrophotometer. Optical densities were monitored at various wavelengths ranging from 200-300 nm (using spectroscopic grade methanol as the solvent at 25°C) and using the Benesi-Hildebrand method,¹¹ the dissociation constant, K_D , was obtained from the plot of $a_0b_0/\Delta OD vs a_0+b_0$, where a_0 and b_0 being concentration of β -CD and aniline respectively. Emission spectra were recorded with a JASCO FP 770 spectrofluorometer and intensities were monitored in the wavelength method¹² region 300-600 nm. Employing the modified Benesi-Hildebrand dissociation constant $(K_{D} = 1/K_{f})$ was calculated from the ratio the of the slope $(1/aK_f)$ and intercept (1/a) from the linear plot of $b_0/\Delta I$ vs 1/a, where 'a' is the proportionality constant. The absorption and emission spectra show slight hyperchromic and bathochromic shifts respectively, providing additional evidence for complex formation. The concentration of B-CD was varied from 1-50 times as that of aniline.

The bromo derivatives formed on bromination of anilines (1 to 4) in free and complexed form with β -CD were identified with their ¹H-NMR and IR spectral data and also using GC by coinjection of authentic samples synthesised separately.¹⁰ ¹H-NMR measurements were obtained from a Perkin-Elmer (R32) (90 MHz) spectrometer (in CDCl₃/Acetone-d₆) with TMS as internal standard reference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterisation of B-CD inclusion complexes

The spectral properties of the complexed guest molecule are often markedly different from the non-complexed species. Hence spectral measurements are frequently used to characterize CD complexes.¹³ The orientation of the guest molecules in the CD cavity is governed by the molecular shape of the guest molecule and also by the interaction between the guest and CD.

¹H-NMR spectra. Complex formation is evidenced from 400 MHz ¹H-NMR spectral studies of β -CD complexes of anilines (1 to 4). The CD protons are identified on the basis of their specific coupling pattern.¹⁴ In all the four complexes, the shielding effect is more pronounced on H₃ of β -CD proton which is oriented towards the interior of the cavity (Table 1) and less pronounced on the H₆ proton which is on the narrow opening of the CD molecule and directing towards the outside of the cavity. The other protons H₁, H₂ and H₄, all located on the exterior wall have only small upfield shift. It is interesting to note that, the shifts are quite strong compared to other substrates.⁴,¹⁴ The unusually stronger shifts arise due to the aromatic anisotropic effect of induced magnetic field of aryl ring and the nitrogen atom. These observations are consistent with the notion that complexes are formed between β -CD and anilines (1 to 4).

	₿-CD	β-CD-Aniline complex	β-CD-NMA complex	β-CD-DMA complex	β-CD-DEA complex	
н ₁	2065.7	1963.8	2036.0	1961.8	1963.1	
H ₂	1502.9	1400.0	1468.0	1400.0	1401.5	
н ₃	1628.6	1485.0	1548.0	1489.1	1483.1	
H ₄	1471.4	1367.5	1436.0	1367.3	1369.2	
н ₅	1582.9	1482.9	1548.0	1483.6	1481.5	
н ₆	1591.4	1517.5	1586.4	1516.4	1513.9	

Table 1. 400 MHz ¹H-NMR chemical shifts of cyclodextrin protons^a, b

^a Chemical shifts are expressed in Hz; solvent D_2O .

b Abbreviations: NMA = N-Methylaniline;

DMA = N,N-Dimethylaniline;

DEA = N,N-Diethylaniline.

 ^{13}C -NMR spectra. An advantage of ^{13}C -NMR over the ^{1}H -NMR spectrum is that it can provide direct and useful information of the position of all the carbon atoms of the guest and thus on the degree of penetration of the guest molecule in the cavity. However, only the position of the aromatic ring of the guest in the cavity can be determined by the use of ^{1}H -NMR method. The ^{13}C -NMR chemical shifts for the various carbon atoms of β -CD, anilines (1 to 4) and their β -CD-aniline complexes are summarized in Table 2. The possible conformation of the complex between cyclodextrin and anilines (1 to 4) is shown in Figure 1.

As a result of complexation, all the carbon atoms of β -CD undergo considerable downfield shift (deshielded). It is clearly noticed that C_3 and C_5 carbon atoms which are residing inside the cavity are deshielded largely compared to other carbon atoms of β -CD.

As the aryl ring of the guest molecule is deeply included into the cavity, the chemical shift values of carbon atoms of the complexed anilines are different from the uncomplexed one.^{15,16} The carbon atoms of anilines (1 to 4) in their β -CD complex exhibit a significant shielding effect which is significant in the case of C_4 , in all the complexes, providing a clear idea that C_4 atom of anilines (1 to 4) penetrates deeply into the cavity. It is also inferred that all other carbon atoms of the phenyl ring are also encircled by the CD moiety. Only the C_1 carbon atom of aniline in its β -CD complex is deshielded which results in the exposure of that C_1 carbon atom outside the CD cavity (Scheme 1). Though the large chemical shift observed C_7 and C_8 atoms of β -CD complex of DMA requires further analysis, there is a possibility that the phenyl and dimethylamino groups in the complex may not be in the same plane. Furthermore, the C_9 and C_{10} carbon atoms of DEA in its β -CD complex show only marginal shift towards upfield. This observation reveals that the two methyl of DEA are away from the CD cavity. All the above results groups indicate complex fromation between β -CD and anilines (1 to 4).

β-CD Carbon	β-CD-Aniline complex	β-CD-NMA complex	B-CD-DMA complex	β -CD-DEA complex ($\Delta\delta$)		
atoms (δ)	(Δδ) ^C	(Δδ)	(Δδ)			
1 ^d 102.018	+0.011	-0.111	-0.065	-0.095		
2 72.419	-0.063	-0.126	-0.095	-0.126		
3 72.905	-0.232	-0.201	-0.186	-0.308		
4 81.648	+0.011	-0.095	-0.065	-0.065		
5 71.933	-0.187	-0.187	-0.156	-0.265		
6 59.912	-0.095	-0.140	-0.110	-0.171		
Anilines Anil	β-CD-Ani. NMA	B-CD-NMA DMA	B-CD-DMA	DEA B-CD-DEA		
ine	complex	complex	complex	complex		
Carbon atoms $(\delta)^e$	(Δδ) (δ)	(Δδ) (δ)	(۵ گ)	(δ) (Δδ)		
c ₁ ^d 146.6	-1.654 150.2	+0.611 150.2	+0.019	147.8 +0.225		
c_2, c_6 115.0	+1.264 112.3	+0.962 112.3	+0.203	112.0 +0.309		
c_3, c_5 128.7	+0.088 129.2	+0.603 129.2	+0.588	129.1 +0.074		
C ₄ 117.9	+2.212 116.7	+1.491 116.7	+0.869	115.5 +1.500		
c ₇ , c ₈ -	- 30.2	+0.738 30.2	+8.264	44.2 +0.465		
c ₉ ,c ₁₀ -			-	12.5 +0.057		

Table 2. 400 MHz ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts (Hz) of β -CD, anilines (1 to 4) and β -CD-aniline complexes^{a,b}

^a Abbrevations are the same as in Table 1.

^b Chemical shift values are assigned with DMSO signals as the base in both the complexes and the free substrates at room temperature.

^C + and - signs indicate shielding and deshielding respectively.

^d Numbering of carbon atoms of β -CD and anilines (1 to 4) are given in Figure 1.

^e Chemical shift values of anilines (1 to 4) are collected from literature; Ref. 16.

The orientation given in Scheme 1 for the substrates (1 to 4) seems to be consistent with the 1 H- and 13 C-NMR spectral results.

Dissociation constants. The dissociation constants of the four complexes were determined by employing absorption or fluorescence spectral data and the Benesi-Hilderbrand methods.^{11,12} From the data given in Table 3, it is concluded that the formation constant $(1/K_D)$ decreases in the order NMA \simeq Aniline > DEA >> DMA. The inclusion of DMA in the β -CD cavity is not very favourable than others because of the steric hindrance offered by the two methyl groups.

Anilines	λex (nm)	K _D ^b (M)	λem (nm)	K _D ^C (M)		
Aniline	277	1.5×10^{-3}	342.0 - 350.5	1.4×10^{-3}		
NMA	284	1.4×10^{-3}	355.5 - 360.0			
DMA	271	22.9 x 10^{-3}	356.0 - 364.5	21.2×10^{-3}		
DEA	256	2.2×10^{-3}	363.0 - 364.5	3.5×10^{-3}		

Table 3. Dissociation constants obtained with absorption and fluorescence spectral methods^a


^a Abbreviations are the same as in Table 1.

^b Value obtained with absorption spectral method.

^C Value obtained with fluorescence spectral method.

IR spectra. In *B*-CD complexes of anilines (1 to 4), the observed peaks are relatively so weak. The intensity of aromatic C-H bending vibration for the monosubstituted aryl ring (having 5 adjacent hydrogen atoms, in the region 770-730 cm⁻¹ is decreased as in the case of *B*-CD-chalcone complex.⁴ This clearly indicates that the aryl rings of anilines (1 to 4) are hidden inside the CD cavity.

In the case of aniline and NMA, \mathcal{V} N-H bending is shifted from 1590 to 1580 cm⁻¹ (sharp) upon complexation. The \mathcal{V} C-H stretching frequency of N-CH₃ observed at 2830 cm⁻¹ in the free NMA was found shifted with reduced intensity to 2880 cm⁻¹ in its β -CD complex. In β -CD complexes of DMA and DEA, the peaks for the methyl groups are clearly noticed at 2860-2880 cm⁻¹ (broad). This shows the presence of alkyl groups in the exterior of the CD moiety.



(c) Figure 1. Structures of (a) β -CD, (b) DEA and (c) postulated conformations of β -CD-aniline complexes.

Regioselective bromination of aniline and N-substituted anilines

Aniline is known to undergo aromatic electrophilic substitution on bromination to yield <u>para</u>- as well as tri-bromoaniline with the product ratio depending on the reagent or reaction conditions.¹⁷ In a recent paper¹⁸ to interpret the results of the kinetics of bromination of substituted phenols and other aromatics (other than amines) catalysed by α -cyclodextrin in aqueous solution, Tee and Javed propose the mechanism involves the reaction between free substrate and the α -CD-Br₂ complex. However we have studied the bromination of amines encapsulated in β -CD. In the present investigation the direct bromination of anilines (1 to 4) with Br₂ in CCl₄ as well as β -CD complexes of amines has been extensively studied with the aim of determining the selectivity in the reactions. The product distribution depends on the molarity of bromine used. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Reactions between various anilines (1 to 4) and bromine in CCl_4 in the presence and absence of β -CD^{a,b,C}

	_	Br ₂ in			Product distribution (%)							
Subs- trate	Run No.	cc1 ₄ mM ^d	Conv	% /ersion	ortho-	para-	2,4	 I-di	2,4	.6-tri		 х
						<u></u>						
Aniline	e 1	10	74	(100) ^f	57 (-)	- (90)	10	(10)	1	(-)	6	(-)
167 mM	2	20		(100)	65 (-)	- (79)	13	(20)	1	(1)	2	(-)
	3	50	94	(100)	71 (-)	- (70)	15	(26)	3	(4)	5	(-)
	4	100	99	(100)	74 (-)	- (60)	16	(30)	4	(10)	5	(-)
	5	200 ^e	100	(100)	- (-)	24 (49)	50	(39)	18	(12)	7	(-)
NMA	6	10	14	(55)	- (-)	14 (39)	_	(16)	-	(-)	-	(-)
161 mM	7	20	44	(91)	- (-)	33 (31)	-	(60)	-	(-)	11	(-)
	8	50	67	(98)	- (-)	48 (16)	12	(82)	-	(-)	7	(-)
	9	100	88	(99)	- (-)	63 (14)	18	(85)	-	(-)	7	(-)
DMA	10	50	47	(43)	- (-)	27 (32)	-	(-)	-	(-)	20	(11)
159 mM	11	100	55	(86)	- (-)	30 (76)	-	(-)	-	(-)	25	(10)
DEA	12	100	33	(21)	- (-)	19 (21)	_	(-)	-	(-)	14	(-)
156 mM		200 ^e	58	(31)	- (-)	16 (31)	-	(-)	-	(-)	42	(-)

^a Abbreviations are the same as in Table 1.

^b Stirred for 45 min.; dark; 0^oC. Analysed by GC (error limit ± 5%).


^C β -CD:Substrate = 1:1 complex.


^d [Substrate]/[Br_2] = 1.6 to 16 times; > 1 (molar ratio).


e [Substrate]/[Br₂] = 0.8; < 1 (molar ratio).

^f Numbers in the parentheses are results obtained in the bromination of anilines (1 to 4) carried out under exactly the same conditions but without β -CD.

X To be characterised.







 $R_1 = R_2 = CH_3 \text{ or } C_2H_5$

a = Lower [Br₂] b = Higher [Br₂]

Scheme 1.

As it can be seen, <u>ortho</u>-bromoaniline is formed as the major product when bromination was carried out with β -CD-aniline 1:1 complex at 100 mM Br₂ in contrast to the formation of <u>para</u>-bromoaniline in solution. In the conventional method <u>para</u>-isomer predominates as expected (Runs 1-4). This is because of the greater electron density at <u>para</u>-position.¹⁹ Eventhough the <u>para</u>-position is electron rich, <u>ortho</u>isomer is the major one in bromination of β -CD complex and this indicates that, the aniline resides inside the cavity in such a way that the <u>ortho</u>-position is exposed to easier attack of the electrophile (Br⁺). The β -CD-aniline structure is likely to be as shown in Figure 1 and in Scheme 1 and thus accounts for selectivity.

When the substrate/ Br_2 molar ratio is greater than unity the monosubstitution is favoured and when less than unity, di- and tribromoderivatives are formed in addition to monobrominated product (Run 5).

In the bromination of NMA (Runs 6-9), as the substrate/ Br_2 molar ratio is decreased, formation of <u>para</u>-bromo derivative increased in bromination of β -CD complex but it is just reverse in the conventional method. As the methyl group offers steric hindrance to the approach of bromine in NMA and also the complex is relatively weaker (Table 3), the electrophilic attack takes place in the <u>para</u>-position in this case. Because of steric hinderance for Br^+ in the 2- position, the yield of 2,4-dibromo derivative is lower in the complex. The protonation of amino group by the HBr formed also provides further steric hindrance to the attack at <u>ortho</u>-position.

Compared to aniline and NMA, DMA and DEA favour only parabromination and the extent of reaction is less in complex when compared to conventional method (Runs 10-13). It is likely that in the complexation of DMA and DEA, the dimethylamino or diethylamino groups and the phenyl group may not be in the same plane (Scheme 1). This will result in considerable reduction in the electron density at the <u>para-</u> position due to reduced mesomeric interaction compared to uncomplexed DMA and therefore the yield is lower. It is reported that bromine reacts with amine to form some complex with the result that the normal reaction is greately retarded.²⁰ This may be the reason for the observation of unidentified products (X), in the bromination of β -CD complexes of anilines (1 to 4) (Table 4).

Acknowledgement

P.V. thanks the Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai for the award of USRF and the CSIR, New Delhi for the award of SRF.

REFERENCES

- Bender, M.L.; Komiyama, M. Cyclodextrin Chemistry, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1978; Ramamurthy, V.; Eaton, D.F. Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 300-306.
- Szejtli, J. Cyclodextrin Technology in Topics in Inclusion Science (Ed: Davies, J.E.D), Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 1988;

```
Wenz, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 803-822.
 3. Tabushi, I.; Yamamura, K.; Fujita, K.; Kawakubo, H. J. Am. Chem.
    Soc., 1979, 101, 1019-1026;
    Nishimura, M.; Deguchi, T.; Sanemasa, I. Bull.Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1989,
    62, 3718-3720.
 4. Pitchumani, K.; Durai Manickam, M.C.; Srinivasan, C. Tetrahedron
    Lett., 1991, 32, 2975-2978;
    Pitchumani, K.; Durai Manickam, M.C.; Srinivasan, C. Indian J. Chem.
    1993, 32B, 1074-1076;
    Pitchumani, K.; Velusamy, P.; Durai Manickam, M.C.; Srinivasan, C.
    Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.), 1994, 106, 49-58;
    Pitchumani, K.; Velusamy, P.; Sabithamala, S.; Srinivasan, C.
    Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 7903-7912;
    Pitchumani, K.; Velusamy, P.; Srinivasan, C. Tetrahedron, 1994, 50,
    12979-12988;
    Pitchumani, K.; Velusamy, P.; Shayira Banu H.; Srinivasan, C.
    Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 1149-1152.
 5. Breslow, B.; Campbell, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 4142.
 6. Komiyama, M.; Hirai, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 174-178.
 7. Komiyama, M.; Hirai, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 2018-2021.
 8. Veglia, A.V.; de Rossi, R.H. J. Org. Chem., 1988, 55, 5281-5287.
 9. Dasaratha Reddy, G.; Usha, G.; Ramanathan, K.V.; Ramamurthy, V.
    J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3085-3093.
10. Vogel, A.I. Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry, ELBS:
    England, (5th Edn.), 1989; p.909 & 918;
    Kosolapoff, G.M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 3596-3607;
    Hazlet, S.E.; Dornfeld, C.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1944, 66, 1781-
    1782;
    Gilman, H.; Banner, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1940, 62, 344-345.
11. Benesi, H.A.; Hildebrand, J.H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 2703-
    2707.
12. Kinoshita, T.; Iinuma, F.; Tsuji, A. Chem. Phar. Bull., 1974, 22,
    2413-2420.
13. Patonay, G.; Warner, J. J. Incl. Phenom., 1991, 11, 313-322.
14. Demarco, P.V.; Thakkar, A.L. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1970, 2-4.
15. Komiyama, M.; Bender, M.L. Cyclodextrin as enzyme models, in Topics
    in The Chemistry of Enzyme action (Ed: Page, M.I), Elsevier Science
    Publishers: Great Britain, 1984.
16. Breitmaier, E.; Hass, G.; Voelter, W. Atlas of Carbon-13 NMR Data,
    Vol. 1 & 2, Heyden & Sons Ltd: London, 1979.
17. Derek Barton, D.; David Ollis, W. Comprehensive Organic Chemistry,
    (Ed: C.J.Drayton), Pergamon Press: Oxford, (1st Edn.), 1979; p.148,
    149 & 172.
18. Tee, O.S.; Javed, B.C. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1994, 23-29.
19. Norman, R.O.C. Principls of Organic Chemistry, Chapman and Hall:
    London, (2nd Edn.), 1978; p.69.
```

20. Bell, R.P.; Ramsden, E.N. J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 161-168.

(Received in UK 1 November 1995; revised 20 December 1995; accepted 8 January 1996)