

## The Kinetic Range of Carbene–Pyridine Ylide forming Reactions


Chuan-Sheng Ge, Eun G. Jang, Elizabeth A. Jefferson, Weiguo Liu, Robert A. Moss,\* Joanna Wlostowska and Song Xue

Department of Chemistry, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, USA

Absolute rate constants for the ylide-forming reactions of 16 carbenes with pyridine range from  $1.4 \times 10^{10}$  to  $1.2 \times 10^5$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ , and can be rationalized by frontier molecular orbital theory.

In 1988, Jackson *et al.* reported laser flash photolysis (LFP) experiments in which aryl- or alkyl-chlorocarbenes,  $\text{R}-\text{C}-\text{Cl}$ , reacted with pyridine in hydrocarbon solutions at near diffusion-controlled rates, affording pyridinium ylides that absorbed strongly at 376 ( $\text{R} = \text{Me}_3\text{C}$ ) or 480 nm ( $\text{R} = \text{Ph}$ )<sup>1</sup> [eqn. (1)]. Correlations of the observed rates of formation of the ylides ( $k_{\text{obs}}$ ) with the concentration of pyridine gave the second-order rate constants for the carbene–pyridine reactions ( $k_y$ ) as  $3.3 \times 10^8$  ( $\text{R} = \text{Ph}$ ) and  $2.4 \times 10^9$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  ( $\text{R} = \text{Me}_3\text{C}$ ), whilst the intercepts of these correlations at  $[\text{pyr}] = 0$  provided kinetic data for the reactions of the carbenes in the absence of pyridine. Moreover, the quantitative response of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  to added carbene traps (*e.g.* alkenes) afforded kinetic data for intermolecular carbene reactions.<sup>1</sup> These methods have now become standard for following the reaction kinetics of carbenes that lack usable UV absorptions.<sup>2</sup>

Alkyl-, dialkyl- and arylalkyl-carbenes react so rapidly with pyridine that it has become customary to take  $k_y$  as  $\geq 10^9$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ .<sup>3</sup> Additionally, a variety of alkylhalocarbenes,  $\text{R}-\text{C}-\text{X}$ , have been examined in eqn. (1) with R including Me,



$\text{Pr}^i$ ,  $\text{ArCH}_2$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{C}$  and cyclopropyl, and  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  or in some cases Br. These examples gave  $k_y$  in the range  $10^8$ – $10^{10}$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ .<sup>4</sup> We also found that  $\text{R}-\text{C}-\text{X}$  ( $\text{R} = \text{PhOCH}_2$ ,  $\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2$ , cyclopropyl and cyclobutyl;  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$  or F) reacted with pyridine with  $k_y$  ca.  $10^8$ – $10^{10}$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ .<sup>5</sup>

The many reports of extraordinarily rapid ylide formation tend to obscure the fact that selectivity can be observed in these reactions. For example, there is an 8-fold rate retardation, probably steric in origin, for the reactions of 2,2-dimethylcyclopropylchlorocarbene ( $k_y = 4.7 \times 10^7$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$

$\text{s}^{-1}$ )<sup>6</sup> versus cyclopropylchlorocarbene ( $k_y = 3.6 \times 10^8$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )<sup>7</sup> with pyridine. More impressively, although the analogous reactions of the dialkylcarbenes, bicyclo[2.1.1]hexan-2-ylidene<sup>8</sup> and homocuban-9-ylidene,<sup>9</sup> exhibit  $k_y \geq 10^9$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ , that of adamantanylidene is, for unknown reasons, extraordinarily slow,  $k_y = 1.5 \times 10^6$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ .<sup>10</sup>

Now, we report our findings with a series of oxycarbenes that expand the dynamic range of carbene–pyridine ylide-forming reactions down to  $1 \times 10^5$   $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The reactivity of these carbenes is in qualitative accord with expectations based on frontier molecular orbital theory.

The carbenes, listed in Table 1, were generated by LFP of diazirines that were either previously available, or were prepared by Graham oxidations<sup>11</sup> of amidines or isoureas, followed as necessary by exchange reactions<sup>12</sup> with alkoxides or other nucleophiles. LFP irradiation<sup>13</sup> at 351 nm and 23–25 °C of pentane (isooctane) or MeCN solutions of the diazirines in the presence of varying concentrations of pyridine gave rise to pyridinium ylides. The observed rate constants for ylide growth were linear in  $[\text{pyridine}]$ , affording  $k_y$  [eqn. (1)]. For each carbene, Table 1 collects  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (or  $\lambda_{\text{obs}}$ ) of the ylide, the concentration range of pyridine, and  $k_y$ .

Platz and Jones found that  $k_y$  is relatively insensitive to solvent polarity,<sup>14</sup> and the comparisons of  $k_y$  in hydrocarbon or MeCN available in Table 1 support this conclusion, although  $k_y$  is 1–2.9 times greater in hydrocarbon than in MeCN. Apparently, the carbenes themselves are somewhat more stabilized by hydrocarbon–polar solvent ‘transfer’ than are the (presumably) polar transition states of ylide formation. One should note, however, that in some cases (*e.g.* entries 4 and 5) so much pyridine must be added to the hydrocarbon solvent to trap the carbene that the solvent is nearly half pyridine, and its polarity is enhanced accordingly.

The data in Table 1 indicate that the normally nucleophile-

Table 1 Kinetics of the reactions of carbenes with pyridine

Entry	Carbene	$\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}^a$	Hydrocarbon		MeCN		Ref. <sup>d</sup>
			[py]/ mmol $\text{dm}^{-3}$ <sup>b</sup>	$k_y/\text{s}^{-1}$ <sup>c</sup>	[py]/ mmol $\text{dm}^{-3}$ <sup>b</sup>	$k_y/\text{s}^{-1}$ <sup>c</sup>	
1	MeC–OMe	380	5.5–6.7 ( $\times 10^3$ )	$6.6 \times 10^5$			16
2	MeC–OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	380	183–476	$1.6 \times 10^7$	260–2060	$6.6 \times 10^6$	<sup>e</sup>
3	CHC–OMe <sup>f</sup>	<sup>g</sup>	3.1 ( $\times 10^3$ )	( $\leq 10^5$ ) <sup>g</sup>			15
4	CHC–OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> <sup>f</sup>	385	1127–2480	$1.0 \times 10^6$	1329–3543	$3.4 \times 10^5$	<sup>e</sup>
5	PhC–OMe	480	2060–10 590	$1.2 \times 10^5$	2060–10 300	$1.2 \times 10^5$	13
6	PhC–OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	465	353–5300	$1.8 \times 10^6$	353–5300	$1.4 \times 10^6$	<sup>e</sup>
7	PhC–OAc	480	12.2–58.9	$3.5 \times 10^7$	24.7–91.6	$2.0 \times 10^7$	21
8	ClC–OMe	472 <sup>h</sup>	—	—	2810–5620	$9.0 \times 10^5$	11
9	ClC–OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	400 <sup>h</sup>	—	—	62–360	$2.8 \times 10^7$	<sup>e</sup>
10	PhOCH <sub>2</sub> C–OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	390 <sup>h</sup>	2.45–11.8	$8.6 \times 10^8$		<sup>h</sup>	20
11	PhOCH <sub>2</sub> C–OAc	390	1.87–6.24	$1.0 \times 10^9$	1.98–9.52	$6.4 \times 10^8$	21
12	PhOCH <sub>2</sub> C–F <sup>g</sup>	380	0.20–1.0	$1.4 \times 10^{10}$			5
13	PhOCH <sub>2</sub> C–Cl <sup>g</sup>	380	0.40–3.0	$1.2 \times 10^{10}$			5
14	PhC–F	460	0.31–3.3	$1.5 \times 10^9$	1.22–6.80	$9.5 \times 10^8$	26
15	PhC–Cl	480	0.61–3.6	$1.5 \times 10^9$ <sup>j</sup>	1.22–6.15	$5.9 \times 10^8$	11
16	PhC–Br	480	0.615–4.75	$1.2 \times 10^9$	0.615–9.16	$5.2 \times 10^8$	11


<sup>a</sup>  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  in pentane or isooctane;  $\lambda_{\text{obs}}$  in MeCN. <sup>b</sup> Range of pyridine concentration used in kinetic runs. <sup>c</sup> Rate constant for pyridinium ylide formation at ambient temp.; errors are ca.  $\pm 10\%$ . <sup>d</sup> Reference to precursor diazirine preparation. <sup>e</sup> This work. <sup>f</sup>  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_5$  = cyclopropyl. <sup>g</sup> The ylide could not be detected; the reaction was too slow relative to competing processes. <sup>h</sup> The ylide was unstable. <sup>i</sup> Data at  $-32^\circ\text{C}$ . <sup>j</sup> Platz *et al.* report  $k_y = 3.3 \times 10^8$  or  $7.6 \times 10^8$  in hydrocarbon,<sup>1,14</sup> and  $3.9 \times 10^8$  in MeCN.

lic,<sup>13,15–17</sup> stabilized<sup>18</sup> oxycarbenes react with pyridine several orders of magnitude more slowly than comparable halocarbenes; comparison of entries 3, 5 and 1 with 14–16 gives the reactivity sequence  $\text{C}_3\text{H}_5\text{C}-\text{OMe}$  ( $\text{C}_3\text{H}_5 = \text{cyclopropyl}$ )  $<$   $\text{PhC}-\text{OMe}$   $<$   $\text{MeC}-\text{OMe}$   $\ll$   $\text{PhC}-\text{Hal}$ . Similarly,  $\text{ClC}-\text{OMe}$  (entry 8) reacts with pyridine *ca.* 8000 times more slowly than  $\text{CCl}_2$  ( $k_y = 7.9 \times 10^9 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>19</sup>

Within the oxycarbene family, modulation of the reactivity can be achieved by modifying the oxy substituent. The trifluoroethoxycarbenes, for example, are 15–30 times more reactive than comparable methoxycarbenes because the  $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}$  substituent is a poorer electron donor than  $\text{MeO}$ <sup>20</sup> (compare entries 2 *vs.* 1, 4 *vs.* 3, 6 *vs.* 5, and 9 *vs.* 8). Further decreases in oxy substituent potency with concomitant increases in  $k_y$  can be seen with  $\text{PhC}-\text{OAc}$ <sup>21</sup> (entry 7 *vs.* 6 or 5) and  $\text{PhC}-\text{OSiMe}_3$  ( $k_y$  *ca.*  $10^8 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>22†</sup> Related, but compressed trends can be discerned amongst even the very reactive alkylcarbenes; *cf.* entries 10–13.

In terms of frontier molecular orbital theory,<sup>17,18</sup> the ylide-forming reactions of  $\text{RC}-\text{X}$  with pyridine involve both ‘electrophilic’ orbital interactions ( $\text{E}$ , carbene  $p$ –pyridine  $\sigma$ ) and ‘nucleophilic’ orbital interactions ( $\text{N}$ , pyridine  $\pi^*$ –carbene  $\sigma$ ). From the charge distribution of the product ylide, we might expect the  $\text{E}$  term to dominate in the transition state for phenylhalocarbenes. Indeed, electron-withdrawing substituents in  $\text{XC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CCl}$  enhance carbenic reactivity towards pyridine<sup>14,23</sup> (Hammett  $\rho = +1.5^{23}$ ). However, the differential orbital energies<sup>17,18</sup> corresponding to the  $\text{E}$  and  $\text{N}$  interactions of (*e.g.*)  $\text{PhC}-\text{F}^{13}$  and pyridine<sup>24</sup> are equal ( $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{E}}$  *ca.* 11.2 eV,  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{N}}$  *ca.* 11.1 eV), so that, neglecting orbital overlap, the nucleophilic component of the  $\text{PhC}-\text{F}$ /pyridine transition state is comparable to the electrophilic component.

For the nucleophilic carbenes,  $\text{MeC}-\text{OMe}$  and  $\text{PhC}-\text{OMe}$ ,  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{N}}$  (both 10.3 eV) is more favourable than  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{E}}$  (13.7 and 12.1 eV, respectively), so that the transition states for these carbenes’ reactions with pyridine should feature extensive carbene  $\sigma$ -electron donation into (*e.g.*) the  $\pi^*$  orbital of pyridine,<sup>24</sup> as well as pyridine  $\sigma$  donation to the carbene’s  $p$  orbital, possibly as in transition state **1**. ( $\pi^*$  and  $\pi^*$  of pyridine are not degenerate, but possess very similar energy levels; 2.99 and 3.01 eV, respectively.<sup>24</sup>)



Note that ‘nucleophilic’ carbenic attack on pyridine does not seem to be as effective as ‘electrophilic’ attack, though characterized by lower (more favourable)<sup>17,18</sup> values of  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{N}}$  (10.3 eV). Ylide formation by  $\text{MeC}-\text{OMe}$  or  $\text{PhC}-\text{OMe}$  is  $>1000$  times slower than the analogous reaction of  $\text{PhC}-\text{F}$ , where both  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{E}}$  and  $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{N}}$  (11.1–11.2 eV) are higher. Clearly, *ab initio* calculations and details of orbital overlap in the transition state are needed for a more precise analysis. Meanwhile, our studies of these and related reactions continue.

We are grateful to Professor K. Krogh-Jespersen for helpful discussions and the National Science Foundation for financial support.

Received, 3rd March 1994; Com. 4/01298D

## Footnote

† An exception is a glycosylidene carbene that should approximate an alkoxy carbene, yet reacts very rapidly with pyridine ( $k_y$  *ca.*  $8 \times 10^8 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>25</sup>

## References

- J. E. Jackson, N. Soundararajan, M. S. Platz and M. T. H. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5595.
- J. E. Jackson and M. S. Platz, in *Advances in Carbene Chemistry*, ed. U. H. Brinker, JAI Press, Greenwich, Conn., in the press; R. A. Moss in *Advances in Carbene Chemistry*, in the press.
- M. H. Sugiyama, S. Celebi and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 966; D. A. Modarelli, S. Morgen and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 7034; D. A. Modarelli and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 470; S. Celebi, S. Leyva, D. A. Modarelli and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 8613; D. A. Modarelli, M. S. Platz, R. S. Sheridan and J. R. Ammann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 10440.
- R. Bonneau, M. T. H. Liu and M. T. Rayez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 5973; R. Bonneau, M. T. H. Liu and R. V. Suresh, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1989, **93**, 4802; M. T. H. Liu and R. Bonneau, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1989, **93**, 7298; J. E. Jackson, N. Soundararajan, W. White, M. T. H. Liu, R. Bonneau and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 6874; M. T. H. Liu and R. Bonneau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 3604.
- R. A. Moss, G.-J. Ho and W. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 959.
- R. A. Moss, W. Liu and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1993, **97**, 13413.
- R. A. Moss, G.-J. Ho, S. Shen and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 1638.
- W. Kirmse, T. Meinert, D. A. Modarelli and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 8918.
- W. R. White, M. S. Platz, N. Chen and M. Jones, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 7794.
- S. Morgan, J. E. Jackson and M. S. Platz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 2782.
- W. H. Graham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 4396.
- R. A. Moss, in *Chemistry of Diazirines*, ed. M. T. H. Liu, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1987, vol. 1, pp. 99–109.
- R. A. Moss, S. Shen, L. M. Hadel, G. Kmiecik-Ławrynowicz, J. Włostowska and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 4341.
- M. B. Jones and M. S. Platz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 1694.
- R. A. Moss, E. G. Jang, H. Fan, M. Włostowski and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **5**, 104.
- R. S. Sheridan, R. A. Moss, B. K. Wilk, S. Shen, M. Włostowski, M. A. Kesselmayer, R. Subramanian, G. Kmiecik-Ławrynowicz and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 7563.
- R. A. Moss, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1989, **22**, 15; 1980, **13**, 58.
- N. G. Rondan, K. N. Houk and R. A. Moss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 1770.
- J. E. Chatauneuf, R. P. Johnson and M. M. Kirchhoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 3217.
- R. A. Moss, W. Liu and C.-S. Ge, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **6**, 376.
- R. A. Moss, S. Xue and W. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 1583.
- H. M. Perrin, W. R. White and M. S. Platz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 4443.
- R. Bonneau, M. T. H. Liu and R. Lapouyade, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1989, 1547.
- C. D. Johnson, in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, ed. A. J. Boulton and A. McKillop, vol. 2, pp. 135f.; I. Nenner and G. J. Schulz, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1975, **62**, 1747; K. D. Jordan and P. D. Burrow, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1978, **11**, 341.
- A. Vasella, K. Briner, N. Soundararajan and M. S. Platz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 4741.
- R. A. Moss, J. Terpinski, D. P. Cox, D. Z. Denney and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 2743.