

### Synthesis of Z-(Pinacolato)allylboron and Z-(Pinacolato)alkenylboron **Compounds through Stereoselective Catalytic Cross-Metathesis**

Elizabeth T. Kiesewetter,<sup>†</sup> Robert V. O'Brien,<sup>†</sup> Elsie C. Yu,<sup>†</sup> Simon J. Meek,<sup>†</sup> Richard R. Schrock,<sup>‡</sup> and Amir H. Hovevda\*,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, United States <sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first examples of catalytic cross-metathesis (CM) reactions that furnish Z-(pinacolato)allylboron and Z-(pinacolato)alkenylboron compounds are disclosed. Products are generated with high Z selectivity by the use of a W-based monoaryloxide pyrrolide (MAP) complex (up to 91% yield and >98:2 Z:E). The more sterically demanding Z-alkenylboron species are obtained in the presence of Mo-based MAP complexes in up to 93% yield and 97% Z selectivity. Zselective CM with 1,3-dienes and aryl olefins are reported for the first time. The utility of the approach, in combination with catalytic cross coupling, is demonstrated by a concise and stereoselective synthesis of anticancer agent combretastatin A-4.

rganoboron compounds are vital to chemical synthesis, and among them, (pinacolato)allylboron [allyl-B(pin)] and (pinacolato)alkenylboron [alkenyl-B(pin)] reagents hold a prominent position.<sup>1</sup> The former set is used in countless stereoselective additions,<sup>2</sup> and the latter are partners in a myriad of catalytic cross couplings.<sup>3</sup> Since the stereochemical outcome of transformations with such entities is contingent on whether a Z- or an *E*-organoboron is employed,  $^{4,5}$  of considerable value are methods for facile and efficient access to stereodefined acyclic allyl-B(pin) and alkenyl-B(pin) compounds. Contrary to the E isomers, however, protocols for selective synthesis of higherenergy Z-allyl- or Z-alkenylboron species are uncommon, and especially scarce are related processes that are catalytic. Nicatalyzed hydroboration of dienes has been shown to afford Zallyl-B(pin) products;<sup>6</sup> Ir-, Rh-<sup>7</sup> and, most recently, Rucatalyzed<sup>8</sup> methods for trans-boron-hydride additions to terminal alkynes have been devised to furnish Z-alkenyl-B(pin) compounds. Such protocols were introduced as alternatives to noncatalytic procedures, which, while highly stereoselective, are multistep and require strong base (e.g., n-BuK<sup>9a</sup> or n-BuLi<sup>9b</sup>) or acid (e.g., glacial acetic acid).<sup>10</sup> Stereoselective cross-metathesis (CM)<sup>11</sup> of commercially available allyl- or alkenyl-B(pin) with terminal alkenes offers an attractive general strategy for synthesis of Z-allyl- or Z-alkenylboron entities (Scheme 1), one that is entirely distinct from catalytic alkyne hydroborations mentioned above; nonetheless, all existing CM protocols deliver the corresponding E isomers predominantly.<sup>12</sup> Herein, we demonstrate that catalytic CM can be used to access Z-allyl- or Zalkenylboron compounds efficiently and with high stereo-



selectivity.<sup>13</sup> Transformations are promoted by W or Mo complexes, offering a generalizable, and at times unique, entry to stereoselective synthesis of a range of valuable organoboron reagents and intermediates.

We began by examining reactions with allyl-B(pin) 4. A challenge in promoting this class of transformations does not lie as much in attaining high efficiency but rather in whether erosion of kinetic stereoselectivity due to post-CM isomerization can be avoided as the reaction progresses toward high conversion.<sup>13a</sup> Thus, although we had determined earlier that Z-selective homocoupling of allyl-B(pin) can be promoted efficiently with W-based monoaryloxide pyrrolide (MAP) complexes,<sup>14</sup> access to the less hindered disubstituted alkenes would require identifying a catalyst that strikes the desired balance between reactivity and selectivity. As the screening data in entries 1-4 of Table 1 indicate, when Mo-based MAP complexes 1a,b or 2a,b are used, CM of allyl-B(pin) 4 and 1-decene proceeds readily to 50-75% conversion, but Z selectivity is moderate (69:31-87:13) Z:E). Control experiments indicate stereoselectivity is diminished as the reactions proceed further; this is especially true when the more reactive adamantylimido alkylidenes derived from 1a are involved (63% conv and  $\sim 1:1$  *Z*:*E* after 24 h), although such complexes likely deliver high kinetic *Z* selectivity consistent with the previously suggested stereochemical model (large size difference between the adamantyl and aryloxy ligands).<sup>13a,c</sup> With the more hindered Mo arylimido systems, there is less post-CM isomerization (vs 1a,b), but kinetic selectivity is probably also lower on the basis of the above rationale. In the presence of the less active but more stereodifferentiating W alkylidene 3 (increased size differential between imido and aryloxide groups),  $^{13a,c}$  6a is isolated with 95:5 Z:E selectivity (entry 5, Table 1);<sup>15</sup> oxidative workup delivers Z-allylic alcohol **6a** in 65% overall yield. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a W-catalyzed Z-selective CM. Reactions with commonly used and moderately E-selective Ru-based carbenes require 12 h at 40 °C (e.g., Grubbs second-generation: 18% yield of 6a, 73% E, in

Received: March 30, 2013

# Table 1. Z-Selective CM with Allyl-B(pin) 4: Catalyst Screening<sup>a</sup>



5	3; 5.0	2.0	78	65	95:5			
"Reactions performed in C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> under N <sub>2</sub> atm with 5.0 equiv of 1-								
decene.	Oxidative wo	rkup: H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ,	NaOH, 22	2 °C, 2.0 h. <sup>b</sup> I	Determined			
by analy	vsis of <sup>1</sup> H NM	R spectra of	unpurified	mixtures (of s	5a for conv			
and of <b>6</b>	6a for Z:E) an	d refer to co	nsumption	n of the limitin	ig substrate			
$(\pm 2\%).$	See the Supp	orting Inforr	nation for	details. <sup>c</sup> Yield	of isolated			
and pur	ified <b>6a</b> (isom	eric mixture	). nd = no	t determined.				

52

nd

69:31

4.0

4

2b; 5.0

2.0 h at 22 °C); <sup>12a</sup> when Mo(CHCMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)(N(2,6-(*i*-Pr)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-(OCMe(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (7) is used, **6a** is obtained in 54% yield and with moderate *E* selectivity (76:24 *E:Z*).<sup>16</sup>

Various terminal alkenes undergo Z-selective CM with allyl-B(pin) 4 within two hours at ambient temperature (Scheme 2). The Z-disubstituted allyl-B(pin) compounds, sensitive to purification and isolation, were directly treated with benzaldehvde to afford the derived homoallylic alcohols: the diastereoselectivity levels with which 8a-8f and 10 are obtained serve as an indicator of the isomeric purity of the corresponding allyl-B(pin) intermediate.<sup>12</sup> Disubstituted allylboron compounds are formed in 91:9 to >98:2 Z:E selectivity (based on dr), and the unsaturated alcohols are isolated in 68-91% yield. In one instance (8e), the use of Mo complex 2a is required, since the sizable silyl ether likely causes the W alkylidenes derived from 3 to be less efficient (30% conv, 23% yield). Furthermore, the disubstituted alkene in 5e is relatively hindered, and Z-to-E interconversion by post-CM isomerization with the more active Mo catalyst is less of a factor (vs a more accessible alkene such as 5a). Another notable finding in Scheme 2 relates to the first instance of a Z-selective CM reaction with a 1,3-diene,<sup>17</sup> affording 10 in 72% yield and 95:5 dr; such products were not reported in connection to the Ni-catalyzed protocol.<sup>c</sup>

Next, we probed the possibility of Z-selective CM with vinyl-B(pin) 11. This class of transformations, Mo- or W-catalyzed variants of which are unknown, poses several distinct challenges. In contrast to formerly reported processes<sup>13a</sup> as well as those mentioned above, the p orbital at the boron center of a B(pin)substituted alkylidene can stabilize electron density at the

#### Scheme 2. Z-Selective Catalytic CM with Allyl-B(pin) $4^a$



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed in  $C_6H_6$  at 22 °C with 5.0 equiv of cross partner. All yields are overall for two steps. Synthesis of **8e** involved the use of Mo complex **2a** under otherwise identical conditions, and formation of **8f** involved treatment with NaOH. See the Supporting Information for details.

alkylidene carbon to diminish catalyst activity. Furthermore, vinylboron 11 is more sterically demanding than the cross partners examined thus far (including allyl-B(pin) 4).<sup>13a</sup>

Catalyst screening was performed with 11 and  $\beta$ -branched terminal alkene 12 (Table 2); there is appreciable conversion to 13a (50–98% conv) and  $\geq$ 86:14 Z:E selectivity under conditions where, unlike reactions with 4, vinyl-B(pin) 11 is present in excess. In additional contrast to syntheses of the more exposed alkenes of Z-allyl-B(pin)s 5a–g (Scheme 2), it is Mo complexes 2a,b that provide the highest efficiency and stereoselectivity: 13a is obtained in ~68% yield and 93:7 Z selectivity (entries 3 and 4, Table 2). CM is highly Z-selective with the less sterically demanding 1a, but CM proceeds to only

## Table 2. Z-Selective CM with Vinyl-B(pin) 11: Catalyst Screening<sup>a</sup>

(pin)B	+ /Ph	W or Mo complex	(pin)B	/-Ph
11	1 12 ambier	nt pressure, C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , 2	2 °C,18 h 1	 3a
entry	complex; mol %	$\operatorname{conv}(\%)^b$	yield (%) <sup>c</sup>	$Z:E^b$
1	1a; 3.0	56	nd	96:4
2	1b; 3.0	50	nd	93:7
3	<b>2a</b> ; 5.0	95	68	93:7
4	<b>2b</b> ; 5.0	98	69	93:7
5	3; 5.0	66	nd	86:14

"Performed under  $N_2$  atm with 5.0 equiv of 11. <sup>b</sup>Determined by analysis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of unpurified mixtures and refer to consumption of the limiting substrate (±2%). See the Supporting Information for details. 'Yield of isolated and purified 13a (isomeric mixture). nd = not determined. 56% conversion (entry 1, Table 2); this is likely due to lower stability of the derived alkylidenes, including the methylidene generated from ethylene byproduct (ambient pressure used). Reaction with W-based 3 proceeds less readily than with most Mo variants, but surprisingly, and for reasons that are unclear, it is less stereoselective (entry 5; 86:14 Z:E). We elected to use complex 2a for further studies (vs 2b) for its relative ease of preparation.

Two additional points regarding CM with 11 merit note: (1) Unlike reactions with excess amounts of unhindered terminal alkenes, including allyl-B(pin) 4, stereoselectivities do not improve under a vacuum. This might arise from competitive formation of the relatively stable B(pin)-substituted alkylidene, preventing the availability of methylidene complexes, which are more reactive and thus capable of promoting isomerization.<sup>18</sup> Moreover, use of excess vinyl-B(pin) 11 reduces the concentration of alkyl-substituted alkylidenes, species that are better capable of causing loss of stereoselectivity (vs B(pin)substituted alkylidenes).<sup>19</sup> (2) Reactions with 5.0 mol % secondgeneration Grubbs catalyst or the corresponding phosphine-free variant are efficient but afford 13a in ~90:10 E:Z selectivity  $(\sim 70\% \text{ yield})$ .<sup>16</sup> When Mo bis-alkoxide 7 is used, there is only 33% conversion of 12 after 10 min (no further conversion after 24 h), and 13a is isolated in 15% yield and 68:32 Z:E.<sup>16,20</sup> The latter inefficiency might be attributed to the low stability of the highly Lewis acidic bis(hexafluoro)-alkoxide Mo alkylidene, rendered more electron-deficient by its B(pin) substituent. The significantly higher conversion values furnished by the more robust MAP complexes (Table 2) are therefore noteworthy.<sup>21</sup>

An assortment of Z-alkenyl-B(pin) compounds can be obtained in 51–92% yield after purification, in contrast to allylboron compounds, and 90:10–97:3 Z:E (Scheme 3). Reactions with a sizable cyclohexyl (13d) or silyl ether (13e) substituent or an electron-deficient allylic amide (13f) proceed to 78–94% conversion, affording the Z-alkenyl-B(pin) products in 51–71% yield and 93:7–96:4 Z:E ratio. Stereoselective synthesis of Z,E-diene 13g (72% yield, 93% Z) provides another example of CM involving an acyclic 1,3-diene. Enol ether 13h is

Scheme 3. Z-Selective Catalytic CM with Vinyl-B(pin) 11: Generality<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed in  $C_6H_6$  at 22 °C with 5.0 equiv of 11; yields are of Z/E mixtures, except for 13h (>98% Z). See the Supporting Information for details.

formed in 93:7 *Z*:*E* selectivity and isolated as the pure *Z* isomer (>98%) in 80% yield. Allyl-B(pin) **13i**, obtained in 60% yield<sup>22</sup> and 97:3 *Z*:*E*, belongs to a class of reagents with demonstrated utility in stereoselective synthesis;<sup>23</sup> the CM strategy can allow access to related entities that bear differentiated boryl units. *Z*-Alkenyl-B(pin) entities represented by **13g**–i were not prepared by Ir-, Rh-, or Ru-catalyzed alkyne hydroborations.<sup>7,8</sup>

We then turned to reactions involving the most sterically demanding combination of substrates thus far, CM between vinyl-B(pin) and styrenes; in the context of Z-selective transformations, aryl olefins have only been used in ringopening/CM processes.<sup>13c</sup> CM of **11** and styrene proceeds with maximum Z selectivity when the more stereodifferentiating adamantylimido Mo MAP complex 1a is employed; 14a is isolated in 66% yield and 95:5 Z:E ratio.24 Reactions with electron-rich methoxy-substituted styrenes proceed with similarly high Z selectivity (14b,c in 69% yield and 93:7–95:5 Z:E). CM with *p*-(trifluoromethyl)styrene is highly efficient, proceeding to 97% conversion to afford 14d in 93% yield and 95:5 Z:E. In general, higher efficiencies are observed with excess styrene (vs 11); this might be attributed to the more reactive benzylidenes being better capable of undergoing CM with 11 vs an electronically stabilized B(pin)-substituted alkylidene (from initial reaction with 11) reacting with an aryl olefin. Furthermore, electron-rich styrenes (cf. 14c and 14e) are less prone to homodimerization (reaction with another electron-rich olefin) than CM with electron deficient 11 and can therefore be employed in relatively slight excess (1.5 equiv). Finally, in contrast to transformations with alkyl olefins (Scheme 3), reduced pressure (100 Torr) leads to improved selectivity probably because the methylidene derived from adamantylimido **1a** is more reactive and readily subject to decomposition (e.g., 14a formed in 60:40 Z:E at ambient pressure).<sup>13a</sup>

It is significant that the catalytic protocol is effective for synthesis of trimethoxyaryl-substituted vinyl-B(pin) **14e** (73% yield, 96:4 *Z*:*E*; Scheme 4); Pd-catalyzed cross coupling with the appropriate aryl bromide affords combretastatin A-4, a member of a class of antitumor agents. Stereoselective synthesis of the medicinal agent, 10 000 times more active than its *E* isomer,<sup>25</sup> demonstrates the power of combining catalytic CM and Suzuki–

### Scheme 4. Z-Selective CM with Vinyl-B(pin) 11 and Styrenes<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reactions were performed in  $C_6H_6$  at 22 °C with 5.0 equiv of styrene under  $N_2$  atm, except for **14c** and **14e** where 1.5 equiv was used; yields are of Z/E mixtures. See the Supporting Information for details.

Miyaura-type processes to obtain congested Z-alkenes by an approach that is more concise than those adopted formerly.<sup>24,26</sup>

The studies described above put forth several key additions to a limited repertoire of efficient Z-selective CM reactions.<sup>13,27</sup> A W- and two Mo-based alkylidenes have emerged as optimal catalysts, underscoring the importance of the structural and chemical diversity of high oxidation-state alkylidenes to the development of methods that bear substantial scope. Equally notable is the facility with which the reactions of sterically demanding substrates proceed; this is in contrast to the activity levels exhibited thus far by Z-selective Ru-based carbenes.<sup>26</sup>

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

Experimental details and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

amir.hoveyda@bc.edu

#### Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): A.H.H. and R.R.S. are founders of a company that utilizes the reported approach.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by the NIH (GM-59426 and GM-47480).

#### REFERENCES

(1) Hall, D. G. In Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis and Medicine; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.

(2) Recent review on allyl additions to carbonyl and imine substrates: Yus, M.; Gonzalez-Gomez, J. C.; Foubelo, F. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 7774.

(3) Applications of vinylboron compounds in cross coupling reactions: (a) Ref 1. (b) Suzuki, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 653, 83. (c) Negishi, E.-i.; Huang, Z.; Wang, G.; Mohan, S.; Wang, C.; Hattori, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1474. (d) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3565. Representative syntheses of vinylborons by Pdcatalyzed cross coupling reactions involving vinyl bromides and triflates: (e) Takagi, J.; Takahashi, K.; Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8001. Review on vinyl trifluoroborate species, accessed via (pinacolato)vinylboron compounds: (f) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275.

(4) Examples with allylboron reagents: (a) Rauniyar, V.; Hall, D. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2426. (b) Lou, S.; Moquist, P. N.; Schaus, S. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12660. (c) Jain, P.; Antilla, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 11884.

(5) Examples with vinylboron reagents: (a) Gao, D.; O'Doherty, G. A. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 3752. (b) Iafe, R. G.; Chan, D. G.; Kuo, J. L.; Boon, B. A.; Faizi, D. J.; Saga, T.; Turner, J. W.; Merlic, C. A. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 4282. (c) Essig, S.; Bretzke, S.; Muller, R.; Menche, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 19362. (d) Hamilton, J. Y.; Sarlah, D.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 994.

(6) (a) Ely, R. J.; Morken, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2534. There is one example of an Fe-catalyzed hydroboration of a 1-substituted 1,3-diene that affords a Z-allyl-B(pin) with high stereo-selectivity and 7:3 regioselectivity: (b) Supporting Information, page 27 in Wu, J. Y.; Moreau, B.; Ritter, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 12915. (7) Ohmura, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 4990.

(8) Gunanathan, C.; Hölscher, M.; Pan, F.; Leitner, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14349.

(9) (a) Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6339. (b) Ramachandran, P. V.; Pratihar, D.; Biswas, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 1988.

(10) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6841.

(11) Overview of catalytic olefin metathesis: (a) Hoveyda, A. H.; Zhugralin, A. R. *Nature* 2007, 450, 243. Recent review on catalytic CM:
(b) Prunet, J.; Grimaud, L. In *Metathesis in Natural Product Synthesis: Strategies, Substrates and Catalysts*; Cossy, J., Arseniyadis, S., Meyer, C., Eds; VCH-Wiley: Weinheim, 2010; p 287.

(12) (a) Goldberg, S. D.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 807. (b) Morrill, C.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6031. See SI for data with commonly used Ru and Mo complexes.

(13) Z-selective Mo-catalyzed CM involving enol ethers and allylic amides: (a) Meek, S. J.; O'Brien, R. V.; Llaveria, J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Nature* **2011**, 471, 461. Related studies: (b) Ibrahem, I.; Yu, M.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 3844. (c) Yu, M.; Ibrahem, I.; Hasegawa, M.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 2788.

(14) Jiang, A. J.; Zhao, Y.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16630.

(15) W-based MAP complexes promote RCM with lower postmetathesis isomerization vs Mo alkylidenes: Yu, M.; Wang, C.; Kyle, A. F.; Jakubec, P.; Dixon, D. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Nature* **2011**, 479, 88.

(16) See the Supporting Information for details.

(17) Catalytic Z-selective homocoupling of 1,3-dienes: Townsend, E. M.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 11334.

(18) Lower conversion is observed with excess alkene (vs 11), likely because the alkyl-substituted alkylidene is shorter living than one with a B(pin) unit.

(19) Vinyl-B(pin) 11 undergoes relatively facile, and likely not readily reversible, homocoupling to generate ethylene, providing another rationale for the higher conversion observed when it is used in excess.

(20) The low *Z*:*E* ratio is congruent with the ability of this commonly used complex to afford moderate *Z* selectivity, which might be diminished through post-CM isomerization. Wang, C.; Yu, M.; Kyle, A. F.; Jakubec, P.; Dixon, D. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 2726.

(21) Discussion regarding the relative stability of Mo MAP complexes vs bis-alkoxides: Wang, C.; Haeffner, F.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1939.

(22) Alkenylboron 13i is isolated along with product from homocoupling of 11 (inseparable). Since allylboron compounds are typically used directly without purification (lack of stability), and allyland vinyl-B(pin) entities have distinct reactivity profile, that above mixture can be utilized in allyl additions.

(23) (a) Winbush, S. M.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4344.
(b) Kister, J.; Nuhant, P.; Lira, R.; Sorg, A.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1868.

(24) For example, CM with **2a** affords **14a** with 55:45 Z:E selectivity. (25) (a) Gaukroger, K.; Hadfield, J. A.; Hepworth, L. A.; Lawrence, N. J.; McGown, A. T. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 8135. Isolation of

combretastatin A-4: (b) Pettit, G. R.; Singh, S. B.; Boyd, M. R.; Hamel, E.; Pettit, R. K.; Schmidt, J. M.; Hogan, F. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 1666.
(26) Previous syntheses of combretastain A-4: (a) Lawrence, N. J.; Ghani, F. A.; Hepworth, L. A.; Hadfield, J. A.; McGown, A. T.; Pritchard, R. G. Synthesis 1999, 1656. (b) Odlo, K.; Klaveness, J.; Rongved, P.; Hansen, T. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 1101. (c) Lara-Ochoa, F.; Espinoza-Perez, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7007. (d) Pettit, G. R.; Minardi, M. D.; Hogan, F.; Price, P. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 399. (e) Wardrop, D. J.; Komenda, J. P. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1548.

(27) (a) Keitz, B. K.; Endo, K.; Herbert, M. B.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9686. Related studies: (b) Khan, R. K. M.; O'Brien, R. V.; Torker, S.; Li, B.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12774. (c) Keitz, B. K.; Endo, K.; Patel, P. R.; Herbert, M. B.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 693. (d) Occhipinti, G.; Hansen, F. R.; Törnroos, K. W.; Jensen, V. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3331.