

Tetrahedron Letters 39 (1998) 4235-4238

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

A Facile Synthesis of Functionalized Catechols

Chaozhong Li, Robert J. Angelici*

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University and Ames Laboratory, Ames, IA 50011-3111, USA

Received 4 March 1998; revised 6 April 1998; accepted 7 April 1998

Abstract: A general route to functionalized catechols is achieved by the reaction of 3,6-di(chloromethyl)-4,5dimethyl-catechol with various nucleophiles in the presence of a base. The reactions are proposed to occur by way of a dehydrochlorinated intermediate which undergoes Michael additions to give the catechol products. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. *Keywords*: Catechol; Michael reactions.

Catechols, and their oxidized form, o-quinones, are important components of many natural products, e.g., pseudopterosin A (1) from the caribbean soft coral *Pseudopterogorgia Elisabethae*¹⁻³. In addition, reactivities of the diene and ketone functionalities of o-quinones have attracted the interest of synthetic chemists⁴. In our studies we were interested in catechols as ligands for the separation of metal ions. It is known that the equilibrium binding constant (K) for the coordination of the deprotonated form of the catechol, Tiron (4,5-



dihydroxybenzene-1,3-disulfonate, 2)⁵, to the uranyl dication (UO_2^{2+}) is orders of magnitude larger than that for coordination to the quinone form 3⁶. Thus, it seemed possible to incorporate into a ligand a catechol unit that would strongly bind a metal ion but would release the metal ion when the catechol unit was oxidized to the quinone form. With the goal of synthesizing catechol ligands that also incorporate other coordinating groups, we developed the route to functionalized catechols that is described in this letter.

The 3,6-difunctionalized catechols 9 were the targets of these syntheses. Their preparation began with the chloromethylation of commercially available 3,4-dimethoxytoluene (4) using chloromethyl methyl ether⁷ (Scheme 1). Reduction with LiAlH₄ gave 5 in 77% yield. Further treatment of 5 with chloromethyl methyl ether using conc. H_2SO_4 as the catalyst gave the di-chloromethylated product 6 in 95% yield⁸.

0040-4039/98/\$19.00 © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. *PII*: S0040-4039(98)00793-X

Scheme 1.



Direct reaction of 6 with nucleophiles such as EtSH gave the corresponding substitution product 7. However, the demethylation of 7 using BBr₃⁹ led to the formation of dibromide 8 in high yield rather than the catechol 9a (Scheme 2). Other demethylating agents such as iodide¹⁰, thioethoxide¹¹, or even diphenylphosphide¹² failed to give 9a in reactions with 7.

Scheme 2



In order to prepare catechols 9, 6 was first demethylated with BBr_3 to give a high yield of 10, which was then reacted with nucleophiles in the presence of a base to give the functionalized catechols 9 without needing to protect the two hydroxyl groups (Scheme 3). The conversion of 10 to 9 could proceed through intermediate 11 or a quinone methide resulting from loss of only one equivalent of HCl. Evidence for the intermediacy of 11

Scheme 3



or a quinone methide is the observation that compound 10 reacted with methanol in the presence of K_2CO_3 as the base (r.t. for 48 h, 40%) to give 9i, while the methyl-protected compound 6, which is incapable of undergoing dehydrochlorination, failed to react with methanol under the same experimental conditions. Related *o*-quinone methides have been proposed in other reactions^{13,14}. The formation of 9 from 11 (Scheme 3) would presumably occur by Michael addition of the nucleophile at the β -position of the intermediate diketone 11. Alternatively, the conversion of 10 to 9 could proceed by two sequential HCl elimination - nucleophilic addition reactions.

Entry	Nucleophiles (Nu-H) (equiv) ^a	Base (equiv) ^a	Temp (°C) / Time(h)	Yield (%) ^b
a	EtSH (3)	$Et_{3}N(4)$	20/5	90
Ь	$Me_2CHSH(3)$	Et ₃ N (4)	20 / 5	86
с	HS(CH ₂) ₃ SH (4)	Et ₃ N (4)	20 / 5	70
d	HS(CH ₂ CH ₂ O) ₄ CH ₂ CH ₂ SH (5)	Et ₃ N (4)	20 / 5	50
е	$HOCH_2CH_2S-H(3)$	Et ₃ N (4)	20 / 5	65
f	$Et_2NH(4)$	Et ₂ NH (4)	20 / 8	82
g	$PhNH_2(4)$	Et ₃ N (6)	20 / 8	64
h	$H_2NCH_2CH_2NH_2$ (4)	Et ₃ N (6)	20 / 8	62
i	MeOH ^c	NaOMe (4)	0/4	73
j	EtOH ^c	NaOEt (4)	0/4	71
k	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ OH (10)	NaH (6)	0/8	55
1	H ₂ O (3)	NaOH (4)	20 / 15	85

Table 1. Synthesis of catechols 9 from 6

^a 1 equivalent of 6 was used.

^b Isolated yield based on the starting 6.

^c Also used as solvent.

The reactions were run under mild conditions with various nucleophiles to give the 3,6-difunctionalized catechols 9 in good to high yield (Table 1). With 2-mercaptoethanol as the nucleophile, the reaction occurred exclusively at the sulfur. When the nucleophile has two nucleophilic centers (entries c, d, h, and k in Table 1), an excess of the nucleophile is required to avoid cyclization or oligomerization. A typical preparation of 9 is as follows: Boron tribromide (3.0 mL, 3 mmol, 1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was added to a dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) solution of compound 6 (0.263 g, 1 mmol) at -70°C. The mixture was stirred at -70°C for 1 h and then at 0°C for 2 h. Water (5 mL) was added to quench the reaction. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and the organic phase was washed with water (5 mL) and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and ethanethiol (0.22 mL, 3 mmol) was added followed by the addition of triethylamine (0.56 mL, 4 mmol). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 5 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography on silica with ethyl acetate / hexanes (1/2, v/v) as the eluent to give pure product **9a** as a yellow liquid. Yield: 0.255 g (90%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.276 (6H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, CH₄), 2.212 (6H, s, CH₃), 2.535 (4H, q, J = 7.5 Hz, CH₂), 3.873 (4H, s, CH₂), 6.220 (2H, br, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₂) δ 14.80, 15.74, 25.83, 28.09, 121.82, 127.40, 140.91. EIMS: m/z 286 (M⁺, 30), 257 (18), 225 (40), 164 (35), 146 (10), 61 (100). HRMS calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_2S_2$: 286.10613. Found: 286.10607. The other derivatives of 9 were prepared by very similar procedures15.

Acknowledgement: Support for this work was provided from the Chemical Sciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Energy Research, of the U.S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Look, S. A.; Fenical, W.; Matsumoto, G. K.; Clardy, J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5140-5145.
- 2. Roussis, V.; Wu. Z.; Fenical, W.; Strobel, S. A.; Van Duyne, G.; Clardy, J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4916-4922.
- 3. Majdalani, A.; Schmalz, H.-G. Synlett 1997, 1303-1305.
- 4. Nair, V.; Kumar, S. Synlett 1996, 1143-1147.
- 5. Martell, A. E.; Smith, R. M. Critical Stability Constants.; Plenum Press: New York, 1977; pp. 501.
- 6. Martell, A. E. Stability Constants of Metal-ion Complexes, Supplement #1.; Chemical Society: London, 1971; pp. 446.
- Machida, M.; Nakamura, M.; Oda, K.; Takechi, H.; Ohno, K.; Nakai, H.; Sato, Y.; Kanaoko, Y. Heterocycles 1987, 26, 2683-2690.
- 8. Sulfuric acid (2 mL) was added to a mixture of 5 (2.49 g, 15 mmol) and chloromethyl methyl ether (25 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 12 h. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo and the residue dissolved in ether (200 mL). The ether solution was washed successively with water (10 mL), NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL), brine (20 mL) and then dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica with ethyl acetate / hexanes (1 / 8, v / v) as the eluent to give the pure product 6 as a white solid. Yield: 3.75 g (95%). m.p 109-111°C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.287 (6H, s, CH₃), 3.895 (6H, s, CH₃O), 4.717 (4H, s, CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.52, 38.46, 61.27, 131.06, 132.91, 149.44. EIMS: *m*/z 262 (M^{*}, 100), 227 (83), 212 (46), 177 (29), 133 (22), 91 (30), 43 (33). HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₂Cl₂: 262.0527. Found: 262.0531.
- 9. Felix, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1427-1429.
- 10. Harrison, I. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1969, 616.
- 11. Kende, A.S.; Rizzi, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett.. 1981, 22, 1779-1782.
- 12. Ireland, R. E.; Walba, D. M. Org. Synth. 1977, 56, 44-48; Collect. Vol. VI, 1988, 567-570.
- 13. Nikam, S. S.; Kornberg, B. E.; Rafferty. M. F. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3754-3757.
- 14. Vigalok, A.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 477-483.
- 15. All compounds 9 gave satisfactory ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, EIMS and HRMS data. Data for selected compounds: 9c. colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.327 (2H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, SH), 1.847-1.941 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.209 (8H, s, CH₃ and OH), 2.592-2.636 (8H, m, CH₂S), 3.858 (4H, s, CH₂S); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.83, 23.52, 28.34, 30.06, 33.38, 121.89, 127.63, 140.92. EIMS: m/z 378 (M⁺, 1), 272 (3), 164 (23), 106 (57), 74 (32), 41 (100). HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₆O₂S₄: 378.08157. Found: 378.08172. 9f. yellowish oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.133 (12H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃), 2.094 (6H, s, CH₃), 2.646 (8H, q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 3.823 (4H, s, CH₂N), 9.477 (2H, br, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 11.25, 15.09, 46.46, 53.69, 118.92, 123.68, 144.40. EIMS: m/z 308 (M⁺, 1), 236 (34), 164 (48), 91 (37), 43 (100); HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₃₂N₂O₂: 308.25472. Found: 308.25453. 9k: colorless oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.155 (10H, s, CH₃ and OH), 3.593 (4H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₂), 3.699 (4H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₂), 4.545 (4H, s, CH₂O₁; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.75, 61.35, 61.82, 71.39, 129.18, 133.04, 148.95. EIMS: m/z 286 (M⁺, 15), 224 (40), 157 (20), 91 (18), 58 (19), 43 (100); HRMS calcd for C₁₄H₂₂O₆: 286.14166. Found: 286.14157.