

TETRAHEDRON

Design and Synthesis of Constrained Epothilone Analogs: The Efficient Synthesis of Eleven-Membered Rings by Olefin Metathesis

Jeffrey D. Winkler,*a Joanne M. Holland,a Jiri Kasparec,Ia and Paul H. Axelsen*b

Department of Chemistry^a and Department of Pharmacology,^b University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 USA

Received 1 August 1998; accepted 19 November 1998

Abstract: The efficient synthesis of both left- and right-hand halves of a constrained analog of the anticancer natural product epothilone is described. The eleven-membered rings common to both compounds are prepared by olefin metathesis. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Metathesis, biologically active compounds, antitumour compounds.

Introduction

The discovery of Taxol^m (paclitaxel) 1 has been an important breakthrough in cancer chemotherapy because of its remarkable clinical efficacy against breast and ovarian cancer. In addition, its activity involves an entirely different mechanism of action from conventional cancer chemotherapies.[1] Until recently, Taxol[™] was the only compound known to promote the assembly of microtubules and inhibit the tubulin disassembly process.[2] However, three natural products of very different structural types, epothilone 2,[3] eleutherobin 3,[4] and discodermolide 4 [5] have recently been found to operate by a similar mechanism of action. These recent disclosures provide an important stimulus to investigate the functional similarities of these substances. The identification of the pharmacophore of these structurally dissimilar substances could lead to the development of a novel family of chemotherapeutic agents that operate in a Taxol[™]-like manner, but without the multi-drug resistance, solubility or formulation problems that have limited the success of $Taxol^{m}$ in cancer chemotherapy. Towards that end, we describe herein the synthesis and biological evaluation of novel eleven-membered ring analogs of epothilone that have been designed to aid in the identification of the Taxol[™]/epothilone pharmacophore. The synthetic routes employed feature the first examples of the Grubbs metathesis reaction as a highly efficient method for the preparation of elevenmembered rings.

Recipient of the Alfred Bader Fellowship in the Department of Chemistry.

Results

We have designed a constrained analog of epothilone based on the X-ray conformation of 2 as shown in A.[6] The two carbon transannular tether from C2 to C10 of epothilone, as indicated with the arrows in A, serves to simultaneously rigidify and partition epothilone as shown in 5. This new compound leads to the separation of the left and right halves of the epothilone molecule and permits, for the first time, the biological evaluation of the separated halves of epothilone. The thiazole sidechain of epothilone has been included on both the left-and right-half analogs 6 and 7 to mimic the structure of the natural product as closely as possible. The reported total syntheses of epothilone 2 [7-9] have made available the technology for the synthesis of diverse analogs of the parent structure and such efforts have recently been disclosed from the laboratories of Danishefsky [10] and Nicolaou.[11] Using closely related approaches, we have prepared 6 and 7 in which both eleven-membered rings are prepared by olefin metathesis as outlined in the Schemes below.



Scheme 1

The cycloundecane carboxylic acid ester 7 could be sequentially derived by alkene reduction, alcohol oxidation and esterification of 8, which would in turn be prepared by eleven membered ring-forming olefin metathesis of 9. The intermediacy of the cycloundecene 8 would permit the evaluation of both saturated and unsaturated analogs of the eastern hemisphere of epothilone. We envisioned that 9 could be prepared by the aldol reaction of 10 and 11, using the β -ketol stereochemistry in 11 to control the asymmetric induction in the aldol reaction.



The synthesis of ethyl ketone 17 (corresponding to 11) is outlined in Scheme 3. Reaction of the boron enolate derived from the pentenoylated Evans oxazolidinone 12 [12a] with ketoaldehyde 13 gave 14. Reduction of 14 gave triol 15, which was condensed with anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal to give secondary alcohol acetal 16 [accompanied by the primary alcohol acetal (not shown)], which on oxidation gave 17. The requisite aldehyde 10 could be prepared from oxazolidinone 12 by methylation, reduction and oxidation as shown in Scheme 3.[12b,c]





Aldol condensation of 17 and 10 (Scheme 4) led to the selective formation of a major diastereomer (11:1), which, after silylation, was assigned the relative stereochemistry shown in 19, based on the work of Schinzer and subsequently confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. Reaction of the olefin metathesis substrate 19 with the Grubbs catalyst [13] in the presence of Ti(OiPr)₄ gave the cycloundecene 20 as a 1.9:1 mixture of double bond isomers [J=10.8 Hz for cis (major); J=15.1 Hz for trans (minor)] in 74% yield. The use of Ti(OiPr)₄ to prevent chelation of heteroatom functionalities in 19 to the metallocarbene intermediate was first described by Fürstner and is critical to the success of this reaction.[14] Only recovered starting material was observed in this reaction in the absence of the Lewis acid at the same concentration of substrate. Hydrolysis of the benzylidene acetal in 20 followed by exhaustive silylation gave 21. Reduction of the mixture of alkenes gave a single product 22, which on treatment with pyridinium tosylate in methanol led to the selective removal of the primary TBS group to give 23. Alternatively, hydrolysis of the benzylidene acetal 20, followed by hydrogenation of the cycloundecene, and p-bromobenzoylation of the primary alcohol gave 24,

which provided crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis, thereby confirming the stereochemical relationships as shown in 24. Oxidation of 23 with PDC gave the corresponding aldehyde, which on reaction with sodium chlorate gave the acid 25. Esterification with the thiazole allylic alcohol 26,[15] followed by desilylation gave the target compound 7. In an effort to more closely mimic the hydrophobicity of epothilone, acid 25 was also esterified with 27 and desilylated to give 28, with the correct absolute stereochemistry at C-15 and containing all but one of the carbon atoms of epothilone. Careful hydrolysis of 20 with CSA in methanol/dichloromethane led to the isolation of a pure sample of the cis-alkene primary alcohol 29 (Scheme 5).[16] Oxidation of the primary alcohol 29 with PDC gave 30, which on esterification with 26 and desilylation gave 31.



The synthesis of 6, the "left-hand" half of 5, is outlined in Scheme 6. Esterification of 27 with 7-octenoic acid (DCC, 70%) led to the formation of the olefin metathesis substrate 32, which on reaction with the Grubbs catalyst gave the eleven-membered ring 33 as a separable 1:1 mixture of alkene stereoisomers (J=10.2 Hz for *cis*; J=15.6 Hz for *trans*). Epoxidation of each of the separated *cis* and *trans* stereoisomers of 33 led to the formation of a 1:1 mixture of stereoisomeric epoxides 6, which could not be separated by chromatography and were evaluated as a mixture of isomers.



Biological Results

Preliminary evaluation of 6, 7, 28, 31 and both cis and trans-33 by Dr. Susan Horwitz revealed that none of these simple analogs of epothilone bind tubulin at concentrations up to 20 μ M.

Discussion

The Grubbs metathesis reaction is a powerful method for the synthesis of a large variety of carbocyclic ring systems.[17] The relative thermodynamic instability of medium-size rings has made their derivatives relatively difficult to obtain,[18] even by olefin metathesis.[19] We have described the first examples of the application of the Grubbs metathesis reaction to the efficient synthesis (74% yield for 20 and 80% yield for 33) of eleven-membered rings, in which the medium ring products are prepared from acyclic precursors. The highly functionalized substrate 20 enjoys the additional benefit of the acetal moiety which serves to constrain degrees of freedom in the metathesis substrate. In accord with the observations of Fürstner, we have observed the critical role of a Lewis acid for the success of the metathesis reaction of highly heteroatom-substituted substrates by preventing unproductive chelation of the metallocarbene intermediate to the heteroatom functionality.

Epothilone is the first naturally occurring compound whose biological profile resembles that of the clinically important anticancer agent $Taxol^{M}$. Work by Bollag and co-workers at Merck suggests that epothilone binds to the same site on microtubules as does $Taxol^{M}$.[20] The establishment of the pharmacophore common to these two structurally dissimilar substances could therefore lead to a new family of cancer chemotherapeutic agents.[21] A considerable body of SAR data for epothilone, with respect to both cytotoxicity and tubulin binding, has recently emerged from the laboratories of Danishefsky [10] and Nicolaou.[11]



Danishefsky and co-workers have proposed a "hot spot" for epothilone from C-3 to C-8 as shown in 34. We have reported herein the preparation of a series of eleven-membered ring analogs of epothilone, 7, 28 and 31, that contains the "hot spot" functionality. However, the activities of these compounds, at concentrations up to 20 μ M, are not significant, suggesting that the "hot spot" alone is not sufficient for biological activity. This conclusion is supported by the recent findings of Nicolaou and Danishefsky regarding the intolerance of other macrocyclic ring sizes in epothilone analogs.[10, 22] Even with addition of all but one of the carbons present in the natural product 2, i.e., 28, no activity was observed, a result that points to the importance of the macrocyclic ring and the apparent uniqueness of the sixteen-membered ring of epothilone. The lack of activity observed for 6 and 33 is somewhat less surprising based on the importance that has been attributed to the C-3 to C-8 domain of epothilone [10].

Preliminary MacroModel calculations on both epothilone 2 and the analogs that we have prepared, i.e., 7, indicate that a plethora of conformers exist in close energetic proximity, making a more quantitative analysis of this problem exceedingly difficult. However, comparison of the ¹H NMR coupling constants of 2 and 7 permits the evaluation of the similarities of the time-averaged conformations of epothilone and the eleven-membered ring analogs.[23] As shown in the Table below, the differences between the J values for H-2/H-3 in 2 and in 7 are much greater than those from H-6 to H-8 in 2 and in 7, suggesting greater congruence of analog 7 with the natural product 2 in that region. This similarity, however, is clearly not sufficient for biological activity, based on the data outlined above.



Conclusions

We have established that the Grubbs metathesis reaction affords a uniquely efficient approach to the synthesis of eleven-membered rings. Preliminary biological data for the compounds that we have prepared points to the critical importance of the sixteen-membered ring of epothilone. The synthesis of constrained epothilone analogs based on the apparently critical sixteen-membered ring, i.e., 5 (Scheme 1), is currently underway and our results will be reported in due course.

Experimental

Aldol Adduct 14: To a solution of pentenoylated oxazolidone 12 (40.723 g, 0.157 mol) in methylene chloride (319 mL) at 0°C was added dibutylborontriflate (146 mL, 1.0 M in methylene chloride, 0.146 mol) followed by freshly distilled triethylamine (26.611 mL, 0.191 mol) extremely slowly so as to prevent the internal temperature from rising above 3°C. The resulting pale yellow solution was cooled to -78°C before adding aldehyde 13 (14.375 g, 0.112 mol) in methylene chloride (6 mL) slowly. The resulting solution was allowed to

continue stirring at this temperature for 10 minutes before warming to 0°C and stirring for three hours. The reaction was then guenched by the addition of pH 7 aqueous phosphate buffer followed by methanol, all at a rate so as to keep the internal temperature below 10°C. Next, a 2:1 solution of methanol:30% aqueous hydrogen peroxide was added slowly, again maintaining the reaction temperature below 10°C, and the resulting mixture then allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for one hour. The volatile material was then removed in vacuo and the resulting mixture extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate followed by brine, dried (MgSO4), concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 5% to 50% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired aldol adduct 14 (21.126 g, 49%; 65% based on recovered starting acylated oxazolidinone). [α] -12.7° (c 1.00, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3498, 1780, 1697, 1343, 1195 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35–7.41 (m, 3 H), 7.28–7.29 (m, 2 H), 5.77-5.85 (m, 1 H), 5.68 (d, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.95-5.02 (m, 2 H), 4.71 (quintet, 1 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.24 (q, 1 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 4.13 (t, 1 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.00 (d, 1 H, J = 6.6Hz), 2.56 (q, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.48 (t, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.23 (s, 3 H), 1.17 (s, 3 H), 1.02 (t, 3 H, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.82 (d, 3 H, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 217.4, 175.0, 152.8, 134.9, 133.3, 128.8, 128.7, 125.7, 117.4, 78.8, 76.4, 55.1, 51.8, 44.1, 34.2, 31.5, 21.9, 21.4, 14.6, 7.8. HRMS calculated for C22H29NO5: (M+NH4) 405.2389; found: 405.2394.

Triol 15: To a solution of aldol adduct **14** (870 mg, 2.25 mmol) in diethyl ether (44 mL) was added water (89 μ L, 4.95 mmol) and the solution cooled to 0°C. Lithium borohydride (2.5 mL, 2.0 M in tetrahydrofuran, 4.95 mmol) was then added dropwise and the resulting milky mixture stirred for one hour at 0°C followed by three hours at room temperature. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of 1M NaOH and the mixture stirred until both layers became clear. The organic layer was then separated and the aqueous layer further extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 40% to 60% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired triol **15** (309 mg, 63%) as a 2.3:1 mixture of diastereomers. IR (neat): 3344, 1640, 1470 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.77–5.85 (m, 1 H), 4.98-5.07 (m, 2 H), 3.85-3.86 (m, 1 H), 3.60-3.76 (m, 4 H), 3.33-3.45 (m, 1 H), 3.11 (br s, 0.7 H), 2.76 (br s, 0.3 H), 2.37-2.40 (m, 0.3 H), 2.29-2.34 (m, 0.7 H), 2.11-2.21 (m, 1 H), 1.79-1.82 (m, 0.7 H), 1.68-1.72 (m, 0.3 H), 1.52-1.57 (m, 1 H), 1.35-1.42 (m, 0.3 H), 1.26-1.34 (m, 0.7 H), 0.98 (t, 0.9 H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 0.96 (t, 2.1 H, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 0.95 (s, 0.9 H), 0.94 (s, 2.1 H), 0.88 (s, 0.9 H), 0.77 (s, 2.1 H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 137.7, 137.5, 116.0, 115.9, 82.5, 81.7, 81.1, 80.1, 66.0, 65.8, 41.7, 41.0, 40.4, 40.3, 29.8, 29.7, 24.4, 24.1, 22.0, 21.6, 21.1, 15.6, 11.4, 11.2. HRMS calculated for C₁₂H₂₄O₃ (M+H): 217.1803; found: 217.1809.

Benzylidine acetal 16: To a solution of triol **15** (20 mg, 0.09 mmol) in methylene chloride (1 mL) at -78°C was added anisaldehyde dimethylacetal (16 μ L, 0.094 mmol) followed by catalytic camphorsulfonic acid (1 mg) and the reaction allowed to continue stirring at this temperature for one hour before quenching by the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature before further diluting with water and extracting with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide a mixture of regioisomers. Separation of the regioisomers was not accomplished, but instead the mixture was carried on to the next step.

Ethyl ketone 17: To a solution of the regioisomeric mixture of alcohols 16 (31 mg, 0.092 mmol) in methylene chloride (1 mL) at 0°C was added Dess-Martin periodinane reagent (118 mg, 0.28 mmol).[24] The reaction was then warmed to room temperature and allowed to continue stirring for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into 2M NaOH and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired ketone 17 (15 mg, 55% for two steps). [α] -37.4° (c 0.7, CHCl3); IR (neat) 1699, 1615, 1517, 1248 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.40 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 6.89 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 5.67-5.77 (m, 1 H), 5.46 (s, 1 H), 5.03-5.09 (m, 2 H), 4.21 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 1.0, 11.4 Hz), 4.00 (d, 1 H, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 3.81-3.84 (m, 1 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 2.63 (dq, 1 H, *J* = 7.2, 75.4 Hz), 2.60 (dq, 1 H, *J* = 7.2, 75.4 Hz), 2.42-2.49 (m, 1 H), 2.15-2.18 (m, 1 H), 1.61-1.64 (m, 1 H), 1.23 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl3): δ 215.7, 160.0, 136.4, 131.3, 127.3, 117.1, 113.6, 102.6, 85.5, 70.2, 55.3, 50.8, 35.6, 32.6, 29.2, 23.0, 21.8, 8.2. HRMS calculated for C20H28O4 (M+H): 333.2065; found: 333.2068.

TBS ether 19: To a solution of diisopropylamine (1.1 mL, 8.3 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (15.8 mL) at 0°C was added nBuLi (3.6 mL, 2.30 M in hexanes, 8.2 mmol) dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at this temperature for ten minutes before cooling to -78°C and maintaining this temperature for several hours before use. To the LDA solution was then added a solution of benzylidene acetal ketone 17 (2.479 g, 7.5 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (7.3 mL) dropwise and the resulting solution allowed to stir at this temperature for one hour. To the enolate solution was then added a solution of 2-methylpent-3-enal 10 (805 mg, 8.2 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (7 mL) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to continue at -78°C for 20 minutes, quenched by the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride and was then allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was diluted further with water and the aqueous layer extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were dried (Na2SO4), concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired aldol adduct (1.986 g major diastereomer, 62%). [a] -24.0° (c 1.0, CHCl3); IR (neat) 3497, 1680, 1639, 1616, 1517, 1249 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.37 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 5.65-5.79 (m, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 5.08 (dd, 2H, J = 21.2, 13.6 Hz), 4.94-4.98 (m, 2H), 4.21-4.23 (m, 1H), 4.04 (d, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz), 3.82-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.46 (s, 1H), 3.36 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.23 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz), 2.42-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.26 (m, 2H), 1H), 1.71-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.63-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.49-1.57 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 1.00 (d, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.58 (d, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 222.9, 160.0, 137.0, 136.2, 131.0, 127.3, 117.2, 116.1, 113.5, 102.6, 85.4, 74.5, 70.2, 55.2, 51.8, 41.5, 37.3, 35.7, 35.2, 29.7, 22.7, 20.5, 14.6, 9.9. HRMS calculated for C26H38O5 (M+H): 431.2797; found: 431.2797.

To a solution of the alcohol prepared above (260 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methylene chloride (2.8 mL) at -78°C was added 2,6-lutidine (330 μ L, 2.8 mmol) followed by TBSOTf (288 μ L, 1.3 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to continue stirring with gradual warming to room temperature over three hours before treating with pH 7 aqueous phosphate buffer and extracting with diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using 5% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired TBS ether adduct **19** (303 mg, 92%). [α] -17.6° (c 1.0, CHCl3); IR

(neat): 1695, 1639, 1616, 1517, 1249 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 5.73-5.81 (m, 1H), 5.46-5.54 (m, 1H), 5.45 (s, 1H), 5.05-5.12 (m, 2H), 4.84-4.89 (m, 2H), 4.28 (d, 1H, J= 2.0 Hz), 4.21-4.23 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.88 (m, 1H), 3.77-3.80 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.26 (quin, 1H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 2.55-2.62 (m, 1H), 2.33-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.08-2.13 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.33-1.39 (m, 1H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.048 (s, 3H), 0.036 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 218.4, 160.0, 137.8, 136.6, 131.3, 127.5, 117.0, 115.4, 113.5, 102.4, 83.6, 77.6, 70.5, 55.2, 51.8, 44.9, 38.2, 35.6, 35.4, 30.1, 26.2, 22.1, 21.9, 18.5, 17.6, 16.3, -3.6, -3.7. HRMS calculated for C₃₂H₅₂O₅Si (M+H): 545.3662; found: 545.3674.

Cycloundecene 20: To a solution of TBS ether 19 (320 mg, 0.59 mmol) in methylene chloride (1.17 L) was added Ti(OiPr4) (53 μ L, 0.18 mmol, freshly fractionally distilled in vacuo) and the solution allowed to reflux for one hour before adding a solution of bis(tricyclohexyl-phosphine)benzylideneruthenium dichloride (48 mg, 0.059 mmol) in methylene chloride (16 mL). The reaction was allowed to continue at reflux for seven hours before allowing to cool to room temperature. The solution was then concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using 5% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired elevenmembered metathesis product 20 (225 mg, 74%) as a 1.9:1 ratio of double bond isomers. IR (neat): 1700, 1616, 1517, 1249 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (d, 0.68H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.46 (d, 1.32H, J = 8.7Hz), 6.90 (d, 0.68H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.88 (d, 1.32H, J = 8.7 Hz), 5.62-5.66 (m, 0.66H), 5.49-5.53 (m, 0.66H), 5.42 (s, 0.66H), 5.38 (s, 0.34H), 5.24-5.29 (m, 0.34H), 5.15-5.20 (m, 0.34H), 4.31-4.32 (m, 0.34H), 3.97-4.12 (m, 0.34H), 5.24-5.29 (m, 0 2.66H), 3.79 (s, 1.02H), 3.79 (s, 1.98H), 3.58 (d, 0.66H, J = 1.9 Hz), 3.49-3.50 (m, 0.34H), 3.40 (dq, 0.66H, J = 1.9 Hz) 6.9, 3.7 Hz), 2.94 (dq, 0.34H, J = 7.4, 2.6 Hz), 2.71-2.78 (m, 0.34H), 2.34-2.46 (m, 1.66H), 1.99-2.13 (m, 1.66H), 1.99-2.14 (m, **2.66H**), 1.84-1.85 (m, 0.66H), 1.77-1.79 (m, 0.34H), 1.66 (dt, 0.34H, J = 10.6, 14.1 Hz), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 1.02H), 1.27 (s, 1.98H), 1.04 (d, 1.02H, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.03 (d, 1.98H, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.92 (d, 1.98H, J = 6.3 Hz), 0.89 (s, 5.94H), 0.85-0.89 (m, 1.02H), 0.88 (s, 3.06H), 0.12 (s, 3.96H), 0.10 (s, 1.02H), 0.10 (s, 1.02H). HRMS calculated for C30H47O5 Si (M+Na): 539.3169; found: 539.3160.

Cycloundecene trisilyl ether 21: To a solution of benzylidene acetal **20** (56 mg, 0.11 mmol) in diethyl ether (3 mL) was added a solution of 3% HCl/MeOH (1.5 mL) and the reaction allowed to stir for 15 minutes at which time an additional portion of 3% HCl/MeOH (1.5 mL) was added. The reaction was allowed to stir for ten more minutes before concentrating *in vacuo*. The crude diol was then dissolved in methylene chloride (720 μ L) and the solution cooled to -78°C before adding 2,6-lutidine (119 μ L, 1.02 mmol) followed by TBSOTf (105 μ L, 0.46 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to gradually warm to 0°C over two hours at which time additional TBSOTf (105 μ L, 0.4 mmol) was added. The reaction was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stir for two hours. The mixture was then partitioned between pH 7 aqueous phosphate buffer and ethyl acetate, the combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using 1% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired trisilyl ether **21** (36 mg, 53% for two steps). Selected NMR data (major isomer only): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.44–5.59 (m, 2H), 3.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 3.64 (s, 1H), 3.45-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.36-3.41 (m, 1H), 3.19 (quintet, 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.24-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.18 (m, 1H); IR (neat): 1696, 1471, 1254, 1098, 836. HRMS calculated for C34H70O4Si3 (M+H): 627.4660; found: 627.4655.

Cycloundecane trisilyl ether 22: A solution of the mixture of *cis* and t*rans* alkenes **21** (29 mg, 0.04 mmol) in 10:1 methanol/ethyl acetate (1 mL MeOH:0.1 mL EtOAc) was purged with argon for ten minutes. Next, 10% Pd/C (5 mg) was added and the mixture further purged with hydrogen gas and allowed to continue stirring under an atmosphere of hydrogen for four hours. The mixture was then filtered through celite, washed with ethyl acetate, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 1% to 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired saturated eleven-membered ring **22** (25 mg, 86%). IR (neat): 1694, 1472, 1463, 1255, 1100, 835, 773 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.95 (dd, 1H, *J* = 0, 8.4 Hz), 3.58 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 3.43-3.51 (m, 2H), 3.18 (pent, 1H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 1.95-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.62-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.39-1.58 (m, 5H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.24-1.27 (m, 1H), 1.17 (m, 3H), 1.12-1.15 (m, 2H), 1.05 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.87-0.88 (m, 3H), 0.070 (s, 3H), 0.054 (s, 3H), 0.041 (s, 3H), 0.034 (s, 6H), 0.0030 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 216.2, 80.5, 78.1, 64.8, 52.6, 48.0, 42.9, 36.0, 30.4, 29.4, 26.2, 26.2, 26.1, 26.0, 25.1, 25.1, 23.4, 18.9, 18.7, 18.5, 18.3, 17.9, -3.2, -3.6, -3.7, -4.2, -5.2, -5.3. HRMS calculated for C34H72O4Si3 (M+H): 629.4817; found: 629.4798.

Alcohol 23: To a solution of the trisilyl ether 22 (9 mg, 0.01 mmol) in methanol (0.3 mL) at 0°C was added catalytic PPTS and the reaction allowed to continue stirring at this temperature while monitoring by TLC. After 4 hours the reaction was warmed to room temperature with stirring for 18 hours. Although TLC analysis showed the reaction was not complete, the reaction was worked up after approx. 24 hours. The reaction mixture was partitioned between pH 7 aqueous phosphate buffer and ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 4% to 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired primary hydroxyl 23 (3 mg, 41%; 92% based on recovered starting material). [α] -8.4° (c 0.5, CHCl3); IR (neat): 3482, 1693, 1472, 1253, 836, 773 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.95 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 8.5 Hz), 3.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz), 3.53-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.20 (dq, 1H, J = 6.9, 13.9 Hz), 2.02-2.05 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.50 (m, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.11-1.29 (m, 5H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.06 (d, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.87-0.88 (m, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.057 (s, 3H), 0.044 (s, 3H), 0.024 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl3): δ 216.0, 81.1, 78.2, 64.6, 52.4, 48.0, 42.9, 35.6, 30.0, 29.5, 26.2, 26.2, 25.6, 25.2, 24.8, 23.3, 18.8, 18.7, 18.5, 18.0, -3.4, -3.6, -3.7, -3.9. HRMS calculated for C28H58O4Si2 (M+H): 515.3952; found: 515.3967.

X-ray Derivative 24: After hydrolysis of the benzylidene acetal (as described above for the preparation of trisilyl ether 21), the resulting diol (29 mg, 0.07 mmol), as a mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers, was dissolved in methanol/ethyl acetate (10:1, 2 mL methanol: 0.2 mL ethyl acetate) and the solution purged with argon for ten minutes. Next, 10% Pd/C (5 mg) was added and the mixture was purged with hydrogen gas and allowed to continue stirring under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 18 hours. The mixture was then filtered through celite, washing with ethyl acetate, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting white solid (27 mg, 93%) was carried on without further purification.

To a solution of the saturated eleven-membered ring prepared above (28 mg, 0.07 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.7 mL) at 0°C was added pyridine (17 μ L, 0.21 mmol) followed by *p*-bromobenzoyl chloride (38 mg, 0.18 mmol) and catalytic DMAP. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature with stirring for 24 hours

before diluting the reaction mixture with ethyl acetate and washing with water followed by saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate. The organic extract was dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient 10% to 40% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired ester 24 (10 mg, 24%; 69% based on recovered starting material). [α] +12.9° (c 0.45, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 3456, 1721, 1670, 1590, 1462, 1270 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.83–7.87 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.57 (m, 2H), 4.32 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.6, 10.7 Hz), 4.24 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.8, 10.6 Hz), 4.10 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 3.97 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.2, 7.3 Hz), 3.53 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.4, 9.1 Hz), 3.21 (quintet, 1H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 2.02-2.04 (m, 1H), 1.51-1.70 (m, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.22-1.30 (m, 3H), 1.14 (d, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 1.02-1.12 (m, 3H), 0.89 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.077 (s, 3H), 0.070 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 224.9, 165.7, 131.7, 131.0, 129.3, 128.0, 82.8, 76.1, 66.8, 49.2, 46.0, 40.7, 37.2, 30.2, 30.0, 29.7, 26.9, 26.8, 26.1, 22.4, 18.4, 17.6, 17.1, -3.8, -3.9. HRMS calculated for C29H47BrO5Si (M+Na): 605.2274; found: 605.2264.

Carboxylic acid 25: To a solution of primary alcohol **23** (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) in dimethylformamide (0.43 mL) at room temperature was added PDC (81 mg, 0.2 mmol) and the reaction allowed to stir vigorously for four hours. The reaction mixture was then partitioned between distilled water (4.0 mL) and diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were then dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography to provide the desired aldehyde (10 mg, 100%). [α] 14.4° (c 0.5, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 1725, 1695, 1473, 1256, 1106, 836, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.66 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 3.91-3.93 (m, 2H), 3.19 (dq, 1H, *J* = 6.8, 9.2 Hz), 3.01-3.06 (m, 1H), 1.69-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.63 (m, 3H), 1.46-1.51 (m, 1H), 1.26-1.41 (m, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.03 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.90 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.064 (s, 3H), 0.041 (s, 3H), -0.034 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.0, 202.7, 79.0, 76.7, 53.6, 53.3, 48.8, 35.4, 29.7, 27.4, 26.3, 26.0, 25.3, 25.3, 25.0, 22.6, 19.2, 18.6, 18.4, 17.9, -3.2, -3.4, -3.6, -4.3. HRMS calculated for C28H56O4Si2 (M+Na): 535.3615; found: 535.3627.

To a solution of the aldehyde prepared above (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) in *t*BuOH (2.0 mL) and 2-methyl-2-butene (98 μ L) was added a solution of NaClO₂ (2.5 mg, 0.03 mmol) in pH 3.5 aqueous phosphate buffer (0.39 mL) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 minutes before partitioning the reaction mixture between distilled water and diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 10% to 20% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired acid **25** (9 mg, 87%). [α] -4.4° (c 0.45, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 2500-3300, 1700, 1468, 1255, 1106, 837, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.94–3.97 (m, 2H), 3.25–3.33 (m, 2H), 1.87-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.38-1.49 (m, 2H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.31-1.34 (m, 1H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 0.99 (s, 9H), 0.95 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.16 (s, 3H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.092 (s, 3H), 0.080 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.1, 182.2, 78.0, 79.5, 53.9, 49.0, 46.9, 35.2, 30.2, 29.2, 27.3, 26.3, 26.0, 25.5, 25.0, 21.4, 19.2, 18.6, 18.5, 18.0, -3.0, -3.3, -3.5, -4.2. HRMS calculated for C28H5605Si2 (M+Na): 551.3564; found: 551.3556.

Ester 28: To a solution of acid **25** (4 mg, 7.6 μ mol) in methylene chloride (0.3 mL) at room temperature was added DCC (2 mg, 9.8 μ mol) followed by DMAP (1 mg, 9.8 μ mol). To the reaction mixture was then added a

solution of thiazole alcohol 27 (2 mg, 9.1 μmol) in methylene chloride (0.2 mL) and the reaction allowed to continue stirring for 24 hours. The mixture was filtered through celite and washed with methylene chloride. The resulting solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 2% to 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired ester (3.7 mg, 66%). [α] -5.9° (c 0.18, CHCl3); IR (neat): 1733, 1694, 1472, 1256, 1104, 836, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.74 (dddd, 1H, *J* = 6.4, 6.4, 10.1, 16.8 Hz), 5.30 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.7, 7.8 Hz), 5.13 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.5, 17.0 Hz), 5.06 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.6, 10.1 Hz), 3.89-3.91 (m, 2H), 3.22-3.28 (m, 2H), 2.69 (s, 3H), 2.54-2.60 (m, 1H), 2.45-2.50 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.80-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.59-1.72 (m, 3H), 1.23-1.46 (m, 5H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.90 (d, 3H, *J* = 4.6 Hz), 0.095 (s, 3H), 0.069 (s, 3H), 0.042 (s, 3H), 0.019 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl3): δ 215.4, 175.9, 164.7, 152.5, 136.7, 133.5, 121.3, 118.1, 116.6, 79.9, 79.8, 78.9, 54.1, 49.2, 47.0, 37.8, 34.9, 30.8, 29.0, 27.0, 26.3, 26.1, 25.7, 24.7, 21.5, 19.3, 19.3, 18.6, 18.5, 18.0, 14.6, -2.8, -3.2, -3.5, -4.2. HRMS calculated for C39H69NO5SSi2 (M+Na): 742.4333; found: 742.4330.

To a solution of the ester prepared above (3.7 mg, 5 μ mol) in methylene chloride (0.5 mL) at 0°C was added TFA (0.1 mL) and the reaction allowed to stir at -12°C for four days. The reaction was then poured into cold, aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted with chloroform. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 30% to 40% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired dihydroxy ester **28** (1.9 mg, 77%). [α] -14.0° (c 0.1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 3446, 1733, 1717, 1699, 1683, 1668, 1652, 1456, 1153, 968 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 5.73 (dddd, 1H, *J* = 6.9, 6.9, 10.1, 17.0 Hz), 5.34 (dd, 1H, *J* = 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 5.09 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.5, 17.1 Hz), 5.04 (dd, 1H, *J* = <1, 10.3 Hz), 4.11 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 3.96 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.1, 8.4 Hz), 3.70 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 3.21-3.27 (m, 1H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 2.44-2.55 (m, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.77-1.84 (m, 3H), 1.59-1.66 (m, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.30 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 1.21-1.26 (m, 4H), 1.00 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 223.1, 172.7, 164.6, 152.6, 137.1, 133.5, 120.6, 117.7, 116.3, 85.0, 78.4, 77.5, 49.1, 48.1, 47.5, 37.6, 34.8, 29.0, 28.9, 28.8, 27.7, 24.8, 22.0, 19.2, 18.9, 17.2, 14.8; HRMS calculated for C₂₇H4₁NO5S (M+Na): 514.2603; found: 514.2601.

Ester 7: To a solution of acid 25 (5.4 mg, 0.01 mmol) in methylene chloride (0.3 mL) at room temperature was added DCC (3 mg, 0.01 mmol) followed by DMAP (2 mg, 0.01 mol). To the reaction mixture was then added a solution of thiazole alcohol 26 (2 mg, 0.01 mmol) in methylene chloride (0.2 mL) and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 24 hours. The mixture was filtered through celite, washed with methylene chloride, and the resulting solution concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 2% to 10% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired ester (6 mg, 87%). [α] -4.3° (c 0.3, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 1734, 1694, 1463, 1255, 1103, 836, 774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 4.68 (d, 1H, *J* = 37.8 Hz), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 38.3 Hz), 3.90-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.22-3.27 (m, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.81-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.60-1.73 (m, 4H), 1.45-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.35-1.38 (m, 2H), 1.23-1.29 (m, 1H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.01 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.88-0.90 (m, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.10 (s, 3H), 0.064 (s, 3H), 0.038 (s, 3H), 0.032 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.2, 176.8, 164.9, 152.4, 134.0, 121.9, 116.6, 80.0, 79.6, 77.2, 77.0, 76.7, 70.6, 53.9, 49.1, 47.2, 35.2, 30.5, 29.2, 27.2, 26.3, 26.1, 25.6, 25.1, 21.3, 19.3,

18.6, 18.5, 18.0, 16.2, -2.9, -3.3, -3.5, -4.2. HRMS calculated for C₃₆H₆₅NO₅SSi₂ (M+Na): 702.4020; found: 702.4011.

To a solution of the ester prepared above (5 mg, 7.4 μ mol) in methylene chloride (0.5 mL) at 0°C was added TFA (0.1 mL) and the reaction allowed to stir at -12°C for two days. The reaction was poured into cold, aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted with chloroform. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of 30% to 40% ethyl acetate-petroleum ether to give the desired diol 7 (2 mg, 60%). [α] -15.0° (c 0.1, CHCl₃); IR (neat): 3446, 1732, 1668, 1456, 1154, 987 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.68 (d, 1H, *J* = 22.3 Hz), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 22.3 Hz), 4.25 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 4.00 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.8, 8.5 Hz), 3.70 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.4 Hz), 3.25 (dq, 1H, *J* = 6.9, 8.7 Hz), 2.69 (s, 3H), 2.54-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.73-1.84 (m, 3H), 1.46-1.69 (m, 8H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.31 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 1.00 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 223.2, 173.3, 164.7, 152.5, 134.5, 121.2, 116.3, 85.1, 77.4, 70.1, 48.9, 48.1, 47.5, 34.9, 29.2, 29.1, 28.8, 27.7, 24.7, 22.0, 19.2, 18.9, 17.2, 16.1. HRMS calculated for C₂4H₃7NO5S (M+Na): 474.2290; found: 474.2281.

Ester 32: 7-octenoic acid (60 mg, 0.42 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂. DCC (90 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.3 eq) and DMAP (54 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.3 eq) were then added and after 10 minutes of stirring, alcohol (10 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.2 eq) was introduced. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. Water (2 mL) was added, the organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography using a 15% ethyl acetate-hexane to give pure ester as a white solid (108 mg, 70 %). $[\alpha] +2.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.30, CDCl₃); mp = 123-124.5°C; IR (KBr pellet): 2930, 2857, 1710, 1684, 1534, 1458, 1220, 1150 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 5.72 (m, 2H), 5.30 (t, 1H, J = 6.51 Hz); 4.87-5.10 (m, 4H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 2.48 (t, 2H, J=7.39 Hz), 2.29 (t, 2H, J = 7.60 Hz), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.12-1.74 (m, 5H), 0.83 (t, 3H, J = 6.24 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.2, 150.1, 138.4, 131.0, 120.6, 117.6, 116.2, 114.3, 77.8, 57.0, 50.5, 37.6, 34.5, 33.5, 32.4, 30.4, 28.6, 25.8, 24.8. HRMS calculated for C19H27NO2S (M+NH4): 351.2106; found: 351.2115.

Lactone 33 (E and Z): Ester 32 (10 mg, 0.03 mmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 and Ru-catalyst (2 mg) was added. The resulting mixture was then stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. Evaporation of volatiles and purification of the residue by column chromatography gave 8 mg (80 % yield) of mixture of E/Z isomers (1:1 ratio, determined by HPLC), which were separated by column chromatography chromatography using a 10% ethyl acetate-hexane

Z-isomer: [α] +61.6 (c 1.00, CDCl₃); . IR (neat): 2924, 2852, 1730, 1455, 1245, 1140 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.48 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.1 Hz), 5.46 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 15.6, 11.0, 2.8 Hz), 5.31 (dddd, 1H, *J* = 15.6, 10.6, 3.9, 1.8 Hz), 2.68 (s, 3H), 2.44 (m, 2H), 2.36 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.62-1.90 (m, 5H), 0.86-1.42 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.2, 152.2, 137.4, 134.8, 126.4, 119.4, 115.9, 75.8, 38.4, 35.3, 34.2, 33.1, 29.6, 26.6, 25.7, 24.4, 19.2. HRMS calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₂S (M+Na): 328.1347; found: 328.1337.

E isomer: $[\alpha] +50.6$ (c 1.00, CDCl₃); IR (neat): 2931, 2863, 1734, 1463, 1239, 1144 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 5.49 (m, 1H), 5.32 (dt, 1H, J=10.2, 3.6 Hz), 5.25 (d, J=1H, 10.4 Hz), 2.68 (s, 3H), 2.49 (m, 2H), 2.16 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.12-1.68 (m, 5H), 0.86-1.04 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.2, 154.3, 138.3, 134.1, 125.9, 117.4, 116.1, 73.2, 37.9, 35.1, 34.2, 33.9, 30.2, 28.1, 26.2, 22.2, 19.9. HRMS calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₂S (M+Na): 328.1347; found: 328.1332.

Epoxide 6 from Z-33: A solution of dimethyldioxirane (0.29 mL, 0.02 mmol, 0.07 M solution in acetone) was added dropwise to a cooled (-30 $^{\circ}$ C) solution of 5 mg (0.018 mmol) of alkene Z-33 in 1 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂. After stirring for 3 hours, evaporation of volatiles and purification of the residue by column chromatography using a 15% ethyl acetate-hexane gave a mixture of inseparable diastereoisomeric epoxides (1:1 ratio, determined by HPLC) in 81 % yield (5 mg). [α] +15.3 (c 0.10, CDCl₃); IR (neat): 2922, 2850, 1733, 1558, 1456, 1238, 1154 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.95 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 2H), 5.48 (dd, 2H, *J* = 12, 2.5 Hz), 2.72 (m, 4H), 2.69 (s, 6H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.19 (m, 2H), 2.06 (s, 6H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.12-1.69 (m, 8H), 0.72-0.96 (m, 6H). HRMS calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₃S (M+Na): 344.1296; found: 344.1305.

Epoxide 6 from E-33: A solution of dimethyldioxirane (0.28 mL, 0.02 mmol, 0.07 M solution in acetone) was added dropwise to a cooled (-30 $^{\circ}$ C) solution of 5 mg (0.018 mmol) of alkene **E-33** in 1 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂. After stirring for 3 hours, evaporation of volatiles and purification of the residue by column chromatography using a 15% ethyl acetate-hexane gave a mixture of inseparable diastereoisomeric epoxides (1:1 ratio, determined by HPLC) in 76 % yield (4.5 mg). [α] +12.6 (c 0.10, CDCl₃); IR (neat): 2935, 2864, 1732, 1562, 1455, 1237, 1150 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.95 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 2H), 5.48 (d, 2H, *J* = 10.8 Hz), 3.08 (m, 2H), 2.82 (m, 2H), 2.68 (s, 6H), 2.57 (m, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.14 (m, 2H), 2.08 (s, 6H), 199 (m, 2H), 1.05-1.54 (m, 10H), 0.81-0.88 (m, 6H). HRMS calculated for C₁₇H₂₃NO₃S (M+Na): 344.1296; found: 344.1289.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Susan Horwitz (Albert Einstein College of Medicine) for the biological evaluation of the epothilone analogs prepared in this study and Professor Marc Snapper (Boston College) for his invaluable guidance in our metathesis studies. The generous financial support of Dr. Alfred Bader, the National Institutes of Health, SmithKline Beecham, Wyeth-Ayerst and Pfizer is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] a) Rowinsky E; Donehower R: New Engl. J. Med. 1995 332:1004-1014; b) Nicloaou KC; Dai W; Guy R: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994 33:15-44.
- [2] a) Manfredi J; Horwitz S: *Pharmac. Ther.* 1984 25:83-125; b) Schiff P; Horwitz S: *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 1980 77:1561-1563; c) Wilson L; Jordan M: *Chem. Biol.* 1995 2:569-573.
- [3] a) Bollag D; McQueney P; Zhu J; Hensens O; Koupal L; Liesch J; Goetz M; Lazarides E; Woods C: Cancer Res. 1995 55:2325-2333; b) Kowalski R; Giannakakou P; Hamel E: J. Biol. Chem. 1997 272:2534-2541.
- [4] Lindel T; Jense P; Fenical W; Long B; Casazza A; Carboni J; Fairchild C: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997 119:8744-8745.

- [5] a) ter Haar E; Kowalski R; Hamel E; Lin C; Longley R; Gunasekera S; Rosenkranz H; Day B: Biochemistry 1996 35:243-250; b) Hung D; Chen J; Schreiber S: Chem. Biol. 1996 3:287-293.
- [6] Hofle G; Bedorf N; Steinmetz H; Schomburg D; Gerth K; Reichenbach H: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996 35:1567-1569.
- [7] a) Nicolaou KC; Ninkovic S; Sarabia F; Vourloumis D; He Y; Vallberg H; Finlay M; Yang Z: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997 119:7974-7991; b) Nicolaou KC; Winssinger N; Pastor J; Ninkovic S; Sarabia F; He Y; Vourloumis D; Yang Z; Li T; Giannoakaou P; Hamel E: Nature 1997 387:268-272; c) Yang Z; He Y; Vourloumis D; Vallberg H; Nicolaou KC: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:166-168; d) Nicolaou KC; Sarabia F; Ninkovic S; Yang Z: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:525-527.
- [8] a) Balog A; Meng D; Kamemecka T; Bertinato P; Su D; Sorensen E; Danishefsky SJ: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 35:2801-2803; b) Meng D; Bertinato P; Balog A; Su D; Kamenecka T; Sorensen E; Danisefsky SJ: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997 119:10073-10092; c) Su D; Meng D; Bertinato P; Balog A; Sorensen E; Danishefsky SJ; Zheng Y; Chou T; He L; Horwitz S: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:757-759.
- [9] Schinzer D; Limberg A; Bauer A; Boehm O; Cordes M: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:523-524.
- [10] Su D; Balog A; Meng D; Bertinato P; Danishefsky SJ; Zheng Y; Chou T; He L; Horwitz S: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:2093-2096.
- [11] a) Nicolaou KC; He Y; Vourloumis D; Vallberg H; Roschangar F; Sarabia F; Ninkovic S; Yang Z; Trujillo J: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997 119:7960-7961; b) Nicolaou KC; Vourloumis D; Li T; Pastor J; Wionssinger N; He Y; Ninkovic S; Sarabia F; Vallberg H; Roschangar F; King N; Finlay M; Giannakakou P; Verdier-Pinard P; Hamel E: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1997 36:2097-2102.
- [12] a) Azam S; D'Souza AA; Wyatt PB: J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans I 1996 621-627; b) Evans DA; Bender S; Morris J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988;110:2506-2526; c) Lin N; Overman LE; Rabinowitz M; Robinson L; Sharp M; Zablocki J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996;118, 9062-9072.
- [13] a) Schwab P; France MB; Ziller JW; Grubbs RH: Angew. Chem. 1995 107:2179-2181; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995 34:2039-2041; b) Schwab P; Grubbs RH; Ziller JW: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996 118:100-110.
- [14] a) Furstner A; Muller T: Synlett 1997 1010-1012; b) Furstner A; Langemann K: Synthesis 1997 792-803.
- [15] Taylor R; Haley H: Tetrahedron Lett. 1997 38:2061-2064 and references cited therein.
- [16] Under these reaction conditions, the trans alkene underwent double deprotection to a mixture of regioisomeric diols.
- [17] a) Armstrong S: J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans I 1998 371-388; b) Grubbs R; Chang S: Tetrahedron 1998 54:4413-4450.
- [18] Eliel E; Wilen S: Stereochemistry of Carbon Compounds. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1994: 678.
- [19] Miller S; Blackwell H; Grubbs R: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996 118:9606-9614; b) Forbes M; Patton J; Myers T; Maynard H; Smith D; Schulz G; Wagener K: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992 114:10978-10980.
- [20] Bollag DM; McQueney PA; Zhu J; Hensens O; Koupal L; Liesch J; Goetz M; Lazarides E; Woods CM: Cancer Res. 1995 55:2325-2333.
- [21] Winkler JD; Axelsen PH: Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996 6:2963-2966.
- [22] Nicolaou KC; Sarabia F; Ninkovic S; Ray M; Finlay V; Boddy C: Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl. 1998 37:81-83.
- [23] For a detailed analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum of epothilone, see Victory S; Vander Velde D; Jalluri R; Grunewald G; Georg G: Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996 6:893-898.
- [24] Dess DB; Martin JC: J. Org. Chem. 1983 48:4155-4156.