

Allenoates in Enantioselective [2+2] Cycloadditions: From a Mechanistic Curiosity to a Stereospecific Transformation

Johannes. M. Wiest,[‡] Michael L. Conner,[‡] and M. Kevin Brown^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 E. Kirkwood Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Identification of a novel catalyst-allenoate pair allows enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition of α -methylstyrene. To understand the origin of selectivity, a detailed mechanistic investigation was conducted. Herein, two competing reaction pathways are proposed, which operate simultaneously and funnel the alkenes to the same axially chiral cyclobutanes. In agreement with the Woodward-Hoffmann rules, this mechanistic curiosity can be rationalized through a unique symmetry operation that was elucidated by deuteration experiments. In the case of 1,1-diarylalkenes,



distal communication between the catalyst and alkene is achieved through subtle alteration of electronic properties and conformation. In this context, a Hammett study lends further credibility to a concerted mechanism. Thus, extended scope exploration, including β -substitution on the alkene to generate two adjacent stereocenters within the cyclobutane ring, is achieved in a highly stereospecific and enantioselective fashion (33 examples, up to >99:1 er).

■ INTRODUCTION

Construction of multiple stereocenters in a single event has become an increasingly important strategy to build molecular complexity in an efficient and economical way. Arguably, one of the most powerful methods to achieve such a transformation is the Diels-Alder reaction.¹ It is generally postulated that the aforementioned reaction involves a symmetry-allowed cyclic transition state as predicted by the Woodward-Hoffmann rules.² Consequently, the reaction can be performed in a stereospecific fashion, allowing the generation of all possible isomers from the respective E- or Z-alkenes. Despite significant advances in the realm of enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions,³ the analogous, concerted [2+2] cycloaddition of alkenes has remained a challenge. Particularly, methods that utilize activated olefins are especially difficult. In contrast to the Diels-Alder reaction, recent methods to construct enantioenriched arylcyclobutanes by [2+2] cycloaddition often proceed through stepwise processes, resulting in decreased reaction selectivity (Scheme 1a).^{4,5} With respect to Lewis acid catalyzed examples, gold complexes have been utilized to activate alkynes⁶ or allenes⁷ to achieve enantioselective cycloaddition with highly activated styrene derivatives. In addition, copper and zinc have been used with electron rich arylalkenes to give cyclobutanes with good control of enantioselectivity.⁸ Alternatively, chiral amines can be used to assemble cyclobutanes via ionic intermediates.⁹ Photochemical methods¹⁰ often exhibit increased tolerance for electron-poor styrenes, but generally involve excited state biradical intermediates, which lead to either stereoconvergence^{10f} or erosion of diastereoselectivity.^{10g} Overall, only a few examples,^{9a} report good

Scheme 1. Enantioselective Arylcyclobutane Synthesis





stereospecificity through trapping of reactive intermediates at low temperatures.

Received: September 14, 2018

Journal of the American Chemical Society

To address this problem, we recently focused our efforts on rendering alkene-allenoate cycloadditions enantioselective.¹¹ Based on several reports in the literature, ^{11b,12} alkene-allenoate cycloadditions appear to be concerted and therefore stereospecific in nature, which provides a unique opportunity for an in-depth study (Scheme 1b). Herein, we report the enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition of α -methylstyrene through identification of a novel alkene-allenoate pair. This method does not only enable catalytic enantioselective formation of quaternary carbon centers¹³ but also exemplifies how elucidation of scope. Ultimately, we propose models that reliably explain the observed selectivity for a range of activated alkenes in this unique [2+2] cycloaddition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization. We initially envisioned accessing cyclobutanes bearing a quaternary center by using activated 1,1-disubstituted alkenes (e.g., α -methylstyrene). Preliminary data suggested that the identity of the allenoate ester has a significant influence on modulating reactivity as well as selectivity.^{12d} As such, investigations of various allenoates 1 were undertaken (Table 1).

Table 1. Reaction Optimization



^aSee the <u>Supporting Information</u> for experimental details. ^bDetermination by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture utilizing a calibrated standard. ^cDetermined by HPLC analysis using a chiral column.

Changing from benzyl (1a) to the more reactive 2,2,2trifluoroethyl ester (1b) under our previously optimized reaction conditions resulted in decreased yield, due to competitive polymerization of the starting materials under the reaction conditions (Table 1, compare entries 1 and 2). We next examined the use of thiobenzyl allenic ester 1c in the reaction and were pleased to find a slight increase in reaction selectivity, albeit with decreased overall yield (compare entries 1 and 3). Confident that reaction yield could be improved through catalyst control, we studied modifications of the diarylprolinol scaffold. Whereas increasing the steric size of the aryl groups from phenyl (2a) to xylyl (2b) provided a significant increase in reaction selectivity, further increase to sterically bulkier $3,5-(tBu)_2-C_6H_3$ catalyst 2c resulted in a substantially less selective reaction (compare entries 3-5). Investigation of more electron rich aryl groups (i.e., entry 6, 2d) resulted in a marked decrease in overall reaction yield

while providing higher reaction selectivity. Significant improvements in both reaction yield and enantioselectivity was observed when more electron deficient $3,5-(CF_3)_2-C_6H_3$ catalyst **2e** was examined in the reaction (entry 7). Interestingly, utilizing the same catalyst with benzyl allenoate resulted in a smaller increase in enantioselectivity (compare entries 1 and 8).

Mechanism. To account for the observed enantiomer obtained in the reaction we propose that upon binding of the Lewis basic carbonyl oxygen to the Lewis acidic boron atom,¹⁴ the orientation of the allenoate may be fixed by a putative C–H···O hydrogen bonding interaction (Scheme 2a).¹⁵ As the

Scheme 2. Model for Enantioselectivity

a) Transition states (TS) explaining the observed enantioselectivity





bottom face of the allenoate is effectively blocked by the large aryl groups of the catalyst, approach of the alkene may only occur from the top face. Additionally, the sterically large phenyl group of the alkene is oriented distal to the large catalyst–substrate complex. Conveniently, the planar character of the phenyl group thereby also minimizes steric interaction with the protruding C–H bond of the allene, resulting in two plausible transition states (Scheme 2a, TS-A1 and TS-B1) for alkene approach.

We propose a concerted, asynchronous $[\pi 2_s + (\pi 2_s + \pi 2_s)]$ cycloaddition in which the direction of rotation of the electron deficient allenic π -bond is dictated by the Woodward–Hoffmann rules (indicated by the blue arrows).^{2,16} Because

Journal of the American Chemical Society

of the unusual symmetry of the system, both transition states lead to the same enantiomer. The absolute configuration of the cycloadduct 3c was proven through hydrolysis of the thioester and subsequent analysis of the corresponding carboxylic acid 4 via X-ray diffraction.¹⁷ To distinguish TS-A1 and TS-B1, $cis-\beta$ deutero- α -methylstyrene 5 was subjected to the reaction conditions (Scheme 2b). To our surprise, the cycloadduct 6 was obtained as an 83:17 Z:E mixture suggesting that both pathways are operating. Accordingly, *trans-\beta*-deutero- α -methylstyrene 7 furnished cyclobutane 8 as a 86:14 Z:E mixture. Assignment of the respective E- and Z-isomers was achieved through derivatization and subsequent NOE analysis of the respective tertiary alcohol 9 (see Supporting Information for details). Thus, we were able to deduce TS-A1 to be energetically favored, which can be explained by the alkene being distal to the bulky boroaryl group of the catalyst. In addition, the cycloaddition was highly stereospecific, as indicated by the two different pairs of products generated from the respective cis- and trans-deuteroalkene. This suggests a concerted mechanism, which was not necessarily to be expected considering the stabilization of a potential benzylic carbocation in a stepwise process.

To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, we became interested in differentiating 1,1-biaryl alkenes based on their steric and/or electronic properties (Scheme 3a). Herein, only one aryl ring is in conjugation with the π -system, resulting in substantial steric differentiation of the two aryl groups (Scheme 3a, TS-A2). We propose preferential reaction occurs with the more reactive conformer of alkene (TS-A2, X = moreelectron donating than Y), providing an excellent setting to undertake a more detailed Hammett study. Electronically differentiated biaryl alkenes 10 were evaluated in the reaction. Moderate to good yields were obtained depending on the electronic properties of the biarylalkenes. In agreement with our model, increased disparity between the two aryl groups resulted in improved reaction enantioselectivity (products 11a-11f). We found a good correlation between log(er) and σ^+ with a ρ value <1, suggesting the build-up of positive charge in the transition state in a less sensitive fashion than the parent $S_{N}1$ reaction.¹⁸ This correlates with a concerted, highly asynchronous cycloaddition. According to the regression equation obtained from the small training set used for the Hammett study, an enantiomeric ratio of 92:8 was predicted for alkene 12 bearing two electronically altered rings¹⁹ (herein $\Delta \sigma^+$ was obtained from the parent σ^+ values for *para*-CF₃ and para-OMe).¹⁸ When 12 was subjected to the reaction conditions, cycloadduct 13 was obtained in 58% yield and 92:8 er highlighting the potential of this type of enantiodiscrimination (Scheme 3b). Proof of absolute stereochemistry was achieved by hydrolysis of product 13 and X-ray analysis of the respective biarylcyclobutanecarboxylic acid 14.

To further probe our hypothesis, differentially substituted 1,1-biaryl olefin **15** was synthesized and examined in the reaction (Scheme 3c). As the aryl groups of this alkene possess more similar electronic properties, the rotation of one aryl group out of conjugation is primarily driven by adverse intramolecular steric interactions. We hypothesized the *ortho*-tolyl group would preferentially rotate out of plane to minimize 1,3-allylic strain (**TS-A3**, Scheme 3c), thus providing a similar steric environment as proposed in **TS-A2**. Gratifyingly, **16** was obtained in 48% yield and 89:11 er, lending support to our mechanistic hypothesis.



Scope. With a catalyst system that allowed for the cycloaddition of activated alkenes in hand, we examined the substrate scope of the reaction (Scheme 4). α -Methylstyrene underwent [2+2] cycloaddition in 93% yield and 96:4 er (product 3c) on gram scale (5.26 mmol) with no loss in reaction selectivity. Increasing the steric size of the α substituent was investigated and proceeds with high enantioselectivity (products 3d and 3e). High chemoselectivity for the activated alkene in the presence of an unactivated alkene was observed to provide 3e in 72% yield and 96:4 er, with no trace of cycloadducts derived from the reaction of the unactivated olefin. Several steric and electronic perturbations of the aromatic ring have been investigated (products 3f-3l). The cycloaddition proceeded in good yield with sterically encumbered (product 3f), halogenated (products 3h and 3j), and electron-poor (products 3i and 3j) vinyl arenes. Spirocyclic cyclobutane derivatives can also be accessed from the requisite 1,1-disubstituted olefin in good yield and high





^{*}All reactions run with 5 equiv of alkene on a 0.25 mmol scale. Yields reported are the average of two experiments. Enantiomeric ratios determined through HPLC analysis with a chiral column Absolute stereochemistry of 17 and 30 tentatively assigned. ^{*a*}Reactions run at -20 °C.

enantioselectivity (product 3k and 3l). Heterocycles bearing weakly basic heteroatoms, such as thiophene, were also tolerated (product 3l). In some cases, dropping the temperature improved the yield by decreasing the rate of alkene polymerization. Interestingly, enynes underwent cycloaddition without any interference of the alkyne moiety yielding 3m and 3n in moderate yield and excellent enantioselectivity.

Replacement of the aryl group with a cyclohexyl group resulted in substantial decrease in enantioselectivity, demonstrating that the aryl group is necessary to obtain highly enantioenriched products (Scheme 4, compare products 17 and 3c). Substitution at the α -position was also essential for successful reaction, as styrene itself performed poorly in terms of reactivity and selectivity under several reaction conditions (product 30, see Supporting Information for further details). Partial polymerization of styrene presumably accounts for the low yield, whereas low enantioselectivities for 17 and 30 can be rationalized by lack of steric differentiation with the protruding C–H bond of the allene.

To further expand the reaction scope, we investigated commodity dienes, such as isoprene (18), in the cycloaddition reaction (Scheme 5). Initially, when $EtAlCl_2$ was used as a Lewis acid, low periselectivity was observed, favoring Diels–Alder product 20. In stark contrast to $EtAlCl_2$, catalyst 2e allowed for >99:1 selectivity favoring [2+2] cycloadduct 19. The reaction also occurred with high chemoselectivity, as only the more substituted alkene of isoprene underwent cycloaddition; however, the observed enantioselectivity was only

Scheme 5. Catalyst Induced Periselectivity





b) Periselectivity with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene



^aIsolated yield of cycloaddition product(s). ^bDetermined by 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^cEnantiomeric ratio determined through HPLC analysis with a chiral column. ^d20 was obtained as a ~9:1 mixture of regioisomers. Absolute stereochemistry of 20 tentatively assigned.

moderate for this reaction. We assume that upon binding of allenoate 1c to catalyst 2e, the internal π -bond (Scheme 5a, marked in gray in TS-A4) is sufficiently blocked by the large boroaryl group of the catalyst, leaving the distal π -bond (marked in red in TS-A5) more readily accessible for [2+2] cycloaddition.²⁰ It should be noted that our system complements previous reports on Diels–Alder reactions between allenoates and cyclic dienes.^{14b,21,22} α -Substitution, as imposed by 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (21), significantly improved the enantioselectivity while preserving the high level of periselectivity (Scheme 5b, product 22).

Application to β **-Substitution.** The potential to generate two adjacent stereocenters piqued our curiosity to further study β -substitution of the alkene starting materials and test our proposed models. We initiated this survey with cyclic alkene 23. Gratifyingly, the reaction proceeded in good yield, regioselectivity, and with excellent enantioselectivity, but resulted in the formation of both alkene isomers (Scheme 6, Z-24 and ent-E-24) in a 69:31 ratio. Separation by column chromatography revealed Z-isomer Z-24 as the major product. In accordance with the deuteration experiment and as a consequence of β -substitution on the alkene, the two TS do not lead to the same absolute configuration within the cyclobutane ring (see Scheme 6a, TS-A6 and TS-B2). To verify this hypothesis, Z-24 and ent-E-24 were individually transformed to ketone 25 by oxidative cleavage using a modified Lemieux-Johnson oxidation.²³ As expected, 25 revealed opposite absolute configuration indicated by opposite optical rotation.

Scheme 6. Initial Study on Trisubstituted Alkenes

a) Trisubstituted alkene



Acyclic trisubstituted alkenes should, based on our mechanistic study, undergo cycloaddition in a concerted, stereospecific fashion. To demonstrate the utility of such an attribute, different pairs of acyclic E- and Z-alkenes were subjected to the optimized conditions (Table 2). As seen for alkene 23, modest E/Z selectivity was observed for Z-alkenes; however, the respective cyclobutanes 27a and 27b were formed with very high enantioselectivity (entries 1 and 2, the respective TS-A6 and TS-B2 from Scheme 6 are likely operating and explain the observed selectivity for alkenes 26a and 26b). Gratifyingly, no detectable amounts of the diastereomeric product originating from a nonstereospecific process was observed (compare entry 1 and 3). Interestingly, *E*-alkenes (entries 3-7) performed significantly better in terms of E/Z selectivity of products. Considering the two transition states TS-A7 and TS-B3, this is not surprising because TS-A7 encounters a substantial steric interaction between the E- β substituent and the boroaryl group, whereas TS-B3 is less affected by this substituent pointing away from the large boroaryl group (see Scheme 7a for details). Thus, E-isomeric cyclobutanes were formed almost exclusively from *E*-alkenes; however, product 27c (entry 3) was obtained with low enantioselectivity even when the temperature was decreased to -20 °C. A modest improvement was accomplished by exchanging catalyst 2c with 2a to provide the desired product in 90:10 er. Interestingly, when benzyl allenoate 1a was used instead of its thio-analog 1c, good enantioselectivity was achieved while maintaining the high level of E/Z selectivity (entry 4). Steric bulk, as imposed by ethyl groups on their respective positions (alkene 26d and 26e), was well tolerated giving products 27e and 27f in good yield and enantioselectivity. Finally, cyclic alkene 26f proceeded in 88% yield and 94:6 er with thiobenzyl allenic ester 1c. Its absolute stereochemistry was unambiguously determined by X-ray diffraction of the respective pentabromophenyl ester 28 and was found to be in agreement with the proposed models (Scheme 7b).

A	rti	cl	e

Table 2. Stereospecific [2+2] Cycloadditions

Entry	Alkene	Product	E/Z selectivity ^a	Yield ^b	er ^c
via TS	-A6 Me Me Ph 26a	Me····Ph Me 27a	34:66	40% (60%) ^e	>99:1
2 via TS	Et Me Ph 26b	Et Ph Me 27b	38:62	32% (52%) ^e	>99:1
. d	Me	Men,	>20:1	68%	84:16
3 ^u	Ph 260	Me	with 2a : > 20:1	65%	90:10
4	Me Ph 26c	Men Men Ph 27d	88:12	84%	95:5
5	Et Ph 26d	Menne CoBn Et IIII Ph 27e	86:14	78%	96:4
6	Et Ph 26e	Et Ph 27f	95:5	95%	96:4
7 ^d	26f	Mer. SBn	>20:1	91%	94:6

^{*a*}Determination by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture utilizing a calibrated standard. Reactions run under optimized conditions using catalyst **2e**. ^{*b*}Yields reported of pure major isomer as average of two experiments. ^{*c*}Enantiomeric ratio of the major isomer (see Supporting Information for er of minor isomers). ^{*d*}Reactions run at -20 °C. ^{*e*}Combined yield of both isomers in parentheses.

Scheme 7. TS for E-Alkenes

a) TS for E-alkenes, examplified by alkene 26c



b) Proof of absolute stereochemistry by derivatization of cyclobutane 27g



CONCLUSION

In summary, a method for enantioselective [2+2] cycloadditions of activated alkenes with allenoates has been developed. Supported by mechanistic evidence, this reaction resembles a rare example of a concerted, enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition with activated alkenes. As such, its potential to generate molecular complexity, with precise control of stereochemistry, makes the reaction especially attractive toward the synthesis of cyclobutane containing targets.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b10008.

Crystallographic data for $C_{13}H_{14}O_2$ (CIF) Crystallographic data for $C_{22}H_{17}Br_5O_2$ (CIF) Experimental procedures, analytical data for all new compounds (PDF) Crystallographic data for $C_{20}H_{17}F_3O_3$ (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*brownmkb@indiana.edu

ORCID

M. Kevin Brown: 0000-0002-4993-0917

Author Contributions

[‡]J.M.W. and M.L.C. contributed equally to this work. Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Indiana University and the National Institutes of Health (R01GM110131) for financial support. The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (WI 4933/1-2) is acknowledged for postdoctoral fellowship support to J.M.W.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Diels, O.; Alder, K. Über die Ursachen der Azoesterreaktion. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1926, 450, 237. (b) Diels, O.; Alder, K. Synthesen in der hydroaromatischen Reihe. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1928, 460, 98. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Snyder, S. A.; Montagnon, T.; Vassilikogiannakis, G. The Diels-Alder Reaction in Total Synthesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1668.

(2) (a) Woodward, R. B.; Hoffmann, R. The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 781. (b) Fleming, I. Molecular Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions; Wiley: Chichester, 2009

(3) For reviews, see: (a) Kagan, H. B.; Riant, O. Catalytic asymmetric Diels Alder reactions. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1007. (b) Corey, E. J. Catalytic Enantioselective Diels-Alder Reactions: Methods, Mechanistic Fundamentals, Pathways, and Applications. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1650.

(4) For recent reviews about enantioselective arylcyclobutane syntheses, see: (a) Brimioulle, R.; Lenhart, D.; Maturi, M. M.; Bach, T. Enantioselective Catalysis of Photochemical Reactions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3872. (b) Xu, Y.; Conner, M. L.; Brown, M. K. Cyclobutane and Cyclobutene Synthesis: Catalytic Enantioselective [2+2] Cycloadditions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11918. (c) Poplata, S.; Tröster, A.; Zou, Y.-Q.; Bach, T. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Cyclobutanes by Olefin [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 9748. (d) Fructos, M. R.; Prieto, A. [2+2] Cycloaddition reactions promoted by group 11 metal-based catalysts. Tetrahedron 2016, 72, 355. (e) Wang, M.; Lu, P. Catalytic approaches to assemble cyclobutane motifs in natural product synthesis. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 254. (f) Brenninger, C.; Jolliffe, J. D.; Bach, T. Chromophore Activation of α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl Compounds and Its Application to Enantioselective Photochemical Reactions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 14338.

(5) For recent examples utilizing transition metal catalysis, see: (a) Kumar, R.; Tamai, E.; Ohnishi, A.; Nishimura, A.; Hoshimoto, Y.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Synthesis of Cyclobutenes via [2+2] Cycloaddition of α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyls with 1,3-Enynes. Synthesis 2016, 48, 2789. (b) Kossler, D.; Cramer, N. Neutral chiral cyclopentadienyl Ru(II)Cl catalysts enable enantioselective [2+2]-cycloadditions. Chem. Sci. 2017. 8, 1862.

(6) García-Morales, C.; Ranieri, B.; Escofet, I.; López-Suarez, L.; Obradors, C.; Konovalov, A. I.; Echavarren, A. M. Enantioselective Synthesis of Cyclobutenes by Intermolecular [2+2] Cycloaddition with Non-C2 Symmetric Digold Catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13628.

(7) (a) Teller, H.; Flügge, S.; Goddard, R.; Fürstner, A. Enantioselective Gold Catalysis: Opportunities Provided by Monodentate Phosphoramidite Ligands with an Acyclic TADDOL Backbone. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1949. (b) González, A. Z.; Benitez, D.; Tkatchouk, E.; Goddard, W. A.; Toste, F. D. Phosphoramidite Gold(I)-Catalyzed Diastereo- and Enantioselective Synthesis of 3,4-Substituted Pyrrolidines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5500. (c) Teller, H.; Corbet, M.; Mantilli, L.; Gopakumar, G.; Goddard, R.; Thiel, W.; Fürstner, A. One-Point Binding Ligands for Asymmetric Gold Catalysis: Phosphoramidites with a TADDOL-Related but Acyclic Backbone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15331. (d) Suárez-Pantiga, S.; Hernández-Díaz, C.; Rubio, E.; González, J. M. Intermolecular [2+2] Reaction of N-Allenylsulfonamides with Vinylarenes: Enantioselective Gold(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Cyclobutane Derivatives. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11552. (e) Mauleón, P. Gold-Catalyzed Intermolecular Enantioselective [2+2] Cycloadditions of Sulfonylallenamides and Styrenes. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 2149. (f) Wang, Y.; Zhang, P.; Liu, Y.; Xia, F.; Zhang, J. Enantioselective gold-catalyzed intermolecular [2+2] versus [4+2]cycloadditions of 3-styrylindoles with N-allenamides: observation of interesting substituent effects. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5564. (g) Jia, M.; Monari, M.; Yang, Q.-Q.; Bandini, M. Enantioselective gold catalyzed dearomative [2+2]-cycloaddition between indoles and allenamides. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 2320.

(8) (a) Hu, J.-L.; Feng, L.-W.; Wang, L.; Xie, Z.; Tang, Y.; Li, X. Enantioselective Construction of Cyclobutanes: A New and Concise Approach to the Total Synthesis of (+)-Piperarborenine B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13151. (b) Kang, T.; Ge, S.; Lin, L.; Lu, Y.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. A Chiral N,N'-Dioxide-ZnII Complex Catalyzes the Enantioselective [2+2] Cycloaddition of Alkynones with Cyclic Enol Silyl Ethers. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 5541.

(9) (a) Ishihara, K.; Nakano, K. Enantioselective [2+2] Cycloaddition of Unactivated Alkenes with α -Acyloxyacroleins Catalyzed by Chiral Organoammonium Salts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8930. (b) Talavera, G.; Reyes, E.; Vicario, J. L.; Carrillo, L. Cooperative Dienamine/Hydrogen-Bonding Catalysis: Enantioselective Formal [2+2] Cycloaddition of Enals with Nitroalkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4104. (c) Albrecht, Ł.; Dickmeiss, G.; Acosta, F. C.; Rodríguez-Escrich, C.; Davis, R. L.; Jørgensen, K. A. Asymmetric Organocatalytic Formal [2+2]-Cycloadditions via Bifunctional H-Bond Directing Dienamine Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2543. (d) Duan, G.-J.; Ling, J.-B.; Wang, W.-P.; Luo, Y.-C.; Xu, P.-F. Organocatalytic formal [2+2] cycloaddition initiated by vinylogous Friedel-Crafts alkylation: enantioselective synthesis of substituted cyclobutane derivatives. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4625.

(10) (a) Guo, H.; Herdtweck, E.; Bach, T. Enantioselective Lewis Acid Catalysis in Intramolecular [2 + 2] Photocycloaddition Reactions of Coumarins. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7782. (b) Brimioulle, R.; Guo, H.; Bach, T. Enantioselective Intramolecular [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions of 4-Substituted Coumarins Catalyzed by a Chiral Lewis Acid. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7552. (c) Brimioulle, R.; Bauer, A.; Bach, T. Enantioselective Lewis Acid Catalysis in Intramolecular [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions: A Mechanistic Comparison between Representative Coumarin and Enone Substrates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5170. (d) Tröster, A.; Alonso, R.; Bauer, A.; Bach, T. Enantioselective Intermolecular [2+2] Photocycloaddition Reactions of 2(1H)-Quinolones Induced by Visible Light Irradiation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7808. (e) Blum, T. R.; Miller, Z. D.; Bates, D. M.; Guzei, I. A.; Yoon, T.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

P. Enantioselective photochemistry through Lewis acid-catalyzed triplet energy transfer. *Science* **2016**, *354*, 1391. (f) Huang, X.; Quinn, T. R.; Harms, K.; Webster, R. D.; Zhang, L.; Wiest, O.; Meggers, E. Direct Visible-Light-Excited Asymmetric Lewis Acid Catalysis of Intermolecular [2 + 2] Photocycloadditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 9120. (g) Miller, Z. D.; Lee, B. J.; Yoon, T. P. Enantioselective Crossed Photocycloadditions of Styrenic Olefins by Lewis Acid Catalyzed Triplet Sensitization. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 11891. (h) Hu, N.; Jung, H.; Zheng, Y.; Lee, J.; Zhang, L.; Ullah, Z.; Xie, X.; Harms, K.; Baik, M.-H.; Meggers, E. Catalytic Asymmetric Dearomatization by Visible-Light-Activated [2+2] Photocycloaddition. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 6242.

(11) (a) Conner, M. L.; Xu, Y.; Brown, M. K. Catalytic Enantioselective Allenoate–Alkene [2+2] Cycloadditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3482. (b) Xu, Y.; Hong, Y. J.; Tantillo, D. J.; Brown, M. K. Intramolecular Chirality Transfer [2+2] Cycloadditions of Allenoates and Alkenes. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3703. (c) Wiest, J. M.; Conner, M. L.; Brown, M. K. Synthesis of (–)-Hebelophyllene E: An Entry to Geminal Dimethyl-Cyclobutanes by [2+2] Cycloaddition of Alkenes and Allenoates. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 4647.

(12) (a) Snider, B. B.; Spindell, D. K. Lewis acid catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of methyl 2,3-butadienoate to alkenes. J. Org. Chem.
1980, 45, 5017. (b) Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Ismail, Z. M.; Weber, A. Synthesis of cyclobutylideneacetic esters via aluminum chloride promoted [2+2] cycloadditions of ethyl 2,3-butadienoate to olefins. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1953. (c) Snider, B. B.; Ron, E. Lewis acid catalyzed inter- and intramolecular [2+2] cycloadditions of conjugated allenic esters to alkenes. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3643. (d) Conner, M. L.; Brown, M. K. Synthesis of 1,3-Substituted Cyclobutanes by Allenoate-Alkene [2+2] Cycloaddition. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 8050.

(13) For recent reviews on the enantioselective generation of quaternary carbon centers, see: (a) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. Catalytic enantioselective synthesis of quaternary carbon stereocentres. *Nature* **2014**, *516*, 181. (b) Minko, Y.; Marek, I. Stereodefined acyclic trisubstituted metal enolates towards the asymmetric formation of quaternary carbon stereocentres. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 12597. (c) Feng, J.; Holmes, M.; Krische, M. J. Acyclic Quaternary Carbon Stereocenters via Enantioselective Transition Metal Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 12564.

(14) (a) Canales, E.; Corey, E. J. Highly Enantioselective [2+2]-Cycloaddition Reactions Catalyzed by a Chiral Aluminum Bromide Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12686. (b) Mukherjee, S.; Scopton, A. P.; Corey, E. J. Enantioselective Pathway for the Synthesis of Laurenditerpenol. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1836.

(15) (a) Corey, E. J.; Lee, T. W. The formyl C-H···O hydrogen bond as a critical factor in enantioselective Lewis-acid catalyzed reactions of aldehydes. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1321. (b) Paddon-Row, M. N.; Anderson, C. D.; Houk, K. N. Computational Evaluation of Enantioselective Diels–Alder Reactions Mediated by Corey's Cationic Oxazaborolidine Catalysts. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 861.

(16) For seminal work, see: (a) Gompper, R. Cycloadditions with Polar Intermediates. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 312.
(b) Dewar, M. J. S. Aromaticity and Pericyclic Reactions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 761. (c) Zimmerman, H. E. Moebius-Hueckel concept in organic chemistry. Application of organic molecules and reactions. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 272. (d) Wang, X.; Houk, K. N. Carbenoid character in transition structures for reactions of ketenes with alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1754.

(17) Enantiopurity of the carboxylic acid was determined through derivatization to the corresponding methyl ester and subsequent HPLC analysis.

(18) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. A survey of Hammett substituent constants and resonance and field parameters. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 165.

(19) For inspiring studies on related systems, see: Santiago, C. B.; Guo, J.-Y.; Sigman, M. S. Predictive and mechanistic multivariate linear regression models for reaction development. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 2398. (20) For an excellent review on Lewis acid-controlled selectivity, see: Yamamoto, H. From designer Lewis acid to designer Brønsted acid towards more reactive and selective acid catalysis. *Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B* **2008**, *84*, 134.

(21) For recent examples, see: (a) Jung, M. E.; Murakami, M. Total Synthesis of (\pm) -Hedychenone: Trimethyldecalin Terpene Systems via Stepwise Allenoate Diene Cycloaddition. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 5857. (b) Jung, M. E.; Murakami, M. Total Synthesis of (\pm) -Hedychilactone B: Stepwise Allenoate Diene Cycloaddition To Prepare Trimethyldecalin Systems. Org. Lett. **2007**, *9*, 461. (c) Jung, M. E.; Cordova, J.; Murakami, M. Total Synthesis of (\pm) -Kellermanoldione: Stepwise Cycloaddition of a Functionalized Diene and Allenoate. Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 3882. (d) Henderson, J. R.; Chesterman, J. P.; Parvez, M.; Keay, B. A. Diastereoselective Diels–Alder Reactions with an Allenyl-Containing Spiro-Amido Chiral Auxiliary. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, *75*, 988.

(22) In thermal cycloadditions, an initial [2+2] cycloaddition followed by a 3,3-sigmatropic rearrangement to form the [4+2]products has been suggested (ref 21a-c). However, [2+2] cycloadducts did in our case show no rearrangement to the respective Diels–Alder products when treated with EtAlCl₂.

(23) (a) Pappo, R.; Allen, D. S., Jr.; Lemieux, R. U.; Johnson, W. S. Notes - Osmium Tetroxide-Catalyzed Periodate Oxidation of Olefinic Bonds. J. Org. Chem. **1956**, 21, 478. (b) Yu, W.; Mei, Y.; Kang, Y.; Hua, Z.; Jin, Z. Improved Procedure for the Oxidative Cleavage of Olefins by OsO₄-NaIO₄. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 3217.