

Tetrahedron 54 (1998) 8411-8420

TETRAHEDRON

# Parallel Recognition by Virtue of Differentiation between Carbonyls, Acetals and Enones

Jian-xie Chen, Katsumasa Sakamoto, Akihiro Orita, and Junzo Otera\*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Okayama University of Science, Ridai-cho, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

Received 16 April 1998; accepted 14 May 1998

Abstract: "Parallel recognition", a new concept for compacting synthetic processes in which different transformations are performed simultaneously on separate reaction sites, has been advanced. Ketones/ $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -enones and aldehydes/acetals are able to react selectively with different silyl nucleophiles in parallel. The subtle differentiation between the substrates possessing similar reactivities has recourse to the strong preference of ketene silyl acetal for ketones/ $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -enones. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

## INTRODUCTION

"Parallel recognition" is a novel, versatile concept for compaction of multi-step synthetic processes. As shown in Scheme 1, when manifold transformations are required on separate reaction sites in a substrates, the protection-deprotection process is usually invoked. Namely, the initial protection of B is followed by conversion of A to A-X and, then, B-Y is generated after deprotection of B. "Parallel recognition" stemmed from the idea that if these transformations could be exercised simultaneously, a highly expeditious and convenient process is achieved to arrive at the final goal in one-pot and one-step. The protection-deprotection



steps are no more necessary and the reaction time is saved as the manifold transformations proceed in parallel. For this concept to be realized (eq. 1), unique chemoselectivities needs to be explored. A should react with X in preference to Y (eq. 2) while B should react with Y in preference to X (eq. 3) under the same reaction conditions. It is not easy to satisfy these demands because the simultaneous reaction in the same pot requires A and B to be similar in reactivities. We have already communicated the realization of such processes with recourse to the unique reactivities of ketene silyl acetals in  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$ -catalyzed Mukaiyama-aldol reaction.<sup>1)</sup> In this paper, we describe a full account of this type of recognition.

$$A + B + X + Y \longrightarrow A-X + B-Y (1)$$

$$A + X + Y \longrightarrow A-X (+ A-Y) (2)$$

$$B + X + Y \longrightarrow B-Y (+ B-X) (3)$$

....

0040-4020/98/\$19.00 © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4020(98)00462-1

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 summarizes the results of "parallel recognition" between ketone 3 and acetal 4 with enol silyl ethers 1 and 2 derived from esters and ketones, respectively.<sup>2)</sup> Besides  $(C_6F_5)SnBr_2^{3)}$  (entry 1), various Lewis acids were screened for reaction of acetophenone (3a) and benzaldehyde dimethylacetal (4a) with 1a and 2a. TMSOTf worked effectively as well (entry 2) while the reactions with TiCl<sub>4</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub>, and BF<sub>3</sub>OEt<sub>2</sub> were not so straightforward due to the contamination by the cross aldol product derived from 1a and 4a (entries 3-5). Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> afforded an excellent yield of 6 but a poor yield of 7 (entry 6). When acetals of aliphatic aldehyde 4b and ketone 4c constituted the substrate array,  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  failed to afford 7ab and 7ac in good yields (entries 7 and 8) whereas a satisfactory outcome was obtained with TMSOTf (entry 9). Other various combinations of aromatic/aliphatic ketones and acetals gave rise to the exclusive recognition (entries 10-14). Replacement of 2a by 2b gave the similar results (entries 15-29). With this enol silyl ether, even 4b and 4c afforded satisfactory yields of 7bb and 7bc. When monomethyl-substituted ketene silyl acetal 1b was subjected to the reaction, 2a afforded a poor yield of acetal aldolate 7aa (entry 30) while a satisfactory yield was obtained with 2b (entry 31).

Table 1. Parallel Recognition between Ketone and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Sily Ether.<sup>a)</sup>

	4	R.	1	2		6	
						Yield(%) <sup>b)</sup>	
Entry	1	2	3	4	LA	6	7
1	1a	2a	3a	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2$ SnBr <sub>2</sub>	<b>6aa</b> 89	<b>7aa</b> 73
2	1a	2a	3a	4a	TMSQTf	<b>6aa</b> 96	<b>7aa</b> 77
3	1a	2a	3a	<b>4a</b>	TiCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	<b>6aa</b> 55 <sup>d)</sup>	<b>7aa</b> 30 <sup>e)</sup>
4	1 <b>a</b>	2a	3a	<b>4</b> a	SnCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	<b>6aa</b> 62	<b>7aa</b> 72 <sup>f)</sup>
5	1a	2a	3a	<b>4</b> a	BF <sub>3</sub> OEt <sub>2</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	<b>6aa</b> 90 <sup>g)</sup>	<b>7aa</b> 67 <sup>h)</sup>
6	1a	2a	3a	<b>4a</b>	$Sc(OTf)_3$	<b>6aa</b> 98	<b>7aa</b> 3
7	1 <b>a</b>	2a	3a	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 85	<b>7ab</b> 24
8	1a	2a	3a	4c	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 85	<b>7ac</b> 21
9	1a	2a	3a	4c	TMSOTf	<b>6aa</b> 98	<b>7ac</b> 95
10	1a	2a	3b	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ab</b> 79	<b>7aa</b> 63
11	1a	2a	3d	<b>4a</b>	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ad</b> 75	<b>7aa</b> 77
12	1a	2a	3e	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ae</b> 74	<b>7aa</b> 85
13	1a	2a	3e	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ae</b> 84	<b>7ab</b> 24
14	1a	2a	3f	<b>4a</b>	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6af</b> 74	7 <b>aa</b> 80
15	1a	2b	3a	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 83	<b>7ba</b> 62
16	1a	2b	3a	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 83	<b>7bb</b> 83
17	1a	2b	3a	4c	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 84	7bc 83
18	1a	2b	3b	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ab</b> 80 '	<b>7ba</b> 64
19	1a	2b	3b	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ab 83	7 <b>bb</b> 65
20	1a	2b	3b	4c	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ab 76 '	7 <b>bc</b> 75
21	1a	2b	3d	<b>4</b> a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ad 78	7 <b>ba</b> 57
22	1a	2b	3d	4h	(C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SnBr <sub>2</sub>	6ad 81	7hh 78
23	19	2h	34	40	$(C_c F_c)_2 S_n B_r_2$	6ad 84 '	7ha 87

(Table 1	continued	1)				
24	- 1a	2b	3e	<b>4a</b>	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ae 81 7ba 60
25	1a	2b	3e	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ae 82 7bb 80
26	1a	2b	3e	4c	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ae 83 7bc 83
27	<b>1a</b>	2b	3f	4a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6af</b> 82 7ba 64
28	1a	2b	3f	4b	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6af 83 7bb 83
29	1a	2b	3f	4c	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6af</b> 86 <b>7bc</b> 78
30	1b	<b>2a</b>	<b>3a</b>	<b>4a</b>	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ba 83 7aa 21
31	1b	2b	<b>3a</b>	<b>4</b> a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ba 64 7ba 65

<sup>a)</sup> Reaction Conditions: 1:2:3:4:LA = 1.0:1.3:5.0:1.0:0.1; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; -78 °C, 5 h. <sup>b)</sup> Determined by GLC. <sup>c)</sup> LA = 1.0 equiv. to 1. <sup>d)</sup> A mixture of hydroxy ester (7%) and silyl ether (48%). <sup>e)</sup> An aldolate from 1a and 4a was formed in 36% yield. <sup>f)</sup> An aldolate from 1a and 4a was formed in 4% yield. <sup>g)</sup> A mixture of hydroxy ester (58%) and silyl ether (32%). <sup>h)</sup> An aldolate from 1a and 4a was formed in 3% yield.







**ab**:  $R^1 = n - C_7 H_{15}$ ;  $R^2 = H$ ;  $R^3 = {}^tBu$ **ac**:  $R^1 = n - C_6 H_{13}$ ;  $R^2 = Me$ ;  $R^3 = {}^tBu$ **ba**:  $R^1 = Ph$ :  $R^2 = H$ ;  $R^3 = Ph$ **bb**:  $R^1 = n - C_7 H_{15}$ ;  $R^2 = H$ ;  $R^3 = Ph$ **bc**:  $R^1 = n - C_6 H_{13}$ ;  $R^2 = Me$ ;  $R^3 = Ph$ 



The direct recognition between unprotected ketone and aldehyde that is synthetically more important but difficult is achievable as shown in Table 2. Among the Lewis acids screened here, only  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  worked for the present purpose (entry 1). TMSOTf and Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> gave undesired cross aldol **9ab** (entries 2 and 3) while a considerable amount of **10ba** was formed with TiCl<sub>4</sub> and SnCl<sub>4</sub> (entries 4 and 5). BF<sub>3</sub>OEt<sub>2</sub> failed to drive the reaction between aldehyde and enol silyl ether (entry 6). Satisfactory results were obtained with  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  for other combinations of ketone and aldehyde (entries 7-11) although slight yields of **9aa** were detected in some cases.

F		R <sup>2</sup> +	$R^{3}CHO + OTBS OTMS LA$						
$R^{1}$ $R^{2}$	CBS CO2	<sub>2</sub> Et +	$R^3$ $Ph$ $Ph$	OTBS R <sup>3</sup> 9	CO <sub>2</sub> Et +		Ph IO		
Ŭ			<u> </u>		Yield(%) <sup>b)</sup>				
Entry	3	5	LA	6	8	9	10		
1	3a	5a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6aa</b> 72	<b>8ba</b> 61	0	0		
2	3a	5b	TMSOTf	<b>6aa</b> 74	<b>8bb</b> 73	<b>9ab</b> 7	0		
3	3a	5b	Sc(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	<b>6aa</b> 66	<b>8bb</b> 37	<b>9ab</b> 7	0		
4	3a	5a	TiCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	<b>6aa</b> 47 <sup>d)</sup>	<b>8ba</b> 34	0	<b>10ba</b> 33		
5	3a	5a	SnCl <sub>4</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	<b>6aa</b> 13 <sup>e)</sup>	<b>8ba</b> 16	0	<b>10ba</b> 25		
6	3a	5a	$BF_3OEt_2^{c}$	<b>6aa</b> 94 <sup>f)</sup>	<b>8ba</b> 0	0	0		
7	3b	5a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ab</b> 82	<b>8ba</b> 70	0	0		
8	3c	5a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6ac</b> 73	<b>8ba</b> 74	<b>9aa</b> 1	0		
9	3d	5a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	6ad 59	<b>8ba</b> 54	<b>9aa</b> 3	0		
10	3f	5a	$(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$	<b>6af</b> 73	<b>8ba</b> 70	<b>9aa</b> 2	0		

Table 2. Parallel Rcognition between Ketone and Aldehyde with Ketone Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether.<sup>a)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Reaction Conditions: 1:2:3:5:LA = 1.0:1.3:1.0:1.0:0.1 (or 0.2 for ( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>2</sub>SnBr<sub>2</sub>); CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; -78 °C, 5 h. <sup>b</sup>)Determined by GLC for 6 and 1H NMR (Ph<sub>3</sub>CH as an internal standard) for 8. <sup>c)</sup> LA = 1.0 equiv. relative to 1. <sup>d)</sup> A mixture of hydroxy ester (35%) and silyl ether (12%). <sup>e)</sup> A mixture of hydroxy ester (3%) and silyl ether (10%). <sup>f)</sup> A mixture of hydroxy ester (89%) and silyl ether (5%).

The parallel recognition was highlighted by intramolecular versions (Scheme 2). Exposure of a mixture of ketene silyl acetal 1 and enol silyl ether 2 to keto acetals 11 and 12 in the presence of a catalytic amount of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  furnished sole products 16 and 17, respectively: 1a was incorporated in the carbonyl function whereas 2 reacted with the acetal moiety exclusively. The clean recognition also holds for keto aldehydes 13-15 and a sole product emerged in each case. Particularly noteworthy is the high yields obtained with 13 and 14 in which the two carbonyls are intervened by the aromatic ring so that the intramolecular interaction between them could not take place. Thus, the possible mechanism that involves the initial attack of 1a on the aldehyde moiety followed by the intramolecular transfer of the incorporated ester fragment to the ketone moiety is unambiguously ruled out. Apparently, 1a and 2b separately attack on the remote carbonyl functions in an exclusive manner.



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Reaction conditions: (i) } 11:1a:2a:(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.0:4.0:0.3; CH_2Cl_2; -78^\circC; 7 \ h. \\ (ii) 12:1a:2b:(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.1:1.0:4.0:0.4; CH_2Cl_2; -78^\circC; 7 \ h. \\ (iii) 13:1a:2b:(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.3:2.0:0.2; CH_2Cl_2; -78^\circC; 6 \ h. \\ (iv) 14:1a:2b:(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.3:2.0:0.2; CH_2Cl_2; -78^\circC; 6 \ h. \\ (v) 15:1a:2b:(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.3:3.0:0.4; CH_2Cl_2; -78^\circC; 6 \ h. \\ \end{array}$ 

"Parallel recognition" can be applied to the competition between the Michael vs aldol reactions (Scheme 3). Under the catalysis of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$ , ketene silvl acetal 1a suffered Michael addition with 21 while enol silvl ether 2a reacted with acetal 4a. No crossover reactions were observed. The same recognition holds in case of intramolecular versions as well (Scheme 4). Substrates 23 and 24 that have both an  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated enone moiety and an acetal function exhibited the explicit recognition of 1a and 2a to afford single products 25 and 26, respectively.

Scheme 3.<sup>a)</sup>



<sup>a)</sup> Reaction conditions: 21:4a:1a:2a: $(C_6F_5)_2$ SnBr<sub>2</sub> = 1.0:1.0:1.3:2.0:0.2; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; -78°C; 6 h.

<sup>b)</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>c)</sup> Determined by GLC.



<sup>a)</sup> Reaction conditions: (i) **23:1a:2a**: $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.3:5.0:0.2$ ;  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; -78°C; 8 h. (ii)  $Bu_4NF$ ; THF/H<sub>2</sub>O, rt. (iii) **24:1a:2a**: $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.3:5.0:0.2$ ;  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; -78°C; 8 h.

Another type of "parallel recognition" was realized between ketene silyl acetal and allylsilane (Scheme 5). In parallel with the exclusive reaction of 1a with acetophenone, allyltrimethylsilane (27) furnished a quantitative yield of allylation product 28 upon reaction with acetal 4a.

Scheme 5.<sup>a)</sup>



<sup>a)</sup> Reaction conditions: 3a:4a:1a:27:TMSOTf = 1.0:1.0:1.3:2.0:0.1; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; -78 °C; 9 h.

Finally, employment of benzaldehyde in place of ketone should be mentioned. Since the reactivity of benzaldehyde is, in general, higher than ketones in the aldol reaction of ketene silyl acetal,<sup>4)</sup> it is postulated that the analogous recognition results from the benzaldehyde/acetal array. As shown in Scheme 6, TMSOTf effected perfect recognition between benzaldehyde (5c) and its dimethyl acetal counterpart 4a. The reason for the lower yields in the same reaction with  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  is not apparent at the moment. Employment of allylsilane 27 in place of 2a also induced the complete selectivity with TMSOTf.



<sup>a)</sup> Reaction conditions:  $5c:4a:1a:2a:(C_6F_5)SnBr_2 = 1.0:1.0:1.3:2.0:0.1$  or 5c:4a:1a:2a:TMSOTf = 1.0:1.0:1.1:2.0:0.1 or 5c:4a:1a:27:TMSOTf = 1.0:1.0:1.3:2.0:0.1; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; -78 °C; 8-12 h.

In summary, the unique reactivity of ketene silyl acetal has enabled us to conduct various kinds of reactions in parallel with high selectivity. The subtle differences between the substrates that are similar in

reactivity towards silyl nucleophiles can be detected by proper choice of the Lewis acids. This is primarily due to the high preference of ketene silyl acetals for ketones that are usually much less reactive than aldehydes and acetals. Particularly significant is the direct differentiation between naked ketone and aldehyde that is otherwise difficult to achieve.<sup>5)</sup> In this process, no protection-deprotection is needed. This is advantageous for not only simplifying the process but also shortening the reaction time, which is, in particular, of economic significance in practical processes. The successful use of various silyl nucleophiles exemplifies the wide applicability of the present method. Accordingly, "parallel recognition" is of great promise for compaction of synthetic processes.<sup>6)</sup>

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Parallel Recognition between Ketone and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether** (*Typical Procedure*). To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  (61 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of **3a** (610 mg, 5.0 mmol) and **4a** (152 mg, 1.0 mmol) at -78 °C followed by **1a** (202 mg, 1.0 mmol) and **2a** (224 mg, 1.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 5 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC (25 m capillary column packed with CBP-5). The other reactions were carried out analogously.

**6aa**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -0.11 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 1.09 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.83 (s, 3H), 2.69, 2.82 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 13.4$  Hz), 3.95 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 7.23-7.34 (m, 3H), 7.44-7.49 (m, 2H). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>7</sup>

**6ab**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -0.14 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 1.11 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.81 (s, 3H), 2.66, 2.79 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 13.5$  Hz), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.98 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.83 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.37 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>8</sup>

**6ad**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.07 (s, 6H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.90 (t, 3H, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.22-1.36 (m, 4H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.53-1.62 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 4.10 (q, 2H, J=7.1 Hz). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>9</sup>

**6ae**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.07 (s, 6H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.21-1.37 (m, 8H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.53-1.58 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 4.09 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>10</sup>

**6af**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.09 (s, 6H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.32-1.80 (m, 10H), 2.50 (s, 2H), 4.12 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>9</sup>

**6ba**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -0.34 (s, 3H), -0.05 (s, 3H), 0.85 (d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.88 (s, 9H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 2.91 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.63 (s, 3H), 7.20-7.48 (m, 5H). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>(1)</sup>

**7aa**<sup>12): 1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.07 (s, 9H), 2.61, 3.11 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 16.3,  $J_{AX}$  = 4.5,  $J_{BX}$  = 8.3 Hz), 3.20 (s, 3H), 4.72 (dd, 1H, J = 4.5, 8.3 Hz), 7.26-7.38 (m, 5H).

**7ab**<sup>13): 1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.14 (s, 9H), 1.20-1.51 (m, 12H), 2.44, 2.81 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 17.0$ ,  $J_{AX} = 5.4$ ,  $J_{BX} = 7.0$  Hz), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.70-3.75 (m, 1H, CH).

**7ac**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (t, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.16 (s, 9H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.25-1.75 (m, 10H), 2.72 (s, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.02, 22.59, 22.68, 23.29, 26.42, 29.66, 31.84, 35.91, 43.77, 44.87, 48.43, 76.24, 213.88; HRMS: calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>+H) 243.2324, found 243.2277.

**7ba**<sup>12)</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.24 (s, 3H), 3.08, 3.60 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 16.5$ ,  $J_{AX} = 4.3$ ,  $J_{BX} = 8.5$  Hz), 4.89 (dd, 1H,  $J_{AX} = 4.3$ ,  $J_{BX} = 8.5$  Hz), 7.26-7.59 (m, 8H), 7.93-7.98 (m, 2H).

**7bb**<sup>13): 1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.23-1.65 (m, 12H), 2.93, 3.29 (ABX, 2H, J<sub>AB</sub> = 16.2, J<sub>AX</sub> = 5.4, J<sub>BX</sub> = 6.8 Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.87 (m, 1H), 7.42-7.60 (m, 3H), 7.94-8.00 (m, 2H).

**7bc**<sup>14</sup>): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.20-1.78 (m, 13H), 3.07, 3.20 (AB, 2H, J<sub>AB</sub> = 14.8 Hz), 3.19 (s, 3H), 7.42-7.62 (m, 3H), 7.95-8.02 (m, 2H).

**Parallel Recognition between Ketone and Aldehyde with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether** (*Typical Procedure*). To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  (122 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of **3a** (120 mg, 1.0 mmol) and **5a** (100 mg, 1.0 mmol) at -78 °C followed by **1a** (263 mg, 1.3 mmol) and **2b** (384 mg, 2.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 5 h, aqueous workup followed by

evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC and NMR. The other reactions were carried out analogously.

**6ac**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.08 (s, 3H), 0.19 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 1.04 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.74 (s, 3H,), 2.83, 3.20 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 13.7$  Hz), 3.79 (s, 6H), 3.92 (q, 2H, J=7.1 Hz), 6.43-6.49 (m, 2H), 7.52 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the alcohol: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.09 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.60 (s, 3H), 2.82, 3.23 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 15.0$  Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.99 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.51 (s, 1H), 6.44-6.49 (m, 2H), 7.47 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.96, 27.63, 45.16, 55.21, 60.21, 72.29, 99.12, 103.76, 126.41, 127.32, 156.79, 159.93, 172.78; HRMS: calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>\*</sup>+H) 269.1389, found 269.1348; Anal.: calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: C, 62.67; H, 7.51. found: C, 62.80; H, 7.38.

**8ba**<sup>15)</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (t, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.25-1.70 (m, 8H), 3.04, 3.18 (ABX, 2H, J<sub>AB</sub> = 17.7, J<sub>AX</sub> = 2.5, J<sub>BX</sub> = 9.0 Hz), 3.28 (br. 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 7.43-7.63 (m, 3H), 7.90-8.00 (m, 2H).

**8bb**<sup>16</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.20-1.66 (m, 12H) 3.04, 3.18 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 17.7$ ,  $J_{AX} = 2.5$ ,  $J_{BX} = 9.0$  Hz), 3.28 (br. 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 7.42-7.63 (m, 3H), 7.92-8.00 (m, 2H).

**9aa**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.03 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.20-1.54 (m, 8H), 2.40-2.44 (m, 2H), 4.08-4.15 (m, 3H). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>17</sup>

**9ab**<sup>18)</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.03 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.21-1.52 (m, 12H), 2.40-2.43 (m, 2H), 4.08-4.15 (m, 3H).

**10ba**<sup>19)</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.60 (s, 3H), 3.34, 3.80 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 17.5$  Hz), 3.54 (s, 3H), 7.21-7.58 (m, 8H), 7.90 (m, 2H).

Reaction of Keto Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether (*Typical Procedure*). To a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution (1 mL) of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  (122 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution (2 mL) of **12** (122 mg, 0.55 mmol) at -78 °C followed by **1a** (101 mg, 0.5 mmol) and **2b** (384 mg, 2.0 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL). After 7 h, aqueous workup followed by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane 1:8) to give **17** (210 mg, 82%). This compound was stirred in HF/CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution at room temperature for 7 h. Usual work up and column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) quantitatively furnished the desilylation product (ethyl 8-benzoyl-3-hydroxy-7-methoxy-3-phenyloctanoate): <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.07 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.42-1.85 (m, 6H), 2.75-3.24 (m, 4H), 3.25, 3.26 (1:1 mixture of diastereomers; s, 3H), 3.78 (m, 1H), 4.00 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.40 (br. 1H), 7.20-7.57 (m, 8H), 7.89-7.94 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.85, 19.01 (19.07), 34.35 (34.35), 42.99 (43.06), 45.28 (45.33), 57.11, 60.62, 74.88, 77.28, 124.92, 126.67, 128.05 (128.08), 128.47, 132.98, 137.18, 145.15, 145.19, 172.77, 198.90; HRMS: calcd for  $C_{24}H_{31}O_5$ : (M<sup>\*</sup>+H) 399.2171, found 399.2188; Anal.: calcd for  $C_{24}H_{30}O_5$ : C, 72.34; H, 7.59. found: C, 72.39; H, 7.34.

**16**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.07 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 9H), 1.12 (s, 9H), 1.24 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.68, 1.91 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 14.3$ ,  $J_{AX} = 4.1$ ,  $J_{BX} = 7.4$  Hz), 2.49, 2.55 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 14.0$  Hz), 2.56, 2.82 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 17.0$ ,  $J_{AX} = 5.9$ ,  $J_{BX} = 6.3$  Hz), 3.24 (s, 3H), 3.89-3.93 (m, 1H), 4.08 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -2.08, (-1.96), 14.16, 18.05, 25.75, 26.08, 27.40, 42.34, 44.30, 47.10, 48.57, 56.58, 60.08, 73.99, 74.46, 170.94, 214.16; HRMS: calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>39</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>) 387.2567, found 387.2558; Anal.: calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si: C, 62.64; H, 10.51. found: C, 62.87; H, 10.68.

**Reaction of Keto Aldehyde with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether** (*Typical Procedure*). To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of ( $C_6F_{s}$ )<sub>2</sub>SnBr<sub>2</sub> (61 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of **13** (88 mg, 0.5 mmol) at -78 °C followed by **1a** (131 mg, 0.65 mmol) and **2b** (192 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 6 h, aqueous workup followed by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane:1/4) to give **18** (179 mg, 72%): <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -0.05 (s, 3H), 0.13 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 9H), 1.15 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz,), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.86-2.04 (m, 2H), 2.73, 2.86 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 13.4 Hz), 2.74-3.00 (m, 2H), 3.12, 3.23 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 17.7,  $J_{AX}$  = 3.4,  $J_{BX}$  = 8.5 Hz), 3.49 (br. 1H), 4.03 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 4.25-4.35 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.68 (m, 7H), 7.99-8.02 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -2.55, -2.05, 14.00, 18.33, 25.94, 28.34, 31.34, 38.03, 45.00, 51.11, 60.01, 67.10, 75.51, 125.40, 127.84, 128.04, 128.67, 133.55, 136.69, 140.31, 145.02, 170.35, 200.84; HRMS: calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>39</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>) 483.2567, found 483.2578; Anal.: calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si: C, 69.84; H, 8.49. found: C, 69.78; H, 8.47. The other reactions were carried out analogously. **19**: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.02 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.79 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 0.94 (s, 9H), 1.03 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 1.74-2.19 (m, 4H), 2.68-2.91 (m, 2H), 2.81, 2.92 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 14.3 Hz), 3.05, 3.17 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 17.8,  $J_{AX}$  = 3.0,  $J_{BX}$  = 8.7 Hz), 3.34 (br. 1H), 3.91 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 4.21-4.29 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.62

(m, 7H), 7.91-7.98 (m, 2H);  $^{13}C$ -NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ -2.42, -2.25, 8.51, 13.82, 18.58, 26.00, 31.25, 34.03, 37.98, 44.96, 47.50, 59.87, 67.10, 78.62, 125.88, 127.65, 127.97, 128.32, 128.56, 133.43, 136.62, 139.92, 142.61, 170.05, 200.71; HRMS: calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>) 497.2723, found 497.2724; Anal.: calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si: C, 70.27; H, 8.65. found: C, 70.03; H, 8.51.

**20**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.08 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.10-1.78 (m, 17H), 2.35, 2.87 (AB, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 12.9$  Hz), 3.04, 3.18 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 17.8$ ,  $J_{AX} = 2.6$ ,  $J_{BX} = 8.9$  Hz), 3.25 (br. 1H), 4.09 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.17-4.26 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.60 (m, 3H), 7.92-7.99 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  -2.14, -1.73, 14.22, 18.76, 21.75, 25.74, 26.05, 26.79, 27.41, 27.47, 29.48, 29.52, 36.59, 38.04, 43.76, 44.97, 46.29, 60.22, 67.78, 76.72, 128.05, 128.63, 133.45, 136.81, 170.86, 201.00; HRMS: calcd for C20H49O5Si (M++H), 505.3349, found 505.3398; calcd for C28H45O5Si (M+-CH3) 489.3036, found 489.3016; Anal.: calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Si: C, 69.00; H, 9.58. found: C, 69.10; H, 9.47.

Parallel Recognition between Enone and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether. To a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution (1 mL) of  $(C_6F_5)_2SnBr_2$  (122 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution (2 mL) of 21 (208 mg, 1,0 mmol) and 4a (152 mg, 1.0 mmol) at -78 °C followed by 1a (263 mg, 1.3 mmol) and silyl enol ether 2a (344 mg, 2.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 6 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC and NMR. 22: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -0.17 (S, 3H), -0.13 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 1.08 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.64 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 3.96 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.33 (m, J)1H), 5.21 (d, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz), 7.10-7.40 (m, 10H). This compound was confirmed by desilylation to give the known alcohol.<sup>20</sup>

Parallel Recognition of Enone Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether (Typical *Procedure*). To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of ( $C_6F_3$ )<sub>2</sub>SnBr<sub>2</sub> (61 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of 23 (141 mg, 0.5 mmol) at -78 °C followed by 1a (131 mg, 0.65 mmol) and 2a (430 mg, 2.5 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL). After 8 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was treated with  $Bu_4NF$  in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature for 8 h. Usual work up and column chromatography (EtOAc/Hexane:1/4) to give 25 (181 mg, 83%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.02, 1.03 (1:1mixture of diastereomers; s, 9H), 1.12 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.55, 3.03 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 16.8$ ,  $J_{AX} = 8.2$ ,  $J_{BX} = 4.7$  Hz), 2.64, 2.79 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB} = 15.4$ ,  $J_{AX} = 7.9$ ,  $J_{BX} = 7.0$  Hz), 3.15 (s, 3H), 3.28-3.41 (m, 2H), 3.81-3.91 (m, 1H), 4.02 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.62-4.68 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.24 (m, 4H), 7.38-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.55 (m, 1H), 7.88 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.0, 25.8, 37.2, 40.7, 40.8, 44.1, 44.5, 45.2, 56.8, 60.3, 79.0, 126.7, 127.5, 128.0, 133.0, 136.8, 140.0, 142.7, 171.7, 198.1, 213.0; FAB-MS: 439 (M\*+1); Anal.: calcd for  $C_{27}H_{34}O_5$ : C, 73.95; H, 7.81. found: C, 73.76; H, 7.79.

**26**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.02 (s, 9H), 1.10 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.55, 3.02 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 16.9,  $J_{AX}$  = 8.1,  $J_{BX}$  = 4.9 Hz), 2.63, 2.76 (ABX, 2H,  $J_{AB}$  = 15.3,  $J_{AX}$  = 7.9,  $J_{BX}$  = 7.0 Hz), 3.16 (s, 3H), 3.26-3.33 (m, 2H), 3.79-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.99 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.73 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 3.1 Hz), 7.11-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.37 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.88 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  14.0, 25.8, 37.5, 40.7, 44.1, 44.5, 45.0, 57.0, 60.3, 78.9, 126.7, 127.3, 128.3, 128.5, 136.3, 143.2, 147.2, 171.8, 197.7, 212.5; FAB-MS: 439 (M<sup>+</sup>+1); Anal.: calcd for  $C_{27}H_{34}O_5$ : C, 73.95; H, 7.81. found: C, 74.16; H, 7.91.

Parallel Recognition of Ketone and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Allylsilane. To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of TMSOTf (11 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of **3a** (60 mg, 0.5 mmol) and **4a** (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) at -78 °C followed by **1a** (131 mg, 0.65 mmol) and **27** (114 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 9 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC. 28<sup>21)</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.34-2.45 (m, 1H), 2.52-2.62 (m, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 4.17 (dd, 1H, J = 5.8, 7.5 Hz), 5.00-5.09 (m, 2H), 5.70-5.84 (m, 1H, CH), 7.28-7.35 (m, 5H).

Parallel Recognition of Aldehyde and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Enol Silyl Ether(Typical procedure). To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, solution (1 mL) of TMSOTf (11 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, solution (2 mL) of 5c (53 mg, 0.5 mmol) and 4a (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) at -78°C followed by 1a (111 mg, 0.55 mmol) and 2a (114 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 12 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC

# Parallel Recognition of Aldehyde and Acetal with Ketene Silyl Acetal and Allylsilane.

To a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (1 mL) of TMSOTf (11 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2 mL) of 5c (53 mg, 0.5 mmol) and 4a (76 mg, 0.5 mmol) at -78 °C followed by 1a (131 mg, 0.65 mmol) and 27 (172 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL). After 12 h, aqueous workup followed by evaporation afforded a crude product that was analyzed by GLC.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science under "Research for the Future" Program (JSPS-PFTF96P00303).

#### REFERENCES

- (a) Chen, J.; Otera, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 91. (b) Chen, J.; Otera, J. Tetrahedron 1. Lett. 1998, 39, 1767.
- Upon exposure to ketone, 1 reacted smoothly to give 6 whereas no reaction occurred between 1 and 4. 2. By contrast, 2 reacted with 4 quantitatively while ketone never reacted with 2. Chen, J.; Sakamoto, K.; Orita, A.; Otera, J. Synlett 1996, 877.
- 3.
- 4.
- Chen, J.; Sakamoto, K.; Orita, A.; Otera, J. Unpublished results.
  (a) Reetz, M. T. "Organotitanium Reagents in Organic Synthesis", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, Chapter 3. (b) Sato, T.; Otera, J.; Nozaki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4971, and references cited therein. (c) Molander, G. A.; Cameron, K. O. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2617; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 5. **1993**, 115, 830.
- Mori et al. reported the similar recognition between aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes towards different 6. silyl nucleophiles: Mori, A.; Ohno, H.; Inoue, S. Chem. Lett. 1992, 631.
- 7. Flan, B-H.; Boudjiou, P. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 5030.
- Adams, D. R.; Goudie, A. C. Can. 1,101870. CA: 95 P186712y. 8.
- 9. Reetz, M. T.; Wenderoth, B.; Peter, R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1983, 406.
- Otera, J.; Wakahara, Y.; Kamei, H.; Sato, T.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2405. Berner, D.; Dahn, H.; Vogel, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 63, 2538. 10.
- 11.
- Torii, S.; Inokuchi, T.; Takagishi, S.; Horike, H.; Kuroda, H.; Uneyama, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 12. 1987, 60, 2173.
- 13.
- Sato, T.; Otera, J.; Nozaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 901. Miura, T.; Masaki, Y. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I 1995, 2155. Sato, S.; Matsuda, I.; Izumi, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 352, 223. 14.
- 15.
- Araki, S.; Butsugan, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1991, 64, 727 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- Gambacora, A.; Turchetta, S.; Botta, M. Syn. Commun. 1989, 19, 2441. Chen, J.; Otera, J. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 14275. Esafov, V. I.; Sosnovskikh, V. Ya. Zh. Org. Khim. 1979, 15, 1320. 19.
- Kuwamura, F.; Tayano, T.; Satoh, Y.; Hara, S.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Lett. 1989, 1723. 20.
- Tsunoda, T.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 71. 21.