

Tetrahedron Letters 40 (1999) 4925-4929

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Synthesis and folding preferences of γ -amino acid oligopeptides: stereochemical control in the formation of a reverse turn and a helix

Stephen Hanessian,* Xuehong Luo and Robert Schaum

Department of Chemistry, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128, Succursale Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7 Canada

Received 23 March 1999; accepted 22 April 1999

Abstract

The stereoselective synthesis of α -cinnamyl γ -amino acids and the corresponding oligopeptides is described. Detailed 1D and 2D NMR studies in pyridine-d₅ show that the (αR)-cinnamyl γ -amino acid tetrapeptide adopts a reverse turn structure, while the (αS)-cinnamyl γ -amino acid tetrapeptide adopts a right-handed helical structure. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The design and synthesis of unnatural oligopeptides that are able to form well-defined secondary structures has received significant attention in the past few years.¹ Recent investigations by Seebach,² Gellman³ and in our laboratory⁴ have shown that β -amino acid oligopeptides can adopt helix, sheet or reverse turn conformations in solution^{2,4} or solid state,^{3d} as evidenced by NMR, CD, X-ray or modeling studies. Further studies by us⁵ as well as by Seebach⁶ have revealed that γ -amino acid oligopeptides can also adopt helical conformations in solution. Gervay et al.⁷ have demonstrated helix formation in a δ -peptide constructed from neuraminic acid. Oligomers of dihydroxy tetrahydrofuran amino acids also adopt a helical structure.⁸ A notable contribution from Gellman reports helix formation by a foldamer in water.⁹ In previous studies, we demonstrated that the folding patterns of our γ -amino acid oligopeptides could be controlled by changing the stereochemistry of an α -methyl substituent to give helical or nonhelical structures.⁵ In order to further investigate this phenomenon, we synthesized both (αR)- and (αS)-cinnamyl γ -amino acid oligopeptides (Fig. 1). Although X-ray quality crystals could not be obtained, detailed NMR studies revealed the existence of reverse turn and helical conformations in solution for tetrapeptides 4 and 8 respectively, depending on the configuration at the α position of each γ -amino acid residue (Fig. 1).

The (αR) -cinnamyl γ -amino acid tetrapeptide 4 was synthesized via the convergent route shown in Scheme 1. The N-Boc protected monomer 9 was prepared as previously described by homologation of L-alanine and subsequent stereoselective alkylation.¹⁰ The stereoselective synthesis of (αS) -cinnamylated

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{0040-4039/99/\$ -} see front matter © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. *P11*: S0040-4039(99)00860-6



Figure 1.

N-Boc protected γ -amino acid units 16 and 17, however, was achieved by a different route as shown in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2.

Pyrrolidinones 12 and 13 were synthesized from α -amino acids via N-Cbz protected α , β -unsaturated γ -amino esters,¹¹ or Meldrum's acid derivatives.¹² Cinnamylation of 12 and 13 in the presence of LiHMDS at -78°C gave 14 and 15 in good isolated yields and with high diastereoselectivities (*anti:syn*=18:1 and 40:1, respectively). Hydrolysis of 14 and 15 under mild conditons¹³ afforded 16 and 17 without detectable epimerization at the newly generated stereocenters, as evidenced by ¹H NMR and X-ray crystal structure analysis.

The synthesis of dipeptide 6 was challenging since lactamization of both the Boc-protected γ -amino acid 16 and the Boc-deprotected γ -amino ester derived from 17 occurred under several conditions of peptide coupling. Although lactamization of 16 could be minimized when EDC/DMAP was used, it was necessary to adopt a slightly longer route in the case of 17 by going through the pivalate ester 19. Thus, peptide coupling followed by deprotection and oxidation gave the dipeptide 6 albeit in moderate yield. The target tetrapeptide 8 was then obtained by a convergent synthesis.

4926

Table 1 Key inter-residue NOEs for peptides 4 and 8 in pyridine-d₅ at room temperature (1 mM)

Peptide	Proton	Residue	Proton	Residue	NOE	Proton	Residue	Proton	Residue	NOE
4	NH	2	Ηα	1	strong	Ηα	3	Hγ	2	strong
	NH	3	Ηα	2	medium	NH	4	H _β (pro S)) 3	weak
	NH	3	Hβ(pro S)	2	strong	NH	4	Ηα	3	strong
8	NH	2	Ηα	1	strong	NH	4	Ηα	3	strong
	NH	3	Ηα	2	strong	NH	4	Ηγ	3	strong
	NH	3	Ήγ	1	medium	NH	4	Hγ	2	mediur



Figure 2. Computer molecular model of tetrapeptides 4 (left) and 8 (right) from COSY and NOE constraints¹⁹

2D¹H NMR experiments (COSY, TOCSY, ROESY) were performed on peptides 4 and 8 in pyridined₅ at 1 mM since intra- rather than inter-molecular processes are favored at these concentrations.^{3a} Complete proton resonance assignments in each residue of the peptides were easily achieved by means of a combination of both TOCSY and COSY data. Sequence specific assignments for each peptide were obtained by analyzing short-range NOEs between H α (i) and NH(i+1).

The NOE data observed for peptide 4 (Table 1) suggest a reverse turn structure as shown in Fig. 2 (left). The large cinnamyl substituents are arranged towards the exterior of the turn while the amide proton of residue 4 is oriented towards the carbonyl group of residue 1. Deuterium exchange studies in pyridine-d₅ and 10% CD₃OD (Fig. 3) show that the gradual disappearance of the NH-4 peak is slower than that of the NH-2 and NH-3 peaks, which supports the intramolecular H-bond conformation adopted by the peptide. This reverse turn bears resemblance to a natural peptide β II' turn in that the orientation of NH-3 and the α substituents are above the plane of the turn.¹⁴ That such a flexible peptide can adopt a reverse turn is even more remarkable in light of the fact that classical β -turns are favored by more conformationally constrained amino acids like proline.¹⁵

The long-range NOE data in Table 1 indicate a right-handed 14-helical secondary structure (Fig. 2, right) for peptide **8**, which is similar to the helical structures of γ -amino acid oligopeptides we have reported previously.⁵ The helix is stablized by two H-bonds (i-1)C=O···HN(i+2) (i.e. C=O of Boc to NH-3, and C=O of residue 1 to NH-4), which is further substantiated by variable temperature ¹H NMR experiments.¹⁶ Fig. 4 shows the temperature dependence of chemical shifts of amide protons in hexapeptide **8**. A linear relationship for δ vs 1/T is observed for all residues. The temperature coefficients (-d δ /dT, ppb/K) of NH-3 (1.64) and NH-4 (2.94) are much lower than NH-1 (11.74) and NH-2 (9.98). The observation of such a low d δ /dT (\leq 6.0 ppb/K) has generally been attributed to intramolecularly hydrogen bonded amide groups in linear peptides,¹⁷ which indicates that NH-3 and NH-4 are H-bonded and shielded from the solvent. This is consistent with a solution conformation in which the amide protons in residue 3 and residue 4 are part of the H-bonding network of a well-defined helical structure.



Figure 3. Deuterium exchange of the amide protons for peptide 4 in py-d5/10% CD3OD at 1 mM



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the chemical shifts of the amide protons of peptide 8

Compared to the unsubstituted and (αS)-methyl substituted analogs,⁵ amide protons in peptide **8** show smaller temperature coefficients, indicating that the helical structure may be further stabilized by the cinnamyl substituents at the α position of the γ -amino acid residues. A preferred backbone conformation in the context of helical secondary structures of β - and γ -amino acid oligopeptides has recently been discussed by Seebach.¹⁸

In summary, we have demonstrated that the (αR) -cinnamyl γ -amino acid tetrapeptide 4 can adopt a reverse turn in solution, while the (αS) -cinnamyl analog 8 adopts a helical structure. Both of these structures are stabilized by intramolecular H-bonds as evidenced by NMR experiments. The strong dependence of secondary structure and conformation on the stereochemistry of the α -substituent in these γ -amino acid tetrapeptides will be useful in their utilization as peptidomimetics in drug design.

Acknowledgements

We thank NSERC for financial support through the Medicinal Chemistry Chair program.

References

- For recent reviews see: (a) Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 173. (b) Banerjee, A.; Balaram, P. Curr. Sci. 1997, 73, 1067. (c) Deming, T. J. Adv. Mater. 1997, 9, 299. (d) Seebach, D.; Matthews, J. L. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2015. (e) Hruby, V. J.; Li, G.; Haskell-Luevano, C.; Shenderovich, M. Biopolymers 1997, 43, 219.
- For representative recent examples see: Seebach, D.; Abele, S.; Gademann, D.; Guichard, G.; Hintermann, T.; Jaun, B.; Matthews, J. L.; Schreiber, J. V.; Oberer, L.; Hommel, U.; Widmer, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 932. Guichard, G.; Abele, S.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 187, and previous papers.
- (a) Chung, Y. J.; Christianson, L. A.; Stanger, H. E.; Powell, D. R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10555.
 (b) Krauthauser, S.; Christianson, L. A.; Powell, D. R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11719. (c) Appella, D. H.; Christianson, L. A.; Klein, D. A.; Powell, D. R.; Huang, X.; Barchi Jr., J. J.; Gellman, S. H. Nature 1997, 387, 381.
 (d) Appella, D. H.; Christianson, L. A.; Karle, I. L.; Powell, D. R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 118, 13071.
- 4. (a) Hanessian, S.; Yang, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3155. (b) Hanessian, S.; Yang, H.; Schaum, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2507. (c) A right-handed helical structure for an (αS)-allyl β-hexapeptide was deduced from molecular dynamics calculations, Hanessian, S.; Yang, H. unpublished results.
- 5. Hanessian, S.; Luo, X.; Schaum, R.; Michnick, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8569.
- 6. Hintermann, T.; Gademann, K.; Jaun, B.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 983.
- 7. Szabo, L.; Smith, B. L.; McReynolds, K. D.; Parrill, A. L.; Morris, E. R.; Gervay, J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 1074.
- Smith, M. D.; Claridge, T. D. W.; Tranter, G. E.; Sansom, M. S.; Fleet, G. W. J. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2041. Claridge, T. D. W.; Long, D. D.; Hungerford, N. L.; Smith, M. D.; Aplin, R. T.; Marquess, D. G.; Fleet, G. W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2199.
- Appella, D. H.; Barchi Jr., J. J.; Durell, S. R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2309. See also, Gung, B. W.; Zou, D.; Stalcup, A. M.; Cottrell, C. E. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2176.
- 10. Hanessian, S.; Schaum, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 163.
- 11. Hanessian, S.; Luo, X. unpublished results.
- 12. Smrcina, S.; Majer, P.; Majerova, E.; Guerassina, T. A.; Eissenstat, M. A. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12867.
- 13. (a) Pihko, P. M.; Koskinen, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 92. (b) Only 50% conversion was accomplished due to the high stability of the corresponding pyrrolidinones, however, the starting materials were readily recycled by flash chromatography.
- 14. Rose, G. D.; Gierasch, L. M.; Smith, J. A. Adv. Protein Chem. 1985, 37, 1.
- For a recent review of conformationally constrained amino acids see: Hanessian, S.; McNaughton-Smith, G.; Lombart, H.-G.; Lubell, W. D. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12789.
- See for example: (a) Raj, P. A.; Marcus, E.; Sukumaran, D. K. Biopolymers 1998, 45, 51. (b) Nowick, J. S.; Pairish, M.; Lee, I. Q.; Holmes, D. L.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5413.
- 17. Iqbal, M.; Balaram, P. Biochemistry 1981, 20, 7278; Kessler, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 512.
- 18. Gademann, K.; Jaun, B.; Seebach, D.; Perozzo, R.; Scapozza, L.; Folkers G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 1.
- (a) Brunger, A. T. X-PLOR Version 3.1, Users Manual; 2.1 ed.; Yale University: New Haven, 1992. (b) Michnick, S. W.; Rosen, M. K.; Wandless, T. J.; Karplus, M.; Schreiber, S. L. Science 1991, 252, 836.