DOI: 10.1002/ejoc.200800976

An Efficient Molybdenum(VI)-Catalyzed Direct Substitution of Allylic Alcohols with Nitrogen, Oxygen, and Carbon Nucleophiles

Hongwei Yang,^[a] Ling Fang,^[a] Ming Zhang,^[a] and Chengjian Zhu^{*[a,b]}

Keywords: Molybdenum / Nucleophilic substitution / Allylic compounds / Alcohols

Direct nucleophilic substitution of allylic alcohols with various nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon nucleophiles catalyzed by $MoO_2(acac)_2$ was realized. The corresponding products were obtained in moderate-to-excellent yields. Studies of the reac-

tion mechanism showed that a carbenium intermediate was formed in the transition state. (© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2009)

Molybdenum complexes constitute a family of significant compounds in chemistry. The alkylidene complexes of molybdenum (Schrock catalyst) can be employed as effective

catalysts for alkene and alkyne metathesis.^[12] Allylic alky-

lation catalyzed by molybdenum(II) and molybdenum(0)

complexes is also a well-established methodology in organic

synthesis.^[1c,13] Dioxido complexes dominate the chemistry

of molvbdenum(VI), and their prevalence, ease of synthesis,

and chemical attributes have led to their exploitation as

models for enzymes and surface oxides, sensors, and drug

targets.^[14] However, most of the applications of (dioxido)-

molybdenum complexes in organic synthesis are focused on

oxidation^[14e,15] and reduction.^[16] Very recently, we found

the chiral salan-Mo^{VI}-dioxido complex could be explored

as an effective precatalyst in the asymmetric pinacol coup-

ling reaction of aromatic aldehydes.^[17] As a continuation of

our efforts to exhibit the diversity of reactions catalyzed

by (dioxido)molybdenum(VI) complexes, herein we wish to

report the direct nucleophilic substitution of allylic alcohols

Initially, we studied the nucleophilic substitution of 1,3diphenylprop-2-en-1-ol (1a) with the less nucleophilic formamide (2a) by using $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol-%) as the cata-

lyst (Table 1), and the desired product could be obtained in

47% (Table 1, Entry 1). An increase in activity was ob-

served with NH₄PF₆ (10 mol-%) as additive (Table 1, En-

try 2; 68% yield), whereas NH₄PF₆ alone showed no cata-

lytic activity (Table 1, Entry 3). Investigations into the opti-

mum solvent for this reaction suggested that acetonitrile is

the best choice (Table 1, Entries 2 and 4-7). A drop in reac-

with various nucleophiles catalyzed by $MoO_2(acac)_2$.

Reactions with Nitrogen and Oxygen Nucleophiles

Results and Discussion

Introduction

With the rapid development of drug synthesis, nucleophilic substitution of alcohols has attracted a considerable amount of attention from organic chemists for recent years.^[1] However, because of the poor leaving ability of the hydroxy group, this process has been largely restricted to multistep synthesis: the hydroxy group must first be transformed into a better leaving group, such as halide, carboxylate, carbonates, phosphonate, or sulfonate, and so on, and then treated with the corresponding nucleophiles in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of base.^[2] The Tsuji-Trost-type reaction is a powerful and versatile method to effect allylic substitution catalyzed by palladium.^[3,4] As it is considered an ideal and more efficient way, the direct substitution of alcohols has emerged as an attractive area of research. Very recently, several attempts have been carried out to perform this transformation catalyzed by Lewis or Brønsted acids, including InCl₃,^[5] FeCl₃,^[6] Bi(OTf)₃,^[7] Yb(OTf)₃,^[8] Cu(BF₄)₂,^[9] AuCl₃,^[10] and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (PTS),^[11] under different conditions. Despite the impressive progress, the scope of nucleophiles has been restricted to a specific catalyst. Thus, the development of an alternative catalytic system that is versatile for a wide range of nucleophiles is desirable.

 [a] State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China Fax: +86-25-83594886 E-mail: cjzhu@nju.edu.cn

- [b] State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.eurjoc.org or from the author.

 tivity was observed when toluene was used (Table 1, Entry 4), and no product was obtained as for CH_2Cl_2 or dioxane (Table 1, Entries 5 and 6). Decreasing the temperature and the catalyst loading would prolong the reaction time.

Table 1. Nucleophilic substitution of 1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-ol (1a) with formamide (2a) under different conditions.^[a]

| | 2H 1a + H' | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ M \\ NH_2 \\ 2a \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Mo(10 m) \\ N \\ 10 m) \end{array} $ | D ₂ (acac) ₂ nol-%), 1 h H₄PF ₆ ol-%), 65 °C | |
|-------|-----------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| Entry | Catalyst | Additive | Solvent | Yield [%] ^[b] |
| 1 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | _ | CH ₃ CN | 47 |
| 2 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | NH_4PF_6 | CH ₃ CN | 68 |
| 3 | | NH_4PF_6 | CH ₃ CN | no reaction |
| 4 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | NH_4PF_6 | toluene | 30 |
| 5 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | NH_4PF_6 | CH ₂ Cl ₂ | _[c] |
| 6 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | NH_4PF_6 | dioxane | trace |
| 7 | $MoO_2(acac)_2$ | NH_4PF_6 | CH ₃ NO ₂ | 68 |

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1 mL), $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol-%), NH_4PF_6 (10 mol-%) in solvent (2 mL). [b] Isolated yield. [c] Only oxidative product was detected.

On the basis of the optimal conditions established, we examined the nucleophilic substitution of 1a with several nitrogen nucleophiles. The results are shown in Table 2 (Entries 1–5). To our delight, *p*-toluenesulfonamide (2b), 4-nitroaniline (2c), and 2-nitroaniline (2d) provided the corresponding products in high yield within a short time (Table 2, Entries 2–4), whereas the reaction of benzamide (2e) needed longer reaction time and provided lower yield of the product (Table 2, Entry 5; 74%, 10 h). It should be noted that a trace amount of byproduct 3an (ca. 5% yield) was also detected in these reactions unexpectedly, with acetylacetone as the nucleophile. This result suggested that acetylacetone partly dissociated from the molybdenum complex in the catalytic cycle and functioned as a nucleophile (vide infra).

Generally, ether formation requires alcohol and halide in the presence of an equivalent amount of strong base, whereas direct intermolecular condensation of two different alcohols is considered to be an ideal protocol. In comparison to other low-oxidation-state metal complexes, reports referring to high-oxidation-state metal-oxido complexes used for the formation of sp³ carbon–oxygen bonds are limited.^[18] Encouraged by the results of the direct substitution of allylic alcohols with nitrogen nucleophiles, we expected that other alcohols would be probably used as nucleophiles for this transformation in the presence of MoO₂(acac)₂/ NH₄PF₆. We then explored the reaction of 1a with different alcohols in acetonitrile. As shown in Table 2 (Entries 6-9), the reactions proceeded smoothly and corresponding allylic ethers could be obtained in high yields. This protocol provides a convenient and practical method for the synthesis of allylic ethers.

Table 2. $MoO_2(acac)_2$ -catalyzed direct substitution of **1a** with nitrogen and oxygen nucleophiles.^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), nucleophile (1.5 mmol), $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol-%), NH_4PF_6 (10 mol-%) in CH₃CN (2 mL) at 65 °C for the given time (unless otherwise noted). [b] Isolated yield. [c] The amount of nucleophile used in the reaction was 1 mL.

Reactions with Carbon Nucleophiles and Other Allylic Alcohols

To further demonstrate the utility of this catalytic system, a number of carbon nucleophiles as well as differently substituted allylic alcohols were investigated. The results are listed in Table 3. It is interesting that electron-rich arenes, such as phenol (**2j**), and heteroaromatic compounds, such as pyrrole (**2k**) and indole (**2l**), could be regioselectively allylated at the C-4, C-2, and C-3 positions, respectively, with high yields (Table 3, Entries 1–3). This result is consistent with acid-catalyzed Friedel–Crafts-type reaction,^[19] but contrary to base-catalyzed nucleophile substitution.^[20] When alkyl-substituted allylic alcohol **1d** was employed, **3dl** could be isolated as the main product in 51% yield, together with the generation of the regioisomer 3-(1,1-dimethylallyl)-

indole in 15% (Table 3, Entry 4). Moreover, yields over 80% were obtained when the reaction was conducted with **1a** and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds, such as 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione (**2m**), acetylacetone (**2n**), and ethyl acetoacetate (**2o**) (Table 3, Entries 5, 6, and 11). Allylic alcohols **1b** and **1c** also gave the corresponding products **3bn** and **3cn**, respectively, with moderate yields, and a minor branched isomer was detected when alcohol **1c** was investigated (Table 3, Entries 7 and 8). These results suggested that a carbenium intermediate could be formed in the reac-

Table 3. MoO₂(acac)₂-catalyzed direct substitution of allylic alcohols with carbon nucleophiles.^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), nucleophile (1.5 mmol), $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol-%), NH_4PF_6 (10 mol-%) in CH_3CN (2 mL) at 65 °C for the given time (unless otherwise noted). [b] Isolated yield. [c] A regioisomeric product was observed in 15% yield by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [d] The amount of nucleophile used in the reaction was 1 mL. [e] A regioisomeric product was observed in 10% yield by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [f] A conversion of 32% was detected by GC, and a mixture of **3en** and **3fn** in a ca. 1:1 ratio was observed. [g] Not determined. [h] Mixture of diastereoisomers (ca. 3:2). [i] Mixture of diastereoisomers (ca. 1:1).

tion transition state. However, when simple alcohols 1e and 1f were employed, the reaction conversion were decreased even after 36 h, and only a mixture of regioisomers 3en and 3fn were observed (Table 3, Entries 9 and 10). This could be partly due to the difficulty in activating those simple allylic alcohols. Interestingly, the monoketones acetone (2p) and cyclohexanone (2q) could be transformed smoothly into corresponding products 3ap and 3aq, respectively, in this catalytic system (Table 3, Entries 12 and 13; 78% and 65% yield, respectively). To the best of our knowledge, as a result of their low activities, substitution reactions with the use of these simple ketones as the nucleophiles have not yet been reported, except for the Tsuji-Trost-type reaction catalyzed by palladium complexes.^[21] Therefore, MoO₂(acac)₂ could be regarded as a highly efficient catalyst for the substitution of allylic alcohols.

Mechanism Studies

Finally, we turned our attention to the reaction mechanism. Dissymmetric allylic alcohols **1g** and **1h** were investigated under the same reactions condition. As shown in Scheme 1, the reactions of **1g** or **1h** with methanol (**2f**) generated mixtures of products **3gf** and **3hf** in a ratio^[22] of 54:46 (path A and A'). The carbon nucleophile acetylacetone (**2n**) also gave the mixture of products, though the ratio between **3gn** and **3hn** was different from that with methanol as the nucleophile (path B and B'). However, the allylic alcohol bearing an electron-withdrawing group on the aryl rings, a nitro group for example, underwent only sluggish conversion. This result suggested that the substitution proceeded through an S_N 1-like mechanism.

In order to obtain further evidence on this hypothesis, optically active alcohol **1a** with 50% *ee* was treated with **2b** under the specified reaction conditions and racemic product **3ab** was observed as anticipated (Scheme 2). Moreover, a trace amount of byproduct **3an** was also observed. It is supposed that the transition state involves coordination of allylic alcohol **1a**, which replaces acetylacetone with the mo-



Scheme 1. $MoO_2(acac)_2$ -catalyzed direct substitution of alcohols 1g and 1h.^[a] [a] Reaction conditions: allylic alcohol (0.5 mmol), nucleophile (1 mL), $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (10 mol-%), NH_4PF_6 (10 mol-%) in CH₃CN (2 mL) at 65 °C for the given time. [b] Isolated yield. [c] The ratio between 3gf and 3hf is 54:46. [d] The ratio between 3gn and 3hn is 33:67.

lybdenum complex in the catalytic cycle (intermediate **A**). Attack of the nucleophile on π -allylic carbenium intermediate **B**, which gives rise to the corresponding product, is the driving force of the equilibrium from intermediate **A** to **B** (Scheme 3).^[23]



Scheme 2. MoO₂(acac)₂-catalyzed direct amination of the optically active alcohol **1a**.



Scheme 3. Proposed pathway for $MoO_2(acac)_2$ -catalyzed substitution of 1a.

FULL PAPER

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed the $MoO_2(acac)_2$ -catalyzed direct substitution of allylic alcohols with a wide range of nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon nucleophiles, which proceeds with moderate-to-excellent yields. The $MoO_2(acac)_2/NH_4PF_6$ combination is a versatile and highly efficient catalyst system for the substitution reaction. Studies into the reaction mechanism suggest that the molybde-num(VI) complex functioned as a Lewis acid in the transition state and a carbenium intermediate could be formed, which is different from the mechanism of allylation catalyzed by Mo^{II} or Mo^0 complexes.

Experimental Section

General: All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification except as indicated below. MoO₂(acac)₂ was readily prepared according to the literature.^[24] Dioxane was freshly distilled from sodium metal. Acetonitrile was freshly distilled from P₂O₅. Reactions were monitored by TLC on silica-gel plates (GF254). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker APX–300 spectrometer at room temperature in CDCl₃ as solvent. Infrared spectra were recorded with a VECTOR 22 spectrometer with pressed KBr pellets. Elemental analyses were carried out with a Perkin–Elmer 240 C elemental analyzer in the Analysis Center of Nanjing University. Mass spectra (ESI) were taken with an LCQ Classic mass spectrometer.

General Procedure for the Nucleophilic Substitution Reaction of Alcohols: To a stirred solution of $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (16 mg, 0.05 mmol) and NH_4PF_6 (9 mg, 0.05 mmol) in acetonitrile (2 mL) at 65 °C was added the corresponding nucleophiles (1.5 mmol or 1 mL), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 5 min before the addition of the alcohol (0.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at this temperature for the specified time (Tables 2 and 3) and then cooled to room temperature and directly purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding product.

3aa: White solid. Yield: 80 mg, 68%. M.p. 118–119 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.89 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.18 (s, 1 H), 6.30 (dd, J = 6.3, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.53 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (m, 10 H), 8.27 (s, 1 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 53.6, 126.6, 127.2, 127.9, 128.0, 128.4, 128.7, 128.9, 131.7, 136.4, 140.4, 160.4 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3238, 3042, 2869, 1676, 1655, 1544, 1491, 1383, 1259, 973, 750, 695 cm⁻¹. C₁₆H₁₅NO (237.12): calcd. C 80.98, H 6.37, N 5.90; found C 80.85, H 6.42, N 5.83.

3ab:^[2b] White solid. Yield: 154 mg, 85%. M.p. 129–131 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.30 (s, 3 H), 5.08–5.17 (m, 2 H), 6.03 (dd, *J* = 6.6, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.31 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.11–7.25 (m, 12 H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.8, 60.2, 126.9, 127.5, 127.7, 128.3, 128.6, 128.9, 129.1, 129.8, 132.5, 136.5, 138.2, 140.1, 143.7 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3350, 3030, 2960, 2923, 2855, 1633, 1516, 1488, 1313, 1258, 1077, 1032, 967, 801, 747, 699 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) = 362 (100) [M – H]⁻.

3ac:^[11a] Yellow solid. Yield: 144 mg, 87%. M.p. 136–137 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.99 (m, 1 H), 5.24 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.40 (dd, *J* = 6.0, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.59–6.66 (m, 3 H), 7.24–7.43 (m, 10 H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2 H) ppm.

3ad: Yellow oil. Yield: 149 mg, 90%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.79$ (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.37 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.56 (dd,

J = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.31 (m, 13 H), 8.00 (s, 1 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 53.3, 119.5, 125.7, 126.8, 127.2, 128.0, 128.9, 129.0, 129.1, 132.0, 132.4, 133.0, 136.8, 137.4, 143.1, 143.8 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3489, 3377, 3059, 3026, 2969, 2925, 1632, 1591, 1564, 1513, 1410, 1340, 1254, 1168, 1087, 969, 745, 688 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): *m*/*z* (%) = 329 (42) [M − H]⁻. C₂₁H₁₈N₂O₂ (330.14): calcd. C 76.34, H 5.49, N 8.48; found C 76.26, H 5.53, N 8.42.

3ae:^[7] White solid. Yield: 116 mg, 74%. M.p. 157–159 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.05 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.47 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.56 (m, 2 H), 7.20 (m, 13 H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 55.6, 127.0, 127.5, 127.6, 128.2, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 129.3, 132.1, 132.2, 134.7, 136.8, 141.2, 166.9 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3443, 3060, 3029, 2926, 1597, 1492, 1449, 1427, 1326, 1155, 695, 671, 560 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): *mlz* (%) = 311 (85) [M – H]⁻.

3af:^[25] Colorless oil. Yield: 84 mg, 75%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.37 (s, 3 H), 4.78 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.24 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 56.8, 84.7, 127.0, 127.3, 127.5, 128.1, 128.9, 130.5, 131.9, 137.0, 141.4 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3450, 3059, 2974, 2926, 1493, 1450, 1081, 965, 744, 695 cm⁻¹.

3ag:^[26] Colorless oil. Yield: 108 mg, 90%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.29 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 3.52–3.65 (m, 2 H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.42 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 15.7, 64.4, 82.9, 127.0, 127.2, 127.5, 128.0, 128.1, 128.9, 130.4, 131.1, 131.5, 132.0, 137.1, 142.0 ppm. IR (KBr): \hat{v} = 3448, 3059, 3026, 2974, 2926, 2820, 1493, 1450, 1081, 965, 744, 696, 554 cm⁻¹.

3ah:^[27] Yellow oil. Yield: 107 mg, 71%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.62 (s, 2 H), 5.05 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 15 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 70.5, 82.0, 127.0, 127.4, 127.7, 128.0, 128.1, 128.8, 129.0, 130.7, 132.0, 137.0, 138.8, 141.6 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3446, 2971, 2901, 1650, 1601, 1492, 1450, 1389, 1259, 1053, 965, 740, 694 cm⁻¹.

3ai:^[26] Pale-yellow oil. Yield: 97 mg, 77%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.18 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.22 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3 H), 3.71 (m, 1 H), 5.03 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (dd, J = 6.9, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.55 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.15–7.41 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 22.7, 22.8, 69.1, 80.0, 127.0, 127.3, 127.5, 127.9, 128.0, 128.9, 131.2, 131.6, 137.2, 142.4 ppm. IR (KBr): \hat{v} = 3449, 3059, 3026, 2970, 2927, 1600, 1493, 1450, 1374, 1298, 1120, 1047, 966, 743, 696 cm⁻¹.

3aj:^[19a] Yellow oil. Yield: 96 mg, 67%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.82 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.89 (s, 1 H), 6.30 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (dd, J = 8.1, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 53.7, 115.7, 126.7, 126.8, 127.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 130.2, 131.6, 133.3, 136.2, 137.7, 144.2, 154.5 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3414, 3059, 3026, 2971, 2927, 1639, 1602, 1508, 1447, 1237, 1174, 1040, 970, 833, 746, 698, 553 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): *m/z* (%) = 285 (50) [M - H]⁻.

3ak:^[11b] Yellow oil. Yield: 125 mg, 97%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.85 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.97 (s, 1 H), 6.17 (s, 1 H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.55 (dd, J = 7.5, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (s, 1 H) 7.19 (m, 10 H), 7.85 (s, 1 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 48.5, 107.2, 108.5, 117.7, 126.8, 127.3, 127.9, 128.8, 129.0, 129.1, 131.5, 131.7, 133.5, 137.5, 142.6 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} =



3430, 3058, 3025, 2360, 2339, 1597, 1492, 1449, 1090, 1027, 965, 746, 697, 538 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

3ai:^[11a] Colorless oil. Yield: 128 mg, 83%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.07$ (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.39 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (dd, J = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (s, 1 H), 7.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.15–7.43 (m, 13 H), 7.77 (br. s, 1 H) ppm.

3dl:^[28] Yellow oil. Yield: 47 mg, 51%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.78 (s, 3 H), 1.79 (s, 3 H), 3.48 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.46 (m, 1 H), 6.97 (s, 1 H), 7.13–7.23 (m, 2 H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (br., 1 H) ppm.

3am: White solid. Yield: 133 mg, 80%. M.p. 61–62 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.06 (s, 6 H), 2.37 (s, 4 H), 5.26 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.22–7.39 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 28.4, 31.99, 41.90, 60.52, 116.3, 126.4, 127.4, 127.8, 128.1, 128.5, 129.0, 129.8, 130.6, 131.7, 137.3, 142.4 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3024, 2957, 1598, 1376, 1256, 746, 696 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): *m/z* (%) = 331 (100) [M - H]⁻. C₂₃H₂₄O₂ (332.18): calcd. C 83.10, H 7.28; found C 82.92, H 7.33.

3an:^[11a] White solid. Yield: 131 mg, 90%. M.p. 84–85 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.92 (s, 3 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 4.34 (m, 2 H), 6.17 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.22–7.34 (m, 10 H) ppm.

3bn:^[11a] Yellow oil. Yield: 72 mg, 63%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.09 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 2.14 (s, 3 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 3.20–3.26 (m, 1 H), 3.70 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.00 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5 H) ppm.

3cn:^[29] Yellow oil (keto/enol, 1:0.92). Yield: 62 mg, 57%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.17 (s, 6 H, enol), 2.22 (s, 6 H, keto), 2.76 (td, J = 6.9, 0.9 Hz, 2 H, keto), 3.17 (dd, J = 5.4, 1.5 Hz, 2 H, enol), 3.81 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, keto), 6.08 (dt, J = 15.9, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, keto), 6.22 (dt, J = 15.9, 5.4 Hz, 1 H, enol), 6.36 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H, enol), 6.46 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H, keto), 7.21–7.34 (m, 10 H, keto + enol) ppm.

3ao:^[11a] Colorless oil (mixture of diastereoisomers, ca. 3:2). Yield: 156 mg, 97%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.98$ (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1.2 H, diast. A), 1.22 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1.8 H, diast. B), 2.06 (s, 1.8 H, diast. B), 2.33 (s, 1.2 H, diast. A), 3.95 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 0.8 H, diast. A), 4.10–4.23 (m, 2 H), 4.31–4.40 (m, 1.2 H, diast. B), 6.29 (m, 1 H), 6.43 (m, 1 H), 7.24–7.32 (m, 10 H) ppm.

3ap:^[30] Pale-yellow oil. Yield: 98 mg, 78%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.10 (s, 3 H), 2.94 (m, 2 H), 4.07 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.35 (m, 2 H), 7.19–7.33 (m, 10 H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 31.1, 44.4, 49.8, 126.6, 127.1, 127.7, 128.0, 128.9, 129.1, 130.4, 132.8, 137.5, 143.4, 207.3 ppm. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3420, 1715, 1599, 1493, 1450, 1414, 1358, 1158, 966, 745, 697, 540 cm⁻¹.

3aq:^[21] Colorless oil (mixture of diastereoisomers, ca. 1:1). Yield: 94 mg, 65%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.57 (m, 4 H), 1.90 (m, 2 H), 2.35 (m, 2 H), 2.86 (m, 1 H), 3.87 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 8.7 Hz, 0.5 H, diast. A), 3.97 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 8.7 Hz, 0.5 H, diast. B), 6.26–6.34 (m, 1 H), 6.43–6.48 (m, 1 H), 7.15–7.43 (m, 10 H) ppm.

3gf and 3hf: Colorless oil (**3gf/3hf**, 54:46). Data for **3gf**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.40 (s, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 4.77–4.81 (m, 1 H), 6.17 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2 H), 7.24–7.42 (m, 7 H) ppm. Data for **3hf**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.38 (s, 3 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 4.77–4.81 (m, 1 H), 6.32 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.93(d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2 H), 7.24–7.42 (m, 7 H) ppm. Data for the mixture: ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 55.7, 56.7, 56.8, 84.3,

84.9, 114.4, 127.0, 127.3, 127.5, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 129.8, 130.8, 131.6, 133.6, 137.1, 141.7, 159.7, 159.8 ppm.

3gn and 3hn:^[31] White solid (**3gn/3hn**, 33:67). Data for **3gn**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.96$ (s, 3 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 4.33–4.36 (m, 2 H), 6.08 (ddd, J = 1.2, 6.6, 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.19–7.32 (m, 7 H) ppm. Data for **3hn**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.97$ (s, 3 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 4.33–4.36 (m, 2 H), 6.21 (ddd, J = 3.9, 3.9, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.43 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.19–7.32 (m, 7 H) ppm. Data for the mixture: ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.8, 30.0, 48.4, 49.3, 55.3, 74.7, 114.0, 114.4, 126.4, 127.1, 127.2, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.5, 129.0, 129.4, 129.6, 131.1, 131.3, 132.0, 136.6, 140.4, 158.7, 159.3, 202.8, 203.0 ppm.$

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): ¹H NMR and 2D H–H NOESY NMR spectra for the mixtures of **3gf/3hf** and **3gn/3hn**.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20672053, 20832001) and the National Basic Research Program of China (2007CB925103) for their financial support. The Program for New Century Excellent Talents in the University of China (NCET-06-0425) is also acknowledged.

- For reviews, see: a) B. M. Trost, M. L. Crawley, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 2921–2944; b) B. M. Trost, *J. Org. Chem.* 2004, 69, 5813–5837; c) O. Belda, C. Moberg, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004, 37, 159–167.
- [2] For examples, see: a) M. Westermaier, H. Mayr, Org. Lett.
 2006, 8, 4791–4794; b) A. Lei, X. Lu, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2357–2360; c) G. Helmchen, A. Dahnz, P. Dübon, M. Schelwies, R. Weihofen, Chem. Commun. 2007, 675–691; d) R. K. Dieter, V. K. Gore, N. Chen, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 763–766; e) T. Konno, T. Takehana, T. Ishihara, H. Yamanaka, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 93–98.
- [3] For reviews, see: a) J. Tsuji, Palladium Reagents and Catalysis, Innovations in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1995; b)
 B. M. Trost, D. L. Van vranken, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395–422;
 c) M. Johannsen, K. A. Jorgensen, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1689– 1708; d) A. Pfaltz, M. Lautens in Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis (Eds.: E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto), Springer, Berlin, 1999, vol. 2, ch. 24.
- [4] To the best of our knowledge, strong base is still inevitable for most cases, but recently, progress in direct substitution of allylic alcohols has been reported. For direct substitution catalyzed by Pd without additive, see: a) F. Ozawa, H. Okamoto, S. Kawagishi, S. Yamamoto, T. Minami, M. Yoshifuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10968–10969; b) Y. Kayaki, T. Koda, T. Ikariya, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2595–2597; for direct substitution catalyzed by Pd with additive, see: c) K. Manabe, S. Kobayashi, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3241–3244; d) K.-H. Gan, C.-J. Jhong, S.-C. Yang, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 1204–1212; e) H. Tsukamoto, M. Sato, Y. Kondo, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1200–1201; f) S.-C. Yang, Y.-C. Hsu, K.-H. Gan, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 3949–3958; for direct substitution catalyzed by Rh, see: g) G. W. Kabalka, G. Dong, B. Venkataiah, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 893–895.
- [5] a) M. Yasuda, T. Somyo, A. Baba, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 793–796; b) P. R. Krishna, E. R. Sekhar, Y. L. Prapurna, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 9048–9050; for InBr₃ as catalyst, see: c) J. S. Yadav, B. V. Subba Reddy, S. Aravind, G. G. K. S. Narayana Kumar, A. Srinivas Reddy, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6117–6120.
- [6] a) U. Jana, S. Maiti, S. Biswas, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 7160–7163; b) U. Jana, S. Biswas, S. Maiti, *Tetrahedron Lett.*

2007, *48*, 4065–4069; for Fe(ClO₄)₃ as the catalyst, see: c) P. Salehi, N. Iranpoor, F. K. Behbahani, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 943–948.

- [7] H. Qin, N. Yamagiwa, S. Matsunaga, M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 409–413.
- [8] a) W. Huang, J. Wang, Q. Shen, X. Zhou, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 11636–11643; b) W. Huang, J. Wang, Q. Shen, X. Zhou, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 3969–3973.
- [9] J. S. Yadav, B. V. Subba Reddy, T. Srinivasa Rao, K. V. Raghavendra Rao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 614–618.
- [10] S. Guo, F. Song, Y. Liu, Synlett 2007, 964-968.
- [11] a) R. Sanz, A. Martínez, D. Miguel, J. M. Álvarez-Gutiérrez, F. Rodríguez, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1841–1845; for other Brønsted acids as catalysts, see: b) J. L. Bras, J. Muzart, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 7942–7948; c) G. Kaur, M. Kaushik, S. Trehan, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2521–2524; d) P. Liu, Z. Zhou, C. Lau, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 8610–8619; e) J. S. Yadav, B. V. Subba Reddy, T. Srinivasa Rao, B. B. M. Krishna, G. G. K. S. Narayana Kumar, Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 1472–1473; f) G.-W. Wang, Y.-B. Shen, X.-L. Wu, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4367–4371; g) S. Shirakawa, S. Kobayashi, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 311–314.
- [12] a) R. R. Schrock, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4592–4633; b) M. Murakami, S. Kadowaki, T. Matsuda, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3953–3956; c) R. R. Schrock, C. Czekelius, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 55–77.
- [13] a) A. V. Malkov, I. R. Baxendale, D. Dvořák, D. J. Mansfield, P. Kočovský, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2737–2750; b) A. V. Malkov, S. L. Davis, W. L. Mitchell, P. Kočovský, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 4899–4902; c) G. C. Lloyd-Jones, S. W. Krska, D. L. Hughes, L. Gouriou, V. D. Bonnet, K. Jack, Y. Sun, R. A. Reamer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 702–703; d) F. Glorius, M. Neuburger, A. Pfaltz, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, 84, 3178– 3196.
- [14] For a review on (dioxido)molybdenum(VI) complexes, see: a)
 E. I. Stiefel in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry* (Eds.: G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard, J. A. McCleverty), Pergamon, Oxford, U. K., **1987**, ch. 36.5, pp. 1375–1420; b) C. G. Young in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II* (Eds.: J. A. McCleverty, T. J. Meyer), Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, **2004**, ch. 4.7, pp. 415–527; c) F. E. Kühn, A. M. Santos, M. Abrantes, *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2455–2475; d) F. E. Kühn, J. Zhao, W. A. Herrmann, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 3469–3479; e)
 F. E. Kühn, A. M. Santos, W. A. Herrmann, *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 2483–2491.
- [15] a) F. R. Sensato, R. Custodio, E. Longo, V. S. Safont, J. Andres, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5870–5874; b) J. Rudolph, K. L. Reddy, J. P. Chiang, K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc.

1997, *119*, 6189–6190; c) S. Velusamy, M. Ahamed, T. Punniyamurthy, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4821–4824; d) S. K. Maiti, K. M. Abdul Malik, R. Bhattacharyya, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *7*, 823–828.

- [16] a) A. C. Fernandes, R. Fernandes, C. C. Romão, B. Royo, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 213–214; b) A. C. Fernandes, C. C. Romão, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 8881–8883; c) A. C. Fernandes, C. C. Romão, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 2006, 253, 96–98; d) A. C. Fernandes, C. C. Romão, *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 9650– 9654.
- [17] H. Yang, H. Wang, C. Zhu, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 10029–10034.
- [18] B. D. Sherry, A. T. Radosevich, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6076–6077.
- [19] a) I. F. Nieves, D. Schott, S. Gruber, P. S. Pregosin, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2007, 90, 271–276; see also ref.^[11b] and references cited therein.
- [20] For O-allylated phenol in the presence of K₂CO₃, see: C. Chevrin, J. L. Bras, F. Hénin, J. Muzart, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 8099–8102.
- [21] M. Braun, F. Laicher, T. Meier, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3494–3497.
- [22] The ratio is indicated by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; assignment of 3gf and 3hf were based on the positive NOE experiment.
- [23] We do not think that the generation of the anionic nucleophile could occur in the absence of external base. This mechanism might be regarded as the electrophilic substitution of π -allylic carbenium in the Friedel–Crafts-type way, which is the reason that phenol and other heteroaromatic compounds behave as C-nucleophiles. As for 1,3-diketones and so on, it is thought that due to the existence of their enolates, the electrophilic attack of π -allylic carbenium could also occur at the α -position of the carbonyl in the catalytic system.
- [24] G. J.-J. Chen, J. W. McDonald, W. E. Newton, *Inorg. Chem.* 1976, 15, 2612–2615.
- [25] M. Tiecco, L. Testaferri, M. Tingoli, D. Chianelli, D. Bartoli, *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 2261–2272.
- [26] M.-I. Lannou, F. Hélion, J.-L. Namy, Synlett 2007, 2707–2710.
- [27] A. R. Haight, E. J. Stoner, M. J. Peterson, V. K. Grover, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8092–8096.
- [28] I. Usui, S. Schmidt, M. Keller, B. Breit, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1207–1210.
- [29] M. Rueping, B. J. Nachtsheim, A. Kuenkel, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 825–828.
- [30] S. Oi, Y. Honma, Y. Inoue, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 667-669.
- [31] M. Moreno-Mañas, F. Pajuelo, T. Parella, R. Pleixats, Organometallics 1997, 16, 205–209.

Received: October 6, 2008 Published Online: December 19, 2008