## **Regioselectivity of Diels-Alder Reactions of a Surfactant 1,3-Diene** with Surfactant Dienophiles<sup>†</sup>

David A. Jaeger\* and Jinkang Wang

Department of Chemistry, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071

Received July 20, 1993

The ability of aqueous surfactant aggregates to control the regiochemistry of Diels-Alder reactions was investigated with surfactant 1,3-diene 4-[p-[[3-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-1,3-butadien-2-yl]thio]phenyl]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-butanaminium bromide (6), derived in situ by thermal extrusion of SO<sub>2</sub> from 4-[[[1,1-dioxo-4-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophen-3-yl]thio]phenyl]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-butanaminium bromide (8), and surfactant dienophiles (E)-6-[[[2-(alkoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-hexanaminium bromide (7) (a, R = Me; b, R = Bu; c, R = C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>). In each case an excess of 1-[[p-[(4-trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(alkoxycarbonyl)-5-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-1-cyclohexene dibromide (16) over 1-[[p-[(4-trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-5-(alkoxycarbonyl)-1-cyclohexene dibromide (17) was obtained, consistent with the reaction of 6 and 7 within a mixed aggregate in their preferred orientations at the aggregate- $H_2O$  interface. The cyclohexene rings of 16 and 17 have different conformational character in chloroform resulting from supramolecular effects within reversed micelles.

The Diels-Alder reaction is one of the most important reactions in organic synthesis.<sup>1</sup> There have been numerous studies of Diels-Alder chemistry performed in H<sub>2</sub>O and in aqueous surfactant-based media.<sup>2</sup> The focus of these studies generally has been the dramatic rate and stereoselectivity enhancements observed relative to results obtained in conventional organic solvents. The ability of surfactant-based media to influence the regioselectivity of Diels-Alder reactions has received little attention.<sup>3</sup> In the study reported herein we have addressed the following question: Can the regioselectivity of Diels-Alder reactions be controlled by the alignment of reactants at surfactant aggregate-H<sub>2</sub>O interfaces?<sup>4</sup>

Previously, we reported a study<sup>3</sup> of the Diels-Alder reaction of surfactant 1,3-diene 1 with dienophile 2 in both aqueous and reversed micelles. If 1 and 2 had reacted in their preferred orientations within the surfactant aggregates, cycloadduct 3 would have resulted. In fact, in both micellar media endo-4 and exo-5 were obtained as the major products, which correspond to the theoretically predicted regioisomers.<sup>5</sup> The reaction of a nonsurfactant analogue of 1 with 2 gave exclusively the endo Diels-Alder product of the same regiochemistry. Although the reaction of 1 and 2 gave an indication of the formation of a minor amount of 3, it is clear that the orientational effects in the aggregates were not strong enough to overcome the reaction's intrinsically preferred regiochemistry.

In the present study we have investigated the ability of aqueous surfactant aggregates to control the regioselec-



tivity of Diels-Alder reactions of surfactant 1,3-diene 6 with dienophiles 7. Diene 6 was derived in situ from surfactant sulfone 8 by thermal extrusion of  $SO_2$  as illustrated. This Diels-Alder system should display no regiochemical bias in the absence of orientational effects since the substituents at carbons 2 and 3 within 6 and those at carbons 1 and 2 within 7 are close to being both electronically and sterically equivalent with respect to the diene and dienophile reaction centers, respectively.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Dedicated to the memory of Professor Sara Jane Rhoads (deceased

<sup>May 1, 1993).
Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, October 1, 1993.
Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, October 1, 1993.
(1) For reviews, see: (a) Brieger, G.; Bennett, J. N. Chem. Rev. 1980, 80, 63. (b) Oppolzer, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 10.
(2) For examples, see: (a) Blokzijl, W.; Blandamer, M. J.; Engberts, J. B. F. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4241. (b) Blake, J. F.; Jorgensen, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7430. (c) Braun, B.; Schuster, F.;</sup> W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7430. (c) Braun, R.; Schuster, F.; Sauer, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1285 and references cited therein. (3) Jaeger, D. A.; Shinozaki, H.; Goodson, P. A. J. Org. Chem. 1991,

<sup>56. 2482.</sup> (4) Some of these results have been communicated (Jaeger, D. A.; Wang, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6415).

<sup>(5)</sup> Kahn, S. D.; Pau, C. F.; Overman, L. E.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7381.



 $^{\circ}$ Key: (a) CHCl<sub>3</sub>; (b) DBU, CHCl<sub>3</sub>; (c) NBS, MeCN; (d) *p*-HSC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>OH, NaOH, MeOH; (e) MeSO<sub>2</sub>Cl, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (f) LiBr, THF; (g) Me<sub>3</sub>N, MeOH.



<sup>a</sup>Key: (a)  $Br(CH_2)_6OH$ ,  $C_6H_5Me$ ; (b)  $SOCl_2$ ; (c) ROH,  $Et_3N$ : a, R = Me; b, R = Bu; c, R =  $C_8H_{17}$ ; (d)  $Me_3N$ , MeCN.

## **Results and Discussion**

Syntheses. The synthesis of 8 is summarized in Scheme I. The addition of sulfenyl chloride 9 to 2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide gave *trans* chloro sulfone 10. DBU-catalyzed dehydrochlorination of this material yielded 11, which was converted into 12 by reaction with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS). Displacement of bromide by the conjugate base of the indicated substituted thiophenol gave alcohol 13 after rearrangement. Then 13 was converted into surfactant 8 through the corresponding bromide. The critical micelle concentration (cmc) of 8 in  $H_2O$  at 25 °C is  $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M.

The synthesis of dienophiles 7 is summarized in Scheme II. The reaction of 6-bromo-1-hexanol with maleic anhydride gave *trans* mono ester 14. This material was converted into the acid chloride, which gave bromo diesters 15 on reaction with the corresponding alcohols. Then 15 was converted into 7. Compound 7a did not display a detectable cmc in H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C up to 0.11 M. The cmc's of 7b and 7c under the same conditions are  $5.3 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M, respectively.

**Diels-Alder Reactions.** Reactions of 7 and 8 were performed in a pH 7.0 phosphate buffer with added 4-*tert*butylcatechol at 100 or 130 °C (sealed tubes). In each case the molar ratio of 7 to 8 was 4:1. Under these conditions 8 is transformed into 6, which undergoes DielsAlder reaction with 7 to give regioisomers 16 and 17. The reaction mixtures, diluted with MeCN, were analyzed by calibrated reversed-phase HPLC to give the 16/17 ratios, and then they were worked up to give the 16 + 17 yields, followed by preparative HPLC to afford 16 and 17 with  $X^- = ClO_4$ . The results are summarized in runs 1-16 of Table I.



Reactions of 13 and 15 were performed in  $C_6H_5$ Me with added 4-*tert*-butylcatechol at 130 °C (sealed tubes) to establish the regioselectivities that would likely result from reactions of 6 and 7 in the absence of orientational effects within surfactant aggregates. Under these conditions 13 is converted into 18, which undergoes Diels-Alder reaction with 15 to give regioisomers 19 and 20. The reaction mixtures were worked up and the product mixtures analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC. Base line separation of the regioisomers was obtained only for 19c and 20c, with the 19c/20c ratio = 1.0. Partial resolution was obtained for 19a and 20a and for 19b and 20b, with an estimated regioisomer ratio of 1 in each case. Preparative HPLC gave 19c and 20c. The results are summarized in runs 17-22 of Table I.



As noted above, the cmc of 8 in H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C is  $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M. The cmc's at 100 and 130 °C in the pH 7.0 buffer should be somewhat, but not significantly, higher. In general, cmc's do not increase much with temperature.<sup>6</sup> For example, the cmc's of hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide are  $0.955 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $6.12 \times 10^{-3}$  m at 25 and 130 °C, respectively.<sup>6a</sup> Also, at a given temperature the salts of the buffer will reduce the cmc relative to its value in

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) Evans, D. F.; Allen, M.; Ninham, B. W.; Fouda, A. J. Solution Chem. 1984, 13, 87. (b) Jaeger, D. A.; Mohebalian, J.; Rose, P. L. Langmuir 1990, 6, 547.

**.**...

. ...

Table I.	Diels-Alder	Reactions
----------	-------------	-----------

	diene	precursor	dier	nophile					
run	nature	concn, M	nature	concn, M	medium <sup>a,b</sup>	reactn temp, °C	reactn time, h	% yield•	regioisomer ratio <sup>d</sup>
1	8	0.10	7a	0.40	buffer	100	40	41	1.6:1
2	8	0.10	7a	0.40	buffer	100	40	47	1.7:1
3	8	0.10	7b	0.40	buffer	100	40	66	2.4:1
4	8	0.10	7b	0.40	buffer	100	40	67	2.4:1
5	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	100	40	94	2.9:1
6	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	100	40	84	3.0:1
7	8	0.10	7a	0.40	buffer	130	2	57	1.4:1
8	8	0.10	7a	0.40	buffer	130	2	63	1.4:1
9	8	0.10	7b	0.40	buffer	130	2	76	1.9:1
10	8	0.10	7b	0.40	buffer	130	2	85	2.0:1
11	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	130	2	80	2.6:1
12	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	130	2	90	2.6:1
13	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	130	1		2.7:1
14	8	0.10	7c	0.40	buffer	130	1		2.7:1
15	8	0.010	7c	0.040	buffer	130	2	94	2.6:1
16	8	0.010	7c	0.040	buffer	130	2	72	2.8:1
17	13	0.10	15a	0.41	CeHsMe	130	2	41	1:1
18	13	0.12	15a	0.49	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Me	130	2.5	36	1:1
19	13	0.20	15b	0.81	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Me	130	2.5	26	1:1
20	13	0.21	15b	0.84	CeH5Me	130	2.5	28	1:1
21	13	0.18	15c	0.74	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Me	130	2	52	1:1
22	13	0.095	15c	0.45	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Me	130	2.5	49	1:1

<sup>a</sup> Buffer = pH 7.0 phosphate buffer (0.063 M). <sup>b</sup> The reaction mixtures in the buffer and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me contained 0.002-0.02 M and 0.02-0.16 M 4-tert-butylcatechol, respectively. ° 16 + 17 in runs 1–16 or 19 + 20 in runs 17–22. d 16/17 in runs 1–16 or 19/20 in runs 17–22.

 $H_2O$  alone.<sup>7</sup> Thus, it is likely that runs 1-14, with 0.10 M 8, and even runs 15 and 16, with 0.010 M 8, were performed well above the cmc's of 8 at 100 and 130 °C. Furthermore, the cmc's of 6 at the two temperatures are almost certainly comparable to those of 8, or perhaps even lower, since 6 does not contain the polar sulfone group. On the basis of the above considerations, it is probable that runs 5, 6, and 11-16 were performed above the cmc's of 7c at 100 and 130 °C. But the situation is unclear for 7a (runs 1, 2, 7, and 8) and 7b (runs 3, 4, 9, and 10), whose cmc's are >0.11 and  $5.3 \times 10^{-2}$  M, respectively, at 25 °C in H<sub>2</sub>O as noted above.

The reaction of 6 and 7 gave an excess of 16 over 17 in every case (runs 1-16). The former is indeed the expected regioisomer if 6 and 7 react in their preferred orientations within a mixed micelle, with the quaternary ammonium head groups at the aggregate-H<sub>2</sub>O interface and the remainder of each extended into the micelle interior. These orientations for s-cis-6 and 7c are represented in Figure 1. For simplicity, a flat interface is illustrated, whereas that of a micelle is curved, and the alkyl chains are shown in fully extended conformations, although they are most likely folded.<sup>8</sup> Cycloadduct 17 results from the reaction of misaligned 6 and 7 within the mixed micelles and/or within the bulk aqueous phase. The former could reasonably involve 6 and 7 with their 1,3-diene and polar dienophile units, respectively, looped to the aggregate- $H_2O$  interface. It is known that aromatic groups can associate with quaternary ammonium head groups.<sup>9</sup> The latter involves 6 and 7 in monomeric and/or premicellar forms.<sup>10</sup> The orientational effects in both are expected to be less than within the mixed micelles. The 4-tertbutylcatechol in each reaction mixture likely resides at



Figure 1. Preferred orientations of s-cis-6 and 7c at a surfactant aggregate $-H_2O$  interface.

the aggregate-H<sub>2</sub>O interface, resulting in an indeterminate effect, if any, on the 16/17 ratio.

In runs 1–6 at 100 °C the 16/17 ratio and the 16 + 17yield increased going from 7a to 7b to 7c. The trend in the 16/17 ratio results from the increasing lipophilic character of the alkyl substituent and is consistent with (a) an increasing population of the preferred orientation of 7 within the mixed micelles and (b) an increasing fraction of 7 incorporated into the mixed micelles, resulting in a decreasing fraction of the reaction occurring in the bulk aqueous phase. The increasing 16 + 17 yields going from 7a to 7b to 7c are consistent with a greater reaction rate for micellar than for monomeric 6 and 7 in these presumed second-order reactions.<sup>11</sup> The effective concentrations of 6 and 7 within a mixed micelle are greater than those in the bulk aqueous phase.

<sup>(7)</sup> Heckmann, K.; Schwarz, R.; Strnad, J. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1987, 120, 114.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Menger, F. M.; Dulany, M. A.; Carnahan, D. W.; Lee, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6899. (b) Menger, F. M.; Doll, D. W. J. Am.

Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1109, 0605. (b) Mengert 1. Mai, Dom. 2010. (c) The Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1109 and references cited therein.
 (9) For examples, see: Bacaloglu, R.; Bunton, C. A.; Cerichelli, G.; Ortega, F. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 1490 and references cited therein.
 (10) Bunton, C. A.; Bacaloglu, R. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1987, 115, 000 288.

In runs 7-12 at 130 °C the 16/17 ratio increased going from 7a to 7b to 7c, but the values were less than those in runs 1-6 at 100 °C. The lesser regioselectivity in the former runs probably resulted from decreased populations of the preferred orientations of 6 and 7 within the mixed micelles at the higher temperature. As in runs 1-6, the 16 + 17 yield increased going from 7a to 7b to 7c.

Runs 15 and 16 were made with reagent concentrations 10 times less than those in runs 11 and 12. Nevertheless, the 16/17 ratios were identical and the 16 + 17 yields comparable under the two conditions. These facts are consistent with most of the Diels-Alder reaction occurring within mixed micelles in each run.

As formed, 16 and 17 are no doubt incorporated into the mixed surfactant aggregates of 6-8. Thus, the nature of the aggregates changes during the course of the reaction. Note that the same 16/17 ratio was obtained in runs 13 and 14 as in runs 11 and 12, indicating that the regioisomer ratio does not change with time at 130 °C. Apparently, regiochemical control in these Diels-Alder reactions derives from interfacial effects that are insensitive to aggregate composition. At present it is not known whether the 16/17 ratios are kinetically or thermodynamically controlled.

As noted above, the Diels-Alder reactions of nonsurfactant analogues 15 and 18 yielded regioisomers 19 and 20 in equal amounts in runs 17-22. Thus, in the absence of significant reagent aggregation and resultant orientational effects, as in micelles, the Diels-Alder reactions have no regiochemical bias.

Regiochemical control resulting from orientation of substrates within aqueous and reversed micelles has been obtained in several photochemical [2 + 2] and [4 + 4]cycloadditions.<sup>12</sup> However, the anticipated selectivity is not always realized.13

Characterization of Diels-Alder Adducts. The structures of 16. 17, 19, and 20 were derived from their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (20-27 °C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and <sup>1</sup>H NMR homonuclear decoupling experiments. However, the NMR data did not allow the differentiation of 16 and 17 and of 19c and 20c. The assignments illustrated for 16 and 17 are based on arguments made earlier<sup>4</sup> and on the results of a monolayer study of 16c and 17c,<sup>14</sup> and those for 19c and 20c are arbitrary but consistent with their HPLC elution order. Structural assignments involving chemical conversions and/or X-ray diffraction studies were precluded by the small amounts available of 16 and 17 and of 19c and 20c and by their amorphous natures, respectively.

The order of elution from the reversed-phase HPLC column was the same for each pair of 16 and 17 from the reactions of 6 with 7a-c: the major product, assigned to structure 16, eluted second. A definitive assignment of structures 19 and 20 to the regioisomeric cycloadducts

from the reactions of 13 with 15a-c could not be made. But for consistency within the series and with the HPLC elution order of 16 and 17, the isomer eluting second from the reversed-phase column was assigned structure 19.

The trans stereochemistry of the cycloadducts is consistent with the known stereochemical course of Diels-Alder reactions.<sup>11</sup> Indeed, the reaction of 21, derived in situ by thermal extrusion of SO<sub>2</sub> from 3,4-bis(phenylthio)-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide,<sup>15</sup> with dimethyl fumarate at 130 °C in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me gave 22, whose trans stereochemistry was established by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study.<sup>16</sup> Homonuclear decoupling experiments and simulations of a portion of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 22 established that in solution (27 °C, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) its cyclohexene ring is predominantly in the half-chair conformation<sup>17,18</sup> with diequatorial ester groups.<sup>16</sup>



The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 19c and 20c are almost identical. The former are illustrated in Figure 2. Homonuclear decoupling experiments and simulation of a portion of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 19c indicated that the cyclohexene rings of 19c and 20c are predominantly in half-chair conformations with trans diequatorial ester groups as illustrated for the former in Figure 3a. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the 1:1 mixtures of 19a and 20a and of 19b and 20b suggest that the individual components of each pair have identical spectra. Also, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra indicate that each cyclohexene ring is in the half-chair conformation with diequatorial ester groups.

For both 19c and 20c the methine and methylene protons of the cyclohexene ring comprise an ABWXYZ system with multiplets centered at  $\delta$  2.91 and 2.45, respectively. The appearance and chemical shifts of this system are very similar to those of the AA'XX'YY' system of 22.16 In homonuclear decoupling experiments (400 MHz) with 19c, irradiation of the methylene multiplet at  $\delta$  2.34 and 2.51 resulted in collapse of the methine multiplet into a singlet at  $\delta$  2.92, indicating that H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub> are almost, if not, isochronous. Irradiation of the methine signal resulted in collapse of the methylene multiplet into an apparent AB quartet broadened by long-range coupling:  $\delta$  2.34, H<sub>3a</sub>- $(H_{6a})$ ; 2.51,  $H_{3e}(H_{6e})$ ; J = 16.4 Hz. Thus,  $H_{3a}$  and  $H_{6a}$  are almost, if not, isochronous, as are  $H_{3e}$  and  $H_{6e}$ . In a simulation of the ABWXYZ system of  $19c_{J_{4,5}} = 12.7$  Hz, which is consistent with a *trans* diaxial, and not a *trans* diequatorial (or a *cis* axial-equatorial), disposition of  $H_4$ 

 <sup>(11)</sup> Sauer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1967, 6, 16.
 (12) (a) Takagi, K.; Suddaby, B. R.; Vadas, S. L.; Backer, C. A.; Whitten,
 D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7865. (b) Ramesh, V.; Ramamurthy, V. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 536. (c) Ramnath, N.; Ramamurthy, V. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2827. (d) Ramamurthy, V. Tetrahedron 1986, 21, 5753. (e) Nakamura, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 477. (f) Berenjian,
 (n); de Mayo, P.; Sturgeon, M.; Sydnes, L. K.; Weedon, A. C. Can. J. Chem. 1982, 60, 425. (g) Fargues, R.; Maurette, M. T.; Oliveros, E.; Riviere,
 M.; Lattes, A. Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 487. (h) Wolff, T.; Mueller, N. J. Photochem. 1983, 23, 131. (i) Kato, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Morita, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 2552 and references cited therein.

<sup>(13)</sup> Muthuramu, K.; Ramnath, N.; Ramamurthy, V. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1872.

<sup>(14)</sup> Wang, J. Y.; Wang, J.; Jaeger, D. A.; Uphaus, R. A. To be published.

<sup>(15)</sup> Chou, T.; Lee, S.; Peng, M.; Sun, D.; Chou, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3027.

<sup>(16)</sup> Jaeger, D. A.; Wang, J.; Goodson, P. A. J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc.

Res., in press. (17) Anet, F. A. L.; Freedberg, D. I.; Storer, J. W.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10969.

<sup>(18)</sup> For a review of the conformational analysis of cyclohexenes, see: Anet, F. A. L. In The Conformational Analysis of Cyclohexenes, Cyclohexadienes, and Related Hydroaromatic Compounds; Rabideau, P. W., Ed.; VCH Publishers: New York, 1989, Chapter 1.



Figure 2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of (a) 19c and (b) 20c. The singlets at  $\delta$  1.55 and 7.27 are due to H<sub>2</sub>O and CHCl<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

and  $H_5$ .<sup>19</sup> Also, the chemical shift of  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ , compared to those of the analogous protons of 16c and 17c as discused below, is indicative of their axial character.

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **16a**-c are very similar, as are those of **17a**-c. However, unlike for **19c** and **20c**, there are significant differences in the spectra of **16** and **17**. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **16c** and **17c** are illustrated in Figure 4. Homonuclear decoupling experiments and simulation of a portion of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **16c** indicated that, analogous to **19c/20c**, its cyclohexene ring is predominantly in the half-chair conformation with *trans* diequatorial ester groups as illustrated in Figure 3a. As for 19c and 20c, the methine and methylene protons of the cyclohexene rings of 16c and 17c comprise ABWXYZ systems. For 16c, multiplets for the methine and methylene protons are centered at  $\delta$  2.90 and 2.40, respectively. In homonuclear decoupling experiments (400 MHz) with 16c, irradiation of the methylene multiplet at  $\delta$  2.30 and 2.48 resulted in collapse of the methine multiplet into a singlet at  $\delta$  2.90, indicating that H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub> are almost, if not, isochronous. Irradiation of the methine signal resulted in collapse of the methylene multiplet into two apparent AB quartets broadened by long-range coupling:  $\delta$  2.34, H<sub>3a</sub>(H<sub>6a</sub>); 2.51, H<sub>3e</sub>(H<sub>6e</sub>); J = 17.3 Hz, and  $\delta$  2.26, H<sub>6a</sub>(H<sub>3a</sub>); 2.44, H<sub>6e</sub>(H<sub>3e</sub>); J = 17.3 Hz. Thus H<sub>3a</sub> and H<sub>6a</sub>, and H<sub>3e</sub> and H<sub>6e</sub> are not isochronous. In a simulation of the

<sup>(19)</sup> Aycard, J.-P.; Bodot, H. Org. Magn. Res. 1975, 7, 226.



Figure 3. (a) Half-chair conformation of 16c (Y = Z = N+Me<sub>3</sub>) X) and 19c (Y = OH; Z = Br) with trans diequatorial ester groups. (b) Half-chair conformation of 17c with trans diaxial ester groups.

ABWXYZ system,  $J_{4.5} = 10.4$  Hz, which is consistent with a predominant trans diaxial disposition of  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ .<sup>19</sup> Also, the chemical shift of  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ , compared to those of the analogous protons of 17c and 19c as discussed below. is indicative of their axial character.

The appearance of the ABWXYZ system of 17c is distinctly different than that of 16c. The methylene protons give a sharp multiplet at  $\delta$  2.45, as opposed to a broad multiplet for the analogous protons of 16c, and the methine protons give a multiplet at  $\delta$  3.02. If it is assumed<sup>11,16</sup> that the ester groups of 17c are trans as in 16c, 19c, and 20c, the above spectral difference suggests that the cyclohexene rings of 16c and 17c have different conformational character. A reasonable alternative to the half-chair conformation with diequatorial ester groups is the inverted half-chair conformation with diaxial ester groups, as illustrated in Figure 3b.<sup>20</sup> The energy difference between the axial and equatorial dispositions of a substituent is typically smaller for the half-chair conformation of cyclohexene than for the chair conformation of cyclohexane.<sup>21</sup> A boat conformation for 17c is unlikely. For cyclohexene the boat is less stable than the half-chair conformation by 5-7 kcal/mol and represents the transition state for half-chair ring inversion (reversal).<sup>17,18</sup>

Homonuclear decoupling experiments (400 MHz) suggest that the cyclohexene ring of 17c is in conformational equilibrium between the half-chair with diequatorial ester groups and the inverted half-chair with diaxial ester groups. Irradiation of the methylene multiplet at  $\delta$  2.44 resulted in collapse of the methine signal into an AB quartet:  $\delta$  $3.03, H_4(H_5); 3.04, H_5(H_4), J_{4,5} = 7.3 \text{ Hz}.$  This value of  $J_{4,5}$ is intermediate between those estimated for the half-chair conformations with diaxial and diequatorial ester groups, 3.0 and 13.2 Hz, respectively, and corresponds to an equilibrium composed of about 60% of the former.<sup>19</sup> Irradiation of the methine signal resulted in collapse of the methylene multiplet into two overlapping apparent AB quartets broadened by long range coupling:  $\delta$  2.44,  $H_{3a}(H_{6a})$ ; 2.46,  $H_{3e}(H_{6e})$ ; J = 19.0 Hz, and  $\delta 2.41$ ,  $H_{6a}(H_{3a})$ ; 2.46,  $H_{6e}(H_{3e})$ ; J = 19.0 Hz. In the conformation of 17c with diaxial ester groups, H4 and H5 are diequatorial. Note that the signals for  $H_4$  and  $H_5$  of 17c ( $\delta$  3.03, 3.04) are downfield from those of 16c ( $\delta$  2.90) and 19c ( $\delta$  2.91). Usually, but not always, there is a downfield shift of equatorial relative to axial protons of chair conformations of six-membered rings.<sup>19,22,23</sup>

Why is the conformational character of 17c different, than that of 16c, 19c, and 20c, even though the ester substituents at carbons 4 and 5 of each compound are close to, if not, sterically equivalent? Surfactants 16c and 17c probably form reversed micelles in chloroform.<sup>24</sup> Indeed, the occurrence of different conformations for 16c and 17c can be attributed to aggregation effects (vida infra). There are two limiting orientations of the average plane of a half-chair cyclohexene ring with respect to the radial axis of a reversed micelle: parallel and perpendicular. Surfactant 16c in either orientation with diequatorial ester groups can readily form a reversed micelle as illustrated in Figure 5. The two chains bearing the quaternary ammonium head groups can extend directly into the ionic micelle core, and the two octyl chains can extend directly into chloroform. The parallel is perhaps preferred to the perpendicular orientation since its cross section with respect to the radial axis is less, allowing for tighter surfactant packing within the reversed micelle. Surfactant 17c in the parallel orientation with diequatorial or diaxial ester groups cannot easily form a reversed micelle. One of the head group chains and one of the octyl chains can extend directly into their preferred microenvironments, but the other two cannot. Both head group chains and both octyl chains of 17c in the perpendicular orientation, with diaxial or dieguatorial ester groups, can extend readily into their preferred microenvironments as illustrated in Figure 6. However, the diaxial half-chair conformation is likely preferred because the extensions of its chains are more direct, and the two head group chains are closer to one another, as are the two octyl chains, resulting in greater intramolecular lipophilic interactions and tighter surfactant packing. Overall, 16c within a reversed micelle is best represented by Figure 5a, and 17c, by a combination of Figures 6a and 6b, with an excess of the former.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data are consistent with the assignments of dieguatorial half-chair conformation for 16c and an equilibrium between diequatorial and diaxial half-chair conformations for 17c. It is known that  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -carbons are deshielded more by an equatorial than by an axial methoxycarbonyl group.<sup>25</sup> The chemical shifts of C<sub>4</sub> and  $C_5(\alpha)$  and of  $C_3$  and  $C_6(\beta)$  of 16, 17, 19c, and 20c are given in Table II. The  $C_3$  and  $C_6$  signals of 16 are uniformly downfield from those of 17. However, the  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  signals of 16 have about the same chemical shifts as those of 17. The downfield shifts for the  $\alpha$ -carbons of 16 resulting from the equatorial ester groups have likely been countered by upfield shifts resulting from their gauche relationship.<sup>26</sup> Note that the chemical shifts of  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  and of  $C_3$  and  $C_6$  of 16, 19c, and 20c are about the same, consistent with diequatorial half-chair conformations for all these cycloadducts.

There have been several previous reports of conformational changes due to surfactant aggregation.88,27 And in

<sup>(20)</sup> This and other half-chair conformations may be more or less distorted toward the sofa conformation.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>(21)</sup> Lambert, J. B.; Marko, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7978.

<sup>(22)</sup> Jensen, F. R.; Bushweller, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5774.
(23) Jackman, L. M.; Sternhell, S. Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry; 2nd ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1969; pp 238-241.
(24) Fendler, J. H. Membrane Mimetic Chemistry; Wiley-Inter-science: New York, 1982; Chapter 3.
(25) Wilson, N. K.; Stothers, J. B. In Topics in Stereochemistry; Eliel, E. L., Allinger, N. L., Eds.; Interscience: New York, 1974; Vol. 8, pp 25-30

<sup>25-30</sup> 

<sup>(26)</sup> For examples of such upfield shifts involving dimethylcyclohexanes, see: Dalling, D. K.; Grant, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 5318.

(a)



Figure 4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of (a) 16c and (b) 17c. The singlets at  $\delta$  1.55 and 7.27 are due to H<sub>2</sub>O and CHCl<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

particular, these changes represent examples of the ability of hydrophobic effects to alter intrinsic molecular properties.<sup>28</sup>

## Summary

We have demonstrated that interfacial and related orientational effects associated with aqueous micelles can impart regioselectivity control in Diels-Alder reactions of 6 and 7, resulting in an up to 3:1 excess of 16 over 17. The cyclohexene rings of 16 and 17 have different conformational character in chloroform, most likely due to supramolecular effects within reversed micelles. On the former the ester groups are predominantly diequatorial, whereas on the latter a significant fraction of the ester groups are diaxial.

## **Experimental Section**

General Procedures and Materials. <sup>1</sup>H (270 and 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (67.9 and 100.6 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with Me<sub>4</sub>Si or CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26) as internal standard for the former and CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  77.00) for the latter. J values are in hertz. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained at the Midwest

<sup>(27)</sup> For examples, see: (a) Menger, F. M.; Vasquez, P. C. J. Org. Chem.
1982, 47, 5400. (b) Wu, W.-G.; Chi, L.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4683. (c) Ambühl, M.; Bangerter, F.; Luisi, P. L.; Skrabal, P.; Watzke, H. J. Langmuir 1993, 9, 36 and references cited therein.

<sup>4683. (</sup>c) Ambulii, M.; Bangerter, F.; Luisi, F. L.; SKREDAI, F.; WAIZKE, H.
J. Langmuir 1993, 9, 36 and references cited therein.
(28) For other examples, see: (a) Porter, N. A.; Ok, D.; Huff, J. B.;
Adams, C. M.; McPhail, A. T.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1896.
(b) Jaeger, D. A.; Chou, P. K.; Bolikal, D.; Ok/D.; Kim, K. Y.; Huff, J.
B.; Yi, E.; Porter, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5123. (c) Jaeger,
D. A.; Mohebalian, J.; Rose, P. L. Langmuir 1990, 6, 547.



Figure 5. Orientations within a reversed micelle of 16c with the cyclohexene ring in a half-chair conformation with diequatorial ester groups. Average plane of the cyclohexene ring (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular to the radial axis of the micelle.



Figure 6. Orientations within a reversed micelle of 17c with the cyclohexene ring in a half-chair conformation perpendicular to the radial axis of the micelle with (a) diaxial and (b) diequatorial ester groups.

Center for Mass Spectrometry with partial support by the National Science Foundation, Biology Division (Grant No. DIR 9017262). TLC analyses of surfactants and nonsurfactants were performed on 0.25-mm aluminum oxide (Merck 5731-3) and 0.25mm silica gel plates (Merck 5714-3). Solutions were dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and all melting points were taken with open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. The cmc's were obtained from plots of surface tension (du Noüy ring) vs the log of surfactant concentration using a Fisher Model 20 tensiometer. The pH 7.0 buffer was prepared by adding 29.1 mL of 0.10 M NaOH to 50.0 mL of 0.10 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. HPLC-grade THF was distilled from LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, and anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O from Na. Reversed-phase HPLC was performed with UV (254 nm) detection on 8-µm C18 columns: analytical,  $25 \text{ cm} \times 4.6 \text{ mm}$  (i.d.) with a  $1.5 \text{ cm} \times 4.6$ mm (i.d.) guard column (Rainin 83-201-C and 83-201-G, respectively); preparative,  $25 \text{ cm} \times 21.4 \text{ mm}$  (i.d.) with a  $5.0 \text{ cm} \times 21.4$ mm (i.d.) guard column (83-221-C and 83-221-G). Eluants were

Table II. <sup>13</sup>C NMR Chemical Shifts<sup>a,b</sup>

compd	C4	Cō	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>
16a	41.83	41.98	33.31	33.51
17 <b>a</b>	<b>41.90</b>	42.02	32.65	33.18
16 <b>b</b>	41.92	41.92	33.38	33.51
17b	41.79	41.88	32.31	32.96
16c	41.88	41.88	33.33	33.48
17c	41.68	41.77	32.10	32.82
19c	42.13	42.13	33.63	33.70
20c	42.14	42.14	33.64	33.64

<sup>a</sup> From spectra recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  77.00) as internal standard. <sup>b</sup> Assignments of the signals for the indicated carbons are based on comparisons of the spectra of 16, 17, 19c, and 20c with those of 8, 11, 13, 22, and 3-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[p-(4-bromobu-tyl)phenyl]thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide. Since the signals for C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> and for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub> cannot be distinguished, the assignments within each pair are arbitrary.

prepared with NaClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and HPLC-grade H<sub>2</sub>O and MeCN. Flash chromatography was performed with silica gel (Merck 9385, 60 Å, 230-400 mesh) and neutral aluminum oxide (J. T. Baker 0537). Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (J. T. Baker 3405) and neutral aluminum oxide. Unless noted otherwise, the ratios describing the compositions of solvent mixtures represent relative volumes. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Atlanta, GA.

trans-3-Chloro-4-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]tetrahydrothiophene 1,1-Dioxide (10). Modified literature procedures were used.<sup>29</sup> p-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SCl (9) was prepared<sup>3</sup> from p-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>- $C_6H_4SH^{30}$  and used without purification. A solution of 3.6 g (31) mmol) of 2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide (Aldrich) in 100 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added to 8.7 g (34 mmol) of p-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SCl under N2 at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 days, during which time it changed from red to light yellow, and then it was rotary evaporated. The resultant 9.16 g of solid was recrystallized from 1:10 Et<sub>2</sub>O-hexane (0 °C) to give 6.84 g (59%) of 10 as white crystals: mp 57-58 °C; 1H NMR (270 MHz) & 7.31 (AA'BB', 4), 4.34 (m, 1), 3.91 (m, 2), 3.70 (m, 1), 3.41 (m, 1), 3.16 (m, 1), 2.62 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 1.62 (m, 2), 1.27 and 1.31 (2 s, 10), 0.88(t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) δ 134.77, 129.94, 126.09, 58.73, 55.73, 55.24, 51.52, 35.64, 31.84, 31.20, 29.40, 29.29, 29.20, 22.64, 14.09. Anal. Calcd for C18H27ClS2O2: C, 57.66; H, 7.26. Found: C, 57.76; H, 7.28.

3-[(p-Octylphenyl)thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-Dioxide (11). To a solution of 6.2 g (17 mmol) of 10 in 100 mL of CHCl, under N<sub>2</sub> at -40 °C was added 3.41 g (22.4 mmol) of 1,8diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) during 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 1 h and then warmed to –15 °C during 2 h, followed by the addition of 100 mL of 10 %hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was dried and rotary evaporated to give 5.39 g (94%) of crude product that was flash chromatographed two times on  $20 - \times 5.0$ -cm columns of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 4.10 g of 11 as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz) δ 7.28 (AA'BB', 4), 5.67 (m, 1), 3.85 (m, 2), 3.72 (m, 2), 2.61 (t, 2, J = 7.9), 1.60 (m, 2), 1.29(m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) & 144.65, 133.76, 133.33, 129.78, 125.66, 118.10, 57.88, 57.54, 35.56, 31.79, 31.18, 29.35, 29.20, 29.15, 22.59, 14.05. Anal. Calcd for C18H26S2O2: C, 63.86; H, 7.74. Found: C, 63.95; H, 7.75.

**3-[(p-Octylphenyl)thio]-4-bromo-4,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-Dioxide (12).** By a literature procedure<sup>15</sup> 5.0 g (15 mmol) of 11 gave 6.26 g of a 4:1 mixture of 12 and 3-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-bromo-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide. This material was flash chromatographed on a 25- × 5.0-cm column of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 3.21 g (51%) of 12 as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  7.38 (AA'BB', 4), 5.85 (s, 1), 5.11 (m, 1), 3.99 (m, 1), 3.77 (m, 1), 2.65 (t, 2, J = 7.9), 1.62 (m, 2), 1.27 (m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  158.00, 146.49, 135.04, 130.44, 124.21, 122.50, 59.86, 38.83, 35.69, 31.81, 31.14, 29.35, 29.20, 29.15, 22.61, 14.07. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>25</sub>BrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 51.79; H, 6.03. Found: C, 51.63; H, 6.08.

<sup>(29)</sup> Hopkins, P. B.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1208.
(30) Neubert, M. E.; Laskos, S. J., Jr.; Griffith, R. F.; Stahl, M. E.; Maurer, L. J. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1979, 54, 221.

3-[(p-Octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-Dioxide (13). A solution of 0.79 g (1.9 mmol) of 12 in 10 mL of MeOH was added dropwise during 20 min to a solution of 0.23 g (4.3 mmol) of NaOMe and 0.70 g (3.8 mmol) of 4-(p-mercaptophenyl)-1-butanol in 10 mL of MeOH under N2 at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 72 h, and 80 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was added, followed by extraction with three 50-mL portions of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined extracts were dried and rotary evaporated to leave a residue that was flash chromatographed on a 20-  $\times$  2.0-cm column of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:2 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 0.88 g (89%) of 13 as an oil that solidified at 5 °C: mp 39-40 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  7.16–7.41 (m, 8), 3.75 (s, 4), 3.67 (t, 2, J = 6.3), 2.58–2.71 (m, 4), 1.55-1.78 (m, 7), 1.31 (m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>18</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) δ 144.38, 143.67, 132.99, 132.90, 129.72, 128.52, 127.69, 127.39, 126.99, 62.57, 60.27, 35.56, 35.22, 32.17, 31.81, 31.22, 29.36, 29.22, 29.17, 27.32, 22.61, 14.07. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>38</sub>S<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 64.83; H, 7.38. Found: C, 64.86; H, 7.43.

**3-[(p-Octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[p-(4-bromobutyl)phenyl]thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-Dioxide.** A literature procedure<sup>31</sup> was used to convert 0.629 g (1.21 mmol) of 13 into 0.710 g of crude product. This material was flash chromatographed on a 20- × 2.0-cm column of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 0.633 g (90%) of the title compound as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz) δ 7.17-7.42 (m, 8), 3.76 (s, 4), 3.44 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 2.57-2.71 (m, 4), 1.54-1.97 (m, 6), 1.30 (m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) δ 144.46, 143.07, 133.08, 132.90, 129.76, 129.70, 129.00, 127.75, 127.42, 126.99, 60.31, 35.58, 34.58, 33.37, 32.10, 31.84, 31.23, 29.56, 29.40, 29.26, 29.18, 22.63, 14.07. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>BrS<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 57.82; H, 6.41. Found: C, 57.87; H, 6.43.

4-[p-[[1,1-Dioxo-4-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene-3-yl]thio]phenyl]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-butanaminium Bromide (8). A mixture of 18.9 mg (0.0325 mmol) of 3-[(poctylphenyl)thio]-4-[[p-(4-bromobutyl)phenyl]thio]-2,5-dihydrothiophene 1,1-dioxide and 2.0 mL (8.5 mmol) of 25% (w/v) Me<sub>3</sub>N-MeOH was stirred at 25 °C under N<sub>2</sub> for 16 h. Rotary evaporation left 20.2 mg (97%) of 8 as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) & 7.17-7.41 (m, 8), 3.70-3.79 (m, 6), 3.44 (s, 9), 2.72 (t, 2, J = 7.3, 2.61 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 1.55–1.87 (m, 6), 1.30 (m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) δ 144.48, 142.19, 133.09, 132.80, 129.80, 129.73, 129.52, 128.03, 126.87, 126.82, 66.33, 60.23, 60.18, 53.43, 35.54, 34.61, 31.77, 31.18, 29.33, 29.22, 29.14, 27.51, 22.57, 22.54, 14.04. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>46</sub>BrNS<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 56.52; H, 7.34. Found: C, 56.30; H, 6.94. FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>46</sub>NS<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (cation) 560.2691, found 560.2683. The base peak in the FAB mass spectrum was at m/z= 496, which corresponds to the cation of 6, formed by the loss of SO<sub>2</sub> from the cation of 8. The cmc of 8 in  $H_2O$  at 25 °C is (3.7  $\pm$  0.2)  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> M.

6-Bromohexyl Hydrogen Fumarate (14). A mixture of 5.0 g (28 mmol) of 6-bromo-1-hexanol<sup>32</sup> and 2.7 g (28 mmol) of maleic anhydride in 10 mL of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> for 12 h and rotary evaporated. Then 10 mL of aqueous 5% NaHCO3 was added to the residue, and the mixture was extracted with two 15-mL portions of  $Et_2O$ . The resultant aqueous solution was acidified with 10% sulfuric acid and extracted with three 20-mL portions of CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined extracts were washed with 10 mL of  $H_2O$ , dried, and rotary evaporated to give 6.41 g (82%) of a mixture of 14 and its (Z) isomer as a solid (4.8:1 ratio, respectively, by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis). The mixture was recrystallized from 1:8 CCl<sub>4</sub>-hexane (25 °C) to give pure 14: mp 55-56 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  10.33 (br s, 1), 6.90 (AB,  $\delta_A = 6.86$ ,  $\delta_B$ = 6.95, 2, J = 15.8, 4.23 (t, 2, J = 6.3), 3.41 (t, 2, J = 6.9), 1.88 (m, 2), 1.72 (m, 2), 1.46 (m, 4); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  170.03, 164.67, 135.74, 132.61, 65.45, 33.64, 32.51, 28.29, 27.71, 25.07; IR 3072 (m), 2934 (m), 2849 (m), 1713 (s), 1683 (s), 1632 (s), 1462 (m), 1430 (m), 1315 (s), 1282 (m), 1266 (m), 1176 (s), 990 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s). Anal. Calcd for C10H15BrO4: C, 43.03; H, 5.42. Found: C, 43.12; H, 5.46.

Methyl 6-Bromohexyl Fumarate (15a). A mixture of 1.0 g (3.6 mmol) of 14 and 0.852 g (7.16 mmol) of SOCl<sub>2</sub> was stirred

at 25 °C for 12 h and then rotary evaporated to give crude 6-bromohexyl fumaryl chloride. To a solution of this material in 5.0 mL of  $C_6H_6$  at 25 °C were added separately 0.115 g (3.59 mmol) of MeOH and 0.211 g (3.58 mmol) of Et<sub>3</sub>N during 13 and 15 min, respectively. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, diluted with 50 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with three 30-mL portions of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined extracts were dried and rotary evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on a 16- × 3.0-cm column of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:10 Et<sub>2</sub>O-hexane as eluant to afford 0.820 g (78%) of 15a as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  6.86 (s, 2), 4.21 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 3.82 (s, 3), 3.42 (t, 2, J = 6.7), 1.88 (m, 2), 1.71 (m, 2), 1.46 (m, 4); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  165.35, 164.90, 133.75, 133.15, 65.16, 52.26, 33.59, 32.49, 28.29, 27.68, 25.05. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrO<sub>4</sub>: C, 45.07; H, 5.85. Found: C, 45.12; H, 5.81.

Butyl 6-Bromohexyl Fumarate (15b). With the procedure used for the preparation of 15a, 1.0 g (3.6 mmol) of 14, 0.852 g (7.16 mmol) of SOCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.265 g (3.58 mmol) of 1-butanol, and 0.211 g (3.58 mmol) of Et<sub>8</sub>N gave 0.863 g (72%) of 15b as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz) δ 6.85 (s, 2), 4.21 (t, 4, J = 6.6), 3.42 (t, 2, J = 6.9), 1.88 (m, 2), 1.71 (m, 4), 1.45 (m, 6), 0.95 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) δ 164.85, 133.57, 133.32, 65.03, 33.48, 32.42, 30.37, 28.20, 27.59, 24.96, 18.94, 13.53. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>BrO<sub>4</sub>: C, 50.16; H, 6.92. Found: C, 50.23; H, 6.93.

Octyl 6-Bromohexyl Fumarate (15c). With the procedure used for the preparation of 15a, 1.0 g (3.6 mmol) of 14, 0.852 g (7.16 mmol) of SOCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.466 g (3.58 mmol) of 1-octanol, and 0.211 g (3.58 mmol) of Et<sub>3</sub>N gave 1.14 g (81%) of 15c as an oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.85 (s, 2), 4.21 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 4.19 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 3.41 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 1.88 (m, 2), 1.70 (m, 4), 1.19–1.53 (m, 14), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz)  $\delta$  165.06, 133.75, 133.45, 65.54, 65.18, 33.62, 32.54, 31.75, 29.14, 28.48, 28.33, 27.74, 25.85, 25.10, 22.61, 14.07. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>31</sub>BrO<sub>4</sub>: C, 55.24; H, 7.98. Found: C, 55.30; H, 7.98.

(E)-6-[[[2-(Methoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-hexanaminium Bromide (7a). A mixture of 1.10 g (3.75 mmol) of 15a and 15 mL of a 0.6 M solution of Me<sub>3</sub>N (9 mmol) in MeCN was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C for 6 days and rotary evaporated to give 1.31 g (99%) of crude 7a that was flash chromatographed on a 20- × 2-cm column of neutral aluminum oxide packed in MeCN with 20:1 MeCN-MeOH as eluant. The resultant material was recrystallized from Me<sub>2</sub>CO (25 °C) to give 7a: mp 65-67 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  6.84 (s, 2), 4.20 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 3.82 (s, 3), 3.70 (m, 2), 3.48 (s, 9), 1.82 (m, 2), 1.72 (m, 2), 1.48 (m, 4); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  165.07, 164.62, 133.39, 132.97, 66.24, 64.73, 53.10, 52.06, 27.93, 25.45, 25.20, 22.77. Anal. Calcd for C1<sub>4</sub>H<sub>28</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub>: C, 47.73; H, 7.44. Found: C, 47.63; H, 7.48. No cmc was detected for 7a in H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C up to 0.11 M.

(E)-6-[[[2-(Butoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-N,N,Ntrimethyl-1-hexanaminium Bromide (7b). A mixture of 1.0 g (3.0 mmol) of 15b and 15 mL of a 0.6 M solution of Me<sub>3</sub>N (9 mmol) in MeCN was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C for 6 days and rotary evaporated to give 1.18 g (100%) of crude product. With the procedure for 7a this material was chromatographed and recrystallized to give 7b: mp 58  $\rightarrow$  78 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  6.84 (s, 2), 4.21 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 4.20 (t, 2, J = 6.6), 3.66 (m, 2), 3.49 (s, 9), 1.81 (m, 2), 1.70 (m, 4), 1.35–1.53 (m, 6), 0.95 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz)  $\delta$  164.95, 133.77, 133.28, 66.59, 65.19, 64.85; 53.33, 30.42, 28.17, 25.68, 25.44, 23.00, 19.00, 13.59. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>32</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 49.52; H, 8.31. Found: C, 49.48; H, 8.12. The cmc of 7b in H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C is (5.3 ± 1.2) × 10<sup>-2</sup> M.

(E)-6-[[[2-(Octoxycarbonyl)ethenyl]carbonyl]oxy]-N,N,N-trimethyl-1-hexanaminium Bromide (7c). A mixture of 0.377 g (0.963 mmol) of 15c and 12 mL of a 0.6 M solution of Me<sub>3</sub>N (7 mmol) in MeCN was stirred under N<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C for 2 days and rotary evaporated to give 0.433 g (100%) of crude product. With the procedure for 7a this material was chromatographed and recrystallized to give 7c: mp 138  $\rightarrow$  162 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.84 (s, 2), 4.19 (t, 4, J = 6.8), 3.68 (m, 2), 3.48 (s, 9), 1.81 (m, 2), 1.70 (m, 4), 1.48 (m, 4), 1.29 (m, 10), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz)  $\delta$  164.84, 133.64, 133.16, 66.39, 65.39, 64.77, 53.19, 31.55, 28.94, 28.28, 28.05, 25.64, 25.57, 25.30, 22.88, 22.42, 13.90. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>40</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 53.84; H, 9.04. The cmc of 7c in H<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C is (4.0 ± 0.5) × 10<sup>-3</sup> M.

 <sup>(31)</sup> McMurry, J. E.; Erion, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2712.
 (32) Jayasuriya, H.; Bosak, S.; Regen, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5844.

4-(p-Mercaptophenyl)-1-butanol. Instandard fashion 4-(paminophenyl)butanoic acid (Aldrich) was reduced to crude alcohol with LiAlH, in THF. This material was purified by flash chromatography on a 20-  $\times$  2-cm column of silica gel packed in Et<sub>2</sub>O with Et<sub>2</sub>O as eluant to give 4-(p-aminophenyl)-1-butanol (95%): mp 73-74 °C (lit.33 mp 71-72 °C). A stirred mixture of 1.5 g (9.1 mmol) of this alcohol, 2.4 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid, and 3.5 mL of  $H_2O$  was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 0.75 g (11 mmol) of NaNO<sub>2</sub> in 6.0 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was added slowly so that the reaction mixture remained below 4 °C Then the resultant ice-cold diazonium salt solution was added dropwise during 40 min to a solution of 5.6 g (35 mmol) of potassium ethyl xanthate<sup>34</sup> in 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O at 40-50 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 40-50 °C for an additional 30 min, refluxed for 1 h, diluted with 40 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with three 30-mL portions of Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined extracts were washed with 30 mL of aqueous 10% NaOH and two 30-mL portions of H<sub>2</sub>O and then dried and rotary evaporated to give 2.27 g (92%) of O-ethyl S-[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl] xanthate as a red-brown oil, which was used without further purification.

In standard fashion 2.27 g (8.40 mmol) of the above xanthate was reduced with 1.28 g (33.7 mmol) of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in Et<sub>2</sub>O to give 1.2 g (78%) of the title compound as a red-brown oil, which was used without purification: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  7.12 (AA'BB', 4), 3.64 (t, 2, J = 6.3), 3.39 (s, 1), 2.59 (t, 2, J = 7.0), 1.63 (m, 4), 1.48 (br s, 1); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  140.88, 140.01, 129.83, 129.18, 62.75, 35.02, 32.19, 27.46.

**Diels-Alder Reactions.** Regioisomer ratios were obtained by HPLC analysis with UV detection at 254 nm. Since 16c and 17c have identical UV spectra (MeCN,  $\lambda_{max} = 257 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\epsilon_{max} = 2.00 \times 10^4$ ,  $\epsilon_{254} = 1.90 \times 10^4$ ), their relative response ratio is 1:1. Given the structural similarities of all the regioisomer pairs, it is reasonably assumed that the relative response ratio for each other pair is also 1:1.

(a) 13 and 15a. A solution of 16.1 mg (0.0310 mmol) of 13, 36.3 mg (0.124 mmol) of 15a, 5.2 mg of 4-tert-butylcatechol, and 0.30 mL of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Me, sealed in a 1-mL ampule with a micro stirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated and the residue flash chromatographed on a  $6- \times 0.5$ -cm column of silica gel packed in hexane with 1:1 EtOAchexane as eluant to give 9.4 mg (41%) of a mixture of 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-5-[(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-1-cyclohexene(19a) and 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-5-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-cyclohexene (20a) as an oil. By HPLC analysis (eluant = 93:7 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate =1.5 mL/min), the 19a:20a ratio was 1:1 (retention times = 40.3 and 38.2 min, respectively). For the mixture: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  7.10–7.38 (m, 8), 4.02 (t, 2, J = 6.4), 3.66 (t, 2, J = 6.3), 3.62 (s, 3), 3.39 (t, 2, J = 6.8), 2.92 (m, 2), 2.61 (m, 4), 2.46 (m, 4), 1.20-1.90 (m, 25), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) & 173.95, 173.43, 142.77, 142.69, 142.05, 141.98, 132.21, 132.16, 132.00, 131.98, 131.79, 131.26, 130.69, 129.94, 129.87, 129.50, 129.45, 129.23, 64.73, 64.71, 62.76, 52.03, 42.20, 42.04, 35.59, 35.25, 33.61, 32.54, 32.27, 31.87, 31.33, 29.44, 29.32, 29.23, 28.28, 27.69, 27.36, 24.95, 22.65, 14.08; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>55</sub><sup>79</sup>BrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 746.2675, found 746.2649.

(b) 13 and 15b. A solution of 21.1 mg (0.0407 mmol) of 13, 54.4 mg (0.162 mmol) of 15b, 4.2 mg of 4-tert-butylcatechol, and 0.20 mL of  $C_6H_5$ Me, sealed in a 1-mL ampule with a microstirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated and the residue flash chromatographed as above with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 8.0 mg (26%) of a mixture of 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(butoxycarbonyl)-5-[(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-1-cyclohex-ene (19b) and 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-5-(butoxycarbonyl)-1-cyclohexene (20b) as an oil. By HPLC analysis (eluant = 96:4 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 1.5 mL/min), the 19b:20b ratio was 1:1 (retention times = 48.0 and 46.2 min, respectively). For the mixture: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$  7.10–7.36 (m, 8), 4.01 (t, 4, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.66 (q, 2, J = 5.9), 3.39 (t, 2, J = 6.8), 2.91 (m, 2), 2.61

(m, 4), 2.44 (m, 4), 1.20–1.90 (m, 29), 0.88 (m, 6);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100.6 MHz)  $\delta$  173.53, 173.48, 142.82, 142.08, 141.94, 132.35, 132.27, 131.99, 131.91, 131.37, 130.99, 130.31, 129.98, 129.81, 129.54, 129.34, 129.21, 64.71, 62.76, 42.14, 35.60, 35.25, 33.75, 33.62, 32.55, 32.28, 31.88, 31.35, 30.47, 29.45, 29.33, 29.24, 28.28, 27.71, 27.40, 24.97, 22.67, 18.99, 14.10, 13.66; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> matrix) calcd for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>61</sub><sup>79</sup>BrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 788.3144, found 788.3137.

(b) 13 and 15c. A solution of 9.7 mg (0.019 mmol) of 13, 35.0 mg (0.0895 mmol) of 15c, 3.1 mg of 4-tert-butylcatechol, and 0.20 mL of  $C_6H_5Me$ , sealed in a 1-mL ampule with a micro stirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then rotary evaporated and the residue flash chromatographed as above with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 7.8 mg (49%) of a mixture of 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(octoxycarbonyl)-5-[(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-1-cyclohexene (19c) and 1-[[p-(4-hydroxybutyl)phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[(6-bromohexoxy)carbonyl]-5-(octoxycarbonyl)-1-cyclohexene (20c) as an oil. By HPLC analysis (eluant = 99:1 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 1.5 mL/min), the 19c:20c ratio was 1:1 (retention times = 58.3 and 52.9 min, respectively). The mixture was separated by preparative HPLC (eluant as above; flow rate =  $22.4 \,\mathrm{mL/min}$ ; retention times = 76.2 and 69.0 min, respectively) and the eluate collected for each isomer was concentrated by rotary evaporation, lyophilized, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The residue after rotary evaporation was flash chromatographed as above with 1:4 EtOAc-hexane as eluant to give 19c(20c). For 19c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.11-7.34 (m, 8), 4.01 (m, 4), 3.67 (t, 2, J = 6.4), 3.39 (t, 2, J = 6.8), 2.91 (m, 2), 2.64 (t, 2, J = 7.5) 2.59 (t, 2, J = 7.7), 2.45 (m, 4), 1.21–1.89 (m, 37), 0.88 (m, 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) δ 173.50, 173.44, 142.75, 141.96, 132.20, 131.98, 131.78, 130.67, 129.42, 129.21, 65.02, 64.70, 62.75, 42.13, 35.60, 35.25, 33.70, 33.63, 32.54, 32.28, 31.87, 31.78, 31.33, 29.44, 29.34, 29.24, 29.15, 28.46, 28.29, 27.70, 27.40, 25.74, 24.96, 22.65, 14.09; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol/Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> matrix) calcd for C48H8979BrS2O5 844.3770, found 844.3734. For 20c: 1H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.11-7.35 \text{ (m, 8)}, 4.01 \text{ (m, 4)}, 3.66 \text{ (q, 2, } J = 6.0\text{)}, 3.39$ (t, 2, J = 6.8), 2.91 (m, 2), 2.64 (t, 2, J = 7.6), 2.59 (t, 2, J = 7.6),2.44 (m, 4), 1.21-1.90 (m, 37), 0.88 (t, 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  173.48, 142.70, 141.99, 132.09, 131.38, 131.11, 129.90, 129.51, 129.20, 65.05, 64.67, 62.75, 42.14, 35.60, 35.26, 33.64, 32.54, 32.28, 31.88, 31.77, 31.34, 29.45, 29.33, 29.24, 29.15, 28.47, 28.29, 27.69, 27.37, 25.75, 24.96, 22.66, 14.09; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl  $alcohol/Na_2CO_3 matrix)$  calcd for  $C_{48}H_{69}$ <sup>79</sup>BrS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 844.3770, found 844.3732

(e) 8 and 7a. A mixture of 11.0 mg (0.0172 mmol) of 8, 24.2 mg (0.0687 mmol) of 7a, 0.6 mg of 4-tert-butylcatechol, and 0.17 mL of the pH 7.0 phosphate buffer, sealed in a 1-mL ampule with a micro stirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. Then it was diluted with 0.17 mL of MeCN and by HPLC analysis (eluant =  $0.50 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  in 92:8 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 1.0 mL/min) contained 7a (retention time = 3.5 min), 8 (15.7 min), 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(methoxycarbonyl)-5-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-1-cyclohexene bisperchlorate (16a) (12.6 min), and 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-5-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-cyclohexene bisperchlorate (17a) (10.4 min). Chromatography of the diluted reaction mixture on a 7-cm  $\times$ 0.5-cm column of neutral aluminum oxide packed in hexane with 1:10 MeOH–MeCN as eluant gave  $10.0 \, \text{mg} \, (63 \, \%)$  of a mixture of 16a and 17a that was separated by preparative HPLC (eluant as above; flow rate = 15.7 mL/min; retention times = 10.4 and 8.7 min, respectively). The eluate collected for each isomer was concentrated, lyophilized, and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined extracts were rotary evaporated and chromatographed as above to give 16a (17a). For 16a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz)  $\delta$ 7.11-7.38 (m, 8), 3.88-4.11 (m, 2), 3.60 (s, 3), 3.40 (m, 4), 3.16 (s, 18), 2.90 (m, 2), 2.69 (t, 2, J = 7.1), 2.58 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 2.36 (m, 4), 1.21–1.91 (m, 24), 0.88 (t, 3);  $^{13}$ C NMR (67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  174.00, 173.35, 142.70, 141.35, 132.96, 131.95, 131.73, 129.90, 129.72, 129.40, 129.33, 66.67, 66.56, 64.51, 53.19, 52.06, 41.98, 41.83, 35.58, 34.54, 33.51, 33.31, 31.86, 31.32, 29.67, 29.44, 29.33, 29.24, 27.87, 27.62, 25.32, 24.84, 22.64, 22.50, 14.11; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C45H72ClN2S2O8 (dication-ClO4) 867.4418, found 867.4404. A peak in the FAB mass spectrum was observed

 <sup>(33)</sup> Oki, M.; Iwamura, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1959, 32, 1135.
 (34) Jensen, K. K.; Mikkelsen, V. H. Arch. Pharm. Chemi. 1941, 48, 665.

at m/z = 384, which corresponds to the dication. For 17a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.13-7.37 (m, 8), 3.94-4.16 (m, 2), 3.62 (s, 3), 3.48 (m, 2), 3.37 (m, 2), 3.17 (s, 9), 3.16 (s, 9), 2.98 (m, 2), 2.69 (t, 2, J = 6.4), 2.59 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 2.44 (m, 4), 1.22-1.87 (m, 24), 0.88 (t, 3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz)  $\delta$  173.92, 172.99, 143.00, 140.60, 133.59, 132.54, 131.73, 130.79, 129.42, 129.33, 129.04, 66.70, 66.51, 64.55, 53.30, 53.16, 52.32, 42.02, 41.90, 35.62, 34.50, 33.18, 32.65, 31.88, 31.33, 29.46, 29.35, 29.25, 28.07, 27.58, 25.35, 25.14, 22.67, 22.47, 14.12; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>72</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (dication·ClO<sub>4</sub>) 867.4418, found 867.4414.

(e) 8 and 7b. A mixture of 10.8 mg (0.0169 mmol) of 8, 26.6 mg (0.0675 mmol) of 7b, 0.5 mg of 4-tert-butylcatechol, and 0.17 mL of the pH 7.0 phosphate buffer, sealed in a 1-mL ampule with a micro stirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. Then it was diluted with 0.17 mL of MeCN and by HPLC analysis (eluant = 0.30 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O in 95:5 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 1.0 mL/min) contained 7b (2.8 min), 8 (7.3 min), 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(butoxvcarbonyl)-5-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxylcarbonyl]-1-cyclohexene bisperchlorate (16b) (9.0 min), and 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-5-(butoxycarbonyl)-1cyclohexene bisperchlorate (17b) (6.3 min). Chromatography of the diluted reaction mixture as above gave 13.9 mg (85%) of a mixture of 16b and 17b that was separated by preparative HPLC (eluant = 0.50 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O in 92:8 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 15.7 mL/min; retention times = 20.0 and 13.6 min, respectively). The eluate collected for each isomer was concentrated, lyophilized, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined extracts were rotary evaporated and chromatographed as above to give 16b (17b). For 16b: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.11-7.38 (m, 8), 3.88-4.10 (m, 4), 3.43 (m, 4), 3.19 (s, 9), 3.18 (s, 9), 2.90 (m, 2), 2.70 (t, 2, J =7.3), 2.59 (t, 2, J = 8.1), 2.40 (m, 4), 1.21–1.89 (m, 28), 0.88 (m, 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) δ 173.50, 173.43, 142.82, 141.18, 132.17, 132.09, 130.89, 130.56, 130.09, 129.37, 129.28, 66.68, 66.58, 64.72, 64.43, 53.23, 41.92, 35.61, 34.55, 33.51, 33.38, 31.87, 31.35, 30.45, 29.70, 29.45, 29.35, 29.24, 27.89, 27.63, 25.33, 24.88, 22.66, 22.52, 18.98, 14.10, 13.67; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C48H78ClN2S2O8 (dication ClO4) 909.4888, found 909.4876. A peak in the FAB mass spectrum was observed at m/z = 405, which corresponds to the dication. For 17b: <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.13-7.35 \text{ (m, 8)}, 3.96-4.14 \text{ (m, 4)}, 3.46 \text{ (m, 2)}, 3.35$ (m, 2), 3.17 (s, 9), 3.16 (s, 9), 3.00 (m, 2), 2.68 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 2.59 $(t, 2, J = 7.6), 2.44 (m, 4), 1.22-1.78 (m, 28), 0.88 (m, 6); {}^{13}C NMR$  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}) \delta 173.35, 172.91, 143.01, 140.64, 133.92, 132.59, 131.45,$ 130.87, 129.39, 129.30, 129.01, 128.80, 66.65, 66.48, 65.00, 64.60, 53.27, 53.11, 41.88, 41.79, 35.62, 34.51, 32.96, 32.31, 31.88, 31.33, 30.48, 29.46, 29.36, 29.24, 28.06, 27.67, 25.35, 25.15, 22.67, 22.62, 22.54, 19.07, 14.11, 13.72; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for  $C_{48}H_{78}ClN_2S_2O_8$  (dication  $ClO_4$ ) 909.4888, found 909.4902.

(e) 8 and 7c. A mixture of 10.6 mg (0.0165 mmol) of 8, 30.0 mg (0.0666 mmol) of 7c, 0.5 mg of 4-*tert*-butylcatechol, and 0.17 mL of the pH 7.0 phosphate buffer, sealed in a 1-mL ampule

with a micro stirring bar, was stirred at 130 °C for 2 h. Then it was diluted with 0.17 mL of MeCN and by HPLC analysis (eluant = 0.30 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O in 95:5 MeCN-H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate = 1.5 mL/min) contained 7c (2.7 min), 8 (4.9 min), 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-(octoxycarbonyl)-5-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-1-cyclohexene bisperchlorate (16c) (13.9 min), and 1-[[p-[4-(trimethylammonio)butyl]phenyl]thio]-2-[(p-octylphenyl)thio]-4-[[6-(trimethylammonio)hexoxy]carbonyl]-5-(octoxycarbonyl)-1cyclohexene bisperchlorate (17c) (8.3 min). Chromatography of the diluted reaction mixture as above gave 15.3 mg (90%) of a mixture of 16c and 17c that was separated by preparative HPLC (eluant as above; flow rate = 15.7 mL/min; retention times = 28.7 and 17.0 min, respectively). The eluate collected for each isomer was concentrated, lyophilized, and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined extracts were rotary evaporated and chromatographed as above to give 16c (17c). For 16c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.11-7.37 (m, 8), 3.89-4.19 (m, 4), 3.39 (m, 4), 3.16 (s, 18), 2.90 (m, 2), 2.69 (t, 2, J = 7.7), 2.58 (t, 2, J = 7.7), 2.40 (m, 4), 1.20–1.89 (m, 36), 0.88 (m, 6); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.9 MHz) § 173.51, 173.40, 142.74, 141.25, 132.79, 131.94, 131.25, 130.27, 130.03, 129.36, 129.27, 66.69, 66.57, 65.03, 64.42, 53.21, 41.88, 35.61, 34.54, 33.48, 33.33, 31.88, 31.77, 31.36, 29.69, 29.44, 29.36, 29.25, 29.14, 28.43, 27.90, 27.64, 25.73, 25.35, 24.88, 22.66, 22.52, 14.11; FAB HRMS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C52H26ClN2S2O8 (dication-ClO4) 965.5514, found 965.5490. A peak in the FAB mass spectrum was observed at m/z = 433, which corresponds to the dication. For 17c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) § 7.12-7.36 (m, 8), 3.97-4.13 (m, 4), 3.46 (m, 2), 3.35 (m, 2), 3.17 (s, 9), 3.15 (s, 9), 3.02 (m, 2), 2.68 (t, 2, J = 7.6)2.59 (t, 2, J = 7.8), 2.45 (m, 4), 1.20–1.88 (m, 36), 0.88 (m, 6); <sup>18</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz) & 173.29, 172.86, 143.02, 140.57, 134.33, 132.67, 131.23, 130.97, 129.40, 129.28, 128.98, 128.45, 66.66, 66.49, 65.33, 64.63, 53.25, 53.11, 41.77, 41.68, 35.62, 34.49, 32.82, 32.10, 31.88, 31.78, 31.33, 29.69, 29.46, 29.37, 29.25, 29.21, 29.16, 28.50, 28.07, 27.67, 25.87, 25.37, 25.18, 22.64, 22.53, 14.11; FAB HRMS (3nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) calcd for C52H86ClN2S2O8 (dication-ClO<sub>4</sub>) 965.5514, found 965.5496. A peak in the FAB mass spectrum was observed at m/z = 433, which corresponds to the dication.

Acknowledgment. Acknowledgment is made to the National Science Foundation (CHE 910428) and the U.S. Army Research Office for the support of this research.

Supplementary Material Available: <sup>1</sup>H NMR homonuclear decoupling for 16c, 17c, and 19c, <sup>1</sup>H NMR simulations for 16c and 19c, including tables of chemical shifts and coupling constants, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 8, 16a, 17a, 16b, 17b, 1:1 mixture of 19a and 20a, 1:1 mixture of 19b and 20b, and 4-(*p*mercaptophenyl)-1-butanol, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data with peak assignments (21 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.