Forfeiture of the Aromaticity of a Bridged [10] Annulene by Benzannelation

Richard K. Hill,*† Carolyn B. Giberson,† and James V. Silverton[‡]

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, and Laboratory of Chemistry, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205. Received June 11, 1987

Abstract: This paper addresses the question of whether hydrocarbon 10, a dibenzo derivative of 1,6-methano[10]annulene, retains the [10] annulene ring in 10a or exists as the valence tautomer 10b, a double norcaradiene. A rational synthesis of 10b has been achieved in eight steps from trans-1,2-diphenyl-1,2-cyclopropanedicarboxylic acid. The spectroscopic properties, particularly the ¹³C NMR spectrum, show that the stable form of 10 is the norcaradiene 10b.

A landmark in the history of nonbenzenoid aromatic compounds¹ was 1,6-methano[10]annulene (1), the first stable, aromatic cyclodecapentaene. While the peripheral conjugated system



satisfies the Hückel 4n + 2 requirement, attempts to prepare a stable cyclodecapentaene had been thwarted until Vogel's imaginative solution of removing the troublesome 1,6-hydrogen interactions by incorporating a methylene bridge in their place.² Although the bridge slightly distorts the skeleton from planarity, sufficient π overlap remains to provide an aromatic 10-membered ring that shows clear evidence of delocalization in the NMR spectrum, where the diamagnetic ring current is revealed by deshielding of the peripheral hydrogens and strong shielding of the bridge hydrogens, as well as in classical electrophilic substitution reactions. Theoretical calculations³⁻⁵ estimate the resonance energy of 1 as 50-90% that of benzene, and an experimental value of 17.2 kcal/mol has been calculated on the basis of heats of hydrogenation.6

It will be recalled that the immediate product of Vogel's logical synthesis² was the norcaradiene tautomer 2, which underwent spontaneous valence tautomerization to 1. Tautomer 1 is only



slightly more stable than 2, however; ab initio calculations^{7,8} estimate the enthalpy difference as 4.5-6.3 kcal/mol, and an experimental value of 5.7 kcal/mol, based on heats of combustion, has been published.⁹ The barrier for conversion of 2 to 1 has been calculated^{7,8} to be only 1.2-2.3 kcal/mol.

Given the delicate balance of the equilibrium $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$, it is not surprising that substituents may reverse the stability order, similar to the parent norcaradiene \rightleftharpoons cycloheptatriene equilibrium. Osingle-crystal X-ray studies 11-13 show that the ground states of the bridge-substituted molecules 3-5 are double norcaradienes. Compounds 4 and 5 exhibit temperature-dependent ¹³C NMR spectra, showing that the valence tautomers rapidly equilibrate in solution.14

Our interest was aroused in the effect of fusing benzene rings onto the bridged annulene 1. The consequences of benzannelation on a variety of aromatic annulenes have been investigated. 15,16 In nearly every system studied, annelation of benzene rings reduces the aromaticity of the parent ring, as measured by the loss of diatropicity in the NMR spectrum. Especially relevant are a series of papers by Mitchell et al. 16 that reported the effect of benzannelation at different positions of the [14]annulene, trans-15,16-dimethyl-15,16-dihydropyrene (6). Compared with the parent annulene, the derivatives with a single benzene ring fused at bonds a or b, as well as the syn-dibenzo derivative 7, showed a marked reduction of diamagnetic ring current due to the bond-localization effect of the fused benzene ring. Remarkably, the anti-dibenzo derivative 8 showed little reduction in diatropicity and had almost the full ring current of 6. It was argued that because 8 has two equivalent Kekulé structures, bond localization

(1) Lloyd, D. Non-Benzenoid Conjugated Carbocyclic Compounds; El-

(2) (a) Vogel, E.; Roth, H. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1964, 3, 228. (b) Vogel, E.; Böll, W. A. Ibid. 1964, 3, 642. (c) Vogel, E.; Klug, W.; Breuer, A. Org. Synth. 1974, 54, 11.

(3) Bremser, W.; Hagen, R.; Heilbronner, E.; Vogel, E. Helv. Chim. Acta 1969, 52, 418.

(4) Allinger, N. L.; Yuh, Y. H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 191.
 (5) Herndon, W. C.; Parkanyi, C. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 2551.

(6) Roth, W. R.; Böhm, M.; Lennartz, H.-W.; Vogel, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 1007.

(7) Farnell, L.; Radom, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7650.
(8) Cremer, D.; Dick, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 865.
(9) Stevenson, G. R.; Zigler, S. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 895.

(9) Stevenson, G. R.; Zigler, S. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 895. (10) (a) Maier, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1967, 6, 402. (b) Vogel, E. Pure Appl. Chem. 1969, 20, 237. (c) Vogel, E.; Wiedemann, W.; Roth, H. D.; Eimer, J.; Günther, H. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1972, 759, 1. (d) Schwager, H.; Wilke, G. Chem. Ber. 1987, 79. (11) Bianchi, R.; Mugnoli, A.; Simonetta, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 1073. Bianchi, R.; Morosi, G.; Mugnoli, A.; Simonetta, M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1973, B29, 1196. (12) Vogel, E.; Scholl, T.; Lex, J.; Hohlneicher, G. Angew. Chem., In. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 869.

Engl. 1982, 21, 869.

(13) Bianchi, R.; Pilati, T.; Simonetta, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6426; Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1978, B34,

(14) Günther, H.; Schmickler, H.; Bremser, W.; Straube, F. A.; Vogel, E.

(15) See, inter alia: (a) Paquette, L. A.; Wallis, T. G.; Kempe, T.; Christoph, G. G.; Springer, J. P.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6946. Christoph, G. G.; Springer, J. P.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6946.
(b) Hunadi, R. J.; Helmkamp, G. K. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2880.
(mcCague, R.; Moody, C. J.; Rees, C. W.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1063.
(d) Staab, H. A.; Meissner, U. E.; Weinacht, W.; Gensler, A. Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 3895.
(e) Iyoda, M.; Morigaki, M.; Nakagawa, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 817.
(f) Lai, Y.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6678.
(g) Reference 1, pp 331 ff.
(h) Ojima, J.; Nakada, T.; Nakamura, M.; Ejiri, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 635.
(16) (a) Mitchell, R. H.; Carruthers, R. J.; Mazuch, L.; Dingle, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2544.
(b) Mitchell, R. H.; Yan, J. S. H.; Dingle, T. W. Ibid. 1982, 104, 2551.
(d) Mitchell, R. H.; Williams, R. V.; Maha-

T. W. Ibid. 1982, 104, 2560. (d) Mitchell, R. H.; Williams, R. V.; Mahadevan, R.; Lai, Y.-H.; Dingle, T. W. Ibid. 1982, 104, 2571. (e) Mitchell, R. H. Isr. J. Chem. 1980, 20, 294.

University of Georgia

[‡]National Institutes of Health.

is minimized, and 8 might better be considered as a [22]annulene. Scott et al. have shown that benzannelation reduces the paramagnetic ring current of a 4n annulene to about the same extent that it reduces the diamagnetic ring current of 4n + 2annulenes.17

Only one benzo derivative of 1 has been reported;18 on the basis of its NMR spectrum hydrocarbon 9 retains an aromatic 10membered ring, although the double bonds appear to be more localized than in 1 and the aromatic character is diminished.

A critical case of the effect of benzannelation appeared to be the anti-dibenzo[10] annulene 10. Structure 10a contains a delocalized π system; it shares with anthracene the property of having three aromatic rings fused in such a fashion that Kekulé structures can be drawn in which either, but not both, of the terminal rings is benzenoid. Anthracene is a stable aromatic molecule despite

the limitation that all three rings cannot simultaneously be benzenoid, but compound 10a has an option unavailable to anthracene: it can undergo valence tautomerization to 10b. The question then arises as to which of the valence tautomers 10a and 10b should predominate. Structure 10a retains the [10]annulene aromatic ring at the expense of losing the aromaticity of one of the benzene rings, while tautomer 10b keeps the two benzene rings intact by sacrificing the aromaticity of the 10-membered ring. Intuitively, one might expect 10b to be more stable, since the delocalization energy of 1 is less than that of benzene. However, like Mitchell's dibenzodihydropyrene 8, tautomer 10a has two equivalent Kekulé structures, reducing the localization effect of benzannelation, and might similarly retain most of the aromaticity of the large ring. This intriguing situation persuaded us to prepare compound 10 and determine the equilibrium structure.

Synthesis. We chose to attempt the preparation of 10 by a route that begins with an intact cyclopropane ring (Scheme I). The

Scheme I

starting material was the known trans-1,2-diphenylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid (11), prepared by the base-catalyzed condensation of ethyl atropate with ethyl α -chlorophenylacetate, followed by hydrolysis. 19 The cis and trans isomers produced in this synthesis can be separated by conversion of the cis-diacid to the soluble anhydride and filtration of the insoluble trans-diacid. The NMR spectra of the acids are diagnostic: the methylene hydrogens of the cis isomer are nonequivalent and appear as an AB quartet, while the corresponding protons of the trans isomer are magnetically equivalent and appear as a singlet.

Homologation of the trans diacid was achieved by hydride reduction of the dimethyl ester 12 to diol 13, conversion to the bis(methanesulfonate) 14, and displacement with cyanide ion to afford dinitrile 15. The nitrile was recalcitrant to hydrolysis under usual conditions but could be converted with alkaline hydrogen peroxide, as recommended by Corey,20 to trans-1,2-diphenylcyclopropane-1,2-diacetic acid (16).

Attempts to effect double intramolecular acylation with hot polyphosphoric acid (PPA) gave an unexpected product, a crystalline unsaturated diketone 17, $C_{19}H_{14}O_2$. Two carbonyl bands were evident in the IR spectrum at 1720 and 1690 cm⁻¹, along with a conjugated alkene double bond at 1650 cm⁻¹. The NMR spectrum showed a methyl singlet at δ 1.5, a singlet for one vinyl proton at δ 6.95, and a doublet of doublets (δ 2.80 and 3.30) due to nonequivalent protons of a methylene group adjacent to a carbonyl. These data led to the assignment of structure 17. A plausible mechanism for its formation is initial cyclization of 16 to the bis(tetralone) 18, followed by ring opening in hot acid. The opening of the cyclopropane ring in acid solution to afford a new methyl group has close analogy in the work of Sims²¹ and Mander.²²

Repetition of the PPA ring closure of 16 at room temperature furnished a saturated diketone isomeric with 17. Heating the new ketone (18) in PPA caused isomerization to 17. The best conditions found for preparation of 18 involved cyclization of the bis(acid chloride) with AlCl₃, affording 18 in 45-67% yield.

It was, of course, conceivable that the intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation might have formed indanone rather than tetralone rings, leading to diketone 19 rather than 18, though normally six-membered rings predominate in Friedel-Crafts acylations of this type.²³ Proton or carbon NMR spectroscopy cannot easily distinguish between these alternative structures, although the carbonyl infrared absorption band at 1670 cm⁻¹ is in better accord with 18 (ν (tetralone) = 1680) rather than 19

⁽¹⁷⁾ Scott, L. T.; Kirms, M. A.; Günther, H.; von Puttkamer, H. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1372.
(18) Tanimoto, S.; Schäfer, R.; Ippen, J.; Vogel, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 613.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Bonavent, G.; Causse, M.; Guitard, M.; Fraisse-Jullien, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1964, 2462. Broser, W.; Rahn, D. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 3472. (20) Corey, E. J.; Ulrich, P.; Fitzpatrick, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 222. Cf.: Wiberg, K. B. Ibid. 1953, 75, 3961. (21) Sims, J. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 1751. (22) Beames, D. J.; Mander, L. N. J. Chem. Soc. D 1969, 498.

⁽²³⁾ Naemura, K.; Komatsu, M.; Adachi, K.; Chikamatsu, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1675.

 $(\nu(\text{indanone}) = 1709)$. To settle this crucial question unambiguously, a single-crystal X-ray analysis was carried out. The structure, refined to an R factor of 0.044, confirmed the tetralone structure 18. The central bond of the cyclopropane ring is somewhat longer (1.533 Å) than the other two bonds (1.509, 1.512 A), and the mean planes of the two central rings are inclined at an angle of 15° to each other, relieving the crowding of the methano bridge.

Diketone 18 shows none of the character of an enol tautomer, which would be a dihydroxy derivative of 10.

To complete the synthesis, 18 was reduced with sodium borohydride, and the mixture of diols was dehydrated with thionyl chloride. Alternatively, though in lower yield, the bis(tosylhydrazone) of 19 was subjected to Bamford-Stevens elimination with methyllithium.²⁴ The same crystalline hydrocarbon 10 was obtained by both routes.

Structure of 10. Compound 10 is a colorless hydrocarbon: mp 146.5-148 °C; m/z 242. The strong molecular ion and the absence of appreciable fragmentation in the mass spectrum are characteristic of aromatic compounds. The ultraviolet spectrum shows maxima at 280, 268, and 226 nm (ϵ 8700, 10 200, and 26 200, respectively). This spectrum bears little resemblance to that of 1, chrysene, or any other polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon and instead is more akin to the spectra of molecules with styrene chromophores, such as o-methylstyrene25 and 1,2-dihydronaphthalene.26

In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the methylene protons appear as a singlet at δ 1.00. The bridging methylene protons of the [10] annulene 1 are shielded by the diamagnetic ring current and appear at δ -0.5; in the benzannulene 9 they appear at δ -0.06 and +1.38. Moreover, the vinyl protons of 10 appear as an AB quartet centered at δ 6.80, distinct from the benzene protons at δ 7.20-8.05. Consequently, the NMR spectrum is in better agreement with structure 10b.

The most conclusive evidence for structure 10b comes from the ¹³C off-resonance decoupled NMR spectrum. Delocalized structure 10a should show nine signals in the aromatic region and only one signal for saturated carbons. In annulene 1, e.g., the bridgehead carbons appear at δ 114.6 and the bridging methylene at δ 34.8. Tautomer 10b, on the other hand, would show eight signals for sp²-hybridized carbons and two signals due to sp³hydridized carbons. The tricyclic tetraenes 3-5, reasonably close models for 10b, show ¹³C signals^{12,14} for the bridging carbon at δ 13.6-16.9 and for the bridgehead carbons at δ 54.5-81.7.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 10 is unambiguous: it shows a total of eight signals in the region δ 122-136, only two of which are due to quaternary carbons, and two upfield signals at δ 20.1 (t, CH₂) and 34.3 (s, bridgehead). Only structure 10b is consistent with these spectroscopic data. A variable-temperature NMR study was carried out to see whether any evidence of an equilibrium between tautomers 10a and 10b might be observed at higher temperature. In the temperature range 30-120 °C, however, no significant change in either the proton or ¹³C spectrum was detected.

Discussion. This investigation has demonstrated that the 10membered ring of 1,6-methano[10]annulene is forced to relinquish its aromaticity when fused to two benzene rings at positions such that both benzene rings cannot simultaneously be aromatic. While there are numerous previous examples in which benzannelation localizes bonds and reduces the diatropicity, this is the first instance in which an aromatic annulene loses its aromaticity completely upon benzannelation. In contrast to Mitchell's anti-dibenzoannulene 8, the anti-dibenzoannulene 10a does not retain the aromatic stability of the parent. The critical difference between these two cases is the ability of 10a to form the more stable

tautomer 10b, with a new σ bond and two intact benzene rings.²⁷ The fragile aromaticity of 1,6-methano[10]annulene does not survive competition with that of a benzene ring.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Melting points were taken on a Thomas oil immersion apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Model 257 and 297 instruments, using the 1601-cm⁻¹ peak of polystyrene for calibration. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded by Dr. Charles Kutal on a Cary 14 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained by Courtney Pape on a Finnigan 4023 GC/MS instrument. Proton NMR spectra were run on Varian EM-190 and JEOL-FX 270 spectrometers, while the ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by Courtney Pape on a JEOL PFT-100 spectrometer. The variabletemperature NMR study was carried out on a Bruker WH-400 spectrometer at the NSF Regional NMR Center at the University of South Carolina. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Atlanta, GA

trans-1,2-Diphenylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic Acid (11). A mixture of the cis and trans diethyl esters were prepared from the reaction of ethyl a-chlorophenylacetate and ethyl atropate as described by Bonavent et al.;¹⁹ in 10 runs the average yield was 48%, the high yield 64%. After saponification, the crude acid was stirred with acetyl chloride for 3 days, cooled, filtered, and washed with cold CHCl₃ to give the crude trans acid, mp 245 °C (lit. 19 mp 270 °C). The average yield was 26%, the high yield, 36%.

Dimethyl Ester 12 was prepared by refluxing a solution of 10 g of the acid in 110 mL of CH₃OH and 5.8 mL of concentrated HCl for 2 days. The ester crystallized on cooling: 10.0 g (91%); mp 141-143 °C (lit. 19 mp 141 °C); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.55 (2 H, s), 3.35 (6 H, s), 7.5 (1 H, m).

trans-1,2-Diphenyl-1,2-bis(hydromethyl)cyclopropane (13). A suspension of 3.3 g of LiAlH₄ in 300 mL of anhydrous ether was heated to gentle reflux in a Sohxlet apparatus containing 10.0 g of diester 12 in the thimble. After refluxing overnight, the solution was treated dropwise with saturated aqueous Na2SO4, followed by 2 g of solid MgSO4, and then filtered. The solid salts were extracted overnight with ether in a Sohxlet apparatus, and the combined filtrate and extracts were concentrated, leaving diol 13 as colorless crystals: 8.14 g (99%); mp 141-143 °C; IR (KBr) 3560, 3480, 3030, 3010, 2980, 2940, 2890, 1600, 1500, 1020, 740 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (2 H, br s), 1.45 (2 H, s), 3.15 (2 H, d, J = 11 Hz), 3.60 (2 H, d, J = 11 Hz), 7.45 (10 H, m); massspectrum, m/z 252 (2), 237 (4), 219 (15), 205 (21), 137 (100), 119 (55), 105 (73), 92 (58), 77 (48). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₈O₂: C, 80.31; H, 7.09. Found: C, 80.26; H, 7.17.

trans-1,2-Diphenyl-1,2-dicyanocyclopropane (15). A mixture of 5.27 g of diol 13 and 7.64 g of methanesulfonyl chloride in 40 mL of dry acetone was cooled at 0 °C while 17.6 mL of dry pyridine was added slowly. The mixture was stirred 3 h at 0 °C and then overnight at room temperature. Cold water (25 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CHCl₃. The extracts were washed with water, cold 2 N H₂SO₄, water, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to a yellow only bis(mesylate) 14.

Because of its limited stability, the bis(mesylate) was immediately mixed with 7.2 g of KCN, 0.1 g of KI, and 85 mL of DMF and stirred at 60-70 °C for 14 days. After cooling, 100 mL of water was added and the mixture was extracted with 1:1 ether/benzene. The extracts were washed with water, cold 2 N H₂SO₄, water, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to leave an orange-yellow solid. Recrystallization from ethanol gave 2.11 g (37.4%) of the colorless dinitrile, mp 168-169.5 °C. The yield ranged from 30 to 49% over six runs: IR (KBr) 3060, 3030, 2930, 2240, 1600, 1560, 1500, 1450, 700 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.6 (2 H, s), 2.05 (2 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 2.55 (2 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 7.4 (10 H, m); mass spectrum, m/z 272 (3), 245 (18), 231 (30), 205 (72), 191 (100), 154 (68), 115 (32), 103 (58), 77 (93), 63 (26), 51 (65), 39 (38).

trans-1,2-Diphenylcyclopropane-1,2-diacetic Acid (16). A mixture of 1.96 g of dinitrile 15, 27 mL of 30% aqueous KOH, 10 mL of 30% H₂O₂, and 37 mL of ethanol was stirred at reflux for 4 days, while a slow stream of N_2 was bubbled through the solution. After cooling, the mixture was washed with ether, acidified to pH 1 with concentrated HCl, and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to leave a light yellow solid. Recrystallization from 5:1 benzene/CHCl₃ containing a little ethanol gave 0.92 g (41%) of colorless diacid, mp >240 °C. The average yield in six runs was 34%,

^{(24) (}a) Shapiro, R. H.; Heath, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5734. (b) Kaufman, G.; Cook, F.; Shechter, H.; Bayless, J.; Friedman, L. Ibid. 1967, 89, 5737.

⁽²⁵⁾ Friedel, R. A.; Orchin, M. Ultraviolet Spectra of Aromatic Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1951; p 26.
(26) Sadtler Standard Spectra, Ultraviolet Spectra; Sadtler Research Laboratories: Philadelphia, PA, 1974; Vol. 79, p 20938.

⁽²⁷⁾ Calculations of resonance energies by the method of Herndon and Parkanyi⁵ lead to values of 47 kcal/mol for 10a and 40 kcal/mol for its valence tautomer 10b. The difference of 7 kcal/mol is insufficient to compensate for the extra σ bond in 10b, however, and the equilibrium is predicted to lie completely on the side of 10b; Prof. W. C. Herndon, private communication.

with a high yield of 51%: IR (KBr) 3500-2500 (br), 1700, 1600, 1550, 1220, 690 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.65 (2 H, s), 1.35 (2 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 2.60 (2 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 7.35 (10 H, m); mass spectrum, m/z 310 (1), 292 (14), 264 (21), 250 (27), 218 (12), 205 (100), 191 (64), 165 (8), 141 (12), 115 (23), 103 (30), 91 (34), 77 (44), 40 (82). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}O_4$: C, 73.55; H, 5.81. Found: C, 73.50; H, 5.86.

2,3:7,8-Dibenzotricyclo[4.4.1.0^{1,6]}undecane-**4,9-dione (18).** (a) PPA **Method.** Diacid **16** (0.48 g) was thoroughly mixed with 31 g of PPA and kept at room temperature overnight protected from moisture. Crushed ice (70 g) was added, and the mixture was stirred until the pink color disappeared and then extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with water, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to leave a yellow solid. Recrystallization from ether or ethanol gave the colorless diketone: mp 215–218 °C dec; 0.12 g (28.5%); IR (KBr) 3050, 3020, 2920, 2880, 1660, 1600, 750 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (2 H, s), 3.15 (2 H, d, J = 18 Hz), 3.80 (2 H, d, J = 18 Hz), 7.30–8.15 (8 H, m); mass spectrum, m/z 274 (100), 259 (23), 246 (28), 231 (43), 215 (38) 202 (57), 189 (18), 144 (52), 131 (64), 115 (80), 102 (74), 89 (46), 76 (67), 63 (55), 51 (63), 39 (72). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}O_2$: C, 83.21; H, 5.11. Found: C, 82.48; H, 5.20.

(b) AlCl₃ Method. A mixture of 0.22 g of diacid 16 and 2.5 mL of SOCl₂ (purified by successive distillation from quinoline and linseed oil) was heated with stirring to about 60 °C, stirred overnight at 25 °C, and concentrated at aspirator vacuum. The residual acid chloride was taken up in 11 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and added to a suspension of 0.25 g of AlCl₃ in 8 mL of CH₂Cl₂, cooled in an ice bath. After being stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, the mixture was poured into 40 mL of ice—water and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with water, 10% Na₂CO₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The crude yellow solid was recrystallized from ethanol (1 mL/mg), giving 0.13 g (67%) of colorless diketone, mp 213–215 °C dec.

1-Methyl-2,3:1,8-Dibenzobicyclo[4.4.0^{1.6}]dec-5-ene-4,9-dione (17). Diacid 16 (0.40 g) was thoroughly mixed with 54.5 g of PPA and heated slowly to 105-110 °C with stirring. After 30 min at this temperature, 50 g of crushed ice was added and the mixture was stirred until homogeneous, neutralized to pH 8 with solid NaHCO₃ and 30% KOH, and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The oily residue was recrystallized from ether to afford 0.07 g (20%) of colorless solid: mp 146-147 °C; IR (KBr) 3030, 2950, 2920, 2850, 1720, 1690, 1650, 1600, 1450, 750 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.5 (3 H, s), 2.80 (1 H, d, J = 15 Hz), 3.30 (1 H, d, J = 15 Hz), 6.95 (1 H, s), 7.2–8.3 (8 H, m); mass spectrum, m/z 274 (54), 259 (54), 245 (10), 231 (63), 215 (25), 202 (100), 115 (45), 101 (87), 88 (25), 75 (42), 63 (28), 51 (44), 39 (40). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}O_2$: C, 83.21; H, 5.11. Found: C, 83.16; H, 5.16.

2,3:7,8-Dibenzotricyclo[4.4.1.0^{1.6}]undecane-4,9-diene (10b). (a) Via Diol. Sodium borohydride (0.4 g) was added in four portions over 2 days to a refluxing solution of 0.25 g of diketone 18 in 100 mL of ethanol. Methanol (25 mL) was added and reflux continued 1 h. The mixture was brought to pH 7 with concentrated HCl and concentrated, and the residue was taken up in 75 mL of water and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The crude diol, which showed no carbonyl absorption at 1670 cm⁻¹, was dried under vacuum, taken up in 9 mL of pyridine, and cooled in ice, while 2.3 mL of SOCl₂ was slowly added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 4 h, poured onto 50 g of crushed ice, and extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with water, cold 2 N H₂SO₄, water, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to leave a yellow solid. This was chromatographed in hexane, first over silica gel,

then alumina, to give a colorless solid contaminated by a small amount of yellow oil. The solid was sublimed at 120-135 °C (0.905 mm) and then recrystallized twice from ethanol to give 50 mg of colorless solid: mp 146.5-148 °C; IR (KBr) 3020, 2970, 2910, 1470, 1450, 750 cm⁻¹; UV (cyclohexane) 226 nm (ϵ 26 200), 268 (10 200), 280 (8 700); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (2 H, s), 6.45 (2 H, d, J=9 Hz), 7.10 (2 H, d, J=9 Hz), 7.20-8.05 (8 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.1 (t), 34.3 (s), 122.3 (d), 124.2 (d), 124.9 (d), 126.5 (d), 127.6 (d) (double intensity), 128.9 (s), 135.9 (s); mass spectrum, m/z 242 (100), 228 (7), 226 (19), 215 (23), 200 (5), 189 (6), 163 (6), 139 (5), 119.4 (47), 106.4 (19), 94.4 (16), 87 (9), 74 (10), 63 (18) 39 (27). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}$: C, 94.18; H, 5.82. Found: C, 93.97; H, 5.95.

(b) Via Tosylhydrazone. A mixture of 0.12 g of diketone 18 and 0.17 g of (p-tolylsulfonyl)hydrazine in 35 mL of 7:3 $\rm CH_3OH/H_2O$ was heated under reflux for 4 days, cooled, and filtered. The yellow precipitate showed carbonyl absorption at 1670 cm⁻¹ and was heated with 0.3 g of (p-tolylsulfonyl)hydrazine in 11 mL of 90% aqueous methanol at reflux for 3 days more. The fine yellow solid that precipitated on cooling was washed with cold 60% aqueous methanol: 0.08 g (30%); IR (KBr) 3180, 3060–3020, 2950–2900, 1600, 1310, 1150, 850, 740 cm⁻¹.

A solution of the crude bis(tosylhydrazone) in 5 mL of ether was treated with 4.5 mL of a 1.75 M solution of methyllithium in ether and stirred at 25 °C for 2 days. After 20 mL of water was added dropwise, the mixture was extracted with ether. The extracts were washed with 2 N H₂SO₄, water, and saturated NaHCO₃, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. The solid residue was recrystallized from hexane to give 15 mg of 10b, with an IR spectrum identical with that of the product from part (a).

X-ray Crystallography. Colorless prisms of 18 were grown by slow recrystallization from ethanol. Diffraction measurements were made with a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The best E map gave a fragment that appeared consistent with the predicted molecular structure, but the model did not refine. The problem was solved by the usual technique of treating the space group as P1, whereupon a second image was generated that defined the position of the center of symmetry. The true molecular position was only about 0.6 Å from that given by the first E map. Standard sequences of least-squares refinement and difference maps allowed the positioning of all atoms including H. The structure refined to an R factor of 4.4%. Atomic parameters are given in Tables II and III, a stereoscopic drawing is shown in Figure 1, and the final crystal conformation and bond lengths are given in Figure 2 (supplementary material).

Principal computer programs employed are listed in ref 28.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of positional and thermal parameters, interatomic bond distances, bond angles, and crystal and refinement data, a stereoscopic drawing (Figure 1), and crystal conformation and bond lengths (Figure 2) (6 pages); listing of observed and calculated structure factors (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

^{(28) (}a) Main, P.; Hull, S. E.; Lessinger, L.; Germain, G.; Declercq, J. P.; Woolfson, M. M. MULTAN 78, A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data, Universities of York and Louvain, 1978. (b) Scattering factors, Stewart, J. M.; Kruger, G. J.; Ammon, H. L.; Dickinson, C.; Hall, S. R. XRAY system, version of June 1972, Technical Report TR-192, University of Maryland. (c) Weights, Peterson, S. W.; Levy, H. A. Acta Crystallogr. 1957, 10, 70.