Diastereoselective α -alkoxylation of lactamide N-alkyl groups via intramolecular formation of oxonium ions as the key intermediate

PERKIN

Tohru Kamada and Akira Oku*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering and Design, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan

Received (in Cambridge) 20th July 1998, Accepted 20th August 1998

Stereoselective introduction of an alkoxy group to the amine unit of lactamide derivatives, under electrochemical oxidation conditions, was investigated based upon the assumption that a cyclic oxonium ion can be formed between the alkoxy substituent on the chiral center and a carbocation generated at the α -position of N-alkyl substituents. With N-monosubstituted lactamides, diastereoselectivity in the N- α -alkoxylated product was not observed. With N,N-disubstituted lactamides, however, the selectivity appeared though in low ratios (~2.2). Requisite factors that govern the stereoselectivity, *i.e.* nucleophilicity of both internal and external nucleophiles and substitution on amine units, were also examined.

Introduction

Recently, for the protection of the environment as well as energy efficiency, new synthetic methods with high yields, reduced by-products and reduced energy consumption are needed. For this purpose, electro-organic reactions seem to be suitable because they can take place at ambient temperatures, in heavy metal-free media and with high product selectivity. In the course of extending their domain toward highly-selective reactions, a number of stereoselective reactions were developed by the use of, for example, asymmetrically modified electrodes,² chiral mediators³ and substrates possessing chiral substituents.⁴ In the study of substrates possessing chiral substituents, some stereoselective intramolecular reactions were reported 5 whereas few satisfactory results were reported for intermolecular reactions. This may be attributable to weaker interaction between reaction centers and chiral substituents because electro-organic reactions are ordinarily carried out under highly polar conditions.6

Matsumura and Shono developed many electo-organic reactions of amines utilizable for the synthesis of biologically active compounds.⁷ Among them, methoxylation at the position α to the nitrogen atom of carbamates and amides is a useful method for introducing a functional group to the α position of amines [eqn. (1)].⁸ Since α -methoxyamine derivatives can be activated

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{1} = OMe \text{ or Alkyl}$$

$$R^{1} = OMe \text{ or Alkyl}$$

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \longrightarrow R^{3} \longrightarrow R^{3}$$

by Lewis acids, stereoselective introduction of a nucleophile seems possible by the use of asymmetric Lewis acid catalysts. Although some stereoselective electro-organic reactions of chiral amines were reported, the selectivity was attributed to the non-bonded repulsion between substituents on the asymmetric center and the species to be introduced.⁴

Lactic acid is a naturally occurring inexpensive chiral compound.⁹ However, there are few reports on stereoselective electro-organic reactions using lactic acid.¹⁰ This is because the highly polar conditions of the reactions disfavor the electrostatic interaction of the hydroxy group of lactic acid, which is necessary for stereocontrol. In this regard, the authors recently have found that under highly polar conditions, such as in trifluoroacetic acid solution, the oxygen atom of a cyclic ether forms a bicyclic oxonium ion with an intramolecularly generated carbocation, and the oxonium ion underwent either a ring-expansion or ring-switching reaction [eqn. (2)].¹¹ In this

bicyclooxonium ion formation, one of the key factors that governs the chemoselectivity is the nucleophilicity of the ethereal oxygen atom.

Based on this finding, we expected that an alkoxy derivative of lactic acid may form an oxonium ion with a carbocation intramolecularly generated by anodic oxidation and result in a stereoselective reaction. To verify this possibility, the authors chose the methoxylation reported by Matsumura and Shono^{8a} as a prototype reaction and applied it to lactamides i [eqn. (3)]. In this anodic methoxylation, the alkoxy-substituted carbon of lactamides is expected to play a stereogenic role; the alkoxy group functions as an internal nucleophile to attack the

carbocation on the amine unit, thus forming a cyclic oxonium ion ii. Then, ii will be attacked by an external nucleophile more or less in a stereoselective way to give lactamide derivative iii.

In this paper, we report evidence that the alkoxy substituent of lactamide derivatives can form a cyclic oxonium ion with an electrochemically generated internal carbocation and, thence, a stereoselective substitution on the amine unit can be realized.

Results and discussions

Stabilization of the lactic acid unit as a chiral source

First of all, to make it clear why lactic acid has not been used widely in electro-organic reactions despite it being an inexpensive chiral source, anodic methoxylation of *N*-propyllactamide 1a was examined according to Shono's method ¹² [eqn. (4)].

Instead of the expected product N- α -methoxypropyllactamide, methyl N- α -methoxypropylcarbamate 2a was obtained. It is known that under electrochemical conditions alcohols are oxidized to carbonyl compounds 13 and diketones are cleaved. 14 This means that in the oxidation of lactamides, the hydroxy group of the lactic acid unit is first oxidized to a carbonyl group and the diketone, thus formed, is cleaved oxidatively to give methyl N- α -methoxypropylcarbamate 2a. As a consequence, lactamide 1a is not suitable as a chiral source in electro-organic reactions.

To protect the acid unit from oxidative decomposition, increasing the oxidation potential of the α oxygenic substituent might prove effective. In this regard, substrate **1b** possessing an α methoxy group instead of the hydroxy group was examined [eqn. (5)]. However, electrolysis of **1b** gave the expected meth-

OMe
$$OMe$$

oxylation product **3b** in only 19% yield. Ethers are known to be oxidized electrochemically to carbonyl compounds, which are further decomposed. ¹⁵ The low product yield of **3b** can be attributed to the electrochemical oxidation of the methoxy group of the acid unit.

Therefore, an alkoxy group on the acid unit possessing a higher oxidation potential than that of the amine unit seems essential for both protecting the acid unit from electrochemical decomposition and producing the expected α -methoxyamine derivative in high yields. Matsumura reported that α -(trifluoro-

ethoxy)carbamates were obtained when trifluoroethanol, possessing a higher oxidation potential than methanol, was used as a nucleophile in a similar reaction. ¹⁶ Thus, we carried out the electrochemical oxidation of trifluoroethoxy-substituted lactamide **1c** and obtained α -trifluoroethoxylation product **4c** in 39% yield [eqn. (6)]. However, an excess amount of electricity (8 F mol⁻¹) caused its decomposition.

O CF₃
$$-2e^{-}(4.5 \text{ F mol}^{-1})$$
 O CF₃ (6)

NH CF_3CH_2OH
Et₄NBF₄/CH₂Cl₂ O O CF₃

1c 4c 39% (d.r. = 1 : 1) 29% at 3.0 F mol⁻¹ 39% at 4.5 F mol⁻¹ 0% at 8.0 F mol⁻¹ 0% at 8.0 F mol⁻¹

Although oxidation of the acid unit was suppressed by protecting the hydroxy group with a trifluoroethyl group, the yield of $\mathbf{4c}$ was still low. We suspected that oxidative decomposition of the amine unit may be the reason for such a low yield. In fact, when we carried out the electrochemical oxidation of N,N-diethyllactamide derivative $\mathbf{1d}$, N-ethyllactamide derivative $\mathbf{1e}$ was obtained [eqn. (7)]. This means that the initially

OMe
N
$$\begin{array}{c}
-2e^{-}(6 \text{ F mol}^{-1}) \\
MeOH \\
Et_4NBF_4/CH_2Cl_2
\end{array}$$
OMe
$$\begin{array}{c}
NH \\
1e (10\%)
\end{array}$$
anodic oxidation
$$\begin{array}{c}
OMe \\
Alcoholysis \\
OMe
\end{array}$$
OMe
$$\begin{array}{c}
OMe \\
Alcoholysis
\end{array}$$
OMe

formed α -methoxylation product was decomposed by the electrochemically generated acid (EGA).

Now, we have found that having the oxidation potential of the acid unit higher than that of the amine unit is not appropriate because it may not always prevent the oxidation of N-alkyl groups in the product. Instead, it seems more promising to reduce the oxidation potential of the amine unit of the starting amide relative to the acid unit. This method can also suppress the generation of EGA. Based on the report that a silyl or stannyl group on the α -position of an N-alkyl chain can reduce the oxidation potential of the amine unit,¹⁷ we carried out electrochemical oxidation of α -silyl-substituted N-alkyl-lactamide 1f, finding improvement in both the current efficiency and product yield [eqn. (8)].

OMe OMe OMe (8)
$$O = \frac{NH}{SiPhMe_2} + \frac{-2e^-(2.5 \text{ F mol}^{-1})}{MeOH} + \frac{OMe}{Et_4NBF_4/CH_2Cl_2} + O = \frac{3f}{76\% \text{ (d.r.} = 1:1)}$$

Internal vs. external nucleophilic attack

So far, appropriate modification of substrate structures had enabled lactic acid to be used in electro-organic reactions, albeit that the acid still did not function as a stereogenic unit. In the ring-expansion reaction, 11 when the nucleophilicity of the internal nucleophile was lower than that of an external one, the latter attacked the cationic center to disfavor the ring-

expansion. In the present electrochemical reactions thus far examined, external alcohols are more nucleophilic than the internal alkoxy groups because both have the same alkoxy groups. Therefore, when a carbocation is electrochemically generated on the amine unit, attack by the external nucleophile can predominate over the internal one and disfavors intramolecular oxonium ion formation, which is essential for the stereoselective reaction we designed.

On this assumption, external nucleophiles which are less nucleophilic than the internal alkoxy substituents were examined [eqn. (9)]. First, anodic oxidation of N-(α -methoxypropyl)-

OMe
$$-2e^{-}(1 \text{ F mol}^{-1})$$
 OMe CF_3CH_2OH $Et_4NBF_4/MeCN$ O O CF_3 CF_3

O-methyllactamide **3b** was examined in the presence of CF_3CH_2OH to discover if the exchange of α - CH_3O group on the *N*-alkyl substituent for a trifluoroethoxyl group can produce any diastereoselectivity. However, exchanged product **4b** was obtained in low yield without selectivity [eqn. (9a)]. Second, the similar oxidation of *N*-(α -silylalkyl)carboxylic amides **1g** and **1h** was examined in the presence of CF_3CH_2OH for **1g** and methanol for **1h**. However, again, the expected diastereoselectivity was not observed in products **4b** and **3h** [eqns. (9b) and (9c)].

The starting amides used in the reactions shown in eqn. (9) were composed of equal amounts of the diastereomers. If the external nucleophilic attack proceeded directly via an $S_N 2$ -like mechanism with these substrates, not via the cyclic oxonium intermediate, the products would also show diastereomer ratios of 1:1. To examine this possibility, the exchange reaction of the α -CH₃O group of **3b** possessing a diastereomer ratio of 2.38:1 was examined in MeOH under the catalysis of EGA [eqn. (10)].

OMe OMe OMe (10)

OMe
$$-2e^{-}$$

Et₄NOTs / MeOH O OMe

3b OF mol⁻¹ d.r. = 2.38 : 1

1 F mol⁻¹ d.r. = 1.23 : 1

2 F mol⁻¹ d.r. = 1.18 : 1

The ratio was decreased to 1 after the passage of electricity. This indicates that the stereoselectivity of the external nucleophilic attack on **3b** or on the corresponding oxonium ion intermediate is low, or the reaction proceeds *via* a different mechanism.

N-Methyl-N-(α-silylalkyl)-O-alkyllactamide derivatives

The amide cation, in which the cation center is located on the α -position of the N-alkyl group, tends to release the proton on the nitrogen to generate a stable imine. The substrates we have examined thus far were N-monosubstituted amides and, therefore, the corresponding amide cations probably underwent deprotonation to form imines rather than cyclic oxonium ions (Scheme 1). Therefore, the reaction of N,N-disubstituted

amides 5, with which the intermediacy of cyclic oxonium ions is more likely than with monosubstituted amides, seems more appropriate for the generation of the expected stereoselectivity.

Scheme 1

In advance of the reaction, the relative stability of each stereoisomer of the oxonium ion was calculated by molecular mechanics calculation: the oxonium atom was replaced by a nitrogen atom for these approximate calculations (Table 1). The calculation predicts that the stereoisomer **ii**(**f**) is the most stable.

Based upon this prediction, electrochemical alkoxylation of N-methyl-N-(α -silylalkyl)-O-alkyllactamides $\mathbf{5a}$ - \mathbf{e} was examined [eqn. (11)] (Table 2). When an external nucleophile possess-

ing the same alkoxy group as that of the internal nucleophile was used, the diastereomer ratio was 1.2:1 (runs 1 and 5, Table 2). When the external nucleophile was less nucleophilic than the internal one, the ratio was improved to 1.7:1 (run 3). When no internal nucleophile existed, the selectivity was not observed (run 6), probably because intramolecular formation of the oxonium ion did not take place. This implies that simple steric hindrance in an acyclic intermediate does not produce diastereoselectivity. Hence, for the diastereogenesis, internal oxonium ion formation seems essential, as was indicated by the

Table 1 Relative stability of cyclic oxonium ions estimated by MM2 calculation ^a

ii a b c d e f g
$$\Delta E/\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$$
 12.44 7.91 5.72 8.03 7.91 0 8.36

Table 2 Electrochemical α -alkoxylation of N,N,O-trisubstituted lactamide derivatives

$$\begin{array}{c|c} R^1 & R^2 \\ \hline & N \\ O & SiPhMe_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} -2e^-(2.5 \ F \ mol^{-1}) \ / \ Pt \\ \hline & NuH \ / \ Et_4NBF_4 \\ / \ CH_2Cl_2 \ or \ MeCN \end{array} \begin{array}{c} R^1 & R^2 \\ \hline & NuH \ / \ NuH \$$

Run	Substrate	R^1	\mathbb{R}^2	NuH	Product	Diastereomer ratio	Yield (%)"
1	5a	MeO	Me	MeOH	6a	1.2:1	18 (20)
2	1g	MeO	Н	MeOH	3b	1.0:1	33 (48)
3	5a	MeO	Me	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	7a	1.7:1	43 (77)
4	5b	CF ₃ CH ₂ O	Me	MeOH	6b	1.0:1	65 (73)
5	5b	CF ₃ CH ₂ O	Me	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	7b	1.2:1	28 (30)
6	8a	Et	Me	MeOH	9a	1.0:1	50 (50)

^a Yields in parentheses are based on the substrate conversion.

Table 3 Electrochemical α -alkoxylation of N, N, O-trisubstituted lactamide derivatives

MeO Me
$$N = \frac{-2e^{-}(2.5 \text{ F mol}^{-1}) / \text{Pt}}{NuH / \text{S.E.} / \text{solvent}}$$
 MeO Me $N = \frac{1}{N}$ NuH / S.E. / solvent O Nu

Run	Substrate	R	NuH	Solvent	S.E.	Product	Diastereomer ratio (% yield)*
1	5a	Et	CF₃CH₃OH	MeCN	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7a	1.7:1 (77)
2	5a	Et	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Bu ₄ NBF ₄	7a	1.5:1 (47)
3	5a	Et	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Et ₄ NClO ₄	7a	1.5:1 (52)
4	5a	Et	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CH_2Cl_2	Bu ₄ NClO ₄	7a	1.5:1 (59)
5	5a	Et	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7a	1.4:1 (70)
6	5a	Et	CF ₃ CO ₂ H	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Et ₄ NBF ₄	none	decomposed
7	5a	Et	CH ₃ CO ₂ H	CH_2Cl_2	Et ₄ NBF ₄	none	no reaction
8	5c	Pr	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	MeCN	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7c	1.5:1 (52)
9	5c	Pr	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7c	1.6:1 (52)
10	5d	heptyl	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	MeCN	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7d	2.2:1 (53)
11	5d	heptyl	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7d	1.6:1 (34)
12	5e	$Et(THF)^b$	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	MeCN	Et ₄ NBF ₄	7e	1.7:1 (50)
13	5e	Et (THF) ^b	MeOH	MeCN	Et ₄ NBF ₄	6e	1.1:1 (64)

^a Yields in parentheses are based on the substrate conversion. ^b Compound 5e:

observation that the diastereoselectivity appeared only when the external nucleophile was less nucleophilic than the internal one.

Results for the other reactions are shown in Table 3. Again, the use of external nucleophiles with low nucleophilicity also leads to the appearance of diastereoselectivity. When acetonitrile was used as the solvent, it gave a better result than dichloromethane (compare runs 1 with 2, and 10 with 11, Table 3). It was also presumed that the properties of the supporting electrolytes is important for the stabilization of intramolecular oxonium ion ii, but the diastereoselectivity was not influenced by the electrolytes examined (runs 2, 3 and 4, Table 3).

The appearance of the diastereoselectivity shown in Tables 2 and 3 can be explained as follows (Scheme 2). Electrochemically generated carbocation v first forms oxonium ion ii as a result of internal nucleophilic attack by the alkoxy group. The stereochemistry of the oxonium ion is controlled by the relative stability difference (Table 1) to favor isomer f, upon which

^a Substituents are methyl groups. Oxonium ion replaced with a nitrogen atom for the purpose of the calculations.

consecutive attack by an external nucleophile takes place on the α position of amine unit, namely, an external nucleophile approaches from the backside of the oxonium atom to give predominantly diastereomer $\mathbf{iii}(\mathbf{syn})$, as shown in Scheme 2. On the other hand, if carbocation \mathbf{v} cannot form \mathbf{ii} efficiently, it can be stabilized in the form of iminium ion \mathbf{vi} to which external nucleophile attack takes place nonstereoselectively. However, in general, intermediates $\mathbf{ii}(\mathbf{f})$ and \mathbf{vi} are in equilibrium and, therefore, the diastereoselectivity in products \mathbf{iii} is attenuated. ¹⁸

Scheme 2

Conclusion

After a large number of discouraging results, we finally obtained evidence to conclude that the electrochemical alkoxylation of lactamide derivatives can proceed stereoselectively via the formation of a cyclic oxonium ion as the key intermediate. To generate the selectivity, the following factors must be taken into consideration: (i) the internal nucleophiles, i.e. alkoxy substituents, must be more nucleophilic than the external ones for the intramolecular oxonium ion formation, (ii) the secondary amine unit is essential, not only for oxonium ion formation, but also for stereocontrol of the consecutive substitution, and (iii) the combination of an alkoxy group on the lactic acid unit, which is stable under the oxidation conditions, and a readily oxidizable substituent on the amine unit must be assembled in the starting substrate.

Experimental

Tetrahydrofuran, dichloromethane, acetonitrile and triethylamine were purified by the usual methods ¹⁹ and other commercially available chemicals were used without further purification. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on a GE QE-300 spectrometer in CDCl₃ solutions using CHCl₃ ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.26) and CDCl₃ ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.0) as internal standards. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm and the coupling constants (J) are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO IR-810 spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra were measured on a Hitachi M-80 spectrometer or a JEOL MS Station JMD-700. Elemental analyses were performed on a Yanaco MT-5 analyzer. Molecular mechanics calculation was conducted by the use of HyperChemTM, Hypercube, Inc. Ether refers to diethyl ether.

Materials

N-Propyllactamide 1a, 20 N, N-diethyl-2-methoxypropanamide $1d^{21}$ and methyl N-(1-methoxypropyl)carbamate $2a^{8a}$ are known compounds.

N-Propyl-2-methoxypropanamide 1b

N-Propyl-2-bromopropanamide ²² (13.3 g, 68.6 mmol) and sodium methoxide in methanol (28 wt%, 41.2 g, 214 mmol) were mixed in dry methanol (43 cm³) and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After the solvent was removed, water (50 cm³) was added to the residue, ²³ acidified to pH 4 with conc. hydrochloric acid, the aqueous solution was extracted with ether (5 × 50 cm³), organic extracts were combined and dried over MgSO₄. After removing ether, the residue was distilled to afford **1b** (4.4 g, 45%): bp 108 °C/18 mmHg; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.90 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5), 1.34 (3 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.52 (2 H, qd, *J* 7.5 and 6.9), 3.21 (2 H, td, *J* 6.9 and 6.9), 3.36 (3 H, s), 3.71 (1 H, q, *J* 6.6) and 6.57 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 11.17, 18.01, 22.72, 40.34, 57.29, 78.16 and 172.89; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2960, 2940, 2870, 1660, 1540, 1460 and 1120; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 145.1104. C₇H₁₅NO₂ requires *M*, 145.1104).

N-Butyl-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propanamide 1c

To a solution of *N*-butyl-2-bromopropanamide ²⁴ (3.0 g, 14.4 mmol) in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (9 cm³) was added 60% sodium hydride in oil (1.02 g, 25.5 mmol) at ambient temperature under an Ar atmosphere and the solution was refluxed for 2 h. Water (20 cm³) was added to the solution. The solution was extracted with ether (3 × 30 cm³) and the organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to give a residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (eluent: 10 to 80% ethyl acetate–hexane) to afford **1c** (2.53 g, 77%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.92 (3 H, t, *J* 7.2), 1.34 (2 H, dt, *J* 7.5 and 7.2), 1.43 (3 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.49 (2 H, tt, *J* 7.5 and 7.2), 3.27 (2 H, m, contains t, *J* 6.9), 3.87 (2 H, m, contains q, *J* 8.3), 3.99 (1 H, q, *J* 6.6) and 6.40 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.58, 18.14, 19.89, 31.50, 38.69, 67.07 (q, *J* 34.4), 78.41, 123.40 (q, *J* 278.8) and 171.22; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2960, 2940, 1660, 1540, 1280, 1160, 1130 and 960.

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)octyl]-2-methoxypropanamide 1f

A general procedure for the preparation of *N*-(silylalkyl) substituted lactamides **1g**, **1h**, **5a**–**e** and **8a**.

To a solution of 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)octanol²⁵ (4.0 g, 15.2 mmol) and dry triethylamine (3.2 cm³, 22.7 mmol) in dichloromethane (74 cm³), methanesulfonyl chloride (1.3 cm³, 16.7 mmol) was added at 0 °C under an Ar atmosphere.26 After stirring for 15 min, water (80 cm³) was added to the solution, and the organic layer was separated. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to give a residue. The residue was dissolved in methanol (150 cm³), through which NH₃ gas was bubbled for 3 h at 0 °C. After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the solution was concentrated to afford a residue. 1 м aq. NaOH (100 cm³) was added to the residue and extracted with ether $(4 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$. The organic extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to give a residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (eluent: 10-100% ethyl acetate-hexane) to afford 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)octylamine (1.35 g, 34%).

At 0 °C under an Ar atmosphere, a solution of 2-methoxy-propanoic acid²⁷ (0.41 g, 3.89 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.7 cm³) was added into a solution of DCC (0.79 g, 3.82 mmol) in dichloromethane (2.5 cm³) and stirred for 15 min.²⁸ To this solution, a solution of 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)octylamine (1.0 g, 3.83 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.7 cm³) was added, and the mixture was gradually warmed to ambient temperature under stirring overnight. The white precipitate was filtered off and washed with dichloromethane (30 cm³). The filtrate was washed with water (30 cm³). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄

and evaporated to give a residue, which was subjected to flash column chromatography (eluent: 5 to 20% ethyl acetatehexane) to afford a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of 1f (1.08 g, 81%): $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.32 (1.5 H, s), 0.33 (3 H, s), 0.34 (1.5 H, s), 0.84 (3 H, t, J 6.6), 1.27 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.30–1.60 (12 H, m), 1.32 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 3.31 (1.5 H, s), 3.27 (1.5 H, s), 3.68 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 3.70 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 3.73 (1 H, m), 6.12 (0.5 H, d, J 9.6), 6.15 $(0.5 \text{ H}, d, J 9.6), 7.36 (3 \text{ H}, m) \text{ and } 7.50 (2 \text{ H}, m); \delta_{\text{C}} -5.18,$ -4.99, -4.84, -4.74, 13.98, 18.60, 22.52, 27.05, 27.30, 29.04, 29.29, 30.79, 30.99, 31.70, 38.54, 38.75, 57.46, 57.58, 78.59, 127.83, 129.35, 133.95, 136.06 and 172.38; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2960, 2930, 2850, 1660, 1520, 1250, 1120, 840, 820 and 700; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 349.2436. C₂₀H₃₅NO₂Si requires M, 349.2439).

 $N\hbox{-}[1\hbox{-}(Dimethylphenylsilyl) propyl]\hbox{-}2\hbox{-}methoxypropanamide 1g.$ Prepared from 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)propanol;²⁹ $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.32 (1.5 H, s), 0.33 (3 H, s), 0.35 (1.5 H, s), 0.86 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.88 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 1.28 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.31 (1 H, m, containing J 14.4 and 7.2), 1.33 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.62 (0.5 H, dq, J 14.4 and 7.2), 1.63 (0.5 H, dq, J 14.4 and 7.2), 3.27 (1.5 H, s), 3.32 (1.5 H, s), 3.63 (1 H, m), 3.69 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 3.71 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 6.12 (0.5 H, br s), 6.15 (0.5 H, br s), 7.37 (3 H, m), 7.50 $(2 \text{ H, m}); \delta_{\text{C}} -5.16, -4.96, -4.83, -4.72, 11.84, 12.17, 18.70,$ 23.95, 24.18, 40.29, 40.58, 57.55, 57.63, 78.60, 127.87, 129.37, 129.45, 133.96, 136.02, 172.61, 172.75; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3400, 3290, 2960, 2930, 1660, 1520, 1250, 1120, 840, 820; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M^+ , 279.1649. $C_{15}H_{25}NO_2Si$ requires M, 279.1656).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)propyl]tetrahydro-2-furamide 1h. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.30 (1.5 H, s), 0.32 (3 H, s), 0.33 (1.5 H, s), 0.83 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.87 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 1.6–2.2 (6 H, m), 3.62 (0.5 H, td, J 21.3 and 11.1), 3.64 (0.5 H, td, J 21.6 and 10.5), 3.81 (2 H, dd, J 13.8 and 6.9), 4.33 (1 H, dd, J 8.4 and 5.4), 6.30 (0.5 H, d, J 10.2), 6.37 (0.5 H, d, J 11.1), 7.36 (3 H, m), 7.49 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -5.25, -5.00, -4.90, -4.76, 11.98, 23.93, 24.08, 25.38, 30.34, 40.41, 40.73, 69.28, 78.42, 127.84, 129.39, 133.88, 136.00, 172.68, 172.81; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3400, 3300, 2950, 2930, 2870, 1660, 1520, 1250, 1070, 840; m/z (EI) (Found: M+, 291.1647. C₁₆H₂₅NO₂Si requires M, 292.1656).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)propyl]-N-methyl-2-methoxypropanamide 5a. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (3 H, s), 0.35 (3 H, s), 0.84 (1.5 H, t, J7.2), 0.85 (1.5 H, t, J7.2), 1.17 (1.5 H, d, J6.6), 1.20 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.65 (2 H, m, containing J 7.2), 2.85 (1.5 H, s), 2.87 (1.5 H, s), 3.17 (1.5 H, s), 3.19 (1.5 H, s), 3.90 (1 H, m), 4.03 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 4.04 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 7.32 (3 H, m), 7.50 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -3.77, -3.28, 12.18, 12.29, 17.37, 17.41, 20.39, 20.56, 33.08, 33.38, 49.97, 50.13, 56.43, 56.57, 76.20, 76.59, 127.71, 129.33, 133.89, 137.66, 171.43; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2950, 2930, 1810, 1640, 1460, 1430, 1400, 1250, 1120, 840, 820, 730, 700; m/z (EI) (Found: M^+ , 293.1812. $C_{16}H_{27}NO_2Si$ requires M, 293.1812) (Found: C, 65.16; H, 9.26; N, 4.73; C₁₆H₂₇NO₂Si requires C, 65.48; H, 9.27; N, 4.77%).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)propyl]-N-methyl-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propanamide 5b. 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol (16.4 g, 0.163 mol) and 2-bromopropanoic acid (12.5 g, 81.7 mmol) were added dropwise into a suspended solution of sodium hydride (60% in oil, 6.5 g, 0.164 mol) in tetrahydrofuran (50 cm³) at 0 °C under an Ar atmosphere and the solution was refluxed for 2 h. The solvent was removed, water (30 cm³) was added to the residue, the mixture was acidified to pH 3 with conc. hydrochloric acid, the aqueous solution was extracted with ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3)$, the organic extracts were combined and dried over MgSO₄. After removing ether, the residue was distilled to afford 2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propanoic acid; bp 103 °C/15 mmHg; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.35 (3 H, d, J 6.9), 3.80 (1 H, dd, J 12.3 and 8.4), 4.09 (1 H, dd, J 12.3 and 8.4), 4.21 (1 H, q, J 6.9),

11.45 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 17.98, 67.34 (q, J 34.4), 75.47, 123.50 (q, J 276.8), 177.86; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3000, 2950, 1730, 1280, 1170, 1140, 970.

According to the general procedure, 2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propanoic acid was converted to **5b**; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.33 (1.5 H, s), 0.35 (1.5 H, s), 0.38 (3 H, s), 0.84 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.86 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 1.23 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.27 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.60-1.80 (2 H, m), 2.83 (1.5 H, s), 2.84 (1.5 H, s), 3.31 (0.5 H, q, J 8.4), 3.35 (0.5 H, q, J 8.7), 3.67 (0.5 H, q, J 8.7), 3.71 (0.5 H, q, J 9.0), 3.92 (1 H, m), 4.30 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 4.31 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 7.33 (3 H, m), 7.51 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ –4.16, –4.05, –3.22, –3.00, 12.12, 16.83, 17.23, 20.36, 20.49, 33.19, 33.42, 50.26, 50.39, 65.67 (q, J 34.4), 75.22, 75.42, 123.75 (q, J 274.4), 127.74, 129.23, 133.90, 137.17, 170.04; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2960, 2940, 1640, 1280, 1160, 1140, 970, 840; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 361.1679. C₁₇H₂₆- NO_2F_3Si requires M, 361.1686).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)butyl]-N-methyl-2-methoxypropanamide 5c. According to the reported method,25 butanal was converted to 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)butanol; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (3 H, s), 0.35 (3 H, s), 0.91 (3 H, t, J 7.2), 1.30 (2 H, m, containing J 7.2), 1.52 (3 H, m, containing J 9.6 and 4.2), 3.53 (1 H, dd, J 9.6 and 4.2), 7.39 (3 H, m), 7.57 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -5.71, -5.42, 13.83, 19.87, 35.48, 65.15, 127.85, 129.25, 134.09, 136.74; v_{max} cm⁻¹ (liq. film) 3400, 2950, 2940, 1430, 1250, 1110, 830, 820, 780, 730, 700; m/z (CI) (Found: M⁺, 209.1383. $C_{12}H_{20}OSi + H$ requires M, 209.1362) (Found: C, 69.06; H, 9.71; $C_{12}H_{20}OSi$ requires C, 69.17; H, 9.67%).

According to the general procedure, 1-(dimethylphenylsilyl)butanol was converted to **5c**; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (3 H, s), 0.35 (3 H, s), 0.86 (1.5 H, t, J7.2), 0.87 (1.5 H, t, J7.2), 1.16 (1.5 H, d, J6.6), 1.19 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.46 (2 H, m, containing J 7.2), 1.73 (2 H, m), 2.84 (1.5 H, s), 2.86 (1.5 H, s), 3.17 (1.5 H, s), 3.19 (1.5 H, s), 4.02 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 4.03 (0.5 H, q, J 6.6), 4.09 (1 H, m), 7.33 (3 H, m), 7.53 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ –4.27, –3.93, –3.81, –3.55, 13.70, 17.23, 20.57, 20.62, 29.47, 29.59, 33.10, 33.35, 47.59, 48.06, 56.28, 56.39, 76.05, 76.44, 127.65, 128.97, 133.85, 133.88, 137.45, 137.54, 171.20; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2950, 2930, 1640, 1400, 1250, 1120, 840, 820, 740, 700; m/z (EI) (Found: M^+ , 307.1964. C₁₇H₂₉NO₂Si requires M, 307.1969) (Found: C, 66.75; H, 9.54; N, 4.51; C₁₇H₂₉NO₂Si requires C, 66.40; H, 9.51; N, 4.55%).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)octyl]-N-methyl-2-methoxypropanamide 5d. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.34 (4.5 H, s), 0.35 (1.5 H, s), 0.84 (3 H, t, J 7.2), 1.16 (3 H, d, J 6.9), 1.20 (10 H, br), 1.51 (1 H, m), 1.69 (1 H, m), 2.84 (1.5 H, s), 2.86 (1.5 H, s), 3.17 (1.5 H, s), 3.19 (1.5 H, s), 4.00 (1 H, m), 4.02 (0.5 H, q, J 6.9), 4.03 (0.5 H, q, J 6.9), 7.33 (3 H, m), 7.53 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -3.90, -3.76, -3.35, -3.27, 13.99, 17.30, 17.35, 22.53, 27.24, 27.40, 29.13, 29.20, 31.71, 33.38, 35.96, 47.86, 56.34, 56.51, 76.52, 127.68, 129.02, 133.93, 137.61, 171.19; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2920, 2850, 1640, 1460, 1400, 1250, 1120, 840, 820, 740, 700; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 363.2593. C₂₁H₃₇NO₂Si requires M, 363.2595) (Found: C 69.05; H, 10.19; N, 3.73; C₂₁H₃₇NO₂Si requires C, 69.37; H, 10.26; N, 3.85%).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)propyl]-N-methyltetrahydro-2furamide 5e. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.32 (1.5 H, s), 0.33 (1.5 H, s), 0.35 (3 H, s), 0.82 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.84 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 1.50–1.73 (3 H, m), 1.76– 2.05 (4 H, m), 2.81 (1.5 H, s), 2.85 (1.5 H, s), 3.83 (2 H, m, containing J 5.7), 3.93 (0.5 H, dd, J 12.9 and 6.6), 4.06 (0.5 H, dd, J 11.4 and 4.5), 4.57 (1 H, dd, J 7.8 and 3.9), 7.34 (3 H, m), 7.51 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -3.96, -3.80, -3.62, 12.13, 12.30, 20.45, 20.62, 25.43, 25.59, 28.67, 28.77, 33.05, 33.81, 48.94, 50.18, 50.35, 68.53, 68.89, 75.71, 75.95, 127.62, 127.71, 128.95, 129.09, 133.86, 133.93, 134.00, 171.50; $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2950, 2930, 2860, 1640, 1430, 1300, 1250, 1110, 1060, 830, 700; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 305.1814. $C_{17}H_{27}NO_2Si$ requires M, 305.1812).

N-[1-(Dimethylphenylsilyl)propyl]-*N*,2-dimethylbutanamide 8a. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.31 (1 H, s), 0.32 (1 H, s), 0.33 (1 H, s), 0.34 (1 H, s), 0.35 (1 H, s), 0.36 (1 H, s), 0.82 (3 H, t, *J* 7.2), 0.99 (1.5 H, t, *J* 5.4), 1.00 (1.5 H, t, *J* 4.8), 1.32 (1 H, m, containing *J* 7.2), 1.49–1.72 (3 H, m, containing *J* 7.2), 2.52 (1 H, m, containing *J* 7.2), 2.80 (1.5 H, s), 2.84 (1.5 H, s), 3.91 (0.5 H, dd, *J* 11.1 and 3.6), 4.16 (0.5 H, dd, *J* 11.1 and 4.5), 7.34 (3 H, m), 7.52 (2 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ -5.57, -5.38, -3.76, -3.66, -3.52, -3.41, 12.09, 12.21, 17.26, 17.42, 20.57, 20.57, 26.46, 27.03, 27.22, 36.63, 36.79, 67.21, 127.69, 129.00, 133.92, 136.87, 176.12; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2950, 2930, 2860, 1630, 1460, 1400, 1250, 1110, 830, 770, 730, 700; *m*/*z* (CI) (Found: M⁺, 292.2090. C₁₇H₂₉NOSi + H requires *M*, 292.2098).

Electrolysis of lactamide derivatives: typical procedures

Method (a).¹² In methanol. A solution of lactamide derivative (0.7 mmol) and the supporting electrolyte (0.2 mmol) in methanol (4 cm³) was placed in an undivided cell equipped with a carbon rod anode (i.d. 0.5 cm) and a platinum plate cathode ($2 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$). Constant current (20 mA) was passed at room temperature. After the electricity shown in the equations or Tables was consumed, the reaction mixture was partitioned between brine and ether. The ether layer was separated and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude product was purified *via* flash column chromatography (eluent: ethyl acetate—hexane) to obtain the product.

Method (b).^{17a} In dichloromethane or acetonitrile. A solution of lactamide derivative (0.7 mmol), alcohol (7.0 mmol) and the supporting electrolyte (0.2 mmol) in dichloromethane or acetonitrile (4 cm³) was placed in an undivided cell equipped with a platinum plate anode (2×1 cm²) and a platinum plate cathode (2×1 cm²). Electrolysis and work-up were carried out in a similar way to that for Method (a). Yields and diastereomer ratios of the products are shown in the equations or Tables.

N-Ethyl-2-methoxypropanamide 1e. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.13 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.4), 1.13 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.4), 1.33 (1.5 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.34 (1.5 H, d, *J* 6.6), 3.28 (1 H, q, *J* 7.4), 3.28 (1 H, q, *J* 7.4), 3.35 (1.5 H, s), 3.66 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.6), 3.70 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.6), 6.52 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.74, 17.93, 33.62, 57.32, 78.15, 172.85; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2980, 2940, 1660, 1530, 1450, 1120.

N-(1-Methoxypropyl)-2-methoxypropanamide 3b. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.90 (1.5 H, t, *J* 6.9), 0.92 (1.5 H, t, *J* 6.9), 1.35 (1.5 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.40 (1.5 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.54 (1 H, m, containing *J* 6.9 and 6.0), 1.69 (1 H, m, containing *J* 6.9 and 6.0), 3.29 (1.5 H, s), 3.32 (1.5 H, s), 3.37 (1.5 H, s), 3.39 (1.5 H, s), 3.74 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.6), 3.76 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.6), 5.01 (1 H, td, *J* 10.5 and 6.0), 6.64 (1 H, br d, *J* 6.0); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.91, 18.15, 18.24, 28.45, 55.56, 55.83, 57.35, 57.53, 77.93, 78.07, 81.62, 81.88, 173.76; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2970, 2940, 2830, 1670, 1520, 1460, 1370, 1200, 1120, 1030; *m/z* (CI) (Found: M⁺, 176.1270. C₈H₁₇NO₃ + H requires *M*, 176.1287).

N-(1-Methoxyoctyl)-2-methoxypropanamide 3f. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.86 (3 H, t, *J* 6.3), 1.36 (3 H, d, *J* 6.9), 1.20–1.44 (10 H, br), 1.52 (1 H, m), 1.68 (1 H, m), 3.29 (1.5 H, s), 3.33 (1.5 H, s), 3.37 (1.5 H, s), 3.40 (1.5 H, s), 3.74 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.9), 3.78 (0.5 H, q, *J* 6.9), 5.07 (1 H, dt, *J* 9.3 and 6.3), 6.67 (1 H, br t, *J* 9.3); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.70, 18.13, 22.52, 24.70, 29.06, 29.14, 31.63, 35.44, 55.83, 57.58, 78.09, 80.75, 173.55; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2930, 2850, 1680, 1520, 1460, 1120, 1060; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M⁺, 230.1753. C₁₃H₂₇NO₃ – CH₃ requires *M*, 230.1757).

N-(1-Methoxypropyl)tetrahydro-2-furamide 3h. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.90 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 1.5–2.2 (6 H, m), 3.27 (1.5 H, s), 3.29 (1.5 H, s), 3.89 (2 H, m), 4.32 (0.5 H, dd, J 8.3 and 5.7), 4.37 (0.5 H, dd, J 8.4 and 5.7), 4.96 (0.5 H, t, J 6.0), 4.99 (0.5 H, t, J 6.0), 6.79 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.94, 25.40, 28.37, 28.53, 30.19,

30.42, 55.60, 55.73, 69.37, 78.13, 78.27, 81.72, 173.81; $\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3400, 3300, 2960, 2930, 2870, 1670, 1510, 1080.

N-[1-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethoxy)propyl]-2-methoxypropanamide **4b.** $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.95 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.5), 0.97 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.5), 1.36 (1.5 H, d, *J* 6.3), 1.37 (1.5 H, *J* 6.6), 1.62 (1 H, qd, *J* 7.5 and 6.6), 1.78 (1 H, qd, *J* 7.5 and 6.6), 3.38 (1.5 H, s), 3.40 (1.5 H, s), 3.79 (1 H, q, *J* 6.6), 3.95 (2 H, m), 5.18 (0.5 H, t, *J* 6.6), 5.22 (0.5 H, t, *J* 6.6), 6.90 (1 H, br s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.93, 17.67, 17.84, 28.19, 57.27, 57.38, 65.89 (q, *J* 35.5), 66.09 (q, *J* 33.9), 77.87, 81.40, 81.67, 123.73 (q, *J* 275.7), 174.03; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3400, 3300, 2980, 2940, 2830, 1680, 1510, 1460, 1280, 1160, 1120, 1100, 980.

N-[1-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethoxy)butyl]-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-propanamide 4c. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.93 (3 H, t, J 7.4), 1.44 (1.5 H, d, J 6.9), 1.47 (1.5 H, d, J 6.9), 1.3–1.8 (4 H, m), 3.8–4.3 (5 H, m), 5.28 (0.5 H, td, J 9.9 and 3.0), 5.29 (0.5 H, td, J 9.9 and 3.0), 6.81 (0.5 H, br d, J 9.9), 6.84 (0.5 H, br d, J 9.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.41, 17.43, 18.09, 36.96, 66.10 (q, J 34.6), 67.17 (q, J 34.4), 78.13, 80.32, 80.56, 123.32 (q, J 279.9), 123.70 (q, J 276.7), 172.18, 172.34; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 3300, 2960, 2940, 2880, 1680, 1520, 1280, 1170, 970; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 324.0956. C₁₁H₁₇NO₃F₆ – H requires M, 324.1035).

N-(1-Methoxypropyl)-*N*-methyl-2-methoxypropanamide 6a. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (1.4 H, t, *J* 7.5), 0.85 (1.6 H, t, *J* 7.5), 1.38 (1.6 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.40 (1.4 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.45–1.60 (1 H, m), 1.60–1.80 (1 H, m), 2.86 (3 H, s), 3.21 (1.4 H, s), 3.22 (1.6 H, s), 4.15 (q, *J* 6.6) and 4.17 (q, *J* 6.6)(1 H), 4.90 (0.2 H, t, *J* 5.4), 4.92 (0.2 H, t, *J* 5.7), 5.57 (0.3 H, t, *J* 6.6), 5.59 (0.3 H, t, *J* 6.6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.98, 9.58, 17.03, 17.21, 25.07, 55.36, 56.47, 75.14, 75.45, 77.01, 77.44, 85.35, 85.56, 88.21, 88.56, 173.08; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2880, 2840, 1660, 1460, 1120, 1090, 1060; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 189.1373. C₉H₁₉NO₃ requires *M*, 189.1365).

N-(1-Methoxypropyl)-N-methyl-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)- propanamide 6b. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.84 (1.5 H, t, J 7.2), 0.86 (1.5 H, t, J 7.5), 1.45 (1.5 H, d, J 6.6), 1.47 (1.5 H, J 6.6), 1.51 (1 H, m), 1.68 (1 H, m), 2.84 (3 H, s), 3.20 (1.5 H, s), 3.22 (1.5 H, s), 3.71 (2 H, m), 3.94 (2 H, m), 4.48 (1 H, q, J 6.6), 5.54 (0.5 H, t, J 6.9), 5.56 (0.5 H, t, J 6.6); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.82, 16.78, 17.01, 25.02, 26.19, 26.59, 54.83, 55.34, 65.66 (q, J 32.7), 74.08, 74.81, 85.53, 85.72, 88.52, 123.70 (q, J 274.2), 171.61; $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2970, 2940, 2880, 2830, 1660, 1460, 1410, 1280, 1160, 1140, 1060, 960; m/z (EI) (Found: $\rm M^+$, 257.1244. $\rm C_{10}\rm H_{18}\rm NO_3\rm F_3$ requires M, 257.1239).

N-(1-Methoxypropyl)-N-methyltetrahydro-2-furamide 6e. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.83 (1.4 H, t, J 7.2), 0.84 (1.6 H, t, J 7.5), 1.40–1.80 (2 H, m), 1.80–2.20 (4 H, m) 2.84 (1.6 H, s), 2.85 (1.4 H, s), 3.19 (1.6 H, s), 3.20 (1.4 H, s), 3.89 (2 H, m), 4.65 (1 H, m), 5.03 (0.2 H, t, J 6.6), 5.04 (0.2 H, t, J 6.6), 5.53 (0.3 H, t, J 6.9), 5.54 (0.3 H, J 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.96, 9.03, 9.35, 9.49, 25.14, 25.19, 25.56, 25.79, 26.51, 26.64, 28.12, 28.67, 28.90, 54.88, 55.40, 68.72, 68.92, 69.07, 75.61, 75.95, 76.04, 85.29, 85.49, 88.26, 88.90, 171.76, 173.31; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2970, 2940, 2880, 1650, 1450, 1410, 1300, 1140, 1080, 1060, 920; m/z (EI) (Found: M⁺, 201.1369. $C_{10}H_{19}{\rm NO}_3$ requires M, 201.1366).

N-Methyl-*N*-[1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propyl]-2-methoxy-propanamide 7a. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87 (t, *J* 7.2) and 0.88 (t, *J* 7.5)(3 H), 1.37 (1.1 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.39 (1.9 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.56 (1 H, m, containing *J* 7.5 and 7.2), 1.77 (1 H, m, containing *J* 7.5 and 7.2), 2.89 (1.1 H, s), 2.90 (1.9 H, s), 3.31 (1.9 H, s), 3.33 (1.1 H, s), 3.77 (2 H, m), 4.14 (0.4 H, d, *J* 6.6), 4.15 (0.6 H, d, *J* 6.6), 5.78 (0.6 H, t, *J* 6.9), 5.79 (0.4 H, t, *J* 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.92, 16.79, 16.89, 24.94, 26.47, 26.72, 56.36, 56.56, 65.58 (q, *J* 33.8), 75.99, 76.12, 85.23, 85.36, 129.52 (q, *J* 273.7), 173.51; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2980, 2950, 2830, 1660, 1460, 1410, 1380, 1280, 1210, 1160, 1110, 980; *m/z* (CI) (Found: M⁺, 258.1306. C₁₀H₁₈NO₃F₃ + H requires *M*, 258.1312).

N-Methyl-*N*-[1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propyl]-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propanamide 7b. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87 (1.6 H, t, *J* 7.2), 0.89 (1.4 H, t, *J* 7.5), 1.45 (1.6 H, d, *J* 6.9), 1.46 (1.4 H, d, *J* 6.9), 1.50–1.70 (1 H, m), 1.75–1.90 (1 H, m), 2.90 (3 H, s), 3.60–4.00 (4 H, m), 4.48 (1 H, q, *J* 6.9), 5.76 (0.6 H, t, *J* 6.9), 5.77 (0.4 H, t, *J* 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.86, 16.70, 16.85, 24.96, 26.76, 65.68 (q, *J* 35.6), 65.92 (q, *J* 36.8), 74.81, 75.10, 85.49, 123.62 (q, *J* 275.9), 127.35 (q, *J* 285.1), 172.22; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2970, 2940, 1660, 1460, 1410, 1280, 1160, 970; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M⁺, 325.1092. C₁₁H₁₇-NO₃F₆ requires *M*, 325.1113).

N-Methyl-*N*-[1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)butyl]-2-methoxypropanamide 7c. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.91 (t, *J* 6.9) and 0.92 (t, *J* 6.9)(3 H), 1.37 (d, *J* 6.6) and 1.38 (d, *J* 6.6)(3 H), 1.52 (2 H, m), 1.74 (2 H, m), 2.90 (s) and 2.91 (s)(3 H), 3.31 (1.8 H, s), 3.33 (1.2 H, s), 3.77 (2 H, m), 4.14 (q, *J* 6.6) and 4.15 (q, *J* 6.6)(1 H), 5.87 (1 H, *J* 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.55, 16.83, 17.96, 18.06, 26.79, 33.81, 56.34, 56.57, 65.47 (q, *J* 33.9), 75.93, 76.06, 83.92, 127.25 (q, *J* 265.2), 173.33, 173.53; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2960, 2940, 2880, 2820, 1660, 1460, 1410, 1380, 1280, 1210, 1160, 1110, 1080, 980; *m/z* (CI) (Found: M⁺, 272.1468. C₁₁H₂₀NO₃F₃ + H requires *M*, 272.1474).

N-Methyl-*N*-[1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)octyl]-2-methoxypropanamide 7d. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (3 H, t, *J* 6.3), 1.15–1.30 (10 H, br), 1.37 (0.9 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.38 (2.1 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.54 (1 H, m), 1.77 (1 H, m), 2.90 (2.1 H, s) and 2.91 (0.9 H, s), 3.31 (2.1 H, s), 3.33 (0.9 H, s), 3.76 (2 H, m), 4.14 (0.3 H, q, *J* 6.6), 4.15 (0.7 H, q, *J* 6.6), 5.85 (1 H, t, *J* 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.94, 16.79, 16.91, 22.50, 24.61, 24.69, 26.80, 29.01, 29.13, 31.60, 31.79, 56.36, 56.57, 65.52 (q, *J* 33.5), 76.02, 76.13, 84.09, 84.21, 123.63 (q, *J* 276.5), 173.35, 173.52; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2930, 2900, 1660, 1460, 1410, 1370, 1280, 1160, 1110, 1080, 980; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M⁺, 327.1988. C₁₅H₂₈-NO₃F₃ requires *M*, 327.2022).

N-Methyl-*N*-[1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propyl]tetrahydro-2-furamide 7e. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87 (1.9 H, t, *J* 7.5), 0.88 (1.1 H, t, *J* 7.5), 1.50–2.20 (6 H, m), 2.76 (0.6 H, s), 2.77 (0.4 H, s), 2.89 (0.7 H, s), 2.90 (1.3 H, s), 3.65–3.84 (2 H, m), 3.84–4.05 (2 H, m), 4.48 (0.4 H, dd, *J* 6.3 and 6.0), 4.53 (0.6 H, dd, *J* 6.6 and 6.0), 4.64 (0.7 H, dd, *J* 8.1 and 6.0), 4.66 (1.3 H, dd, *J* 8.8 and 5.7), 5.36 (0.4 H, t, *J* 6.9), 5.38 (0.6 H, t, *J* 6.9), 5.74 (0.7 H, t, *J* 6.9), 5.75 (1.3 H, t, *J* 6.9); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 8.97, 8.91, 9.23, 24.97, 25.56, 26.27, 26.91, 28.63, 65.28 (q, *J* 33.75), 68.73, 68.85, 69.08, 69.16, 75.86, 76.09, 84.95, 85.30, 123.73 (q, *J* 277.05), 173.63; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 2960, 2880, 1660, 1440, 1410, 1280, 1150, 1090, 1060, 970; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M⁺. 269.1248. C₁₁H₁₈NO₃F₃ requires *M*, 269.1239).

N-[(1-Methoxy)propyl]-*N*,2-dimethylbutanamide 9a. $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.83 (1.5 H, t *J* 7.5), 0.87 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.2), 0.88 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.5), 0.90 (1.5 H, t, *J* 7.2), 1.09 (0.5 H, d, *J* 6.9), 1.10 (1 H, d, *J* 12.0), 1.13 (1 H, d, *J* 12.3), 1.15 (0.5 H, d, *J* 6.6), 1.30–1.60 (2 H, m), 1.60–1.80 (2 H, m), 2.63 (1 H, m), 2.74 (1 H, s), 2.81 (1 H, s), 2.81 (1 H, s), 3.19 (1 H, s), 3.19 (1 H, s), 3.21 (0.5 H, s), 3.22 (0.5 H, s), 4.83 (0.3 H, dd, *J* 12.0 and 6.6), 5.61 (0.7 H, dd, *J* 13.2 and 6.2); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 9.06, 11.93, 12.06, 17.27, 17.40, 25.33, 25.40, 26.82, 26.94, 38.30, 38.41, 55.24, 55.30, 84.91, 85.11, 88.84, 89.11, 178.04; $\nu_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ (liq. film) 2960, 2930, 2870, 2820, 1640, 1460, 1400, 1300, 1140, 1110, 1080, 1060, 940; *m/z* (EI) (Found: M⁺. 187.1567. $C_{10}H_{21}{\rm NO}_2$ requires *M*, 187.1573).

References

- 1 (a) Final Report on a Survey on Organic Electrolytic Processes, ANL-OETM-79-5, Electrochemical Technology Corporation, 1979. Prepared for the Office for Electrochemical Project Management, Argonne National Laboratories, under Contract No. 31-109-38-4209; (b) Forschung unt Entwicklung zur Sichelung de Rohstoffversorgung, Part III, Vol. 3, Electrochemical Processes, DECHEMA, Frankfurt a. M., 1976.
- 2 (a) Y. Yanagisawa, Y. Kashiwagi, F. Kurashima, J. Anzai, T. Osa and J. M. Bobbitt, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 1043; (b) Y. Kashiwagi,

- Y. Yanagisawa, F. Kurashima, J. Anzai, T. Osa and J. M. Bobbitt, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2745.
- 3 S. Torii, H. Tanaka, M. Sasaoka, Y. Kameyama and R. Kikuchi, *Jap P* 09249984 [97249984], Appl. 85834/1996 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1997, **127**, 312464).
- 4 K. Danielmeier, K. Schierle and E. Steckhan, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 9743
- T. Fuchigami, Proceedings of the 21th Electroorganic Chemistry Symposium, Nagasaki, 1997, p. 33.
- 6 (a) H. Lund and P. Iversen, in *Organic Electrochemistry*, ed. M. M. Baizer, Dekker, New York, 1973, p. 200; (b) N. L. Weinberg, in *Technique of Electroorganic Synthesis Part I*, ed. N. L. Weinberg, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974, p. 12.
- 7 (a) T. Shono, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 7, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, p. 789; (b) T. Shono, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 811; (c) T. Shono, Electroorganic Synthesis, Academic Press, London, 1990; (d) T. Shono, Top. Curr. Chem., 1988, 148, 131.
- (a) T. Shono, H. Hamaguchi and Y. Matsumura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4264; (b) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura and K. Tsubata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 1172; (c) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura and K. Tsubata, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 2411; (d) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura and K. Tsubata, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 3249; (e) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, K. Tsubata and J. Takata, Chem. Lett., 1981, 1121; (f) T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, K. Tsubata, Y. Sugihara, S. Yamane, T. Kanazawa and T. Aoki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6697.
- (a) B. Seuring and D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1977, 60, 1175;
 (b) D. Seebach and R. Naef, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1981, 64, 2704;
 (c) D. Seebach, R. Naef and G. Calderari, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 1313;
 (d) J. Mattay, J. Mertes and G. Mass, Ber., 1989, 122, 327;
 (e) M. Hirama, I. Nishizaki, T. Shigemoto and S. Ito, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 393;
 (f) G. Cainelli, M. Panunzio, D. Giacomini, G. Martelli and G. Spunta, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6879.
- 10 O. Onomura, Ph.D. Thesis, Kyoto University, 1988.
- 11 T. Kamada, Ge-Qing, M. Abe and A. Oku, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1996, 413.
- 12 T. Shono, Y. Matsumura and K. Tsubata, *Org. Synth.*, 1990, Coll. Vol. 7, 307.
- 13 E. A. Mayeda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4012.
- 14 (a) L. L. Miller, V. K. Koch, M. E. Larsheid and J. F. Wolf, Tetrahedron Lett., 1971, 1389; (b) S. Torii, R. Oi and T. Inokuchi, presented at the 41th Annual Meeting of the Chemical Society of Japan, 3K33, 1980.
- (a) T. Shono and Y. Matsumura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 2803;
 (b) S. D. Ross, J. E. Barry, M. Finkelstein and E. J. Rudd, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 2193;
 (c) A. I. Kirsanova and M. G. Smirnova, Electrokhimiya, 1978, 14, 627.
- 16 Y. Matsumura, T. Tomita, M. Sudoh and N. Kise, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 1271.
- (a) J. Yoshida and S. Isoe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 6621; (b)
 J. Yoshida, Y. Ishichi and S. Isoe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, 114, 7594; (c)
 J. Yoshida, M. Itoh and S. Isoe, *J. Chem. Soc.*, Chem. Commun., 1993, 547; (d)
 J. Yoshida, M. Itoh, Y. Morita and S. Isoe, *J. Chem. Soc.*, Chem. Commun., 1994, 549.
- 18 Independently, we tried to separate the diastereomers of 7a to determine their configuration but the isomer separation was unsuccessful.
- 19 D. D. Perrin, L. F. Armarego and D. R. Perrin, *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 2nd edn., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- 20 R. Kluger, J. F. Chow and J. J. Croke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 4017
- 21 R. Munz and G. Simchen, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1979, 628.
- 22 B. Koppenhoefer, E. M. Eckhard, G. J. Nicholson and E. Bayer, J. Chromatogr., 1987, 406, 157.
- 23 R. C. Fuson and B. H. Wojcik, Org. Synth., 1943, Coll. Vol. 2, 260.
- 24 E. Koch, G. J. Nicholson and E. Bayer, J. High Resolut. Chromatogr., Chromatogr. Commun., 1984, 7, 398.
- J. Yoshida, T. Maekawa, T. Murata, S. Matsunaga and S. Isoe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 1962.
- 26 R. K. Crossland and K. Servis, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 3195.
- 27 T. Purdie and J. C. Irvine, J. Chem. Soc., 1899, 75, 483.
- 28 J. R. Beck, R. Kwok, R. N. Booher, A. C. Brown, L. E. Patterson, P. Pranc, B. Rockey and A. Pohland, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, 90, 4706.
- 29 C. H. Wong, Y. Fong, W. J. Hennen and K. A. Babiak, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP357009 (Cl. C12P41/00) 07 Mar 1990 (Chem. Abstr., 1991, 114, 5485).